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CHAIRMAN LYNN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

For the record, all five Commissioners are present, legal counsel, consultants, and staff.

As is our custom, call to the public will be the first item.

Public comment: This is the time for consideration and discussion of comments and complaints from the public. Those wishing to address the Commission shall request permission in advance by filling out a speaker slip. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to considering the matter study the matter unless or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date unless it is the subject of an item already on the agenda.

First from the public is John Mills.

MR. MILLS: I'm John Mills, a City of Phoenix resident.
First I'd thank the Commission for work they did on the Congressional District. It's long and arduous work. Thank you very much.

About the process, a couple things. First of all, for the record, the next time it happens in 10 years, hopefully, very hopefully, members of the public will be very interested to follow extensively and there will be a way get to get quicker data real time. I know it's difficult to get data and maps as consultants and maps, in some way I hope, in 10 years, data can be made available almost instantly, follow it almost instantly. That would be helpful.

The process thus far, as I was going around to meetings, one of the things especially in the second round, asked for many things, please keep the neighborhood whole or pull people into a neighborhood or out. The problem, several Commissioners asked for if move people out, because of population out, in, district would go where it would go, offset population, move, ask for something, I took it to heart when I presented minor changes around my neighborhood. I made sure I had documented changes, where people could be moved, people in, out, a couple community changes, where they could be made, down Central Avenue, the districts, and two other people got up after myself, people I had no connection
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with, one was Susan Smith and another one Mr. Olson from City of Scottsdale.

Last maps I saw last night, those changes had not been incorporated. That is one thing, I was wondering why those changes did not get incorporated.

The Commission in the last few days seemed to accept a small deviation in population deviation, I heard some that where up to two percent deviation. I'm not sure two percent above, two percent below, a total of four percent. My suggestion, either of the changes, I'm suggesting half percent deviation. Let me explain. Metro Phoenix, Metro Tucson, a lot of times balanced down to 171,000, 171, do that in metro areas, small pieces of neighborhoods, 50 people, hundred people in or out of neighborhoods. Exact population, if that's the way all neighborhoods are in the state, that's fine. Taken deviation much larger, smaller, a half percent deviation, clean up, that's not smaller. Cleaning up, 51st Avenue, 55th Avenue, these type things, not splitting cities, cities have strange boundaries, clean up, not notches coming out, not only people, talk figures out, things in neighborhoods help the County Recorder, precinct lines, people ask where do I vote, one side Peoria one district, other side Peoria, well, if in this notch here conflicts -- if those things were
taken care of, I think much better usefulness for the
customers in Arizona.

Thank you for your work on the
Congressional and Legislative lines. Thank you for your
time.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Mills.

We'll try to make terrific lines. We'll take that into
account on final instructions to consultants,
administrative instructions to consultants.

Final speaker, Jim Hartdegen, City of Casa
Grande and Casa Grande Chamber of Commerce.

MR. RIVERA: Give me a hundred dollar
bill.

MR. HARTDEGEN: Nice drive. I drove up,
could see Stanfield, those areas. Don't own a red
shirt, electric Sonoran tie. Move the line a little
further to the west. That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Hartdegen.

Other members wishing to be heard?

With any luck, there will be another

opportunity to be heard today.

With that, if no other matters from the

public for the Commission, we'll move to the consultants
and result from work yesterday.

COMMISSIONER HALL: We missed you, Doug.

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
Phoenix, Arizona
MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,
as the files open, it's 4G-SV3. All we have is revised,
revised two. As soon as the projector warms up, the two
maps are very closely related.

The revised is a map we were instructed on
by the Commission yesterday.

As we did the process, there was a small
change that wasn't included in the instruction. We
found underpopulate CC, make Hispanic underpopulated.

I'll start with revised, instructions with
the instruction.

We also thought, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners, if it please the Commission I'll take a
couple quick minutes, the whole process of the map, how
we've gotten where it is today, where we find ourselves
today in this context, we found ourselves, even I find
ourselves with what 3G looked like, 3F.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Quick one, 4G, F

revised one looking like.

MR. RIVERA: Correct.

MS. HAUSER: What did you do to that
screen, Doug?

MR. JOHNSON: Tried to mesh that screen.

Mr. Chairman, one thing we've been asked
to quickly summarize, the majority or number of majority
minority districts and majority-minority age voting
districts in the revised maps. You have revised maps.
You have nine majority districts, seven
majority-minority voting age districts. Of those
revised for majority-minority districts, three
minority-majority districts. The only change, revised,
revised two, of the revised two, five majority
districts.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: How many voting age
Hispanic?

MR. JOHNSON: Three Hispanic voting age
population.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay. Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: Spread sheets, AQD right at
the bottom, used practice AQD spread sheet to determine
if competitive. First page at the bottom, four seven
AQD, 10 districts, 15 percent AQD. Discussion of
avoiding bulletproof, anything within 15, prove not to
be bulletproof. Number of different competitiveness
floating around. Four within seven percent, 10 within
15 percent. Four within Maricopa went up to AQD.

McDonald study came back, still very,
registration only three percent. We didn't make those
changes.

Let me put this on the screen and we're
ready to go here.

Process began, Prop 106, large part was inspired, part here. Current Legislative District. Lines, unusual shapes, community divisions. Looked at them in great detail. Pinal County has been rather clear on their view of districts.

You see problems led by Prop 106. In the quick time line, what we've gone through.

We start out with the grid. Essentially an automatic process making the grid-like districts. Led to relatively compact districts, two of which are the same districts.

Compact districts, lots of other issues are extensively documented. Effect on Reservation borders.

The Commission, other criteria was listed proposition.

Then we came to the first round of line drawing hearings and decisions. NDC presented this map. It's own issues have been discussed. Certainly getting better already at that point. Current Legislative Districts. A lot of progress to be made as we went forward.

Adopted a draft. The much discussed District W. Also the rest of map. Adopted a draft, the
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much more compact Legislature, and many more communities
which were preserved, many more clearly identifiable
AURs preserved. I know I live in this community, you
know what community.

Jumping forward, what I counted last
night, there were 20 statewide maps developed per the
Commission's discussions. If there's an option out
there we haven't looked at, it's going to surprise me.

Came to 3G, and the north was starting to
take shape.

Familiar the last few days, starting to
come back into recent memory, and a big change between
that and where we are today is the southern district. I
mean the southern District Z and its companion districts
Y, W down here which change.

So that brings us to the current state of
the map.

In a quick time frame, that refreshes our
memory on where we come from and the considerable
progress of citizen requests and community border.

To focus on instructions given yesterday,
and implemented by NDC staff not too late into the night
relatively, 20 hours work doing this, changes all down
south, entire Sierra Vista replaced CD. Put labels down
here: The eastern Pinal portion Z, unified remainder of
Pinal County except Oracle, San Marino --

MR. RIVERA: San Manuel.

MR. JOHNSON: Three San Marinos.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Ocean front property accepted.

