

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF ARIZONA

ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PUBLIC SESSION

Phoenix, Arizona  
July 17, 2001  
9:30 a.m.

ARIZONA INDEPENDENT  
REDISTRICTING  
COMMISSION

LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR  
Certified Court Reporter  
Certificate No. 50349

1 THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING  
2 COMMISSION convened in Public Session on July 17, 2001,  
3 at 9:30 o'clock a.m., at 1700 West Washington, 2nd  
4 Floor, Governor's Reception Hall, Phoenix, Arizona, in  
5 the presence of:

6

7 APPEARANCES:

8 CHAIRMAN STEVEN W. LYNN

9 COMMISSIONER JAMES R. HUNTWORK

10 COMMISSIONER JOSHUA M. HALL

11 COMMISSIONER ANDI MINKOFF

12 COMMISSIONER DANIEL R. ELDER

13 ADOLFO ECHEVESTES, Executive Director

14 AMY REZZONICO, Press Information Officer

15 LISA T. HAUSER, Commission Counsel

16 JOSE de JESUS RIVERA, Commission Counsel

17 LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Court Reporter

18 TIM JOHNSON, MC, Computer Consultant

19 DR. ALAN HESLOP, NDC, Consultant

20 DR. FLORENCE ADAMS, NDC, Consultant

21 IAN RUDGE, NDC, Support Staff

22 MARION PORCH, NDC, Support Staff

23 AUGUSTA KNIGHT, AIRC Staff Member

24

25

1

2 SPEAKERS FROM CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

3 SUPERVISOR MARY ROSE WILCOX

4 AARON KIZER

5 BRUCE MACARTHUR

6 JIM HARTDEGEN

7 STEVE GALLARDO

8 DANNY ORTEGA

9

10 AGENDA DESIGNATED SPEAKERS:

11

12 DR. FLORENCE ADAMS

13 DR. ALAN HESLOP

14 ADOLFO ECHEVESTES

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Public Session  
2 Phoenix, Arizona  
3 July 17, 2001  
4 9:30 o'clock a.m.

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
P R O C E E D I N G S

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Good morning, ladies and  
7 gentlemen. If I can ask your indulgence and patience,  
8 we do not have an amplification system set up for the  
9 Commission. So I would ask you to maintain as much  
10 silence as you can, and perhaps you can hear me in the  
11 back.

12 Mr. Rios, can you hear me?

13 SENATOR RIOS: Absolutely.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

15 We will try to speak as loudly as we can.  
16 We'll ask your indulgence to try to keep the room as  
17 quiet as possible.

18 The first order of business is public  
19 comment.

20 This is the time for consideration and  
21 discussion of comments and complaints from the public.  
22 Those wishing to address the Commission shall request  
23 permission in advance by filling out a yellow speaker  
24 slip. Action taken as a result of public comment will  
25 be limited to directing staff to study the matter or

1 rescheduling the matter for further consideration at a  
2 later date.

3 I have in my possession five speaker  
4 slips. I know one group wishes to make a presentation.  
5 We'll start with that presentation.

6 I've asked the group limit their comments.  
7 We do have their material in both electronic and written  
8 forms. That will allow us to have as many comments this  
9 morning in the public comment section as we can.

10 Let me ask Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox,  
11 Aaron Kizer, and Steve Gallardo to come forward.

12 I believe, Supervisor Wilcox, if you hit  
13 the on-and-off switch, that works. You are amplified.

14 SUPERVISOR WILCOX: Thank you very much.

15 We're here today, and we'd like to thank  
16 you for having the public comment portion first. Many  
17 groups should follow your lead.

18 We came before you as a coalition when you  
19 had the South Mountain hearing. We gathered together to  
20 show the commonalities of interest at the hearing at  
21 South Mountain Community College. We gathered together  
22 commonalities of interest from Maricopa and Southern  
23 Arizona.

24 Since that hearing, we now worked very  
25 hard in the second stage of the state redistricting

1 process.

2 We are presenting today and we formally  
3 submitted yesterday to the Commission office, and I hope  
4 you will review it, our presentation.

5 We'll be abbreviated today. We have just  
6 myself and Aaron Kizer as speakers.

7 We've taken areas of commonalities, made  
8 ten majority-minority Legislative Districts districts,  
9 and are supporting Congressman Ed Pastor's map with two  
10 revisions we'll talk about.

11 We'll immediately talk about, have Aaron  
12 come up, present the 10 Legislative Districts,  
13 majority-minority population. The reason we've done  
14 this is for compliance with the Voter Rights Act of 1965  
15 which gave equal access to minorities, particularly in  
16 areas of commonalities.

