

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF ARIZONA

ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PUBLIC SESSION

Tempe, Arizona  
October 13, 2001  
8:30 a.m.

ARIZONA INDEPENDENT  
REDISTRICTING  
COMMISSION

LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR  
Certified Court Reporter  
Certificate No. 50349

1                   The State of Arizona Independent Redistricting  
2 Commission convened in public session on October 13,  
3 2001, at 8:30 o'clock a.m., at the Sheraton Airport  
4 Resort, 1600 South 52nd Street, Tempe, Arizona, 85281,  
5 in the presence of:

6

7 APPEARANCES:

8

CHAIRMAN STEVEN W. LYNN

9

VICE CHAIRMAN ANDI MINKOFF

10

COMMISSIONER JAMES R. HUNTWORK

11

COMMISSIONER JOSHUA M. HALL

12

COMMISSIONER DANIEL R. ELDER

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

ADDITIONAL APPEARANCES:

- LISA T. HAUSER, Commission Counsel
- JOSE de JESUS RIVERA, Commission Counsel
- DR. ALAN HESLOP, NDC, Consultant
- DR. FLORENCE ADAMS, NDC, Consultant
- DOUG JOHNSON, NDC, Consultant
- MARGUERITE MARY LEONI, NDC Counsel
- MARION PORCH, NDC, Support Staff
- LOU JONES, IRC Staff
- CINDY LE, IRC Staff
- AMY REZZONICO, IRC Press Information Officer
- TIM JOHNSON, MC, Computer Consultant
- LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Court Reporter

1

2     SPEAKERS FROM CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

3             MAYOR WILLIAM O. ARNOLD

4             LAURA DEAN-LYTTLE

5             FRANK SEANEZ

6             SANDY REAGAM

7             LIZ ARCHULETA

8             STEVE PERU

9             MIKE FLANNERY

10            LEONARD GORMAN

11            JOSE SOLAREZ

12            JIM HARTDEGEN

13            MAYOR TOM HESSLER

14            MAYOR JOSEPH DONALDSON

15            JOSE SOLAREZ

16            JIM HARTDEGEN

17            MR. VALDEZ

18            SENATOR RIOS

19

20     AGENDA DESIGNATED SPEAKERS:

21

DR. ALAN HESLOP

22

DR. FLORENCE ADAMS

23

MR. DOUG JOHNSON

24

25

1 Public Session  
2 Tempe, Arizona  
3 October 13, 2001  
4 8:30 o'clock a.m.

5 P R O C E E D I N G S

6  
7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The record will show we  
8 have all five Commissioners, legal staff, consultants,  
9 and their legal staff.

10 Let's get started.

11 As is custom, we'll start with public  
12 comment.

13 This is the time for consideration and  
14 discussion of comments and complaints from the public.  
15 Those wishing to address the Commission shall request  
16 permission in advance by filling out a speaker slip.  
17 Action taken as a result of public comment will be  
18 limited to directing staff to study the matter or  
19 rescheduling the matter for further consideration and  
20 decision at a later date unless it is the subject of an  
21 item already on the agenda.

22 Mayor Hessler, Sierra Vista.

23 MAYOR HESSLER: Before I start, this is  
24 the first time I've addressed you. I appreciate the  
25 obvious sincere concern and all the input you've gotten

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE  
Phoenix, Arizona

1 throughout the state and appreciate the concern you've  
2 shown for Sierra Vista as you have throughout the  
3 county.

4                   In short, if we all agree Legislative 4H  
5 is best, I'll slide out quietly. Assuming that's not  
6 the case, I'll continue, but not quite so brief.

7                   For quick history, of some sort, Sierra  
8 Vista is the cultural and historical educational driver  
9 of all Cochise County. It's unfortunate that what we're  
10 doing now is on the edge of Cochise County. It's an  
11 entirely different edge of Cochise County.

12                   The long history, we're very much tied to  
13 Cochise County.

14                   Legislative 4H is our preference. You're  
15 taking a risk, going down alternatives. Probably the  
16 most important thing is to keep Cochise intact, if at  
17 all possible. That's what I say officially. The rest,  
18 I mean, I don't have the backing of the City Council, or  
19 anything, but I think it's important, basically, to keep  
20 the western half of Cochise County intact. I don't know  
21 if that's a personal one. The other one is important to  
22 me. Keep Fort Huachuca with the city. It's important.  
23 We are split now. I would ask, if worse comes to worse,  
24 if we are split, we'd much rather be split north-south  
25 than east to west. Don't have the Fort put separate

1 from the city. If you don't understand what I'm saying,  
2 if you do a horizontal split through the city, half the  
3 Fort rather than half it the way around. Leave that pie  
4 with the Fort, if at all possible.

5 I believe you have my statements from  
6 before.

7 If there are no questions?

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think there will be.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Mayor, thank you.  
10 It's a conundrum for the morning.

11 Could you please give me reasons it's  
12 advantageous to split the Fort? If we had to do that,  
13 the benefits for legislative and state relations, the  
14 state being tied to the portion, northeast, southwest,  
15 my problem --

16 MAYOR HESSLER: Let me preface, it's my  
17 own feeling. I don't know if that's the consensus of  
18 everyone in the community.

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Funding, benefits, we  
20 need it economically?

21 MAYOR HESSLER: The merits are a difficult  
22 question for me. I just see -- I just don't want it  
23 split. The Fort is Sierra Vista. I can't be any more  
24 clear than that.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

1                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: You mentioned the  
2 second choice, keeping the San Pedro Valley whole. Can  
3 you describe for us, me, the boundaries of the San Pedro  
4 Valley?

5                   MAYOR HESSLER: Everything -- everything,  
6 probably, to the west of Tombstone.

7                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Is Tombstone in or  
8 out of the valley?

9                   MAYOR HESSLER: Bisbee is maybe in with  
10 the valley. Bisbee.

11                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: In?

12                  MAYOR HESSLER: In.

13                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Runs north-south.

14                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Southeast to northwest?

15                  MAYOR HESSLER: Yes.

16                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other questions?

17                  Mr. Mayor, thank you very much for coming  
18 up and back to put this on the record. We understand  
19 your comments are yours except the first one which is a  
20 comment we heard many, many times in Cochise Valley.

21                  Thank you very much.

22                  Next slip, William Arnold, City of  
23 Goodyear.

24                  I don't believe he's here. I'll call him  
25 again. I know he was yesterday.

1                   There will be another public comment,  
2 public comment period, if we end today.

3                   Next is Sandy Reagam, also not here. I'll  
4 call it again.

5                   Mayor Joseph Donaldson, City of Flagstaff.

6                   MAYOR DONALDSON: Thank you for your work  
7 as citizens you've chosen to undertake. Thank you for  
8 the long hours you've dedicated. Please convey to your  
9 families our gratitude.

10                  My remarks are made, and I'm speaking on  
11 behalf of the Flagstaff Council. My gratitude is spoken  
12 for these long months and my points pertain to policy  
13 positions:

14                  One, the Council emphasizes it's  
15 imperative the Flagstaff Metropolitan Planning Area be  
16 in one Legislative District, one Congressional District;  
17 two, Legislative boundaries be established recognizing  
18 the district's economic and natural resources; three,  
19 that the Flagstaff Metropolitan Planning Area mostly  
20 identifies with Flagstaff and Verde Valley.

21                  Thank you for the action to keep Flagstaff  
22 whole on the Legislative map considered Monday and  
23 yesterday.

24                  Flagstaff being split, which is no more  
25 satisfactory today than Monday, continues to be an

1 unacceptable condition.

2                   Flagstaff pursues strong relationships  
3 with its Flagstaff counterparts of its independent  
4 partners, but it is not, as you heard, Flag and the  
5 Navajo Nation are not partners. We considered the  
6 differences with sovereign nations, and we believe you  
7 understood the differences of structure, transportation,  
8 traffic, property rights, judicial systems, public  
9 safety, among others. I believe you understand and  
10 support of the community interests, discussions, and  
11 listened to the communities of interest which engaged in  
12 this week's economic, social, geographical, and economic  
13 issues.

14                   We watched you take into consideration,  
15 successfully addressing the communities of interests  
16 defining Prescott, the Tri-City areas, Sierra Vista,  
17 Kingman, Arcadia, the areas of EACO, and others.

18                   We'd ask you again to make the same  
19 efforts as to the needs of the northeastern area, the  
20 needs of Flagstaff, and to appreciate the needs made at  
21 the request and direction of the consultants with full  
22 flexibility for the solution that addresses the needs.

23                   We understand the consultants are  
24 directing and reworking maps previously regarded as not  
25 satisfactory one way, reworking maps all on the table,

1 all on each, and each community's needs that are  
2 important. Some cannot be held fixed. We're trying to  
3 relate a map that shows the best interests of the state  
4 as a whole. All the options and alternatives must be  
5 considered. You do not consider the needs of Flagstaff,  
6 EACO, Yavapai County, Kearny, the Tri-City area, neither  
7 do I, consider them less significant. Neither are the  
8 limits of finding needs, the needs of Flagstaff, less  
9 significant.

10                   Again, I appreciate the need of looking  
11 for a solution. Now, I know several maps do not work.  
12 The challenge is finding maps that do work. I'm  
13 confident with all is considered, no maps being held  
14 fixed, we'd offer alternative test map F2.

15                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

16                   Mr. Huntwork has a question.

17                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I have a question  
18 for you. We've been receiving, are almost inundated  
19 with e-mails, citizen kits from Flagstaff, to supportive  
20 the idea of Flagstaff, District A, being along with the  
21 Navajo Nation, a couple dozen, maybe more, in just the  
22 last few days. I'm wondering if you could comment on  
23 that. Do you know anything about those, the source? Is  
24 it spontaneous citizen comment or what is going on?

25                   MAYOR DONALDSON: Citizen advant. Anyone

1 can create a phone tree, bombard any government agency.  
2 There's one going through now with Regional Planning.  
3 Everything goes through a decisive meeting, people send  
4 through a flood of e-mails, and they either know nothing  
5 about the issue or something that has been this sort of  
6 transfer of this idea.

7 All I can say to you is the Flagstaff City  
8 Council, as you heard with Northern Arizona, the county  
9 and city governing entities, represent communities, a  
10 county with a wider perspective than the city does, and  
11 it has got to give a perspective of two governments  
12 elected to govern people recognizing some small fact of  
13 innovative putout. If there's any message system they  
14 want to put out en masse as a position, taken as they've  
15 discussed it many times in sessions of city meetings,  
16 many they've televised the challenge for, keeping the  
17 challenge for whole, there are two guys in the council  
18 chamber as a whole, wouldn't it be better to wait, it  
19 looks great on paper, but it's effectively nonexistent.  
20 It goes to where the numbers are. E-mails are taken  
21 with a grain of salt. I've done that information, which  
22 I did. Somebody is elected to make a decision. Those  
23 are people identified to listen to.

24 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: All right.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments or questions?

1                   Mr. Mayor, thank you. Thanks for being  
2 with us all week. We appreciate that.

3                   Next, Jose Solarez.

4                   Mr. Solarez represents the Gila River  
5 Indian Community.

6                   Mr. Solarez.

7                   MR. SOLAREZ: Jose Solarez, Gila River  
8 Indian Community, S O L A R E Z.

9                   Let us take this opportunity to present  
10 the position of the Gila River Indian Community for  
11 keeping the urban tribes together. The urban Indian  
12 Community wishes to continue the four Indian  
13 communities, specifically the Salt River, out of the  
14 four -- the Salt River Gila River Indian Community,  
15 Akimel O'otham, the Pima and Pee Posh, known as the  
16 Maricopa -- with plans shown to -- with the Apaches,  
17 side by side. You haven't divided them, haven't created  
18 divisions. Supported divisions, a strong division,  
19 strong divisions, one-third within Maricopa County.

20                   The community interest for Indian  
21 communities that needs to be addressed are the unique  
22 division with a strong voting base.

23                   The four Hispanic communities in the  
24 basis, the Indian Community elected a strong basis  
25 designed to bring together four Indian Tribes, the Salt

1 River Gila Indian Community, Fort McDowell, and Ak-Chin,  
2 the unique Indian River community today, and continue  
3 with a proposed district of a minority coalition, unique  
4 for communities governing reservations, to address needs  
5 through self-determination;

6                   Second, the Salt River Gila, Akimel  
7 O'otham, Pima and Pee Posh, only exist within two  
8 reservations, they are, in fact, two dialects of  
9 cultures only found within the two Indian tribes  
10 described based back to the Hohokom area found within  
11 the Hohokom.

12                   To go back to the comment of Mr. Elder,  
13 the Hohokom in Tucson, they were the first homesettlers.

14                   Urban growth, air quality, urban growth,  
15 it was a private first community. The rural setting,  
16 rural gave way to many urban settlers, economic  
17 activities, which grew to be the fifth largest in the  
18 nation. Some from that community, Tucson or the  
19 Southern Arizona area, as far east as the Douglas  
20 Cochise area, the four areas gained area toward the  
21 Phoenix area, not through Tucson or Southern Arizona  
22 efforts. Others with Phoenix business in tourism,  
23 mining, sand and gravel, are depending on Phoenix, not  
24 Tucson or southern Arizona.

25                   Education issues are common. Low

1 education has improved. We're developing education  
2 groups that include bilingual education, increasing  
3 education, forestry schools. Maricopa and Pinal County  
4 have got those.

5                   To go back, Vice Chairman Minkoff stressed  
6 school districts being together with the Tucson or Mesa  
7 area. Stressed that. Go place tax on the Kyrene School  
8 District, Tempe, Chandler area, many are not aware of,  
9 they attend areas in Tempe, the Kyrene area, Casa Grande  
10 High School. Casa Grande High School, they're putting a  
11 school in an outside area that shows an interest  
12 educationally.

13                   Municipal government in the Phoenix Metro  
14 area, the Salt River, cities of Mesa government, the  
15 landfill, City of Chandler, Municipal Mesa, two more  
16 Mesa governments, the Salt River Gila, air quality with  
17 Salt River is expanding, Maricopa Salt River. Combining  
18 with both Pinal Council Governments, CAG, joining MAG,  
19 putting together, joining Council government, doing  
20 this, it's totally not government interest. There's  
21 urban growth.