MR. JOHNSON: District Y, western side now comes into Marana essentially to highway, freeway there.

Per instructions, came into Marana, as far as demographics, continued to improve voting rights District Y. Document changes in Sahuarita, voting area extended DD west meeting goals, contradicted, instructed us on District Y. Moved up slightly Santa Cruz County.

Looked off squaring off northwest corner. Turned out not having effect approach go for District Y. Only change, add one more place. Essentially line comes up to Tubac.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Tumacacori.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

Far west District Y was taken in Gila Bend, rural unincorporated areas of Buckeye and south of Buckeye, noncontiguous portion, 35 portions, did not go into main portion of Buckeye.

Other changes involve Z and DD.

Essentially we cleaned up lines of Oracle, San Manuel, removed some just going on there, and moved slightly
east. Large Census block ZZ, 28 people affected, and
mostly compactness goals minimizing population
deviations. In goals, minimizing population goals.
Speaking of population deviation goals.
Areas speaking were population, bench mark population.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: District Y.

MR. JOHNSON: District Y. Slightly
underpopulated District Y, in order to meet the goal of
voting age, bench mark percentage. It was nice also to
complied with requests of Pinal County, move the border
west, take in Stanfield all the way over White Parker
Road to the freeway, also slightly west below the
freeway. Goals of Y and goals of the voting rights went
along there. Not all the way over the highway, past
Stanfield and incorporates Stanfield over.

COMMISSIONER HALL: What percentage on Y?
Looking to the charts, what am I missing?

MR. JOHNSON: Y stands out, in comparison
to yesterday. Two percent, 3,000, 2,000 people.
Handouts, 3,000, 4,000 people.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Both have end
population, one and two.

MR. JOHNSON: One, two same in Y.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Difference.

MR. JOHNSON: Only change in handouts,
one, two, CC and border DD.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Sorry.

MR. JOHNSON: One thing, Tohono O'odham moved, closer to Green Valley, Sahuarita is attempting to achieve voting rights goals, went slightly to west. Completely unpopulated blocks AA.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: I think one other change. Looks like Census place, Summit, also helped demographics, majority-minority demo.

MR. JOHNSON: Exactly correct.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Here. Right.

Okay.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, answer questions on this or go to revised two.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Tubac, Tumacacori area, how much shift, what population shift Tubac, Tumacacori? Pretty strong change in Tubac, Tumacacori and Tubac Presidio, National Presidio, didn't make a difference? Rather see two cities together, function almost as one. Rarely get as one.

MR. JOHNSON: Tumacacori, two-thirds Hispanic. Tubac, 50 percent. Tubac is 15 percent. We could look at it, just over bench mark.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Rather see bench mark met. If possible met, a positive.
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COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: District Y, entire town out of District Y. Without exact number, can you tell me about the number of Cochise County that remains in Exhibit Y?

MR. JOHNSON: Took out Sierra Vista. That brings it down to 80,000, 37,000, 117,000 in the county, 80,000 remaining.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Little more than half in the district.

MR. JOHNSON: Come 170,000 and county line. Essentially 80,000.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Since most of the Pima County contribution to the district I won't say is uninhabited, sparsely inhabited area, exception is Marana, estimate Marana contribution to district.

MR. JOHNSON: Marana, 13,000, not sure between the two.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Much is east of it relative to numbers. Relatively insignificant.

MR. JOHNSON: I think about 2,500 people in the Pinal County portion of District Y. 25,000 Gila Bend portion. About 7,500, 80,000 from Cochise.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Santa Cruz. 35,000 there.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: 85,000 from Pima County.
COMMISSIONER ELDER: 10,000 Tohono O'odham.

MR. JOHNSON: 10,000, I'd say, total.

Point to raise, Tohono O'odham raised with concern to a Sierra Vista dominated district, this change is just under a half population district.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Another advantage, go to the Y of the district, remains a rural dominated district.

COMMISSIONER HALL: So noted.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall is all over that.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you, Mr. Elder.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments or questions on the map.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Apparently the revision is very minor. If we like it, work on that one.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Sure. Can you explain what you did, and what we did.

MR. JOHNSON: Sure. On the handout, zoom out here, only change northeastern corner, District CC, we District CC 49.755 voting age. We dropped, moved about 1,000 people from District CC into District DD, not offset, included a 1.11 percent deviation in District CC, and it created an additional majority.
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district, District, CC through that change. Again, change small movement blocks east of Harrison Road north of Escalante Road handout. Previous handout, see previous hatched line.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Previous line, went through the block one mile square. Those are major roads. So by dividing differently, you achieved that.

MR. JOHNSON: The area moved is the area between the black line and pink area. An unusual black line is an attempt to achieve a line, returning all borders.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: This could likely even out better, even out more without detriment.

MR. JOHNSON: List to even out city lines.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Elder and I like a llama, close to a donkey.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Elephant district, looking for donkey district.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Just --

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: No. Sunday. I'm not going to do it. Questions on particular amendment or rescission, sufficiently incorporate in the motion the map we'll discuss. Doesn't mean the map we're discussing we're adopting. I think the change is a
Ms. Hall.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yes. I'd like to move we use test 4G SV3 Revision 2 as our map for further revision.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: If I, as a manner of speaking, take that as: include Revision 2 as the map under consideration?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Include Map Revision 2 as the map under consideration.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER HALL: Second.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I agree the goal very is laudable. I do not -- I'm very concerned about using simple population deviation for achieving the goal here or anywhere else. My mind races with the obvious equal protection issues. I'd like time to get legal advice on whether it's permissible to use ethnic or racial criteria like that, to use racial or ethnic criteria like that.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll be to happy get that at some point. The reason one might support the motion is more to the point of achieving increased community of interest, one of which we established as the Hispanic AUR. That becomes part of the voting rights reasons to
consider it, one of the other goals.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Leoni.

MS. LEONI: I'd point out we used a slight deviation, unite cities of La Paz County, slight deviation, and an instruction for the final map clean-up, in conjunction with avoiding splitting neighborhoods and trying to use the most recognizable boundaries, there's some instruction to use some deviational review with your attorneys. I wanted to state my point of how deviation is factoring into the process.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Question: voting rights issues are somewhat different. The classes suspect under the equal protection clause are defined by equal protection analysis, not a voting protection analysis. It may be perfectly fine using equal protection of 106, voting for protection under 106. The voting clause, you look specifically at 106 issues as they are concerned, legal analysis on whether we can use same categorically, specifically, as a way, use unequal population specifically as a way of addressing this specific category.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: This category?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think we should make you write "One person one vote" 500 times.
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My question, legal question, within the bonds of acceptable population deviation, is we make the switch of equal population clause without a claim that would invalidate it. Don't invalidate it.

MR. RIVERA: Having gone through a shorthand answer. The shorthand answer from me is yes.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Short answer.

Answer is yes?