17 Aaron.

18 MR. KIZER: I won't belabor the point. I  
19 thank you for your indulgence and time this morning.

20 We've created 10 districts which we think  
21 allow minority voters in each district to elect voters  
22 of their choice. We understand you can do revisions,  
23 and you may have to do that.

24 We believe additional support,  
25 considerable support will come in from non-Hispanic

1 groups, Native Americans, other organizations that  
2 haven't had sufficient time to digest the maps.  
3 Preliminary indications are there is strong support  
4 throughout Arizona.

5                   There are a couple issues we want to talk  
6 about.

7                   District 17, the salmon-colored area  
8 there, we did go up Agua Fria to Surprise and El Mirage.  
9 We know that configuration has been criticized as  
10 gerrymandered in the past.

11                   What do El Mirage and Surprise,  
12 traditional Hispanic populations, have in common with  
13 Sun City, Sun City Grand? They get no vote whatsoever.  
14 That configuration, following the Agua Fria, has been  
15 approved by the Department of Justice in the past.

16                   Again, it may not be the prettiest thing  
17 to look at, but it works, protects the interests of  
18 those communities, gives them a chance to have a  
19 meaningful decision in electing candidates of their  
20 choice.

21                   Let me talk a little bit about District 8  
22 which is purplish in color. You see it in Maricopa  
23 County. Again, that's a huge area.

24                   Again, that's Pinal County, somewhat  
25 sparsely populated, doesn't have the density of Maricopa

1 County. There are two reasons why it's spread out like  
2 we do in Maricopa County, the first is to gather Native  
3 American Reservations in Maricopa County in one  
4 district. You see Fort McDowell, Salt River, Pima,  
5 Maricopa County Reservations, Ak-Chin, Tohono O'odhom  
6 there, come into Avondale, pick up additional Hispanic,  
7 make it majority minority, 54 percent, I think, if I  
8 remember correctly.

9                   Again, we had to do that to build a  
10 majority-minority district, keep the communities  
11 together, keep the Native American community together in  
12 districts that have a common interest in election  
13 districts.

14                   District 29, we did select the Hopis out  
15 of the district at the request of the Hopis and link  
16 them into a Hopi district rather than with the Navajo  
17 Reservation.

18                   Tucson, we have District 9, which at the  
19 very bottom, the green there, a majority-minority  
20 district, barely, 54 percent, again, we ran out of time  
21 in terms of tweaking numbers, but we did get up to  
22 majority-minority, barely. The area could use some more  
23 work.

24                   The rest is pretty much self-explanatory.

25                   One of the dilemmas for the Commission,



1 the Constitution mentions competitive districts. There  
2 are two approaches to doing that. One, try to balance  
3 Republicans, Independents, and Democrats in each  
4 district to make it competitive. Another way of doing  
5 it, the way we suggest, and a way that recognizes  
6 disbursement of populations throughout the state,  
7 communities within a district, build Democratic  
8 districts, Republican districts, swing districts, and  
9 balance it that way. Doing it that way, you allow  
10 construction of majority-minority districts we're like  
11 doing here. Turn out heavily Democratic party  
12 registration, the Supreme Court recognized you can draw  
13 districts, safe political party districts, be it  
14 Republican or Democrat. That's what our districts do,  
15 Democratic party registration districts, Hunt vs.  
16 Comenterry (phonetic). We think it's the thing to do,  
17 the only thing to do, to build majority-minority  
18 districts and protect those interests.

19 Thank you.

20 SUPERVISOR WILCOX: In concluding the  
21 presentation submitted, you'll have available maps with  
22 10 majority-minority districts for your review.

23 We're also supporting Congressman Pastor's  
24 Congressional map with two minor changes, one,  
25 separation of the Hopi and Navajo Nation, and also

1 honoring the Chicano policy submission submitted to you  
2 Thursday. Those two minor changes give us two minority,  
3 majority-minority districts.

4 I'd also like to take this opportunity to  
5 ask the members of our coalition to stand. Many people  
6 came out from Guadalupe and other areas of the state.  
7 If those here would stand, be recognized.

8 We have very good cross-state support.

9 We're hoping the Inter-Tribal Council  
10 supports our map as well as the African American  
11 Congress.

12 We'll leave you now. We know now is not  
13 the time for questions.

14 Please honor the commitment that was made  
15 when you had the hearings. We were at South Mountain  
16 and really appreciated the 24 hearings the Commission  
17 had. We know it was grueling on all of you. It was  
18 very, very worthwhile. We'd ask you to duplicate that.