22                   The Phoenix Metro government joins four  
23 areas that are not same the growth issues as Tucson or  
24 Southern Arizona areas, held four districts in the  
25 Phoenix area, offices of the Phoenix Health Center, in

1 existing areas, four communities not in the Tucson Metro  
2 area that the Southern Arizona Tucson area tribes  
3 proposed for opportunities to support candidates of  
4 choice to represent respective concerns at the state  
5 level, a Native American candidate in the State  
6 Legislature, specifically, for communities of American  
7 Indians and the Hispanic Legislature, a proposed Indian  
8 district, proposed historic district, those existing for  
9 the Indian Community and Hispanic community within Pinal  
10 community. You have to understand which map this is  
11 proposed for. The last map, pull out Ak-Chin, Gila  
12 River. We're opposed to that. You originally had a  
13 base map. Now map G shows the Ak-Chin, Gila River to  
14 Fort McDowell. We support that. The Arizona  
15 Redistricting in streets, in reality it's retrogression,  
16 for the river community, Indians to elect candidates of  
17 their choice. They need support. They're the first one  
18 at risk with the federal government. When this is  
19 submitted to the DOJ, federal court systems, we want to  
20 make sure the population, majority-minority, for the  
21 government is not diluted, that special registration,  
22 any party, Democrat, Republican, minority that borders  
23 the proposed district be addressed and minority  
24 exclusion.

25 Other than that, those are my comments.

1                   Any other comments or questions, I'd be  
2 glad to answer those.

3                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Solarez,  
4 very much.

5                   Laura Dean Lytle.

6                   MS. DEAN-LYTLE: I live at 1333 West Dean,  
7 Apache Junction, and I'm here representing myself. I'm  
8 the Pinal County Recorder.

9                   I want to compliment you on your staff. I  
10 had issues to compliment the staff on. I got lost  
11 yesterday. I don't get down here often. They assisted  
12 me.

13                   It's wonderful to see all this going on,  
14 and I appreciate the opportunity to come speak to you.

15                   I wanted to clarify the record. I spoke  
16 to you in Florence, and I did a poor job, apparently,  
17 stating how I felt. I didn't come across well with what  
18 I wanted to say. I'll try again.

19                   I'm a private citizen, and as a county  
20 recorder, my life inter-twines. What I say goes on both  
21 sides of the fence. As the County Recorder for the  
22 Department of Justice, they have assigned tasks to me.  
23 I'm responsible for overseeing the needs of minority  
24 voter registration, so I'm very conscious of the very  
25 fine balance of ethnically diversified population I

1 think Pinal County has, et al. The numbers are good.  
2 We need that population.

3 I'm very conscious of the very  
4 well-balanced ethnically balanced population we have in  
5 smaller, balanced towns, which are what make up Pinal  
6 County.

7 We are our own community of interest.  
8 This has been my thought all the way through it.

9 I know it's challenging for us.

10 I think the county is a community of  
11 interest. There are so many ways the county affects our  
12 lives. That is our community of interest.

13 I think Pinal County needs to remain  
14 intact as much as it possibly can to have a voice in  
15 what affects the lives of Pinal County.

16 I respect putting Pinal County together as  
17 a whole.

18 My understanding is Saddlebrooke suggested  
19 their community of interest is with Pima County. If we  
20 lose that, there are numbers, what you have to address.  
21 Otherwise, I truly believe you need to leave Pinal  
22 County as a whole. It's so spread out like a shoulder,  
23 leave it on. Smaller communities very many times give  
24 guidance to the whole.

25 Thank you. That's what I wanted to share

1 with you.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

3 Next is Jim Hartdegen representing Casa  
4 Grande and the Casa Grande Chamber.

5 Mr. Hartdegen.

6 MR. HARTDEGEN: Jim Hartdegen representing  
7 the City, Greater City of Casa Grand and Farm Bureau.  
8 I'll be talking off of, I guess, call it test map G4,  
9 Division W and Z, Pinal County, the separation westward  
10 of Pinal County which represented 450,000 irrigated  
11 acres of farm land. It represented 12 dairies, some  
12 under construction as we speak, and five major feed  
13 lots. Even though in the end we're picking interests,  
14 it's the major community of interest in Pinal County.  
15 Somebody in Western Pinal County is a bedroom community.  
16 We're not there yet, because we don't have to have cars  
17 inspected.

18 I don't know, it's a wide range.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It's not that bad,  
20 Jim. Tell them.

21 MR. HARTDEGEN: Schools, kids in the high  
22 school, Casa Grande, young people in Sacaton go to Casa  
23 Grand. We have probably one of the largest bus fleets  
24 in the state. That shows something about rural areas.

25 A little more food for thought on the

1 agricultural part of that. There's tremendous interest  
2 in the irrigated farm acres, not acres under  
3 cultivation, the acres of the Gila Indian Community.  
4 They'll have the largest farms. That doesn't represent  
5 farm land on the Ak-Chin. Those farmers on the Ak-Chin  
6 are probably some of the more progressive farmers in the  
7 central farmers for years. That's two communities in  
8 Western Pinal.

9                   10 years ago we were talking about the  
10 ground water code in Arizona. Most people in the  
11 greater theater thought agriculture would be dead in  
12 Pinal County by now. The price of cotton would be a lot  
13 nicer if they were still there. We're going to be there  
14 for a number of years, at least 10 years, and probably  
15 beyond that.

16                   One last. Please, if you keep that, from  
17 the boundary line over, it would be nice to take in  
18 Superior, Superior, Kearny, if numbers are there and  
19 it's possible to do.

20                   I want to call your attention one more  
21 time to a major community of interest: Now, rather than  
22 later, for the smiles on faces, my number is 2:00  
23 o'clock.

24                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Your number in the pool.

25                   MR. HARTDEGEN: My number is 2:01 p.m.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll do what we can.

2                   Not a moment too soon, Liz Archuleta,  
3 Speaker, Coconino Board of Supervisors.

4                   SUPERVISOR ARCHULETA: I'll speak with  
5 greetings from the Board of Supervisors.

6                   My colleagues on moved on behalf,  
7 commenting on the redistricting plans, adopted yesterday  
8 thanks for the alternate to let the move of the Hopi to  
9 Mohave, the Havasupai Tribe being placed in the  
10 district, and it was an understanding they felt clear  
11 with them they were wanting to be placed and more  
12 closely aligned with Coconino, aligned with Williams and  
13 Flagstaff.

14                  On the Legislative maps, let me preface  
15 the maps, community of interest used extensively  
16 throughout the process, without the term ever being  
17 defined, the community of interest, geographic areas,  
18 citizens' interests relate to each other in the proposed  
19 plan in place in Flagstaff in A. And I urge the  
20 Commission to think what the community of interest is or  
21 is not, and when considering this proposal, that  
22 Coconino County respects issues in District A, that  
23 Districts in C share more similarities in A than C. It  
24 does not mean A or C is the same with citizens, it means  
25 they're just different. It means those in A or C are

1 just different. We support the city, that which places  
2 Flagstaff in District C, not District A.

3 There's also discussion of the possibly of  
4 splitting Flagstaff, a proposal of 5,000, or 25,000, the  
5 concept of a community, of splitting Flagstaff.

6 Again, we return to the concept and what  
7 it means, taking a community of 55,000 and splitting it,  
8 regardless of the size of the split.

9 Finally, I understand you placed district  
10 A, Kachina in A, included Mountaineer directly across  
11 where they would not be. When you look at Kachina and  
12 Mountaineer as one community, the interstate splits  
13 where they live. Rural is where they live. Kachina in  
14 A, it splits them.

15 People consider that one large community,  
16 one community. It makes little sense to separate the  
17 districts.

18 That concludes my remarks.

19 Thank you for the opportunity to share my  
20 thoughts. I know we said over and over again your jobs  
21 are not easy today in trying to make final decisions.  
22 It's true work doing and going through these tough  
23 decisions. It's a tough job.

24 Thank you for your efforts.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

1 Mr. Elder has a comment for you.

2 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Supervisor Archuleta,  
3 it appears as though there are three options: Keep the  
4 community of Flagstaff whole, Flagstaff whole as a city,  
5 one said you prefer not to be in District A, north,  
6 prefer to be in District C. We have an option of in,  
7 out, or split. Can you prioritize C, the community's  
8 interest, and how they'd best be served?

9 SUPERVISOR ARCHULETA: Remain in C, split.

10 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Stay in C. And  
11 number two: Whole in C, whole in A, and split as an  
12 option?

13 SUPERVISOR ARCHULETA: I'm going to say  
14 we'd like to see retaining Flagstaff in District C. And  
15 the Mayor may want to comment regarding a split.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: C, a comment on whole  
17 or split before you go with A.

18 SUPERVISOR ARCHULETA: Split before A.  
19 And I'd just refer to the Deputy County Manager as well  
20 for communicating.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll give the Mayor an  
22 opportunity.

23 SUPERVISOR ARCHULETA: My preference,  
24 intact in C; but split over A, though clearly not like  
25 to see it.

1 C.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Mayor.

3 MAYOR DONALDSON: Whole in C. I wouldn't  
4 even consider the other two. Compromise might be  
5 necessary. Talked about compromise, look at the split,  
6 effect of that split, which we shared with the  
7 Commissioners before for Flagstaff in a rural district.  
8 The communities of the district was the city with the  
9 dominant political population alternative. That's only  
10 a push come to shove last effort. Preference is total  
11 whole in C.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall has a question.

13 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Donaldson, I  
14 assume you were here yesterday reviewing the variety of  
15 options. One of the options you may recall was to split  
16 Flag, increase the percentages to the north, 25 north,  
17 remainder to the south. What that did, the southern  
18 portion was put into District C, and it then created a  
19 situation in order for a favorable split in Yavapai  
20 County which made both Yavapai districts influenced  
21 heavy. I ask you this question: The fourth was  
22 favorable. The issue maintaining is the rural nature of  
23 the district versus having bulk, the majority of  
24 Flagstaff in District C, have the district in northern  
25 Maricopa County.

1                   That, I wonder if you can comment on those  
2 stark realities.

3                   MAYOR DONALDSON: As a resident of  
4 Flagstaff, as the Mayor of Flagstaff, I'd represent, as  
5 interests become diluted and split the effectiveness as  
6 the dilution goes through, so let's say the Mayor of  
7 Flagstaff, dominant by a proven politician, it's where  
8 you spend your time, spend time, and the city is split  
9 there. You spend time where the numbers were, where  
10 they make an impact.

11                  COMMISSIONER HALL: What I heard  
12 Ms. Archuleta say, split with the bulk in C. The second  
13 option appears to me, help me understand, a town with a  
14 53, 55 option, keeping it whole no matter where you were  
15 in the town, with 171, you're the influence.

16                  MAYOR DONALDSON: To have you confirm,  
17 53,000 whole, yes. Add another dominant, yes. Dominant  
18 attitude, yes. Dominant area, yes. Winslow, Holbrook,  
19 St. Johns, Williams, Ashbrook, those guys, you don't  
20 have effectiveness, take our power and represent other  
21 communities. Ms. Archuleta is coming with us. The  
22 comment is the force, if we split and don't have a  
23 dominant force, there's no reason to be aligned, no  
24 reason to help them get it done, share our power.

25                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Archuleta, anything

1 you wish to add or Mr. Peru? We're happy to give you an  
2 opportunity.

3 We're happy to give you a speaker slip,  
4 Mr. Peru, Deputy Manager.

5 MR. PERU: I've done checking with the  
6 Havasupai Tribe, and I'd refer you to the letter of  
7 August 16th regarding the wishes of the Havasupai Tribe.  
8 I'm not here to testify on their behalf, just to make  
9 sure the Commissioners are aware of it.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If you'd share the  
11 contents, since we don't have the files up here.

12 MR. PERU: I'll go down to the paragraph  
13 in question.

14 "We are a small tribe, sovereign  
15 government, closely affiliated with officials in  
16 Coconino County, no officials in the White Mountain  
17 region."

18 That's just the paragraph I think you all  
19 would be interested in.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Peru.

21 I'll read names from yesterday. My sense  
22 is I haven't seen Mayor Arnold, Goodyear, nor have I  
23 seen Sandy Reagam, Executive Director, a nonprofit group  
24 from that part of the valley.

25 The last speaker of the group, Mike

1 Flannery, a nonprofit group, nonprofit group from  
2 Prescott Valley.

3 MR. FLANNERY: Thank you. I was sitting  
4 back there, was not going to address anyone, feeling you  
5 were doing well. I was not going to address anyone.

6 The ripple effect, the considerations of  
7 the effect from Flagstaff, I should restate our  
8 positions. We have great empathy for Flagstaff, the  
9 Tri-City area, and I'd restate our position.

10 When you came and visited us September  
11 15th, something like that, we came out full force and  
12 visited with you in regard to visiting with you. Even  
13 though I have empathy for Flagstaff, the consequence of  
14 what takes place there, I don't want to see them remain  
15 whole at our cause. I want to mention our cost.

16 I appreciate what happened at these  
17 meetings, what I've seen happen: F2, F4, G2, 4G, H,  
18 seeing each of the maps take shape.

19 I believe it's a priority of yours, to  
20 keep rural representation, if possible. What I see in  
21 the rural population, I like what is happening in G3 and  
22 F4. Not having the advantage of knowing what we're  
23 looking at today, I wanted to bring in some features.

24 G3, G4, I wanted to express some concern I  
25 believe of the Vice Chairman to the attention of

1 Dewey-Humboldt being extracted out of the features, if  
2 at all possible. We're pushing the possibles. If at  
3 all possible, they'd like inclusion with the Tri-City  
4 area, if that could be included.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: It is pushing the  
6 envelope as far as population.

7 You'd prefer to have the area entirely.  
8 To have the area combined in districts, both districts,  
9 both districts influenced as an urban area?