MR. RIVERA: Yes. We've already discussed it.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Wanted to clarify it.

In addition to items Ms. Leoni answered, the intended population deviation traps between Congressional and Legislative, to.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: As well as other traps, zero population precincts, that sort of thing.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I acknowledge as long as not addressing suspect deviation within reasonable boundaries, just that very specific point.

I'll accept the advice of our counsel.

Lisa, you concur in the opinion?

MS. HAUSER: Yes. We previously addressed that.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion?

Mr. Elder?
COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

(Motion carries.)

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Revised 4G-SV3 as Revised twice for those keeping score.

Questions on this map? If I don't,

reissue for very, very good reasons. I completely support them.

One collateral effect of that, Z is a previously competitive district. It's no longer a

competitive district. The adjacent competitive district, Mr. Huntwork raised the competitive, whether BB is competitive, BB is a competitive district, we looked at the possibility of shifting population between two districts, make them both more competitive than they are.

MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we looked at it. At this time not a fully developed map we're ready to show you this morning. Essentially the border, the
Commission is locking out Sweetwater, locking out AA, ZZ.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I see.

MR. JOHNSON: In order to have Z competitive, competitive AQD, AQD registration with different results. McDonald with different results, AQD flip-flop, McDonald numbers are more consistent around the map than our numbers, the reverse of what happened in the Congressional map. What map Z is competitive, dark and Blacklidge. Dark here and Blacklidge south of Fort Lowell.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Where is Fort Lowell?

MR. JOHNSON: Fort Lowell is here.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay. This is Fort Lowell.

MR. JOHNSON: BB loses population. Rotate through DD on the east. DD makes a circle with population. One thing, playing with numbers last night, made BB close to competitive. Took a line where the lines move, make both competitive with the rate of competitive measurements we use. Z stops at Campbell and comes all the way down to A.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: To Blake north of Speeday.
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COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Upside down.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: East north of BB. We don't have fully prepared maps. We've made progress.

I should point out one thing. We made changes. Line DD and Z, it moves well west. Over and around the first, the connector comes around, it is at least from the numbers so far an unusual shape one competitive point. You end up with Z coming down.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No effect on DD moving the population. That seems to me to be something we should take a serious look at, an opportunity for two competitive districts, solid Republican, and solid Democratic, very much in keeping with what we have been asked to do subject to no detriment to communities of interest. May be a positive change to the map.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: As to the detriment to communities of interest, the strongest in state is the crossover of city and county boundary. The river defined testimony, the demographic boundary re the City of Phoenix boundary, Casas Adobas, the City of Tucson. City of Tucson adjoined the City of Tucson. If there was water quality, surprised bales of cattle, cannot support at all going south of that river at all with B. Comes down, funny Z, not compact. Violates four, five
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principles of 106. I know, Andi, you have a real and we

can go, we can take it into the heart, the compactness

of it. It didn't benefit from the contiguous,

compactness, or competitiveness.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yield to the

necessary, have an itch on the screen with the streets.

This is just the main streets. You put in all the

streets, jumps right out at you. The river borders to

completely different types, heart of the most densely

populated part of Tucson, may even go into the downtown

area.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Larger lot size, less

density. North of the river you get to slope up. The

river is generally recognized as the community dividers.

It does tend to get respected as the repeated city north

of the river is an annexation with those that live north

of the river.

Mr. Hall.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Couple questions.

Total tally. Never had Judge It, but the map,

consideration where are we. Best guess.

MR. JOHNSON: Using AQD, for competitive,

left out of range. McDonald said competitive, said only

three. Another as well, registration is less than seven

with AQD out of it.

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
Phoenix, Arizona
Our two measurements, six competitive
measurements, I don't know.

COMMISSIONER HALL: He was seven.

MR. JOHNSON: He was seven. There was 15.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Green, middle city
border. One, this complete unincorporated middle city
area is Flowing Wells, comes up slightly to the Tucson
City line. As we understand, the battle is mostly where
you live.

Perspectively following.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Cuts the center,
balance of the medical school. If Adams is indeed
the -- breaks, if it moves in. It doesn't make sense,
hinders or harms communities of interest.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm somewhat intrigued.
If I understood you, two forays into BB in an attempt to
achieve the desired goal. One was a longer, deeper
foray than the other based on competitive parameters.
Could you again tell me the lesser
invasive borders? I invite my colleagues to listen to
this. It might be important later.

MR. JOHNSON: First thing, major roads --
first major discussed in the goal is making Z
competitive. Instead of the L shape here, what we have
is some citizen maps suggested. Z comes down south of
the river and continues on up to Dodge where the city
crossed and Blacklidge south of Fort Lowell Road,
further extension along the river not quite as far
south, both come far as south, Z becomes competitive,
using competitive AQD measurements,

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Competitive just at the
margin?

MR. JOHNSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: X, treatment at the edge
of competitive.

MR. JOHNSON: Extreme edge of competitive.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Fairly.

MR. JOHNSON: Didn't bring registration to
competitive. Chris told me six, seven percent AQD.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: What's your pleasure?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm beginning, I
know, to sound like a broken record. I'm comfortable to
either make changes in both districts that are
competitive in Mr. Elder's view that are not acceptable
in the communities view standpoint, from a less dramatic
standpoint. I'd like to see two competitive districts,
if not to competitive districts. I'd like to see one
competitive district.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are you prepared to make a
motion?
COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to hear from other Commissioners which way they want to go. My preference to, both Democratic Republican, hearing the concern of Mr. Elder is it may be shared by other people on Commission. They could be Less happy with one more competitive district. We'd like to know if we put the motion on the floor, we have it be the right motion.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me put something on the floor have lesser, few changes, not because there are lesser, few changes, there's ample testimony if you live there, express the river does create a number of divisions, natural, historic, in terms of political thought and the community. I don't know if there's a good community in the Phoenix area, give you an example how this works, give you people in Phoenix, Tempe, don't agree Arcadia issues, if that's not wholly appropriate, it's close.

To answer the question, Ms. Minkoff, I'd be more inclined for a lesser incursion than I would full incursion. I'd not for sure support that for reasons stated. I need to know what that rotation would look like. Z on east and BB, how much division is affected there. Many, I think, if I remember correctly, the difference is something like Craycroft north, how the access to Campbell.
MR. JOHNSON: In Catalina Foothills?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: In the foothills. When you are located around the lesser ones.

MR. JOHNSON: That's okay. A lot of stuff, a lot of pages.

It stayed east of La Canada, it was between La Canada and first.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not as far east. Further from the borderline between Craycroft and Campbell.

This region moved only into DD.

Move to one other comment and be done on issue.