19 Thank you very much.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Supervisor,  
21 very much.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The next speaker is Bruce  
23 MacArthur.

24 Mr. MacArthur.

25 MR. MACARTHUR: Thank you very much.

1 I'm here as a public citizen today,  
2 Independent, and a member of the Coronado Historical  
3 District where I'm a vice president.

4 We're here today to propose to you a  
5 separate Congressional District for the greater downtown  
6 Phoenix area. We think there's perhaps no clear  
7 distinction for the purposes of a community district  
8 between suburban and urban communities.

9 Unique areas of interest in our area are  
10 some of the following: Maintenance of the historical  
11 character of the areas is important to us; redevelopment  
12 of downtown historical neighborhoods; revitalization of  
13 the neighborhood down here; abatement of crime not  
14 associated with suburban life, prostitution, gangs, that  
15 kind thing, drug houses, issues relating to education  
16 that are unique to us.

17 For instance, I was talking with the  
18 principal of North High yesterday. She mentioned that  
19 the student-to-teacher ratio is 33 to one. That's very  
20 high. She didn't think that was in her interests as a  
21 principal and in the students' best interests, either.  
22 Schools often are poorly funded and certainly  
23 overcrowded.

24 Focus on redevelopment, not so much  
25 development.

1                   We believe that it's quite clear that  
2 while there are issues relating to suburban development,  
3 which we might share, they are relatively distinct from  
4 those which we have down in the central areas.

5                   We don't have a particular map for you  
6 today. We are just proposing this in general. We think  
7 it will allow us to have representation at the federal  
8 level which we do not have right now.

9                   As you know, the neighbors in the Central  
10 Phoenix area are part of the Greater Congressional  
11 Districts, the lion's share of which are outside of the  
12 Phoenix area, whether it be in Mesa, or North  
13 Scottsdale, or West Phoenix. So this proposal comes to  
14 you with not just the Coronado Historical Association's  
15 support; we also talked to other neighbors downtown.

16                   We believe we'll participate in hearings  
17 in an active way in the month to come and come back to  
18 you again with more concrete proposals.

19                   We hope that this meets with your  
20 receptive ears and we can make some headway.

21                   Thank you. It's nice to see you all  
22 again.

23                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. MacArthur.

24                   Next to speak is Mr. Hartdegen, Jim  
25 Hartdegen.

1 MR. HARTDEGEN: My name is Jim Hartdegen.  
2 I'm here today representing the City of  
3 Casa Grande.

4 By the way, we appreciated the hearing in  
5 Casa Grande. I think the people who attended and people  
6 who didn't, people that heard about it a few days later,  
7 were very pleased.

8 I want to make a couple comments about the  
9 Congressional grid. We're very happy, by the way, on  
10 the Legislative side of it, and very happy with our  
11 presentation to you. But the Congressional grid, there  
12 are just several concerns.

13 If -- I'm doing this by memory now. It  
14 takes Yuma County, south of Gila, skirts around Yuma  
15 County, takes the southern end of Maricopa County to the  
16 Mexico border, the gunnery range, not much there, Pinal  
17 County, almost all of Pinal County, then swoops into a  
18 good piece of Pima County around the university area.  
19 It's not a bad situation, actually, except it takes a  
20 lot of agricultural area through the Yuma area, Pinal  
21 area, and Marana Red Rock area in Pima County.

22 It's been my experience over the years  
23 that a lot of people in Pima County don't like  
24 agriculture. I'm not saying a person from Pima County  
25 would win and a person that wins from Pima County would

1 necessarily hate agriculture. It's my experience people  
2 from Pima County don't like pecan trees, cotton, or  
3 anything else like that.

4                   It would be terrible to have a good  
5 portion of agriculture, a tremendous portion of  
6 agriculture in that district, and have somebody  
7 represent us in Washington that wouldn't fight for water  
8 and everything else. That's just a concern we have.

9                   Thank you.

10                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Hartdegen.

11                   Next to speak is Steve Gallardo.

12                   MR. GALLARDO: Good morning,  
13 Commissioners. My name is Steve Gallardo, Redistricting  
14 Chair, Coalition for Latino Political Action.

15                   I come representing the Hispanic minority  
16 community this morning.

17                   This map not only increases the number of  
18 majority-minority districts but, most importantly,  
19 reflects the growth of the state's population. This map  
20 takes into consideration communities of interest which  
21 you heard from at the South Mountain public hearing, and  
22 it allows minority communities an equal and fair chance  
23 for fair representation in the State Legislature.