10 MR. FLANNERY: If possible, we'd like  
11 rural.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Flannery.

13 Are there other members of the public that  
14 wish to be heard at this time?

15 Mr. Gorman, Leonard Gorman, would wish to  
16 be heard at this time.

17 Mr. Leonard Gorman.

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: I didn't recognize you  
19 without Frank sitting beside you.

20 MR. GORMAN: Good morning.

21 Perhaps a comment to Mr. Huntwork's  
22 question about the influx of e-mail from the area.  
23 Perhaps the Hopis and Navajos have a number in the area.  
24 Perhaps they have e-mail from the University area.

25 Also a comment from Mr. Hall's statement

1 to missing Mr. Seanez. Most of the delegation went back  
2 to Navajo land for the Fall Session. Most people went  
3 back. It signifies the order of importance of people.  
4 Myself and another person were left behind. I think  
5 I'll be heard here.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You'll be heard.

7 MR. GORMAN: A comment on the  
8 Congressional plans. At length we've gone on addressing  
9 the plans. The feeling is you've put a lot of effort  
10 and time and travel in, they've been put into the task.  
11 When completed there will be a tremendous amount of  
12 relief, especially with what stands as a tremendous  
13 amount of work. I'm sure you'll feel very relieved at  
14 adopting a Congressional plan, that being out of the  
15 way.

16 I think in the Congressional plan, of all  
17 the 21 Indian Nations in the State of Arizona, the  
18 Navajo Nation is most in feeling impact. While the  
19 Commission stated time and again a plan was not to split  
20 reservations, they reported 10 people, and those are  
21 Navajos separated from the mainland, from the district.  
22 I add to that, there are an additional two people not  
23 revealed to you yesterday, so all together 12 in that  
24 corridor on the Navajo Nation portion.

25 There is one Coconino tribe area, the

1 Coconino County, likewise at the Heard Museum hearing a  
2 few weeks back. Fort Mojave said part they were part of  
3 it, and there were two tribes specific requests where  
4 they'd like Congressional requests that were not  
5 complied with.

6           The second letter is a request received by  
7 e-mail, a letter dated 2001 from Chairman Dallas Massey,  
8 Sr., Chairman of the White Mountain Tribe. I'd refer to  
9 about the fifth paragraph in the letter. Concluding it  
10 reads, the e-mail reads, "Finally, please note the White  
11 Mountain Tribe does not support the individual plan or  
12 individual proposal of any entity so testifying unless  
13 conformed through a formal statement from my office or  
14 resolution of the Tribal Office."

15           There was a specific statement, as far as  
16 the Navajo is concerned. The Navajo Nation shared that  
17 from the beginning, at the onset, at the June meeting  
18 where the Navajo reported to the Commission the White  
19 Mountain submitted not only statements but documents the  
20 White Mountain stated support, they need to be with the  
21 Navajo Nation in these districts.

22           Again, at the Heard Museum hearing in  
23 August and/or September, around there, August, when we  
24 were over there, Chairman Massey made the statement,  
25 taken by Atwood Reporting Service, Phoenix, Arizona, in

1 the minutes, on that day, Chairman Massey made a  
2 statement, "We also agree with the Navajo Nation  
3 proposal, keeping them together, the San Carlos office  
4 keeping them together." That's a specific statement for  
5 keeping them together, a specific statement for keeping  
6 them together.

7                   October 11th, 2001, a letter, formal  
8 letters, submitted to the Commission, both stating to  
9 the Commission in legislative proposals: In addition,  
10 the White Mountain San Carlos to the south submitted the  
11 San Carlos Resolution SP2001-285, San Carlos 185, which  
12 passed a resolution, provided copies of documents  
13 September 15th at the Show Low hearing, in there, that  
14 the San Carlos Apache Council stated it "Hereby supports  
15 the Navajo Nation's proposal for the Legislative  
16 District, attached as Exhibit B, and recommends that the  
17 Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission adopt the  
18 same as the Northeastern and the East Central Arizona  
19 Legislative District."

20                   Those are two tribes that have submitted  
21 formal statements from governing bodies the same as a  
22 governing body and also respective governing bodies.

23                   The other matter I also want to address,  
24 comments I understand from yesterday's meeting, I was  
25 unavailable, the Navajo Nation was involved in a meeting

1 with other Indian tribes throughout the state regarding  
2 a federal issue which impacts Native Americans which is  
3 the Navajo native voting performance, Navajo voting  
4 outcome, participation performance, as contrasted with  
5 those that vote off reservation. We went back and  
6 looked at some data provided to counties, Navajo County,  
7 Apache County, we don't have Coconino numbers, and we  
8 have numbers we want to share with the Commission.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Please. Thank you,  
10 Mr. Gorman.

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Apache, Navajo  
12 County?

13 MR. GORMAN: Apache, Navajo. I'll go over  
14 Apache County first.

15 MR. RIVERA: Wait so we have -- everybody  
16 has it, first, so everybody can follow along.

17 MR. GORMAN: Apache County general  
18 election 1998, basically the data comes up, those are  
19 data provided to us from Apache County received from  
20 them had to go with this information. The top rows are  
21 those precincts on the Navajo Nation side, Navajo, rows  
22 off the Navajo Nation. Precinct starts with Canyon de  
23 Chelly, starts at Alpine, then is off the nation.  
24 Apache County averages, bottom figure, 49.2. Average  
25 percentage turnout, off nation average turnout. Third

1 turnout, average turnout, 45.03 percent.

2 Obviously it demonstrates below those, off  
3 the Navajo Nation, general election, general election,  
4 2000, top rows, the precinct, precincts off the Navajo  
5 Nation, you start off with Chilchinbito, Sicbintuit  
6 (phonetic), and go to the last page of the document,  
7 third page, the average, again, 47.27 percent, off the  
8 Navajo Nation, 46.27 percent.

9 As you can see in particular, as to the  
10 Nation, the Navajo Nation, it would be detrimental to  
11 effectively lower the Navajo Nation, as stated in the  
12 inclusion statement on behalf of Navajo Nation, and as  
13 we stated as we participated in the hearing. The Navajo  
14 Nation has been available during times for input,  
15 available, when impacted, particularly participated in  
16 as many sessions as possible. The Navajo submitted a  
17 proposal described as the June 2000 showing flexibility  
18 and compromise between the first proposal and second  
19 proposal, between test D, test D and test F, and has  
20 come forward to the Commission with a recent test 2,  
21 similar test F. We would support test F2.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: May I ask some questions  
23 on the document, for voter clarification? I want to  
24 clarify. I want to be clear we're communicating.

25 My understanding is the Navajo turnout,

1 the Navajo turnout on Navajo elections, that turnout,  
2 non-Navajo turnout, my understanding is turnout, Navajo  
3 members, on reservation or not, they participate to a  
4 higher degree in nontribal elections. Am I wrong or --

5 MR. RIVERA: This is not the same document  
6 you provided two days previously.

7 MR. GORMAN: No.

8 MR. RIVERA: You ran two specific races,  
9 school superintendent races, general election, general  
10 elections and Apache County. Is there a reason they're  
11 '98 and not 2000, and November 2, thought not 2000? Two  
12 different elections, two different places?

13 MR. GORMAN: The questions as to different  
14 dates, for the Navajo County election, that's the  
15 most -- the package we received, the package that's most  
16 recent. The package, you refer to the package, 2000, we  
17 were unable to determine what the numbers, codes  
18 signified. We went back to the nearest, next time frame  
19 we were able to work with, November 13, '98, is why  
20 there are two dates.

21 MR. RIVERA: Neither of the two documents  
22 are the total Native American vote off-reservation.

23 MR. GORMAN: No.

24 MR. RIVERA: Or how they vote off  
25 reservation or on reservation.

1 MR. GORMAN: Right.

2 MR. RIVERA: How on reservation, off  
3 reservation.

4 MR. GORMAN: Yes.

5 MR. RIVERA: Judge It, that takes specific  
6 races, shows how people, the voter turnout is in a  
7 manner in which they voted. This doesn't covered, step  
8 one, in that direction, take step one to bridge the gap  
9 in those two places?

10 MR. GORMAN: We asked for that information  
11 from specific county offices, the break-out of party  
12 lines, party affiliation.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Two more quick things.

14 Chairman Massey from the White Mountain  
15 Apache Tribe, I direct your attention to the fourth  
16 paragraph in which the Chairman says, "Common issues,"  
17 talking about Eastern Arizona and "Quite common issues,  
18 mining, health, open space, farming, transportation,  
19 water issues, health."

20 What he seems to be saying in the letter,  
21 as I read it, is he sees a connection with counties to  
22 the east. The suggestion is his comments or feeling is  
23 of interest with those communities.

24 The Chairman is not here to tell us what  
25 he meant by what he said. Let me pose a hypothetical:

1 I recognize the danger in a hypothetical. I recognize  
2 that danger. Recognize the White Mountain San Carlos  
3 Apache Tribes didn't take the position they did not wish  
4 to be with the San Carlos Tribe with the Navajo. Should  
5 we not respect that point of view?

6 MR. GORMAN: My response is we're not  
7 speaking hypothetically to this Commission. We're  
8 talking to the Commission based on facts.

9 I've informed you at least twice I'm aware  
10 of Mr. Massey's specified information. He supported the  
11 Navajo Nation's proposal, not only by himself as  
12 Chairman, but by the Tribal Council. I can't  
13 hypothesize beyond that.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Fair enough.

15 Let's go beyond that to the fact the Hopi  
16 said they want to be separate. Should we not respect  
17 that?

18 MR. GORMAN: The Navajo Nation  
19 respectfully sent the Hopi Nation a letter and  
20 specifically asked them, let's get together, sit down,  
21 and discuss the issues and reminded our Chairman and me  
22 we want a meeting aimed at, I believe, our peace, which  
23 was sent in a letter. And we haven't received any  
24 responses. I don't know if for one reason or another.  
25 Probably elected officials in that regard, we made those

1 agreements.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: To date that meeting  
3 hasn't occurred?

4 MR. GORMAN: As far as I'm aware, I know  
5 the Navajo Chairman had the opportunity to meet with the  
6 Hopi Chairman. I haven't heard anything, as I'm  
7 concerned, that the President had an opportunity to meet  
8 with the Hopi Chairman beyond that.

9 MR. GORMAN: Also, thank you to the  
10 Members of the Commission and good look.

11 2:01 out of here!

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Your number, too. You'll  
13 have to share the pot with Mr. Hartdegen.

14 Thank you, Mr. Gorman.

15 Are there other members of the public that  
16 wish to be heard at this time?

17 If not, I've been informed, and that blows  
18 Mr. Hartdegen's chances out of the water, I've been  
19 informed we won't be ready until 11:30, approximately.  
20 Many may have had breakfast. I'd invite you to an early  
21 lunch.

22 There are other issues that need to come  
23 before the Commission at this time. We'll adjourn,  
24 recess until 11:30, and work straight through as best we  
25 can with the material available.

1                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: One issue is left.  
2     There's one issue left. On the CD adopted map, changing  
3     the Alpha to numeric, 1 to 8, district numbers.

4                   For district numbers, we need to discuss,  
5     get that out of the way now as opposed to entertaining  
6     that later.

7                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: We have been in the  
8     entirety of the process using letters for the districts.  
9     We're not doing districts from the current districts in  
10    the state. Notwithstanding some similarities, we're not  
11    tweaking 6 and 30, we're creating 8 and 30 new  
12    districts. That's why the numbering system is so  
13    difficult to follow. DD, E4/V2.

14                  At this point we would be talking about  
15    how that might be numbered.

16                  COMMISSIONER ELDER: My only preference is  
17    as we heard at meetings and from the public, Prescott be  
18    the original number one. They felt they should be  
19    number one, and I propose we take it out of order from  
20    the rest of the alphabet.

21                  MR. RIVERA: Congress or Legislative?

22                  COMMISSIONER ELDER: Congressional only.

23                  COMMISSIONER HALL: I didn't understand  
24    what you said.

25                  COMMISSIONER ELDER: Take that district.

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: C.

2 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Make that district  
3 number one. Let A, B, D, E, F run right down through F.  
4 Take C out of order. Leave them as number one per want  
5 of no better order.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Before a motion, let's see  
7 if we like one better than another.

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I don't object. I  
9 think there's some geographic order. C in the northwest  
10 corner, if we do it that way. It's randomly  
11 alphabetical. That one northwest to Maricopa County,  
12 not hopping all over the state.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

14 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think we should  
15 confuse the voters as little as possible.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm confident the voters  
17 are confused.

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Look at the  
19 existed map superimposed. To the extent they are  
20 helped, we'll minimize the confusion by keeping it the  
21 same as much as possible.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I suggest new districts,  
23 numbered like the old districts is a negative.

24 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Why a negative?

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Only in so far as if we

1 create brand-new districts using the old, we're offering  
2 an opinion we don't feel all that strongly on any of it.  
3 Let's figure something out.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: The first maps  
5 created, a new one, we're creating a significant  
6 historical change.

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think I've got  
8 it.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Number 1 got it.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: 1 for Apache County.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: 1 Apache.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: One brand-new, new  
13 redistricting, with geographic integrity: C1, B2, D3,  
14 E4, F5, G7 and H number 8, and everybody in a new  
15 district.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Sounds good.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Think about it over the  
18 break. There are various ways to do it. Yesterday I  
19 should have said, coming to conclusion on districts, if  
20 you haven't yet bought stock in a Winnebago, candidates  
21 will be looking at the map and relocating. Today there  
22 are a lot of new offices that will need new stationery.  
23 Anyone interested in a printing press may have a return  
24 on their investment, think about investing in a press.

25 If we have the opportunity, do not go too

1 far away, if the consultants are ready prior to 11:30,  
2 I'd like to resume as soon as they're ready. Hang out.  
3 As soon as they look at it, as soon as they're ready,  
4 we'll resume.

5 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at  
6 approximately 10:13 a.m.)

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'll call the Commission  
8 to order.