Dividing line signals to me, may be a nuance, divides in a nice way what I consider the oldest and newest foothills development, a development in the first years, all the way from the boundary, remain Knoll Canyon, further down on the east side, DD, in this representation, fairly depicts another nuance of the district bordering.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Want to hear from others?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Sure.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: On the Foothills development, I'm not as sure as you are.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Doug, put state
highways on the map.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: A question about the Foothills map, ZZ, it's a large district. A lot isn't the Foothills development at all. In terms of community development, is that Westwood --

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: East. Older Foothills development, newer Foothills development than ones bordering --

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Say again.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: -- the area of Catalina Foothills being proposed from BB, from District Z, you mentioned the area west of Craycroft, west of Craycroft.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What I'm asking, District Z, being the large district, going all the way into Pinal County, all way to Interstate 10, the larger portion, newer portion of the Foothills areas north of Interstate 10.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: From almost -- it almost relates to a land form. Drive down the Interstate 10, the Foothills dominated the east and flat irrigation to the west. All the way, shows up there with the symbol I-10, northeast Tucson, National Country Club in the area, growth and areas north of that, we have new areas just exactly the same as the foothills.
along there. As far as a shift, until we get to Marana, tenuous until there.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Anyone else offer an opinion to help Ms. Minkoff in motion preparation?

Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Well, I haven't even had time to absorb totally the map me just received.

I'd like to talk about, see the competitiveness analysis as a whole. I've seen that as whole. I heard a lot of testimony in the downtown area. It occurs to me there's a community of interest in three pieces. Some in B, D, which pretty much jump out at you when you look at population densities, the way people live, and so on.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Minkoff.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm not suggesting changing the map. I agree we haven't had a lot of time to absorb it. We presented a minor adjustment to ease it to understand the adopted incorporated map. I'm suggesting a test. I'm not suggesting a change, any boundaries. I agree it's premature. I'd like to see what it does. If test result, don't like what it does, don't adopt it. If run does positive things, we should adopt it. I'd like to move we have a test, help me
describe it Steve, less incursive of the two changes,

near Fort Lowell on south and east as far south as --

MR. JOHNSON: Dodge.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: -- as far as the

Dodge test that as far as it affects competitiveness as

far as Z.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: What testing?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Boundaries, AQD test.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Draw it and AQD test it.

Second to motion.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Clear to say it wouldn't pay attention.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Accurate?

COMMISSIONER HALL: The motion again.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: The motion is to attempt to test the lesser of two adjustments bringing District Z, BB achieve a more competitive district. It would bring ZZ into B as far south as Fort Lowell, as far east as Dodge, with a registration AQD test to look at the competitive nature of the test, I believe is the motion.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Second that.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Where Z gives up population.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Z gives up population on
the southern boundary.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Gives up population on the western.

MR. JOHNSON: Southeastern.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Long week.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Where would DD give up?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: DD rotates west along the Foothills first, or far west as La Canada.

Is that right?

MR. JOHNSON: DD is giving up west.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Oracle Highway.

Looked at numbers, not balanced or anything.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: BB essentially slides eastward.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: So it's clear, slide eastward to the least objectionable of the rotations, as I see it. Unless it changes demographics the other way, slight eastward is the least done to any other parts.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: I suppose it would be as long as the forest boundary, there is no road on the Saguaro boundary, east Rim Cons. Went that far, functional gerrymandered districts. I cannot see. I'm still sitting here to see what benefit.
CHAIRMAN LYNN: If the motion passes, we'll find out.

Further discussion on the motion? If not, roll call.

Mr. Hall?

I'm sorry, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. Elder?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: "No."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It breaks up communities of interest, "no."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: The Chair is willing to look at it. The Chair votes "Aye."

(Motion carries three-two.)

COMMISSIONER ELDER: When do we return?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: 45 minutes to an hour.

That much to go. About ready to go.

Anything else to discuss on the map before we move away?

We'll review the Northern District we talked yesterday, the Phoenix District we talked about.
yesterday. My understanding is the southern part of the state is really the only part of the state to focus on in terms of the state we're discussing.

Further discussion for one part of the state at the moment?

Let's take a look at that part of the map.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It's not this portion. I had one thought about Phoenix.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Big thought or little thought? Is the thought on efficacy or complex?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: We could take the usual 10-minute to half hour break.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken from approximately 10:00 a.m. until 11:07 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd call the Commission to order.

Mr. Johnson, the result of your incursion, carpet bombing on the bottom of Z.

MR. JOHNSON: The results are on the bottom of the screen.

The north, District Z, border moves westward, actually the highway there, blue. It moves over. If you remember, we were over on Craycroft before. Now we're at the highway. So that population comes out District Z. District Z comes down the final
street borders, Dodge and Blacklidge. The remainder of
the shift occurs at the remainder of the edge. District
Z goes out to the Tucson city border, follows
essentially the city border. The result is as you see
from the spread sheet that you have,

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Spread sheet who has?

MR. JOHNSON: The map and spread sheet.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I took yours.

Sorry.

MR. JOHNSON: The spread sheet after the
work, registration, in BB, is also seven percent; AQD
remains 13.2, to do other change talked about.
Registration is 17 percent. AQD is 4.8 percent. You'll
see from your maps --

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Just so we don't have to
look it up, Z registration AQD numbers? Erasing
Ms. Minkoff.

MR. JOHNSON: Registration is just under
12 points, 11.8, registration 9.4.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

And thank you.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: You are welcome.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right.

Ladies and gentlemen, your pleasure.

Mr. Hall.
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COMMISSIONER HALL: I have one question.

Given these questions, can someone explain to me how these changes cause significant detriment to other goals?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Elder, Commissioner Hall, we have now succeeded in adding another one to the list I made before, split the place of Casas Adobas. Casas Adobas, as I mentioned, in litigation, we named them in litigation incorporated battle lines along the river, so distinct from the City of Tucson. Casas Adobas, the school system people are willing to pay 10, 15 percent out to go to the City of Tucson, Catalina School Foothills School district, Catalina Canada. Splitting more densely, Flowing Wells School District. If we go further east, we now see ramifications of this incursion, just about if not at the boundary, the national forest, Saguaro, DD, noncontiguous southern portion of the district. Catalina southern mountains, if a link, zero population link. I don't see any benefit from this. If a motion, needs a motion, I'll make a motion.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Make a motion, do not make motion, consider other issues, issues and
principles of 106.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Second.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Motion on the floor. Consideration?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Obviously I'll vote against the motion. I have a few comments.

Looking at the original plan we talked about, it's important to the river, the previous plan before the shift made to District BB went north of the river in certain areas of District Z, went far south of Fort Lowell Road which has been crossed over the river. To understand comments, the Flowing Wells previous plan, it's now more split. Same thing with the Kyrene School District. One of the things of the competitive districts, one of the most competitive districts, one of the most exciting districts. DD, and I'm not from Tucson, and I don't know it as well as Mr. Lynn or Elder, streets north-south part of DD east of Cotton Road, Tucson Cotton Road boundaries, if streets exist Tanque Verde, Wentworth or whatever gets you into the southern part of the district.