24                   Second, I'd like to comment on item number  
25 seven on today's agenda. It is regarding the public

1 hearings. I would recommend to the Commission that the  
2 public hearings not be cut in half, that we have full  
3 public hearings as we did in the first round. It allows  
4 public comment, not only from the minority community but  
5 the entire State of Arizona. Cutting it in half kind of  
6 limits the input and participation of the general public  
7 for the State of Arizona.

8 With that, thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Gallardo.

10 The next speaker slip for this round of  
11 public comment is from Danny Ortega.

12 Mr. Ortega.

13 If others wish to speak, if they would get  
14 those slips in, I'd appreciate it.

15 MR. ORTEGA: Thank you.

16 I indicated if my questions were answered,  
17 I would not speak. Maybe I'll stay longer.

18 I'm representing Congressman Ed Pastor.  
19 Last week, I understand from the consultant, the maps  
20 proposed by Congressman Ed Pastor have in fact been fact  
21 submitted. We hope to, in the next round of hearings,  
22 to present maps to all of you. And I'll be presenting  
23 them to you and telling you why I believe those are the  
24 maps you ought to follow as relates to following and  
25 drawing of Congressional District lines.

1                   We appreciate your effort. We hope you  
2 will keep the same number of hearings so everybody can  
3 have their input, and we look forward to speaking to the  
4 Commission in the future so we can present our maps.

5                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Ortega.  
6 Thank you very much.

7                   Are there other members of the public  
8 wishing to speak at this time?

9                   We'll have another public comment session  
10 toward the end of the meeting today.

11                   Seeing none, we'll close the public  
12 comment period.

13                   And item III: Discussion and possible  
14 approval of the minutes of the Commission's April 5th,  
15 April 13th, May 10, May 18, May 25th, and June 7th  
16 public meetings.

17                   I believe the Commissioners have received  
18 the minutes for all of those meetings.

19                   Is there a motion?

20                   COMMISSIONER HALL: One motion for all of  
21 them?

22                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: I would.

23                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I move we approve  
24 the minutes of the meetings mentioned.

25                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second.



1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion?  
2 All those in favor, say "aye."  
3 (Vote taken.)  
4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes aye.  
5 Motion carries unanimously.  
6 Item IV: Possible Executive Session for  
7 discussion or consultation for legal advice with the  
8 Commission's attorneys pursuant to A.R.S.  
9 38-431.03(A)(3).  
10 I understand from counsel this will be a  
11 brief Executive Session.  
12 May I have a "motion"?  
13 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I move we move into  
14 Executive Session.  
15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second?  
16 COMMISSIONER HALL: Second.  
17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All those in favor signify  
18 "aye."  
19 (Vote taken.)  
20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."  
21 (Motion carries.)  
22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I know when we go into  
23 Executive Session, I guess today this one is relatively  
24 short, probably 20 minutes is a reasonable number, so in  
25 20 minutes or less we should be back in Public Session.

1 Thank you very much.

2 (Whereupon, the Commission recessed Open  
3 Public Session at approximately 10:22 a.m. and convened  
4 in Executive Session from approximately 10:25 a.m. until  
5 11:00 a.m.)

6 (Recess taken.)

7 (Whereupon, Open Public Session reconvened  
8 at approximately 11:05 a.m.)

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Calling the public back to  
10 order.

11 Presentation, discussion, and possible  
12 decision with respect to the adoption of AURs, Arizona  
13 units of representation, and deadline for citizen input.

14 We'd ask Dr. Heslop, Dr. Adams, to go  
15 ahead and make the presentation.

16 I think for purposes of the presentation,  
17 it's probably easier for us to sort of relocate while  
18 doing the Power Point.

19 Will you be doing that first?

20 DR. HESLOP: I'll talk about the binder  
21 very briefly, if I may.

22 We put together a binder, as requested at  
23 the last session. It was shipped and, apparently, in  
24 some cases, the binders came apart and materials may  
25 have been reshuffled. We'd be glad to work with the

1 Commissioners to make sure materials are in the right  
2 order.

3 I should begin by saying the binder is our  
4 effort to summarize this very extensive public process  
5 that the Commission has been conducting and to include  
6 in it summary materials up to July the 10th.

7 Our intention would be to work this next  
8 week to bring the binder fully up-to-date as of today's  
9 date, July 17th. And we would anticipate coming at the  
10 next meeting with additional materials to insert in your  
11 already very bulky binder.

12 To say just a word or two about the use of  
13 this binder, the contents are described in a note  
14 immediately after the title page.

15 The report that follows the table of  
16 contents is going to be covered in the Power Point  
17 presentations NDC will make in a moment. The report is  
18 our effort to state what we believe to be the best  
19 approach to grid adjustment and AUR use.