9 The record will show the Commissioners,  
10 consultants, and legal staff are present.

11 If you would like to call us to order on  
12 Legislative, take us through the results of that  
13 direction, and let us know where we are now.

14 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,  
15 Commissioners.

16 There are three major areas of testing.  
17 The first is looking at the Northern District, District  
18 A. The second, reconfiguration of Districts D, F, G, A,  
19 North Phoenix, Central Maricopa. Last, a detailed look  
20 at the option for Tucson, the Gila Bend area. Each one  
21 stands independently.

22 Let me look first through the northern  
23 district. I didn't get a chance to put it on your  
24 computers. There is a free-style handout, base map.

25 The comparison, you can see the changes in

1 the other handouts we've given you. The first handout,  
2 the Northern District, the instruction here was to look  
3 at ways we could better comply with the Navajos, comply  
4 with voting power of the district. The Commission's  
5 instruction was a variety of ways for this district.  
6 One of the ways was decreasing the total population of  
7 District A.

8 Other options all looked at negative  
9 impacts on a variety of criteria, excessively large  
10 populations, splits in Flagstaff, Winslow, Kingman. All  
11 of this we have an extensive record, testimony on.  
12 Others led to similar ideas to which we saw the other  
13 day. The impact was ripples throughout the state, the  
14 impact we've seen.

15 One test had some promise I'm bringing to  
16 you to present, to decide on: Underpopulating District  
17 A.

18 What we tried to do, essentially follow  
19 the reservation borders of the Navajo reservation, other  
20 reservations in the district. There were two  
21 exceptions, one small one was in north Flagstaff. West  
22 Grand Canyon Village was left in there and there's an  
23 increase in the voting strength of the Navajo people.  
24 The other is the City of Flagstaff did include the  
25 entire city in this map. Dropping out non-Flagstaff,

1 non-Reservation areas, there's a two percent population  
2 deviation. I'll get numbers in front here. And  
3 resulting voting strength in that Northern District,  
4 total population of Native Americans in that district  
5 now is 68.1 percent voting age. Native Americans is  
6 68.12 percent in the district with 2.08 percent negative  
7 deviation.

8 Deviation was picked up partially by  
9 District B which has 0.04 deviation, partially district  
10 E, partially district C, and picks up District C,  
11 dropped off non-Flagstaff.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's stick to the test.

13 Ms. Minkoff.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can you overlay the  
15 original lines? Too close to see anything.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Big.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Ones  
18 unincorporated?

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: So we know which one  
20 it is.

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The one you showed  
22 last night looked like it was working toward it. Last  
23 night counsel was presenting it, and it looks like it,  
24 like it feels acceptable.

25 Short.

1 MR. RIVERA: Yes:

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: We like short answers  
3 without "it depends."

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Have we split Page?

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: I thought there were a  
6 couple other interesting points. Total minority  
7 population, 75.83. Total minority, 69.84. This is  
8 relevant in light of the fact there's evidence that  
9 minorities sometimes have a tendency to vote together.  
10 The other factor is in light of the fact, or appears on  
11 the face of the Democratic representative that  
12 represented the area, this particular area, and that  
13 registration numbers are significantly higher for  
14 Democrats, 52.17 Democrats, 42.63 Republican.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: From the spread.

16 MR. JOHNSON: Blue line of the district.  
17 The small portion above the Winslow section, the blue  
18 line of the district there, and small lines along the  
19 Colorado River.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Highlight along  
21 Flagstaff, any change there?

22 MR. JOHNSON: Dropped a small part along  
23 the city border and some population along the connector.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments or questions on  
25 this map?

1 MS. LEONI: Does it correspond to the new  
2 line?

3 MR. JOHNSON: The new line follows the  
4 boundary of the Flagstaff connector, connector to other  
5 reservations, and the small line notch of the other  
6 reservation.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Doing so is a deviation of  
8 the reservation boundary, inhabited boundary. Moved  
9 population or no?

10 MR. JOHNSON: A small notch, taking out,  
11 reduced the voting strength of Native American  
12 population. The others essentially minimized  
13 population, are minimizing goals of compactness and  
14 other goals.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other goals. Some Native  
16 Americans are separated from the remainder of the  
17 reservation. That's the goal.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Taken out of the district by  
19 changes?

20 MR. JOHNSON: The rest are some definitely  
21 who live in essentially Census blocks with non Native  
22 Americans. Add the Census block in to reduce.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Deviation,  
24 additional land, not leaving out portions of the  
25 reservation. Is that right?

1 MR. JOHNSON: Right.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me make sure I  
3 understand. I apologize if I'm not getting it. One of  
4 the AURs not violated, the complete AUR of the  
5 reservations. Have we violated that or not?

6 MR. JOHNSON: No, we have not.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments, questions on  
8 this map? Mr. Elder?

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Johnson, I'm  
10 still fighting in my mind the Flagstaff area.

11 Where you took the notch and shifted  
12 population, the Metropolitan Planning Area, how many  
13 people of the Metropolitan Planning Area were included  
14 in this? How many are affected by the Metropolitan  
15 Planning AUR?

16 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, a significant  
17 number of people are in the Metropolitan Planning Area.  
18 We have a map of the area. It does encompass Kachina,  
19 Mountaineer. Including eliminating the population  
20 deviation, it could take us the other way.

21 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'd like to know to  
22 some extent how far the other way. I guess my sense is,  
23 I haven't really formulated a final feeling for it yet,  
24 if we take and put Flagstaff, in effect, where it  
25 doesn't want to be, take all of it, not just part of it,

1 I know this is 106, and we had jurisdictions, all along  
2 I've focused on what are ancillary effects of not taking  
3 urbanized ancillary populations, outside boundaries.  
4 That's a social functional urbanary thing, metropolitan  
5 planning thing.

6 I'm pointing out if it dilutes but still  
7 provides attorneys or consultants directions on how the  
8 law may be applied for ability for the Native American  
9 to elect a person of choice, I'm not concerned. There's  
10 a chance for ability to evaluate that a little bit more  
11 deeply.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me get a number on  
13 that.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to know  
15 something about the character of the notch. He might  
16 have to listen, have it taken out of Flagstaff in the  
17 north.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: He'll get to that,  
19 Mr. Hall.

20 COMMISSIONER HALL: To Mr. Elder's point,  
21 I think the primary situation is factors beyond our  
22 control, the tail wagging the dog, the wagging dog  
23 factor. The point is to insure we created a district  
24 that provided more than adequate opportunity for Native  
25 Americans to elect a candidate of choice. That's our

1 challenge. To include surrounding areas will provide a  
2 decrease in percentages that may well be perceived the  
3 other way. I'm not sure the benefits of those  
4 conclusions will outweigh the negatives.

5 MR. JOHNSON: By concluding and using the  
6 quickest method to add 14,700 people to the district, it  
7 puts us six percent over the ideal population for the  
8 district, 14,700 people. You see at the bottom, nine  
9 percent Native American.

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Where, Kachina,  
11 Mountaineer?

12 MR. JOHNSON: The area, Kachina, help us  
13 out with geography, Sunset Crater National Monument,  
14 Camp Monument Square down to the bottom, Mountaineer.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Big.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments or questions from  
17 this portion of the map?

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I wanted to see in  
20 detail what happened to Yavapai County as a result of  
21 the 3,000-person adjustment down there.

22 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we  
23 underpopulated District A, overpopulated District C.  
24 The lines in Yavapai district were over the ideal for  
25 population by two percent. The lines, you see from the

1 blue line there, are the exact same district border.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to ask  
4 Mayor Donaldson, Supervisor Archuleta, other than you  
5 don't like it, the tiny little pockets we're missing  
6 here, I won't disturb the consultants trying to do  
7 something, they belong in, Kachina, Mountaineer, that's  
8 a few thousand people. If there's something small we're  
9 missing, I'd like to hear about it.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd ask you to do it at  
11 the microphone. If you have comments, we'd like you to  
12 share it with us.

13 MAYOR DONALDSON: Other than not liking  
14 it, a lot of the area of Kachina, Mountaineer, is  
15 Forest Highlands. Forest Highlands, Winona, Camp  
16 Townsends, Greaterville, they're closely connected with  
17 the City of Flagstaff. Saying one is more important  
18 than the other or to select one over another, I wouldn't  
19 want to do that. They're all important that surround  
20 Flagstaff. That's it.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: More like Sophie's Choice.  
22 Not good.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Archuleta, want to add  
24 to that?

25 SUPERVISOR ARCHULETA: No. I don't think

1 so at this time.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments? Questions?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Show me up around  
4 Page in the northern part.

5 MR. JOHNSON: Part of the reason it shows  
6 Page divided, Page B, and Page B is a Census place,  
7 Nachi (phonetic). Nachi.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Your Navajo is not really  
9 good. Getting better.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Gorman will coach  
11 you as you go.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments or questions on  
13 this part of the map?

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: The only portion of  
15 the map up for modification, this modification.

16 Did we ask for other modification, any  
17 iteration of the map?

18 MR. JOHNSON: We looked at a number of  
19 different ways of doing this. I apologize for being  
20 late to staff. You can't work any more than all night.

21 Essentially they all had detrimental  
22 effects. We didn't complete drawing most of them. Some  
23 are very similar maps to what you saw the other day.

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Did I hear A was  
25 overpopulated or?

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: A is under. C is under.

2                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: By the word "under,"  
3 the large line, they didn't have as much population as I  
4 remember it. That area in there where the cursor was.

5                   MR. JOHNSON: There is population along  
6 the freeway.

7                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Is population, 12s,  
8 4s, 6, not much. Go down to the bottom of C, the  
9 Tri-Cities' area, if we still look like we're playing  
10 with the Mayor -- Dewey-Humboldt.

11                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: In E.

12                  COMMISSIONER ELDER: What I'm looking for,  
13 population A, slightly overpopulated, eastern area,  
14 combine with Flagstaff, get Dewey-Humboldt,  
15 Dewey-Humboldt in the Tri-City area. Doug is shaking  
16 his head.

17                  MR. JOHNSON: All the area we took out,  
18 3,500 people. Dewey-Humboldt alone is 6,500.

19                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Thus the sorrowful  
20 looks on everyone's faces. None of us like this. It's  
21 pretty ugly.

22                  If I may, just maybe for the benefit of  
23 those in the public, we have no idea what other tests  
24 you can run.

25                  The scenario, we're striving to again

1 insure a significant percentage of Native Americans. No  
2 neighboring city has a hundred percent Native American.  
3 So if you add the other cities into District A, because  
4 there's still insufficient population, it requires a  
5 significant split of Flag. You lose cities such as  
6 Page. And then you start looking at numbers more that  
7 closely reduce the population A percentages.

8 Help me, Doug, if I misstate. They reduce  
9 population further. There's additional reduction, a six  
10 to 10,000 person split of Page, correct? I'm sorry,  
11 Flagstaff.

12 I understand what this map has done is  
13 attempt to remove additional population surrounding this  
14 particular district, increase the percentage of Native  
15 Americans without splitting Flagstaff.

16 Is that a fairly good summary?

17 MR. JOHNSON: We also looked at the side  
18 effects of -- potentially beneficial side effects, as  
19 Commissioner described the option, of Yavapai, divide to  
20 the west, go to Maricopa, 40 percent Maricopa included  
21 in the Grand Canyon.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: For those that  
23 reviewed the five scenarios, Winslow, Holbrook, increase  
24 A, District A, then consequence of A, 25,000 to north,  
25 remainder to south, is that about what it was?

1 I know you have a lot more numbers in your  
2 head that I do. For the sake of the numbers, being  
3 approximate, I don't state any effort to be redundant.  
4 Those may well have lost track for a variety of  
5 iterations in a general summary.

6 Our job is as a Commission, frankly, some  
7 iterations, it's significant, they caused significant  
8 damage throughout the remainder of the state. One, as I  
9 recall, the split of Pinal County five, six times, did  
10 unconscionable acts to Yavapai.

11 For my fellow Commissioners, I guess that  
12 is what is the best of the worst, to try and insure that  
13 we have Department of Justice approval and still have  
14 maintained integrity of as many AURs throughout the rest  
15 of the state.

16 Again, I would welcome any ideas from  
17 anyone to help us solve this complex problem.

18 The reality, heaven forbid we look at  
19 legal realities, with any of the iterations, the Native  
20 American, as a practical reality, is whether it's 62,  
21 61, 64, or 54, frankly. That's my opinion. The other  
22 reality is we have to insure we have accommodated all of  
23 the parameters we've been given.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

25 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I

1 guess, yesterday, when I was looking at the conundrum we  
2 have here of not splitting communities of interest,  
3 where at least we have a strong record of people stating  
4 we want to stay together, they've honored very well  
5 tribal communities north to A, we also heard this  
6 morning we were given an option of having Flagstaff  
7 entirely at the lowest level, split, please, the north  
8 half south. I keep looking at splitting the city at the  
9 north half axis, east portion, west portion.

10 I'm not satisfied we shouldn't at least  
11 reduce portions of A. They have an extremely strong  
12 probability of electing a representative of choice,  
13 looking at numbers in the sixties and seventies, to do  
14 that. I'd rather go in and split Flagstaff, reducing  
15 the percentages in A2, Native American, and leaving part  
16 of Flagstaff into C. Doing that means into Flag, again,  
17 a negative to this option.

18 I'm just not convinced that it's a good  
19 direction to go to put Flagstaff, a good direction, more  
20 fire power, bullets, or something, in my holster, with  
21 that approach. I don't know what they are. I prefer  
22 more discussion, debate for 15, 20 minutes.

23 Other things are missing. Looking at dark  
24 of night, 3:30, looked at it. I didn't think it would  
25 work. Anything, any opportunity at all. Given

1 flexibility, deviation from a deal of risking the  
2 preclearance, whatever we want to call it, Native  
3 American, that is what we're looking at.