I was told Proposition 106 creates competitive districts as long as not to a significant detriment of other criteria of Proposition 106.
Significant is a subjective term. Another person's "minimal" is another person's "trivial." You can't move one line on this map and affect one person without someone claiming you are affecting many communities of interest. The key word is "significant."

I look at voters in this state who want to know their vote counts for something, at least some districts who want, when they go to the polls, to have a choice. If they are in the minority party in the particular interest, that their vote still means something. If a majority party district is so locked in, the incumbent has no contest.

I support this while the compact communities of interest I feel are not significant enough to outweigh the obligation to create competitive districts where possible.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Ms. Minkoff.

Other discussion on interest.

Either one. Mr. Huntwork then Mr. Hall.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Just looking at the types of population that we're mixing here, and the geometric contortions you have to do to go through it, it strikes me you create competitive districts all over the state if you mix the effects of dense urban and less dense suburban population connecting less dense rural.
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I don't think that's what we're called upon -- I think we're prohibited from doing it. Those are different interests, different communities of interest. We're not to mix them in order to create competitiveness in an artificial way. I don't think it's a healthy type competitiveness. Competitiveness does not go and overcome communities of interest. Mix together and, yeah, you have a district that is competitive, but it doesn't create a consensus. It's a polarized competitiveness, one ends up winning and one loses. That's not the goal of this exercise.

This clearly violates the compactness rule and contiguous rule.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

COMMISSIONER HALL: I wanted to know your compactness rule, whether you agree.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Simply got no laugh.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Smart enough, got to have no point.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: One, no point.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: To sum up -- let me echo what Mr. Elder said. Good people differ on the application of good principles. Ms. Minkoff has been extraordinarily consistent on that point of view. You have to take each of the opportunities presented into
account and weigh the specific advantages of each.

Mr. Elder was quite specific on what it does.

The general terms, generally polarized voting geography, by definition, if you make districts more competitive than they would be by geography of a neighborhood, they don't look very good, don't seem to respect interests and boundaries of interest. Tend to take the shape that is inexplicable rather than attaining the goal. It's a matter of balancing goals available.

I do think that the original presentation to the south is a better representation of how Tucson thinks, votes, lives, and operates in general terms. I, too, plan to vote in favor against the planned amendment to get you where you need to be, Mr. Hall, Ms. Minkoff.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Then I'd like to make a suggestion. Keeping with comments I've heard, one of the reasons for not doing this, the cities feuding and neighborhoods are very, very different. If crowding into districts won't work, we're needing to go back to Phoenix. District J, Paradise Valley, J, Sunnyslope, two neighborhoods, less in Sunnyslope, N, and look at Buckeye Road. Then Tempe, including Phoenix portions, if there's going to be a consistent redraw of portions.
the entire Phoenix map.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, in Phoenix we tried to minimize differences. Here we are going way out of the way to create one district from multiple communities of interest for competitiveness, and that is the thing Proposition 106 prohibits us from doing.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm interested, Ms. Minkoff, if those were problems, why didn't you raise it earlier?

Other comments?

Mr. Elder?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "No."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

(Motion carries.)

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Back to the district without change as presented by the consultants.

District Number?
COMMISSIONER ELDER. SV3 Version 2.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, the

issues --

CHAIRMAN LYNN: We don't have an

affirmative motion in Southern Arizona. I'd be more

than happy to address his concerns --

COMMISSIONER HALL: Sorry. Affirmative

motions for A, Maricopa.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'd move we

incorporate this test, 4G-SV3 Revision Test 2, as a

working model into our current test.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Hats off to you to

remember the numbers.

The right version.

Discussion on the motion?

Mr. Elder?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "No."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."

Motion carries four-one.
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CHAIRMAN LYNN: We addressed three separately, have taken them separately. They really, really don't exist within a single map quite yet.

MR. JOHNSON: They're incorporated into the version.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: This is, as it stands, the most complete map, legislatively, of maps we've taken. Then with that said, unless there's a caveat to it.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: As I said, there were something like 14 districts within the 16 percent registration.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: 15.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Some were varying degrees of competitiveness. Some were less than 15 degrees of competitive.

MR. JOHNSON: We don't have the total count. There's some on competitiveness.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Fine. Talking AQD. In addition to four --

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I was looking my list.

Let me ask a different number then. Of the districts not in that range, how many of them are
highly Democrat? How many highly Republican?

MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we didn't look at that. Give me a minute. I can count them.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Okay.

While doing that, let me ask you about Western Maricopa County.

Move a little to east. See the northeast area? Okay.

Too many lines and too few colors.

What I'm concerned about, I've been talking growth areas a lot, and I'm simply concerned that as we move into the West Valley, we have basically put all growth areas in two districts. We didn't do that further east. We were successful in spreading them out. We're looking at some areas growing leaps and bounds in two districts.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Name them?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: L, and the other is E. I would like to avoid that if possible. It's very possible 10 years from now both districts would have conceivably twice as many people, or E, twice as many people compared to districts right next to this. At this stage of the game, if you've given any thought to another approach, you might ameliorate that problem, as least I consider it to be a problem.
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MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we understand the concern up front and looked at it to some degree in an area. There are a couple caveats, more district drawing, that address that. District L, the concern is growth areas west of it. Both District L is a minority influence area. The reason, it's a somewhat noncompact piece going off to the east of the district. So moving the district in a more north-south configuration, or taking more of Buckeye, impacts a minority influence. We did a separate, northern part, separate northern part of Buckeye. It's difficult the further part over.

H, looked at the north-south, northeast configuration. Areas north of it at the extremely north population, Peoria is very dense. It doesn't get very far north, very complete, unless we divide Sun City or this part of Peoria.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: With respect to District L, have you done any analysis of the polarization of District L to determine if that polarization is real?

MR. JOHNSON: I'll defer to the attorneys on polarization.

I believe of the procedural approach, the polarized districts, it doesn't look or have the character of the new districts.
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COMMISSIONER ELDER: My question, maybe based geometry numbers, perceptions, while they are discussing that between themselves, is there anything to, instead of L and inverting actually L, M, to go into the area west of M, going into Goodyear and the area south, extending both the growth areas to the west instead of M being landlocked?

MR. JOHNSON: It's a good job breaking up the areas described. District M, it's a Hispanic AUR, also a majority-minority district.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: If it go against minority-majority AURs, never mind.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Appears to me, h and I are in play, the only ones. I is already working on this. M and N are already majority-minority districts, so we don't want to change them. If we're going to do this, it would involve H, I, L, B, and L that would be the only significant interest I know of. In L, the question is whether there's truly a minority interest or not or -- but is there some other way combine this?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can I ask a question?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Will, Mr. Huntwork, will you yield to a question?
COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Tying I's growth to certain areas, it seems landlocked.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Certainly that's correct. To do anything, you'd work with F as well.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: F -- the north-south dividing line with F, there's the New River Anthem area. Those further south are well-defined communities.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: F is more pie-shaped and on the west it's a bit on the short side. Similar -- it would open up a corridor. You could create --

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I is one of the very few competitive districts.

MR. JOHNSON: To add something, L is competitive.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: I hate to say it. I agree with Ms. Minkoff on this.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Underline this.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: To the detriment of communities of interest, and AURs and testimony, and all the other stuff I derived from reading, participating in meetings there, I would like to have seen it, L, M, that rotation work. The rotation, advent of rotation, compactness just don't seem to work.
I'm prepared to leave it as is, move forward.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The important point is out just of the West Valley. We have a lot of districts, Maricopa growth areas, G, K, F, V, U. I feel really, really comfortable growth areas are disbursed, O, J, N, because areas served can't take growth areas in, in 10 years they'll be underpopulated in the two districts, unavoidable populations. And the way we draw districts ...

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I know it's unavoidable in many areas.

Again, what you've done, part of the valley is covered. And the west side, you just don't draw line and suddenly there's a block. I'm concerned. I don't think H, I, L, and tip, gerrymandered tip of L, involve the gerrymandered tip of it. I just want to say D on the growth both ends, is rapidly growth on both ends, and there are the rapidly growing areas of the Tri-City areas. There are serious population problems in D we'd like to see addressed if there's any way to do it.

MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, it's an
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unusual city line.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Unusual, the east in Glendale picks up part of the Hispanic AUR.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Chairman, there are four districts in the West Valley, H, L, M, D. To increase the number, would it do so much damage? 50 percent districts, strong growth districts. I think it's pretty reasonable.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Well, I feel in 10 years that district will be at least twice as big as the others.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I hate to see that happen. The stable population happens to be switched around.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

Mr. Huntwork, I'm very sympathetic to the 2000 Census data and what we'll do, in effect, to 10 years' growth rate in the state, growth rate in the county. The map will look at very different population, the next Commission, as it has to do it.

I take your point. I really do. And we should, where we can, anticipate growth we not know
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going to occur. What is difficult is I don't know how
to do it fairly or evenly. I do know one of the ways we
can approach it, it seems, one of the ways we have
approached it is lining up of the districts in such a
way as the periphery of the valley is generally divided,
is best divided, among a number of districts. Because
you can't assume where the growth is absolutely going to
occur or at what rate. Assume some distribution of
growth among districts on the periphery.

I really do take your point. I don't know
what changes we'd balance on that and I'm thinking.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think as much
growth as in D, F, K, and G all put together.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The only way to
see it, if F is further down into I, cut off part of the
district, cut off part in Peoria, the Glendale part of
Glendale. Glendale is currently cut. H is not --
therefore H is not a roadblock. Work H into the
equation, no specific community. Sun City we need to be
careful how we divide.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: The division at the
moment, we don't need to bring it up along some degree,
but Sun City, Sun City West, and Sun City Grand.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The homeowners'

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
Phoenix, Arizona
Association in Sun City Grand.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Jim, done?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I could go on and on.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Let me ask a question on a different bent.

Doug, what do you believe the urbanized area is populationwise in relation to the balance of D, the rural-urban influence, I guess growth? How soon urban, if it's not?

MR. JOHNSON: The district is dominated in the Maricopa portion. Rural portions go out to Surprise. It varies on who you ask. I'd hesitate to take a guess.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: I don't see any shift, gain there: Protect the rural-urban break. Seems like where we're at.

MR. JOHNSON: F, K made it easily at the -- what were at the edge's of dense population, narrow population. The freeway dense population of the southern edge. F, H, I have to be include the extremely narrow half of Wisconsin F, K. That would be a challenge.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I'm
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sufficiently concerned about this I'd like to make a
motion, I don't know if get any sympathy for this or
not, more like 150,000 people in D, a thousand like you
have sympathy for, would like you to ask the consultants
to think about and show us what it would take to break
up the enormous growth area currently all included in
District D.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

Sorry, Mr. Huntwork.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there an affirmative
motion on the map?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Would like to know
the answer to the question I asked previously.

MS. LEONI: I have the answer.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What was the
question?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The question on
districts of more than 15 percent difference.

MS. LEONI: The data sheet from Doug this
morning, new base map, 10 districts within 15 percent on
AQD measurement. Of the remaining 20, 8 Democratic, 12
Republican.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: We've come a long
way and worked very, very hard to find, identify, and respect communities of interest throughout the State of Arizona. We've worked very, very hard to find competitive districts that we could create without violating the other mandatory criteria of Proposition 106 to a significant extent.

There are things about this map I don't like. I'm sure that everyone else also has -- other people have other concerns about other things. But I think we have looked at all pieces of it at this point.

I'm not aware of any other issue that has been raised that hasn't been examined. And I think it may be time at this point to make a motion that we adopt this map. So I so move.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: To be sure, this is the adoption of test 4G-SV3 Revision 2 as amended. And you'll remember the "as amended" that is in there.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It is in there.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: So it's 4G-SV3 R 2 that we'll move forward with.

Discussion on that?

COMMISSIONER HALL: Point of order: I
wonder if we'll amend with minor flexibility for the
consultants to tweak boundaries to very small degrees
between Congressional lines and Legislative lines.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Similar instructions as to
Congressional lines?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: As I recall, there
are instructions where possible to avoid squiggles, juts
out, and try to smooth out for population deviation and
incorporate that as well.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Minor population
deviations.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Same instruction.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: As the Congressional map,
higher tolerance.

Is that acceptable?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'd ask counsel if
that addition makes a complete motion and what we need
to do with moving the map forward.

MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Elder, yes.

For the moment, I would recommend to get to the same
stage on this map as you're currently at with for the
Congressional one, final summary, for the moment.
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COMMISSIONER ELDER: This is it.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: The summary, at the moment, and then we'll talk about in the future where we need to go.

We're okay. I do. Promise I do.

MS. HAUSER: I do.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: So Mr. Elder is satisfied with the answer at the front end of it.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion on the motion.

Mr. Hall?

COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd like to say in summary I feel we have, Mr. Huntwork made every effort to accommodate a variety of interests throughout the great state, minorities, as were implemented as have ever been in any process. Native Americans and Hispanics, rural Arizona, has had significant representation. And there's ample competitiveness to allow for choices at the polls and people to vigorously debate the issues and hopefully develop better ideas and better policy for our state.

As Mr. Huntwork indicated, the map isn't perfect. It indicates significant compromise. On the whole, on the record, I'm pleased to be part of the process and proud to support adoption of this map.
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CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion on the motion?

If not, roll call.

Mr. Elder?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

The test, 4G-SV3 Revision 2, is passed and adopted as our preferred Legislative map.

We now have two maps that have come to this stage of completion. We have given instructions to the consultants to do required fine-tuning, required clean-up of traps that may occur, alignment issues of Congressional-Legislative lines that may be practical, adjustment of neighborhoods, minimal population-deviation issues that are in play.