4                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Before you answer,  
5 Mr. Johnson, jump in here, offer your two cents. The  
6 scenario, option suggested, might be preferable to me,  
7 not preferable in shape or form. Mr. Johnson explain  
8 however many options we tried to make to form Mr. Hall's  
9 characterization of the option preferable, a goal is  
10 trying to achieve the goal that requires certain other  
11 things being done, not necessarily being the other goal  
12 in particular, but reminding us of an analogy: Trying  
13 to fill a bus, just enough people in the bus. Tie the  
14 bus down, bus seats are full, how many more people you  
15 need to fill a bus. The problem is very few groups of  
16 people, lots of road in between. You take people where  
17 you find them. One, currently, one is this  
18 configuration. We have two choices. One is this  
19 configuration.

20                   On a scale of preferences, it does some  
21 good things. By and large, for Flagstaff, it does keep  
22 most of Flagstaff whole. It does not keep it completely  
23 whole. Splitting Flagstaff, it's two bad things rather  
24 than one.

25                   There are a number of things, able to

1 split Flagstaff with, we did what he doesn't want done,  
2 split it. There are insignificant populations to the  
3 ability to put in two districts. The number beyond the  
4 acceptable deviation in either district, it's an even  
5 split, or some other way, to have divided the community.

6 The other choice, move Flagstaff in  
7 entirety south which creates a ripple effect you cannot  
8 overcome without coming into Maricopa County later in  
9 the process.

10 If I misstated any of that, I expect you  
11 to clean that up.

12 Truthfully, those two choices pretty much  
13 are the only ones we have, understanding the part, what  
14 we're trying to achieve here as stated by Mr. Hall, a  
15 specific range of attention to the Native American AUR  
16 in the northern part of the state and their ability to  
17 elect representatives in the northern part of the state.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, the numbers  
19 done and matches, what you describe, doing rough  
20 calculations, going with five percent deviation, meaning  
21 6,000 out of Flagstaff, 10 percent of the city, leaving  
22 80, 90 percent in there.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Nobody likes this  
25 plan. Nobody is sitting at this table. We have to look

1 at the alternatives. Moving to Flagstaff, splitting the  
2 Tri-City area gives us a different plan we don't like.  
3 The plan we had unified the Tri-City, Yavapai city area  
4 of Flagstaff, Verde Valley, plan F2. The problem with  
5 both districts is they are dominated by Maricopa County.  
6 Every time we try to solve this problem, it is a  
7 problem, creates so many other problems, the solution is  
8 worse than the way it was before.

9 I don't like the district. If it ends up  
10 in the final map, I'm going to be very uncomfortable. I  
11 don't see alternatives, can't imagine you guys making it  
12 better than we did it. I don't see it.

13 (Discussion off the record.)

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: In pursuing  
15 discussions after my request, I'm satisfied we probably  
16 looked at as many options, and the consultants looked at  
17 as many options. In response to answers, they indeed  
18 endeavored to look at everything from the genesis of my  
19 request, or questions, or more, to make sure we looked  
20 at every possibility, including things that shouldn't be  
21 questioned to be looked at. I think we need to move on.

22 I think my fellow Commissioner, Mr. Hall,  
23 wherever he may be, said it: None of us, we look at it  
24 to a great extent, and like it. Let's look at what this  
25 plan does to the balance of the state. If a death

1 instrument is not similar, fine-tune it, work at it,  
2 massage the edges, work the whole, then move on down the  
3 way. I'm satisfied with the discussion, A, C,  
4 Interstate.

5 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I am not satisfied  
6 there's been adequate discussion. There's two things I  
7 want to point out.

8 Number one, as I understand it, this  
9 splits Flagstaff. Pardon me. Let me explain that.  
10 Immediately surrounding Flagstaff, there's an additional  
11 14,000 people. It doesn't split the jurisdictional  
12 boundary. It's the whole planning area we should think  
13 of as the community, the whole community as a  
14 subdivision, which may not technically the split city,  
15 but the planning area, 90/10 split, two to one, for the  
16 planning area, if I'm following the numbers right. In  
17 any event, I think it's important to say that.

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: Are you saying the  
19 surrounding area of Flag, 65, 70 thousand?

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: That's what I  
21 understood.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I also would say,  
24 as we all recognize, the major political center in that  
25 part of the state, one, deserves as much consideration

1 for being kept whole as any other.

2 I also think it's important to say there  
3 is a test that we have done that does not split  
4 Flagstaff, unites Yavapai County better than this plan,  
5 provides the Navajo Nation the kind of demographics  
6 they've requested, 4H.

7 In this part of the state, the kind of  
8 requests by the public, 4H undoubtedly does a better  
9 job, but you'll have to expect two things: Number one,  
10 test 4H completely destroys EACO, another well-defined  
11 community of interest that we have recognized from the  
12 beginning.

13 Something at play here I think is the  
14 decisive factor balancing all communities, in my mind,  
15 at least, which is the question: What we should be  
16 doing with the demographics of this district, District  
17 A?

18 We have had various testimony in a  
19 different context, the difference between diluting  
20 versus packing. There obviously does come a point at  
21 which you place too many of a particular type in a  
22 district. Rather than increasing the difference of that  
23 minority, you have gone too far and begin to decrease or  
24 increase influence. Testimony we have received  
25 regarding EACO includes some ambiguity in my mind about

1 the overall position.

2                   The political position of the Apache  
3 Tribes is the desire to be included with the Navajo.  
4 But I think it is unambiguous that there is evidence and  
5 testimony the Apache Reservations do have a community of  
6 interest with EACO verses potential claims of interest  
7 with the Navajos. Far from being no evidence, the  
8 evidence is compelling. They developed numerous  
9 political, social, economic ties within this economic  
10 region. In my mind, at least, that's a very laudible  
11 thing. It's a wonderful thing. And it is appropriate,  
12 and it is something to be celebrated and encouraged.

13                   When I look back at District A, again,  
14 there is ample testimony, overwhelming testimony there  
15 are political, cultural, and other ties between the  
16 Navajo Nation and the City of Flagstaff. I think the  
17 evidence I've listened to and from my own understanding,  
18 if we find ourselves in a position where it's necessary  
19 to add a metropolitan area District A in order to  
20 balance the population, there is no other choice besides  
21 the City of Flagstaff. It's clearly, far and away, the  
22 best choice in terms of all criteria been looking at  
23 despite all the issues raised at a municipal or  
24 governmental level between the differences of an Arizona  
25 municipality and sovereign nation, as the Mayor has so

1 eloquently explained it.

2                   Those are the factors we have to balance  
3 in this decision. In my judgment, personal judgment, I  
4 prefer the test we are looking at rather than test 4H  
5 because it unites more communities in the region, as  
6 difficult as it is, and because it assures an adequate  
7 level of demographics in District A so Native Americans  
8 can express their will in that district without packing  
9 it so full that Native Americans lose their obvious  
10 influence in District E.

11                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: The only thing I could add  
12 to Mr. Huntwork's statement is: Well said. He seems to  
13 have summed up the entire consideration of comments, the  
14 addendum, and it's probably as good a summary of the  
15 choices as we might have.

16                   I wonder if we might move on to Maricopa  
17 County and look at changes there.

18                   Mr. Johnson, Phoenix, the reorientation in  
19 the Phoenix area, would you walk us through that, if you  
20 would, please?

21                   MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, the  
22 instruction was to bring up the other lines, have a  
23 sense of where they were, as we previously had, the  
24 result of the last testing we did. After testing the  
25 other day, District K narrowly went on top of J.

1 District F was on top of the Phoenix city lines, and D  
2 was coming down and picking up regions left in Peoria,  
3 Surprise, and also down the eastern side of Phoenix,  
4 Phoenix, the Cave Creek portion of Scottsdale.

5 Instructions are twofold, to incorporate  
6 the previous test, Carefree, Cave Creek, which moved  
7 into the district, did not include Yavapai, didn't  
8 include F. The second part of the instruction,  
9 incorporate F and K together, narrow F, K on top of I,  
10 J, a goal stated a number of times in the past, more  
11 northwest districts to take into account or reject as  
12 potential future growth while meeting in the community  
13 other goals of the areas.

14 The map here is a result of incorporating  
15 two instructions. F roughly follows the freeway, comes  
16 across in two places, just to the east of the New River  
17 community, unincorporated west of the freeway, and is  
18 linked to New River then Phoenix' northeast. Down below  
19 the southern end of the district picked up considerable,  
20 additional parts of Phoenix.

21 District K is northeast of Phoenix, a  
22 portion of Scottsdale that could not fit into G with  
23 population numbers of Cave Creek and Carefree.

24 The spread sheet you have attached gives  
25 you the demographics and demographics for D, F, K, and

1 G. D did not change.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: We received the  
4 plan earlier, and you gave us some competitive numbers.  
5 Did you make any changes other than to districts D, F,  
6 and K in this portion?

7 MR. JOHNSON: No.

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: All the information  
9 on H, I, J --

10 MR. JOHNSON: Only was F, K, G. D was  
11 pushed out to the fringe.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That was a change  
13 from our earlier.

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Put checks on the  
15 City of Scottsdale. I think it pretty well follows.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: A little follows.  
17 A little is in K.

18 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Down to Tempe,  
19 actually three districts, but only slightly.

20 Okay. Thank you.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments or  
22 questions?

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think it does  
24 what we asked him to do.

25 MR. JOHNSON: Unless someone else has

1 questions, I'll move on.

2 I'll wait a moment.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Appreciate that.

4 Anything that gets us toward a conclusion  
5 is a good thing.

6 Move to Southern Arizona, Mr. Johnson.

7 I invite you all to go to Southern  
8 Arizona. I'm on the Board of Southern Arizona Tourism.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Leave your money.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We're much more  
11 charitable. There's a ranchette with your name on it.

12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: If not getting  
13 population, not getting districts.

14 (Laughter.)

15 MR. JOHNSON: The first.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Before you go through  
17 solutions, solutions may or may not accomplish what you  
18 do.

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Before you do, 4G  
20 SV1, my 4G SV1.

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: District Z was  
22 developed ahead.

23 MR. JOHNSON: After we printed, we changed  
24 the heading.

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: There's a change

1 around Benson.

2 MR. JOHNSON: Same shift, about a hundred  
3 people.

4 Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, let me  
5 address concerns of the Eastern Pinal people, the mining  
6 towns, Kearny, Superior, Dudleyville, that did not share  
7 Saddlebrooke, Oro, those arrows. We put together a  
8 variety of options which are addressing those concerns.

9 This is the first of the options, minimal  
10 options, Y, which would only pick up minimal border  
11 districts. That allowed Superior, Kearny, Dudleyville,  
12 those areas, out of the District Z place with the rest  
13 of Pinal County. The result of that is a few thousand  
14 people, 6,000 people. Change Z, BB, Casas Adobas,  
15 Catalina Foothills.

16 Most of the discussion was Sierra Vista,  
17 moving 6,000 people as part of the Fort, dividing the  
18 Fort.

19 The City of Benson lies right on the  
20 freeway with Tucson. It allowed us to balance  
21 population without touching Sierra Vista.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The moving process is a  
23 half-a-loaf solution. Once you divide, the loaf is  
24 moldy. It doesn't fix the solution up north and makes  
25 it moldy down south.

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Until something is  
2 better.

3 MR. JOHNSON: Version one, a small touch,  
4 version V: All Census tracts touch Pinal County.  
5 Transfer Z, transfer Catalina, a number in, all the city  
6 of Sierra Vista, Fort Huachuca, City of Tucson. Y makes  
7 up the City of Gila Bend, Maricopa County. In the river  
8 Ak-Chin reservations and town of Maricopa, it cuts in  
9 briefly with District Z, Avra Valley, Picture Rocks, and  
10 Marana generally west of the 10 freeway.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Show me, I see all  
13 the things out of Z, Avra Valley, Picture Rocks, eastern  
14 Pinal County. Show me what you put in to compensate for  
15 population and compensate from.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: East Catalina Mountains.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Picked up out of  
18 DD.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Johnson, if you would,  
20 focus in on an area of the map, District Y, that  
21 includes Marana. Highlight the town of Marana when you  
22 get there. Zoom out slightly, where it said Marana to  
23 the right.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: You said Ina Road.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I know. It is if you know

1 her well.

2 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Cuts Marana in  
3 half.

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Different.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: To some extent they are.  
6 West rural and agra. Except as you move further south  
7 along the freeway to where the I-10 sign is along I-10,  
8 the area to the east is substantially new construction,  
9 suburban new construction, lots of roof tops, lots of  
10 traffic. To that extent, that part is reasonable, in  
11 other words, the difference between the two.

12 Clearly the goal is not to violate the  
13 rule of division, keep Sierra Vista whole, Marana whole,  
14 or Sierra Vista split. Splitting Sierra Vista is not a  
15 new concept. They've been split for 20 years in a  
16 Legislative District.

17 MR. JOHNSON: Drawing lines, there's two  
18 things in the lines. Testimony is different in the  
19 character described. The Hispanic AUR did divide Marana  
20 as well.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments on this  
22 solution?

23 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Doug, a little over,  
24 Flowing Wells.

25 MR. JOHNSON: Old lines.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Just look at the colors on  
2 this map, this version.

3                   MR. JOHNSON: One thing not adopted, a  
4 test the other day, backed out a competitive Z test the  
5 other day, back to the other Z test.

6                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Competitive tests in  
7 Tucson are much harder. There is polarized voting by  
8 geography. That is a fact, not a dispute. Southwest is  
9 more Democratic than northwest. Legislature is more  
10 Republican east-west.

11                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Even though  
12 competitive G plan Z, contrary to competitive Z, looking  
13 at the growth plan in Z, it would not stay competitive,  
14 wouldn't stay competitive long.

15                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Southern part of  
16 Tucson.

17                   MR. JOHNSON: I should note, make a  
18 change, note we discussed Amado, Tubac, that area in  
19 District Y, all the areas in Sierra Vista.

20                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Relatively small.

21                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Is that it?

22                   Let's make sure we understood what is  
23 going on between the previous map.

24                   Three Points, look at that. Some  
25 testimony or questions from Tohono O'odham is it's not

1 adequately connected to Tucson, any changes there.  
2 Santaveer pulls in. Three Points goes on into Drexel  
3 Heights as had been discussed, and it is still out.