Ms. Minkoff was going to ask something of our attorney, Ms. Hauser.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Ms. Hauser, is there something we need to add to this? We need to
instruct the letter designation change to number designation.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: At the risk of incurring an awful lot of debate, we could use the same.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: We started in Yavapai. We could do that and do it alphabetically, A being number one.

MR. JOHNSON: My only suggestion, in this revision F, K, G, they were flipped.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Change them.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: We used the context, Tucson was once designated for the Capitol, and it changed later.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Tucson once served as the State Capitol.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Refers to the State Capitol.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork wanted to weigh in.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: In this area, particularly some of the urban settings, I think there is room for -- people can be confused by changes in number. As to comments to that, running to the same precinct, to folks that lamented District 21, then District 7, now District 25, as if that were, you know,
a significant source of concern and confusion, I don't
see any concern, particularly in urban areas, to
identify the closest to current numbering system, to use
that and avoid as much confusion as possible.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: My concern there, there's
a lot of room in one's judgment on what is closest.
It's very difficult for someone to make an independent
judgment.

Mr. Johnson, Dr. Adams, this is our first
redistricting. You have a few under your belt. Is
there a methodology you might suggest?

COMMISSIONER HALL: I make a motion they
be numbered alphabetically.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Straight alphabetic
enumeration.

Moved and seconded.

Ms. Hauser?

MS. HAUSER: The only thing I'd point out, we made an effort to start with Yavapai because of the
historic precedent for Congressional 1. It's actually
applicable to Legislative maps. That's just for the
Commission's edification.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: That would be a different
point of view.
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Rather than go through the motion, would you be amenable if Prescott presides as 1, then going alphabetically?

COMMISSIONER HALL: I'm amenable to anything that ended this discussion.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'll second it.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'll second it.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Want to ask counsel, one second, I recall reading in a newspaper a number of candidates, incumbents, raised mention regarding term limitations how they might be affected. It was answered by the Attorney General there was no court decision regarding the issue, simply an Attorney General decision. Do you feel we should take that into consideration in any way?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser?

MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Huntwork, no.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall, is that acceptable?

COMMISSIONER HALL: I reiterate.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: C district 1 and proceed alphabetically.
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MR. JOHNSON: I suggest in -- that F, K, G, so, the geographic order, the relettering caused it to be flipped.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Yes.

All in favor of the motion, signify aye.

(Vote taken.)

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Opposed "no."

Motion carries. (Vote was 5-0.)

COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd instruct the consultants to provide electronic data and a binder with the final maps in light of -- I guess a number of folks, one of which scheduled me to do a synopsis, a Power Point presentation, for our benefit. They asked for a presentation on the process. And the quicker that might occur the better. It's the 8th of November.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: The quicker that can occur.

MS. HAUSER: I need two minutes to get a final motion ready for you.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: As that is being readied, we do need to have an additional meeting, at least one. That meeting is for the purpose of possibly making adjustment to maps as a result of instructions to consultants, other instructions for the record.

Is that the subject of the motion?
MS. HAUSER: That's included.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Excellent. I'll hold off.

MS. HAUSER: If you wanted to discuss and select even a date, as to selecting dates, that's appropriate to do.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Prior to getting there, before the crowd disburses, I'd like to thank the consultants.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen, if we could have it quiet.

COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd like to thank the consultants and staff, most especially Doug and Chris, for their dedication, flexibility, patience, and the tremendous work they've done.

Without objection, I'd instruct Dr. Heslop, they deserve a big bonus.

DR. HESLOP: I want mine, first.

COMMISSIONER HALL: So ordered.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: It has always been thus.

Wrap-up comments.

I do think, for the record, with the kind of redistricting we undertook, I believe it would have been extremely different. From a personal view, we're very fortunate we made good choices in legal counsel,
the consultants. The kind of redistricting we embarked
on needs to be followed in an open manner, and it's
extraordinary, open. Hiring NDC as mapping consultants,
I echo what Mr. Hall expressed. I think they're not
only the very most capable people in the country, they
did a tremendous job. They were so flexible with
requests given, responses done. I echo his sentiments.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I do as well.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser, other

business?

COMMISSIONER HALL: I do.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dates before Ms. Hauser.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Synchronize calendars to

the best of our ability? Everybody has theirs out

except the Chairman.

Look at a date.

Dr. Adams, two weeks or more hence?

DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, Members of the
Commission, a week for our work, a week for the

attorneys' to look over our work. I think that would be

satisfactory.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I didn't bring my
calendar. We'll have to do it by --

CHAIRMAN LYNN: We're trying to
incorporate it into the motion.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Maybe say since we're all here, pick a couple dates and see which is best?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do you know of any off the top of your head that are not possible?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Two weeks from Monday, I haven't looked that closely at my calendar that far in advance.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Week of Halloween, we could dress up.

We said we'd leave out in that lengthy process some opportunity available for people to comment.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Comments so they'd be able to...

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Nope. Not without a motion. Specifically falls clearly under instructions already given, they may not make changes.

So are talking about essentially the week of October 29th, sometime during that week?

Mondays are not good for me.

1st of November?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Wednesday?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thursday.
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One date is November 1st for you to at least clear on your calendar. The alternative is the next day -- it's a two- to three-hour meeting.

COMMISSIONER HALL: The next day.

COMMISSIONER HALL: The 2nd is better for me.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: You like Fridays and Mondays.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: I can make either.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Which, Friday?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: November 5th?

COMMISSIONER HALL: No.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I can't make Tuesday.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What about the 3rd, Saturday the 3rd?

CHAIRMAN LYNN: We can do that.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Could be the World Series.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork, Saturday the 3rd.

Concentrate on the 1st or 3rd, see what Mr. Huntwork's calendar looks like.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Do it Saturday.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Saturday the 3rd.
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COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Final or keep both days?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I can do that day.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think we're settled.

Ms. Hauser?

Wanted her to know for purposes of the motion, November 3rd has been selected.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

COMMISSIONER HALL: While legal counsel isn't paying attention, which would be good, we'll need to do a little administrative work negotiating with them on phase two of the process. I'd be happy to do that.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Say that again?

COMMISSIONER HALL: Legal counsel.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Oh. If that is required, bring it back to us on that date, fine.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Question about that. You mean subsequent to preclearance?

COMMISSIONER HALL: Mean into the process of preclearance. I think there was a question there, determine whether there was or was or wasn't a report back.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think that's fine.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I am concerned,
because the intention was to hire this counsel through
preclearance. They are the two attorneys best
qualified. I don't think there was question as to that
detail or is it an administrative detail?

COMMISSIONER HALL: Absolutely. The
question is detail.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It's very late in
the process. It could be expected, timewise. My
understanding, we haven't adopted the final map. It's
close enough to it they can begin to develop the
submission to the Department of Justice.