4 The question, in keeping the Native  
5 American Reservation areas together and whole, we're  
6 looking at the Pascua Yaqui area whole, 3,000.

7 MR. JOHNSON: 3,300.

8 COMMISSIONER ELDER: What is the deviation  
9 of Y? Is it balanced?

10 MR. JOHNSON: Balanced.

11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Balanced?

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: This test, test  
13 to?

14 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: This test actually  
16 makes Y a majority minority voting age population. It's  
17 the one that does that. You might be interested in  
18 making the most of that fact.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Anything else on  
20 this version, paragraph? Jim, do you have more to say  
21 on this version? Hold onto this version or move on?

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Which is this one?

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: This is 2.

24 Anything more or ready to move on?

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Ready.

1                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Any other comments  
2 on Version 2.

3                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.  
4                   Version 3.

5                   MR. JOHNSON: Version 3, 4, in between  
6 versions, they don't -- they involve lesser takings of  
7 populations into Y. As a result, Sierra Vista ends up  
8 divided, it ends up and leaves the four urban  
9 reservations divided in W, has a tradeoff with the  
10 Western Pinal areas, areas that are not a Casa Grande  
11 string of cities, not in the reservations. Stanfield is  
12 in there, a few thousand people in there. It also takes  
13 Avra Valley but does not go into Marana, the Santa Cruz  
14 corner of I-19.

15                   Pinal is not taking as much population in  
16 Y, W is not as much. You end up taking as much Oracle,  
17 San Manuel, a few Census blocks.

18                   We mentioned before, outside the city  
19 Census place, it's a really large area, balance the  
20 population in the large area, the Catalina Foothills,  
21 not as much, down to Sierra Vista.

22                   You see the fourth portion, Sierra Vista.  
23 In this map we get less than half the non-Fort, city  
24 population in with the Fort.

25                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: How much? What

1 numbers are we talking about? 37,000 people?

2 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: How many green?

4 MR. JOHNSON: 19,000, 2000 in 14, 10,000  
5 in the Fort. Non-Fort population discussed the other  
6 day, there's a significant number not majority.

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to ask  
8 Mr. Lynn or Elder, based on the testimony we heard  
9 earlier today, is this a split that will achieve what  
10 they are asking us to do?

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: That little strip  
13 north-south as opposed to east-west, the part  
14 north-south as opposed -- this does not do this per se.  
15 Prior to Mr. Johnson's direction, playing with numbers,  
16 we'd take the numbers which I called the research arm  
17 and parts of Libby Field and were combining them with  
18 the northern part. And probably had to split all  
19 components of each as opposed to --

20 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Didn't.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not the Fort.

22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: North-south split.

23 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Where?

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Northwest-  
25 southeast.

1                   As far as the City of Sierra Vista, do you  
2 think we did anything close to what he was asking?

3                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Geography, not  
4 density, if you keep that in mind, the area in the  
5 northeast configuration is not nearly the populated  
6 center of the red checker board.

7                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: 10, 13 people in the  
8 whole area there.

9                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Growth area.

10                  This is death by degree. These four tests  
11 that balance competing interests, the first halfway  
12 down, this goes further down into Pinal County, not all  
13 the way down to San Manuel. As that portion of Pinal  
14 County is reunited, if you will, Pinal County would be  
15 able to move further down into the state to  
16 compensation. The issue is what other things about this  
17 particular map are of value. To some extent it does  
18 reunite two Native American tribes in the Phoenix area  
19 with Phoenix areas they want to be with. The concern on  
20 the other side of it is what it does to Sierra Vista,  
21 the way it does it, and also the configuration, and also  
22 the concern, which is the way I see that it does it.

23                  I'll tell you, thinking out loud, I may be  
24 inclined to be more content splitting Marana than the  
25 configuration of Z in this way.

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Z or --

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's go --

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Zoom in on Z.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: The additional down  
5 side of version three is it takes a portion of Z  
6 directly linked with Casa Grande.

7 The problem -- if you draw Z with Casa  
8 Grande, the reason, the southeast mountain range is  
9 essentially to the right, then it's south of San Manuel  
10 and right of Catalina. The Catalina Mountains start  
11 here and go this way. You're going around the Catalinas  
12 from retirement communities of Saddlebrooke, and zoom  
13 through this area where you have Sun City Vistoso, and  
14 they're right next to the Catalinas. That's a  
15 homogeneous population, similar voting interests as  
16 well. They're generally pretty close.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Western, west, and  
18 east.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: West and east.

20 Reflecting on what I said earlier,  
21 splitting Marana, the freeway is a relatively good place  
22 to do it. With the character of the community being  
23 different on the two sides, go with a different  
24 configuration. We don't get two populations where they  
25 belong. That's one of the chief pitfalls other of the

1 proposal.

2 Ready to go?

3 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Look at it for all  
4 the reasons of the management of what the data banks  
5 are.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm thinking if Rios,  
7 Solarez, whoever comes in. Helps?

8 MR. RIOS: Hands down.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: For the record, it's  
10 2:01.

11 Mr. Hartdegen, you lost.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hartdegen isn't close.  
13 He didn't say which day, though, did he.

14 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, the main  
15 difference three, four effect Pinal County. It's a  
16 slight change in other parts, although the key change is  
17 the Sierra Vista majority population into DD. Pinal  
18 County, it takes a small piece of the far western county  
19 of the Ak-Chin Reservations, District Y, and the river  
20 district. The District Y Reservations, except  
21 Saddlebrooke, are necessary to take out for  
22 Saddlebrooke. All Pinal is overpopulated. So then we  
23 come through the Catalina Foothills. Sierra Vista  
24 version 4, we actually get just over 60, 70. In  
25 District DD, 58, 70. Non-fort population in District DD

1 does achieve the goal of getting majority population in,  
2 though it does divide.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Give me the numbers again.

4 MR. JOHNSON: 25,000 people in District DD  
5 including fourth population. 28 cities in non-Fort  
6 population.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Non-Fort population.

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, one  
9 other thing about the map, contrary to what I said, it  
10 succeeds in making Y have minority voting age over 50  
11 percent.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The only one of the  
14 tests that keeps the four Metro tribes in version three,  
15 understanding the concerns, the boundaries of District  
16 Z, I wonder if we can look at that and adjust just that  
17 portion of it, put the southwestern areas back in it and  
18 make any kind of additional change.

19 Does Sierra Vista lock us in?

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Hang onto that.

21 There are other comments on the floor  
22 before zeroing in on possible Phoenix.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Following the same  
24 category, the thing about all maps, really Y, in general  
25 Y is no more compact than District W, or whatever it was

1 in the draft map. Zeroed in on Z, and so on. This  
2 problem has been there lurking all along. As we make  
3 these adjustments, Douglas is united with Buckeye rather  
4 than Apache Junction. I'm not sure how to avoid that  
5 without -- how to start down this path without ending up  
6 there.

7                   The first thing, when you put more  
8 population with Pinal, you give up the western half of  
9 Pinal County, all the adjustments, and have W stay west  
10 by taking part of Apache Junction, Gold Canyon out of W.

11                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: One of the things we might  
12 want to do is finish the Fort map, per se.

13                   In the same way we elicited with  
14 Flagstaff, Mr. Hartdegen, Mr. Rios are still here.  
15 Mr. Rios still here, Valdez from Tucson, in Tucson, the  
16 best of a bad lot, and their insights might be helpful,  
17 at least I find it helpful.

18                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The version, I have  
19 the same problem you see, pretty far south and west.

20                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Doesn't go quite as far  
21 west on the south side. For that reason it's a little  
22 more compact. It might work okay. Marana is wholly  
23 within Z.

24                   Doug?

25                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes. Doesn't look a

1 lot different.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Yes. It's not bad.

3 Any of the four people mentioned earlier  
4 care to weigh in? There's no pressure to do so. If you  
5 wish to offer something, Mr. Solarez, Mr. Rios, please.

6 Mr. Solarez. Let me ask question, then  
7 say anything you like.

8 There's a choice. Believe me I understand  
9 the first preference keeps you together with the urban  
10 tribes. The issue, in particular, Commissioner Huntwork  
11 points out, the two communities, it becomes one  
12 community that could have significant influence. Can  
13 Comment on it?

14 MR. SOLAREZ: The voting pattern shows  
15 going into Tucson, et cetera, shows a pattern, of Native  
16 Americans being elected there. In fact, we don't have  
17 one there. The former Governor came in third. That  
18 gives us the potential to have someone from one of the  
19 tribes elected, if we are considered part of W with the  
20 four metro tribes. She did come in third in the House  
21 races. That makes an impact. Have an independent in  
22 the house now. T and O letter, the existing voting  
23 pattern strength, the sense is there's a possibility.  
24 There's potential up there.

25 In regard to the maps, I couldn't find a

1 baseball map big enough for Jim.

2 MR. RIVERA: Let him borrow this.

3 MR. SOLAREZ: Map three is the best to  
4 resolve it. It has minor effect in treating that to  
5 help them out in Marana. To know you were making a  
6 decision, of the three, this is the best one at this  
7 stage.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

9 Mr. Rios?

10 SENATOR RIOS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

11 I think I have essentially support that  
12 keeps the tribes united. They supported it before the  
13 Commission so as to elect maybe a Native American. And  
14 they've run in the past, run with Native Americans.  
15 We've proposed Native Americans and that keeps most of  
16 it together, and it makes sense to me.

17 Sierra Vista versus Marana, maybe you all  
18 tinker with it. The other thing I noticed, if you make  
19 another district competitive, instead of moving  
20 Saddlebrooke, move into DD, move portions of BB into DD,  
21 make that competitive.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The only problem with  
23 that, you can't get there from here.

24 MR. RIOS: Can't get there from here.

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: His district is

1 like that already.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: His district is like that  
3 already.

4 (Laughter.)

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Read The Reporter.

6 MR. RIOS: I get your point.

7 What about the fact District Y is  
8 majority-minority? If you look at it, District Y is a  
9 majority-minority district. No longer is it if one  
10 takes it down to 87 44.

11 MR. RIOS: I had not looked at it.

12 MR. RIVERA: Look at it. Does that change  
13 your opinion?

14 MR. RIOS: I wouldn't want to lose  
15 minority-majority.

16 MR. RIVERA: Would this override the fact  
17 there are four tribes in the same district?

18 MR. RIOS: I represent four Native  
19 American Tribes.

20 MR. RIVERA: Aaron Kizer represented the  
21 Hispanic Coalition.

22 MS. HAUSER: Maybe it's a good time to  
23 clarify the total minority for the VAP district.

24 Version 1, 49.07 VAP.

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: District Y.

1 MS. HAUSER: Yes. For V, Version 2 Y,  
2 52.34. 3, Jose said 47.44 VAP. 4, 51.38.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The first two numbers  
4 again, Lisa.

5 MS. HAUSER: 49.07. And 2, 52.34.

6 MS. LEONI: If I might, to add to the  
7 information given us, in the current plan Tohono O'odham  
8 is in the majority-minority plan.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Current plan.

10 MS. LEONI: One of the attempts with the  
11 minority population is to create additional  
12 opportunities in the southern moving the  
13 minority-majority district Tucson proper. These options  
14 in S V2, S V4 allow a third.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Kizer, I don't want to  
16 put you on the spot. If you can help us, we're happy to  
17 hear you.

18 MR. KIZER: I'm happy to analyze the maps.  
19 The concern, as in the MALDEF letter, of  
20 the nine majority letters, five majority letters, Jose,  
21 I think total population, looking some maps, 4G, that's  
22 down to, you've got four districts below 50 percent.  
23 Cutting down majority, sometimes 49.74. We'd urge you  
24 to keep in mind MALDEF's standards.

25 The big issue within the coalition is

1 working with MALDEF toward concession standards we all  
2 can agree to.

3 MR. RIVERA: Not Hispanic  
4 majority-minority voting age population.

5 MR. KIZER: Two issues. Put the whole  
6 thing together, what it does to total districts, and how  
7 many are majority Latino. That's the missing question.

8 MR. RIVERA: The letter from MALDEF,  
9 minority, MALDEF was total minority. The number I gave  
10 you, total minority, Hispanic, Spanish.

11 MR. KIZER: Y doesn't split the voting age  
12 for Hispanic.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Voting age.

14 MR. KIZER: Y is one of the choices. Two  
15 spaces, Y, get it on the other nine.

16 MS. LEONI: Mr. Kizer, I did want to point  
17 out, with the new configuration, I'd point out one  
18 additional majority business, the Spanish VAP district,  
19 that's District N. The plan up on the board now does  
20 have five.

21 MR. KIZER: 4G would have that. N.

22 MS. LEONI: N.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: May be trading a district.

24 MR. KIZER: When I was looking, areas of  
25 concern were -- I was looking at Hispanic populations in

1 CC, 49.74; AA, 49.44; X, 49.28, and that might be it.  
2 As I look at 4G, it drops below in five Latino majority  
3 districts.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I only wanted to  
5 make a comment.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: No question for Mr. Kizer?

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Not really. A  
8 comment.

9 If we found here, all things being equal,  
10 find another majority-minority district, we'd welcome  
11 the opportunity to do that.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's the issue.

13 Mr. Hartdegen.

14 MR. HARTDEGEN: Is it possible to pull  
15 back to get a look at home town?

16 COMMISSIONER HALL: Go ahead and do that,  
17 Mr. Hartdegen.

18 COMMISSIONER ELDER: V2, V3.

19 MR. HARTDEGEN: For my needs, for getting  
20 close, and in the spirit of compromise, I realize  
21 there's some blocks, for my needs, it's getting close.  
22 What I was wondering is if in the San Manuel area we  
23 brought it into Z, what that would do to the rest of the  
24 western side. There's not much population behind the  
25 Ak-Chin population.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Pull up test three. That  
2 does that.

3                   Here are the choices. Take San Manuel out  
4 of W. Choices are to add more population to the west  
5 side or add more Sierra Vista into the mix.

6                   MR. HARTDEGEN: That configuration really  
7 is better. We can't get into the nitty-gritty on  
8 population.

9                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Unfortunately, we have a  
10 situation where -- this is very symptomatic of what the  
11 problem is all over the state.

12                   MR. HARTDEGEN: I understand.

13                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's not meant to change  
14 your comments. We need to know what does to your  
15 constituency.

16                   MR. HARTDEGEN: When I addressed you, how  
17 many acres are harmed there, areas of interest,  
18 whatever.

19                   Stanfield, for instance, their high school  
20 age students go to Casa Grande at the high school. They  
21 don't have a unified high school, elementary school  
22 district, high school, and that creates a problem. The  
23 elementary district goes to the school in Casa Grande.  
24 There are so many problems between that part in Casa  
25 Grande. It's hard to explain. People shop in Casa

1 Grande. They shop there, probably have their insurance  
2 agents there, buy a house, have their title guy.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Population of Stanfield?

4 And that was blatant.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Cows Title Company.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: How many people are in  
7 Stanfield?

8 MR. HARTDEGEN: A variation of another one  
9 is a lot better. Hold that one. In fact, that was one.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

11 And would you zoom in on another map.

12 Zoom in G4.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Let me ask a  
14 question. Either Mr. -- Stanfield is small, very small,  
15 between Stanfield and the border of the district. Is it  
16 open or have you --

17 MR. HARTDEGEN: Francisco Grande. Other  
18 than that --

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Including  
20 Stanfield, is there more than 1,000?

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork, before you  
22 move, can you relate to this map?

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes. Yes, it  
24 does.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Go ahead.

1                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The difference  
2 between maps, this one is close enough in population to  
3 move up and include the urban population. The previous  
4 map hadn't done enough to give the consultants different  
5 choices. Obviously they didn't make that choice a lot  
6 better choice by uniting the Western Pinal County in  
7 this map than the previous one.

8                   The other thing I wanted to say is the  
9 only way they want do both, there's a small piece of  
10 Apache Junction in the East Valley with enough  
11 population to do both, if you run the population.

12                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: All through.

13                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Up through  
14 Scottsdale.

15                   COMMISSIONER HALL: And Doug just passed  
16 out.

17                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Wouldn't be doing it  
18 today. That's for sure.

19                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Go to the other map, then,  
20 and we'll allow Mr. Hartdegen to tell us how that map  
21 affects the area.

22                   MR. HARTDEGEN: Map 4 is a doer for Pinal,  
23 Maricopa, and the Stanfield area, looking at it from an  
24 agricultural standpoint. Most of the areas of Stanfield  
25 are agricultural areas, feed lots. The blue area tie in

1 with the Greater Casa Grande area nicely, Maricopa  
2 north. And I'll scan the field to the south. That ties  
3 in good.

4 I realize there are problems to other  
5 reservations. This scenario, we can compromise on.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Shouldn't be. Rios?  
7 Mr. Solarez is outside.

8 Mr. Solarez, come up.

9 Mr. Hall had a question, Mr. Solarez.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Solarez, it was  
11 testified, they're the biggest farming operations which  
12 is conflict with testimony of pushing farming. Farming  
13 exists is the testimony, one of the most efficient  
14 operations around, even, which shows W, the prior W  
15 going below the Stanfield area, below Stanfield. And  
16 that also has the largest number of lottery  
17 millionaires.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Really. Been buying my  
19 tickets in the wrong place.

20 MR. SOLAREZ: I think the biggest place,  
21 your attorney, what's his name, Mr. Rivera.

22 That one you're pointing to, talking about  
23 total minority population, not total population, MALDEF,  
24 that's apples and oranges.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Apples and oranges, not

1 green chili.

2 MR. SOLAREZ: Apples, oranges, green  
3 chili, not red chili.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: Four tribes for Native  
5 American. Elected?

6 MAYOR COLEMAN: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: This configuration  
8 would be in a majority-minority district. It would not  
9 be minority that would be increased for inclusion in  
10 this district.

11 MR. SOLAREZ: In fact, most minorities are  
12 with the Democratic party. That's a major impact and  
13 constant historically through that aspect. The fact  
14 Hispanics are elected currently, a combination of  
15 Hispanics and Anglos are elected, reflects the sense of  
16 community election parties.

17 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you. Appreciate  
18 it.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'd be delighted to hear  
20 Mr. Valdez if he's here and available.

21 COMMISSIONER HALL: Sorry to interrupt you  
22 with your current responsibilities.

23 MR. VALDEZ: For the record, Ramone  
24 Valdez.

25 My end time, 4:30.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: (Spanish is spoken),  
2 Valdez.

3                   MR. VALDEZ: My opposite half is presented  
4 by Senator Rios. In particular, as to strength of the  
5 comment you stated, uniting communities in W, one of the  
6 issues Senator Rios also brought up may not be an issue.  
7 I understand the issue was how you get there, and that,  
8 with Saddlebrooke, Saddlebrooke and DD. At initial  
9 glance, there are two things we noticed. Take  
10 Saddlebrook, and it does go into DD. There's a  
11 connection with Saddlebrooke and some eastern community  
12 issues, part, technically, of the Catalina Mountains,  
13 the same changes taken in terms of deviation. You and I  
14 talked about deviation of the majority-minority  
15 districts.

16                   In the corner --

17                   DR. ADAMS: Recently to CC, if the corner  
18 is taken out, deviation is taken out, a 10th  
19 majority-minority district in the map. We'd urge it as  
20 a possibility.

21                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: What deviation do you  
22 think that occurs within?

23                   MR. VALDEZ: I don't have a computer with  
24 me. I can't tell you.

25                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: One question on

1 Saddlebrooke and the rest of DD. It's possible to  
2 connect anything, if you work hard enough. As to  
3 community of interest, Saddlebrooke and the rest of DD,  
4 the connection is the community of interest of  
5 Saddlebrooke, Sun City Vistoso, and so what do you  
6 think?

7 MR. VALDEZ: In addition to Sierra Vista  
8 into DD, I think so.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Saddlebrooke is  
10 predominantly, exclusively, retirement. With the  
11 addition of Sierra Vista to the district, I think you  
12 are suggesting it's highly retirement.

13 Saddlebrooke, Rancho Vistoso up the road,  
14 two sides of the road, two sides of the same community.

15 MR. VALDEZ: Look at other portions of  
16 Marana. If you exchange DD, Z, there's greater  
17 community of interest in that area as well.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman,  
20 looking at these four plans, doubled, doubled, one of  
21 them, the plans put four urban reservations together.  
22 Earlier we heard testimony, heard testimony from the  
23 Salt River Pima on Congressional Districts, they have  
24 much more, in fact, of a connection with Scottsdale than  
25 do the Native American tribes. On the list you'll find

1     them, they made a change and it made sense.

2                     We heard testimony today from the Gila  
3     River, Ak-Chin, and their close relationship, not  
4     ethnically, economically, with the other two Metro  
5     tribes, Salt River Pima and Fourth River McDowell.

6                     The other three plans, other than Version  
7     3, take them out of the district that wraps around the  
8     urban tribes and puts them essentially in a rural  
9     district. The disadvantage of Version 3 is a version of  
10    an urban county.

11                    When I went to Pascua Grande, they  
12    presented a plan that united most of Pinal County. I  
13    said I hope we got 29 more just like it and they all  
14    meshed. That didn't happen.

15                    What I'm hoping is looking at District W  
16    Version 3, with the authorized population deviation, to  
17    authorize goals of the northern part of the state, I  
18    wonder if we might do the same thing as it unites the  
19    four urban tribes which they all said were extremely  
20    important, pick up a portion of Western Pinal County,  
21    Stanfield, areas around Stanfield that Mr. Hartdegen  
22    said, 1,500 people, a smaller number than Mr. Hartdegen  
23    said, and unite the area that way, unite them, the four  
24    area tribes.

25                    COMMISSIONER HALL: Is that a motion?

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If you second it.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: In the interest of any  
3 progress, I'll second it, for the purpose of discussion.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Moved and seconded to  
5 explore the possibility of addressing the issues in  
6 Western Pinal County out of a Version 3 map with respect  
7 to reuniting communities, Stanfield primarily.  
8 Stanfield primarily.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Majority-minority  
10 communities.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Johnson, Mr. Hartdegen  
12 mentioned it was possible, as long as there are enough  
13 votes to order the test, the options possible. We do  
14 make light of some of this. The tension will kill you  
15 if you don't make light some of it. It's serious work.  
16 We want to pay serious interest to the Indian tribes  
17 being held together.

18 If we haven't said it, we're mindful  
19 Southern Arizona being slightly short of population to  
20 make one more district. That shortness of population  
21 means we've gotta go north, south, east or west to pick  
22 up additional population. We don't want to split  
23 another city if don't have to. If we do have to split  
24 another city, we want to split it in a manner consistent  
25 with some testimony received in a city.

1                   Having said that, Mr. Valdez offers some  
2 possibilities in ways districts could be looked at. I'd  
3 like to try to incorporate some of that in this motion  
4 or a separate motion, if we're going to make shifts.

5                   I think of all options we're going to look  
6 at, the one that provides some promise is 3. But there  
7 are things about 3 that could use work.

8                   I guess the question is, Mr. Johnson, in  
9 your opinion, if you concentrate on 3 and take the  
10 information into account, do you think you can give  
11 better options?

12                   MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, trying to  
13 confirm it, I think there are 6,000 people in the  
14 western portion of Pinal County.

15                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Not talking about  
16 the entire western portion, Stanfield over.

17                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's where they are.

18                   MR. JOHNSON: I'd need to clarify what the  
19 instruction is for looking.

20                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Look at Stanfield,  
21 a Census place.

22                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Center Spring.

23                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: East from Stanfield  
24 until you hit the green line.

25                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Not Stanfield.

1 MR. JOHNSON: Stanfield right here.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We're coming up on the  
3 time when we need to take break.

4 Can we do that now --

5 Do you understand instruction? I think  
6 what needs to happen is you run another test with that  
7 particular instruction in mind as well as some other  
8 possibilities we had.

9 Ms. Leoni.

10 MS. LEONI: I was interested in clarifying  
11 succinctly the questions made by Mr. Valdez.

12 As I understand, it's a possible move of  
13 Saddlebrooke into DD; number two, a possible move of the  
14 corner of C into DD. Is that correct?

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think that's accurate.

16 MR. VALDEZ: I think that's accurate.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Trying to clarify your  
18 suggestion.

19 MR. VALDEZ: One more. It also ties in  
20 with Green Valley.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Saddlebrooke.

22 Saddlebrooke put in with DD, shift with --

23 MR. VALDEZ: Dying to use it.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not anyone's idea. Take  
25 this area here, shift right above BB here, create

1 another competitive district.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'm thinking at  
4 this moment Sun City has a great deal to do with Sun  
5 Lakes, but it's on the opposite end of the Valley of the  
6 Sun. Sun City, Saddlebrooke, you wouldn't put those  
7 together. There's a mountain range between. The  
8 community developing north of Tucson -- we can test  
9 anything we want. I think our consultant has something  
10 better to do.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm more concerned with  
12 the motion on floor, western Pinal.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think they are  
14 separate motions.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman,  
16 separate tests, one for Pinal, one for central Tucson,  
17 one direction in one test.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The motion at the moment  
19 relates to western Pinal.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I see the issues  
21 one at a time. We're again going to want to do them one  
22 at a time. We'll be deciding issues one at time.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If that's the case,  
24 withdraw your motion a moment. Reconfigure the motion.

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Combine.

1 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'll agree to  
2 withdraw.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Before we go in.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We need a break.

6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I need a five-minute  
7 break. Go get goodies.

8 I'm reminded what Moses said to the Pharo:  
9 Let my people go.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's take the afternoon  
11 break.

12 "Let my people go."

13 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at  
14 approximately 2:45 p.m.)

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen,  
16 Commissioners, be seated. Let's try to get started.

17 My perception could be wrong. The map,  
18 the best opportunity could be wrong. The best  
19 opportunity for a best conclusion, it seems to be  
20 Version 3. I'd like to entertain a motion related to  
21 Version 3, if I might.

22 Mr. Huntwork.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'd like to  
24 propose we run a test based on Version 3 which, as you  
25 say, does a good job of dealing with problems such as

1 that Pinal County unites southern tribes in the  
2 district. It also does not have a majority-minority  
3 district in Y. It divides counties in Sierra Vista. It  
4 potentially addresses a problem in this essentially  
5 trading the Marana population for Sierra Vista  
6 population. The way that would work is Marana comes  
7 into Y, Sierra Vista comes into DD, and DD goes into Z.  
8 It's a three-way circulation around Tucson that doesn't  
9 affect the majority-minority districts around Tucson  
10 itself.

11 To the extent -- clearly that improves the  
12 demographics of Y to some extent. If it does not  
13 improve them enough to achieve majority-minority  
14 districts itself, consider we're using population  
15 deviation as a way of finishing the job, also, to some  
16 extent, we'll be uniting Pinal County, that AUR, and  
17 transferring additional population into Pinal County  
18 with West Casa Grande from Y into Y into which we  
19 achieved appropriate population.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second?

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'll second.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion on the motion.  
23 It's moved and seconded.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: As we move the area  
25 of Western Pinal County into western W, look in order to

1 adjust it at moving the Mammoth area immediately between  
2 it and District Z, into District Z, the eastern Pinal  
3 counties, there's one closest to Tucson.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mammoth has a  
5 small population.

6 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mammoth is just  
7 outside Oracle, San Manuel. Help us, it's the area just  
8 outside San Manuel. Allow more into District W out of  
9 District W into District Z.

10 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'd accept that.

11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Since looking,  
12 taking some out of District Z anyway.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Just for  
15 clarification, take Marana out of Y. Only the portion  
16 west, southwest of the freeway.

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'm referring to,  
18 as far as I'm concerned, and there's plenty of room for  
19 disagreement, as much of Marana as there is Sierra Vista  
20 to make the switch. 15,000 or something, in that range.