COMMISSIONER HALL: It will not be
delayed.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Fine.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Instruction is if
there's a problem, fix it.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm sorry the press is
otherwise occupied. I want to do this as well while
they are here, talk a moment about IRC staff.

The IRC staff has been absolutely
exceptional in this process.

Lou, if Lou or if Cindy are here, anybody
from staff are here in the hotel, in the room: IRC
staff is an exceptional group of people to work with!

This is a job on its face nothing short of team work.
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There's absolutely no possible way we could guarantee we'd get beyond this phase of the process where we are, preclearance, and any defense end, at some point in time and not too far into the future, without them. And to have a staff such as we assembled is truly outstanding.

I think for the record we need to acknowledge when we needed to be in several places per day at a time when we needed to be doing that, they did that. Air connections, remote connections, they did that!

Something in the press this week caught my eye. It was mentioned that our Executive Director wasn't here this week. I need to make two comments relative to that. First and foremost, our schedules were such, all of us felt we'd be finished before now.

When Mr. Echeveste was hired, he was hired as an outreach coordinator. Outreach ended in September. He had continued to maintain a family vacation for a long period of time prior to the process being started. With his elevation to Executive Director, it was very clear to me that we would miss him this week whatever was happening this week. We certainly thought we would be finished this week.

To his credit, I don't think anybody would have noticed a blip.
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What that means, Mr. Echeveste left a staff, a fully functioning and professional staff.

Mr. Echeveste's task, office functions, he does that in the most professional and responsible manner. He was able to take his vacation with the full support of the Commission. He also specifically deserves our thanks and respect. And I'm delighted. And hopefully he had a wonderful week with his family.

That having been said, is there a motion?

MR. ELDER: I move to adopt Draft 4EE as the Final Congressional and Draft 4G SV3 R2 as the Final Legislative Maps subject to:

Adjustments by NDC to the final Congressional map to equalize population to within one person to the extent practicable;

Adjustments by NDC to the final Legislative map to avoid small pockets of population trapped between Legislative and Congressional lines that would otherwise necessitate the creation of tiny precincts;

Adjustments by NDC necessary for administrative clean-up;

Identification by counsel of any areas in which the Commission needs to make a record of its finding concerning matters including, but not limited to
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other plans, substantial detriment and competitiveness adjustments and/or population deviations, before certification to the Secretary of State of the establishment of the Congressional and Legislative Districts; and that the Commission schedule a subsequent meeting on November 3, 2001, for the purpose of adopting these administrative changes to the maps, making any final statements or findings and voting to certify the maps to the Secretary of State.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion?

If no discussion, roll call.

Mr. Elder?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."

Any further business to come before the Commission?

(Appause bursts out from the audience for the Independent Redistricting Commissioners.)
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CHAIRMAN LYNN: I cannot tell you how much
I appreciate that.

As was testimony earlier and our custom,
there is one more opportunity for public comment. We
said we would, and we must.

MR. HARTDEGEN: The peanut gallery might
not.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: You have a slip.

MR. HARTDEGEN: One of the stuff they gave
me was my own stack of forms.

Jim Hartdegen, City of Casa Grande.

You guys are fun. This has been
educational for me. There has been a little bit of
history even for the peanut gallery.

My task has been to keep Casa Grande from
slipping away. I think that's been easy. I don't think
Casa Grande would have been slipped away even if put on
Mars. The second task given was to try to keep Western
Pinal County as whole as possible or whole. Third was
to keep Pinal as whole as possible. Kind of slipped on
Western Pinal, but not too bad.

I'd like to thank you. You really did on
the limb for this. I'd like to thank you on behalf of
the City of Casa Grande, Casa Grande Chamber of
Commerce, the Farm Bureau. I think they'll be very
pleased when I get back down and show them things. I'll post it on the nearest telephone pole to show things.

Thank you for allowing me leeway, several times, with movement of lines. When maps didn't make it, you were open to listen to that.

I'm personally pleased with the outcome for Pinal County.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Hartdegen.

Other members of the public that wish to be heard at this time.

If memory serves, Mr. Flannery.

Mr. Flannery from Prescott.

MR. FLANNERY: I'm the closest thing to a representative from area up there.

It's an honor to be here, to be designated and recognized for the original Capitol, Congressional District 1, Legislative District 1. Thank you for honoring us. I'll relay the message up there.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Flannery.

Other members of the public?

Mr. Solarez.

MR. SOLAREZ: Thank you for paying attention to the needs of minorities, even though before you guys were on the Commission, we chastised you, and
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you guys performed up to par. Respect has to be shown to you. You respected the State of Arizona. To bring a point to order, my family was from Tubac, it's actually the first Capitol.

I appreciate the friendships and wish you the best off.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other members wish to be heard?

MR. KIZER: Aaron Kizer, Citizens for Fair Redistricting.

We wish to thank you very much. We wish we achieved a more compact district. We have nine districts. You lived up to your end of the bargain. We'll live up to our end. We'll meet Tuesday and consider that, take it into formal consideration.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other members of the public that wish to be heard?

DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, Members of the Commission, National Demographics, all the staff of National Demographics, we've enjoyed working with all of you, we agree with you, you've been wonderfully superb, wonderfully responsive to us, groundbreakingly responsive, and it's groundbreaking work.
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CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further comments from the public?

If not, any member of the Commission have any business or statements from the dias?

MS. HAUSER: Thank the court reporter.

COMMISSIONER HALL: First public comment where we haven't been threatened to be sued.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Significant number of plaintiffs not present.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Elder, Ms. Minkoff?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: Just a vote of appreciation for our court reporter. Of all the reporters, we had the most timely putting out of reports. Even liked her when the reporter got back late from the break by 15 minutes. (Laughter) I appreciated the data, the ability to read and respond to us when you came to the meetings.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments from all of us on that, we feel the same way.

I think secretly, Ms. Nance has -- I think she has stock in steel cases. I had to buy three, four file cabinets just to put transcripts in.

She's really done an outstanding job.

And those of you used to use court
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reporting, they generally break every hour on the hour.

Ms. Nance has the ability to extend that, which helps us
and the public address us and our ability to get the job
done, which we very much appreciated.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: This has been a
very, very long road and we're almost at the end of it.
Our staff has been absolutely the tops.
I think our legal counsel. Jose, Lisa,
you guys are terrific!
Lisa not only does transcripts well,
quickly, on occasion she feeds us.
NDC, how you put up with us without
totally losing it in public is beyond me.
I've been very, very pleased to be a part
of this.
I believe the most important vote taken by
this Commission was the vote to elect Mr. Lynn as
Chairman. The end product was very much a result of
that decision.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Ms. Minkoff.

Further business to come before the
Commission?

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, not
necessarily on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Just need to get the
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tear out of my eye. I'm ready to go.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Help me, ladies and gentlemen.

We are, until the 3rd of November, we'll deal with the motion and other motions substantially made.

We're adjourned.

(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at approximately 12:45 p.m.)

* * * *
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