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: And Avra Valley.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The same thing west of the  
23 freeway, moves to the extent necessary.

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: If the goal is above  
25 the threshold to be a majority, it makes sense to take

1 the area west of the freeway. Once you start going east  
2 of the freeway, we're taking an area that would not  
3 benefit that Y majority-minority demographic.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: Let me ask you:  
5 Remember trading for Sierra Vista? It seems unlikely to  
6 me we're doing the same. If areas east of the freeway  
7 had beneficial minority characteristics, they'd continue  
8 as long as available, continue to unite. We might end  
9 with one map that united only in W Sierra Vista, only  
10 DD.

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I agree only as long  
12 the eastern part of Marana doesn't gain anything.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: As long as we  
14 don't end up with a situation of moving backwards.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

16 COMMISSIONER HALL: With respect to the  
17 amendment, I appreciate the concerns on western Pinal,  
18 but I'm not so sure on the Mammoth community of interest  
19 to south. I'm not so sure half a day is going to work  
20 to do half the goal. If we're going to fix eastern  
21 Pinal, let's fix it. Otherwise let's adopt the map and  
22 go home. Adopting Mammoth is exacerbating the problem  
23 we're trying to fix.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: He agrees.

25 That was on the amendment or proposed

1 amendment.

2 What is the pleasure on the amendment?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'm prepared to go  
4 either way on the amendment. Actually, I'd like more  
5 information. It appears there's development in that  
6 direction. The highway runs through there and continues  
7 on to Mammoth.

8 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'm not an expert on  
9 the area. Not too long ago I drove the road. From an  
10 outsider's perspective, Mammoth more closely relates to  
11 the communities to the northwest, not to south.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think that is clear. I  
13 think testimony we heard earlier today earlier in the  
14 process supports that.

15 I'm not particularly in favor of amendment  
16 either.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Ask Pinal County  
18 experts, Senator Rios, in looking at the population of  
19 Western Pinal.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Senator Rios?

21 SENATOR RIOS: I'd oppose the amendment.  
22 Mammoth, that's trading people for cows. I'd rather  
23 have the people.

24 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: When you put it  
25 that way.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I didn't put it any way.

2 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Then I reject it.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I just withdrew it.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd say, as a cowboy,  
5 I prefer the cows; but I'm not a politician.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do you understand the  
7 motion?

8 Mr. Johnson do you understand the motion?

9 MR. JOHNSON: Trade Marana, the Picture  
10 Rocks area, Marana, west of the freeway for Sierra, if  
11 that's not Y minority-majority voting blocks.

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: No. Keep trading  
13 Marana, Sierra Vista, as long as the demographics don't  
14 start getting worse, eventually trading all of Marana.  
15 I think there's more population in Marana. Eventually  
16 you'll run out of one or the other. When you run out of  
17 the other, also when you hit a point the demographics  
18 are going backwards in Y, stop sooner.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Again, for what you take  
20 out of District Z, Marana, into Y, then move District Z  
21 in DD to pick up population.

22 You understand the goals?

23 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion on the  
25 motion?

1 Roll call.

2 Mr. Elder?

3 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Aye.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Two more motions, in the  
11 interests of time. Two: One dealing with Phoenix. I  
12 think it's a fairly simple motion. If I recall the  
13 discussion about Phoenix, as we are running this test we  
14 may as well incorporate other tests, the same one as  
15 when it comes back and actually looks like a whole map.

16 Is there an affirmative motion on the  
17 Phoenix portion of test we've looked at this afternoon?

18 Ms. Minkoff.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I move we authorize  
20 the consultants to incorporate changes D, F, and K into  
21 the test map.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

23 COMMISSIONER HALL: Second.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion on the motion?

25 Mr. Huntwork.

1                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: As I understand  
2 it, all Phoenix Maricopa Districts as shown on the  
3 screen at this time.

4                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Yes.

5                   MR. JOHNSON: Clarify the portion H  
6 through T.

7                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: All incorporated in  
8 the motion.

9                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion on the  
10 motion?

11                   Roll call.

12                   Mr. Elder?

13                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

14                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

15                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

16                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

17                   COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

18                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

19                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

20                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: The northern portion of  
21 the state, Legislative District A.

22                   I'm hopeful somewhere in Navajo lore an  
23 elephant trunk up is a good sign.

24                   Is there a motion to include this in the  
25 test?

1                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Since I was the one  
2 that beat this thing up needlessly, I'll make a motion  
3 to incorporate this in the test for ledg. for the state.

4                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second?

5                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: With reluctance,  
6 I'll second it.

7                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'll indicate distasteful  
8 elements of this are present and on the record,  
9 expressed on the record. Given the goals we must meet,  
10 every member present has expressed it and must mention  
11 it.

12                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'd like to  
13 consider dividing X, extrapolation C and E, and simply  
14 moving the line.

15                   MR. JOHNSON: Already done. The yellow  
16 area here should be blue. It's a technical correction.  
17 Other than that small area, any transfer of population  
18 from C and E involve crossing the county line, given the  
19 goal of avoiding that.

20                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: C, E, I, I thought  
21 appropriate to share that.

22                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: With that response, is  
23 that a concern?

24                   Want to add to the motion as it currently  
25 exists?

1                   The maker of the motion has added to it.

2                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Huntwork, for the  
3 number involved, the jurisdictional edge, communities as  
4 a whole, less than two-tenths of a percentage on  
5 deviation balance. No?

6                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I agree.

7                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Two percent.  
8 Two-tenths.

9                   COMMISSIONER HALL: Two-tenths, 268.

10                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Two percent.

11                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

12                  COMMISSIONER HALL: If you disburse C, D,  
13 you benefit it.

14                  MR. JOHNSON: The challenge --

15                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen, if  
16 you miss it, repeat it.

17                  MR. JOHNSON: The challenge is the area  
18 above Winslow, that section, Arizona landing strip.

19                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Between C and D, is  
20 the dividing line any better?

21                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: D is further into  
22 C.

23                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Configuration line.  
24 Tweak it. For example, not in D.

25                  MR. JOHNSON: Humboldt in B, out of C.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff, let Mr. Hall  
2 ask the question.

3                   COMMISSIONER HALL: How does Sherman ever  
4 get a word in edgewise?

5                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Got his name on the  
6 record.

7                   COMMISSIONER HALL: The answer, I assume,  
8 is no.

9                   The answer is it's the least damage is to  
10 put it into C. Is that correct?

11                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Uh-huh.

12                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you, Andi.

13                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Johnson, you'll have  
14 to be faster.

15                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

16                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: A is  
17 underpopulated 2.80 percent. Put all into D, ends up --

18                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Underpopulated.

19                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Furthermore, not  
20 talking D, C. It's difficult to follow what I'm trying  
21 to say. I'll try again. A is underpopulated 3,500, all  
22 of which was transferred into C on this test.

23                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Almost all.

24                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: C is overpopulated  
25 1.87 percent, or 135. It seems to me, one thing to

1 benefit the demographics of the district is  
2 underpopulating it, and it's quite another, quite  
3 another, to cause detriment. There is no justification  
4 in overpopulating C. The consideration, disbursing the  
5 detriment over more than one district. The easiest way  
6 is to move the dividing line between E and C.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Where do you move it?

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Move it as far as  
9 necessary, pick up 1,600 people.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Explain the detriment.

11 Explain the detriment to that person that does it.

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Each person in C  
13 has the right to cast one ballot. 100 persons.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: One person, one vote  
15 argument.

16 Ms. Minkoff.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I understand. I'm  
18 not sure shifting into E is the way to do it. The  
19 county line, could move line C and D to a bit rural  
20 unincorporated areas, put half of the population, say,  
21 half, 1,500 people, into D, not be dealing with the  
22 county line, the border between C, D, right in the  
23 middle of the county. I wonder about areas like Cordes  
24 Landings, places in C, empty, unincorporated, Seligman.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Again, without

1 micromanaging the issue, is there, within your test, an  
2 opportunity to attempt to correct the issue Mr. Huntwork  
3 raises along the entire border, an attempt to do so --  
4 one of the issues is crossing the county line, to try to  
5 do it to east, that's a trade we might be willing to  
6 make.

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Not considering  
8 other opportunities. Not breaking up a county hasn't  
9 already been broken.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I understand.

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Not breaking up  
12 any opportunities. EACO is broken. Navajo.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: May break other  
14 communities of interest that are already intact.

15 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, if I might.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Johnson.

17 MR. JOHNSON: Obviously the deviations,  
18 looking at how to do this, the reason we didn't try to  
19 take D when we originally did this is the additional  
20 rural Yavapai district, the concern on that front. The  
21 District E side, really at looking Gila County, part  
22 that extends to Yavapai, move Gila half, the border of  
23 Flagstaff, Munds Park, just because the whole square  
24 compactnesswise, the whole addition to E, the whole leap  
25 unpopulated connect to Apache Reservations. Certainly

1 we could do it, if it's the Commissions desire for more  
2 rural population, a rural district, or E to the edge of  
3 Flagstaff, or the Flagstaff community.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Again, Mr. Huntwork's  
5 suggestion, makers of the motion on the other side of  
6 the table.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: A separate motion, if it  
8 doesn't get included.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Apache to  
10 communities A and E. Shift the third district, Apache  
11 County, which is very small population. Gila undivided,  
12 all District E. Doing this, insert the county, not  
13 there, moving north population back to A you took out of  
14 first place for voting rights consideration. The only  
15 place I see it, on D, is Mr. Lynn made a compelling  
16 reason for not doing that.

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

19 Further discussion floor.

20 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I was going to call  
21 the question.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Move to the microphone. I  
23 never know.

24 The question has been called.

25 Roll call.

1 Mr. Elder?

2 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

6 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

10 Motion carries five-zero.

11 Mr. Huntwork.

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Because we do not  
13 divide Apache County, or have any county not divided by  
14 a population shift, I'd ask the consultants to recommend  
15 to us the way we divide population between districts C  
16 and E in a manner least disruptive to their communities  
17 of interest.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

19 Mr. Huntwork, I believe the motion dies  
20 for lack of a second.

21 Further instructions to give the  
22 consultants at this time?

23 Then let me ask Mr. Johnson, given the  
24 work we've given you in the last three motions, can you  
25 give me an estimate when we might be able to see

1 results?

2 MR. JOHNSON: I'd estimate four, five  
3 hours, I could have all the pieces together.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Anyone know what's  
6 playing until 9:00 o'clock?

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Four, five hours is  
8 9:00 o'clock, if on time, which it may not be. Come  
9 back at 9:00 o'clock, 10:00, 11:00 o'clock.

10 MR. RIVERA: Hey, Andi.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We noticed the meeting for  
12 8:30 tomorrow morning.

13 What is your pleasure?

14 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Tomorrow morning.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me ask if there are  
16 any other instructions, if we need to go over any other  
17 issue that we talked about with the consultants.

18 Ms. Minkoff.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Because of the  
20 change in configurations of a number of districts, I'd  
21 ask you also present another sheet that shows the  
22 competitiveness of districts, when you show the  
23 competitiveness of districts.

24 MR. JOHNSON: Quick AQD numbers?

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yeah.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Without objection.

2                   We have instructions to the consultant.

3                   The Consensus is again to convene at 8:30  
4 tomorrow morning.

5                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: My only question  
6 is, one, will the consultant take until noon? I'd like  
7 an assurance from them it will be 8:30. I don't want to  
8 be here at 8:30 unless they'll be ready for us at 8:30.

9                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: In response, if  
10 there's nothing to do at 8:00 at the hotel, do it at  
11 9:00, unless they go out.

12                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: We noticed the meeting for  
13 8:30. As you know, we always start promptly on time.

14                  Mr. Johnson, can you answer Ms. Minkoff's  
15 question?

16                  MR. JOHNSON: I'm pretty certain we'll  
17 finish before we close up for the evening tonight.  
18 Other than the issue of getting it onto your computers,  
19 we'll be pretty good, shouldn't have any problems in the  
20 morning.

21                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Anything else at this  
22 time?

23                  MR. JOHNSON: We've been requested  
24 regarding numbering of Congressional Districts.

25                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: We began that discussion

1 earlier in the day.

2 Ms. Hauser.

3 MS. HAUSER: I was working with NDC at  
4 that time.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd second Mr. Elder's  
6 motion on that subject.

7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I believe -- I'll  
8 restate the motion.

9 Boy, have I got a motion. The motion was  
10 we begin with Yavapai Prescott District as being 1, as  
11 being first, named the Mother of all Counties. In any  
12 case start off as Historical 1; C, District 1. Start  
13 from the upper left, move through the state in sequence.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Meaning A becomes District  
15 2; B, 3; and so on?

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER HALL: It's still unclear  
18 what's the interior.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Unclear?

20 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Congressional only at  
21 this stage.

22 Not close, couldn't make a recommendation  
23 on Ledg. districts.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I understand there are a  
25 couple issues, a couple issues raised earlier about

1 renumbering. If you remember the configuration of the  
2 two, or sides taken of the two, then there's additional  
3 information now available. The Congressional officers  
4 tend to offer their station regularly, rename districts.  
5 Secondly, any confusion that might be attendant because  
6 it was renumbered, is negated, didn't seem to be much of  
7 an issue.

8                   Again, to make sure it's clear, we're  
9 referring only to Congressional.

10                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: The motion is C is 1.  
11 A, District 2; B, District 3; C, District 4; E District  
12 5, F District 6, G District 7, H District 8.

13                   COMMISSIONER HALL: Second.

14                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's the motion. Made  
15 and seconded.

16                   Made and seconded. No discussion?

17                   Roll call.

18                   Mr. Elder?

19                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

20                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

21                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

22                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

23                   COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

24                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

25                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."

2                   Discussion or instruction to consultants  
3 before we break until tomorrow at 8:30?

4                   If not, the Commission will be in recess  
5 until tomorrow morning at approximately 8:30.

6                   (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at  
7 approximately 5:00 p.m.)

8

9   \* \* \* \*

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