20 Immediately following the report there are  
21 a number of colored tabs. The first colored tab, Arabic  
22 one, covers the summaries of meetings that the  
23 Commission held in different parts of the state.

24 Unfortunately, one of the court reporters,  
25 certainly not one in this area of the state, but one of

1 the court reporters failed technically to get the  
2 materials into our hands until late last week. Although  
3 all summaries are here, we've not had an opportunity to  
4 excerpt summaries.

5                   Following each summary sheet, there are  
6 excerpts. One word about the summaries. They've been  
7 read by NDC senior staff. This is something we took on  
8 ourselves, the summaries. These reflect our particular  
9 interpretation of what was done.

10                   Our hope is that the Commission will today  
11 authorize these summaries to be put onto the web in both  
12 English and in Spanish. And we have full confidence in  
13 the citizens of Arizona, if they don't like it, the  
14 citizens of Arizona, they'll critique it, comment on it,  
15 and let us know.

16                   If you want changes in the summary, we'll  
17 make them.

18                   Our first recommendation today is these  
19 summaries and excerpts, if you wish, go on the web in  
20 both English and Spanish.

21                   We'll receive this week the transcript  
22 from the 24th of the hearings and provide a summary and  
23 excerpt on that.

24                   Next tab, tab two, covers our citizen  
25 input forms, CIFs, as we've begun to colloquially refer

1 to them. There's a cover sheet with abbreviations.  
2 We've added abbreviations when CIFs have a likeness to  
3 one another in terms of language that suggests  
4 coordination. We did this at the request of one of the  
5 Commissioners, and you'll see there are, in some cases,  
6 two asterisks, two citizens really designed responses  
7 together, in some cases a half dozen or more asterisks.

8                   The first section up to the yellow sheet  
9 covers statewide CIFs reviewed as of July 10. And the  
10 volume continues to increase and is coming at us pretty  
11 rapidly.

12                   We anticipate we'll summarize all CIFs as  
13 of July 17 prior to your next meeting.

14                   The statewide review is simply as they  
15 came to us. We haven't arranged them by response, those  
16 who emphasize rural representation, those who emphasized  
17 freeways as something they wished used, those that  
18 listed something else.

19                   Senior staff read the summaries, listed  
20 them. We read each and every one ourselves. There is a  
21 breakdown. You can look at the different areas of the  
22 state and use that in whatever way you wish.

23                   Next week when we come back, our  
24 anticipation would be we'd provide statistical summaries  
25 so you can compare the areas in terms of different

1 priorities as expressed by the citizens.

2                   The next attachment, attachment three,  
3 letters and written comments.

4                   Now, it is true that we have received an  
5 enormous volume of written comment. And it is perhaps  
6 invidious to select from among these written comments  
7 some and not others. What we have done is to select for  
8 inclusion here those written comments that suggested an  
9 opinion held broadly, or by more than one opinion, or,  
10 in a few cases, an opinion expressed by an individual  
11 that seemed to us to bear in on a very interesting or  
12 useful concept. Again, all letters and written comments  
13 were read by senior staff. It was not left to others to  
14 read letters. I know the Commissioners are reading  
15 them. It may be that you will wish to add some of  
16 these.

17                   These letters and written comments come to  
18 us by the IRC office.

19                   You have here materials that came to us as  
20 of July 10th. We will add suggestions for inclusion in  
21 this section by the next meeting.

22                   You can see we have subtabs here, numbered  
23 subtabs, which again provide you a regional breakdown.  
24 It is an index of letters, comments immediately  
25 following the tab.

1                   Next tab, section four, these are citizen  
2 maps that came to us. This is in some ways the least  
3 complete of the sections. We have concerns that when we  
4 receive a paper map we do the best possible job in  
5 representing the intent of the author. We much prefer,  
6 I might say, we receive statewide or complex maps in  
7 electronic form. We've done what we can with the  
8 citizen kits and maps that we received as of July 10.  
9 And you will see that we have produced maps of them.

10                   Again, it would be our suggestion that  
11 among the Commission's review you consider placing some  
12 or all of these maps on the website.

13                   Under tab five, we've provided what we  
14 hope are user-friendly statistics, Census data for  
15 counties, major cities, cities of 10,000 population or  
16 more, tribal reservations, and some other important  
17 citizen categories, Hispanic concentrations, Native  
18 American concentrations, African American  
19 concentrations. These are things easy to provide.  
20 Anything Commissioners wish us to provide, we can easily  
21 provide. The attachment is to meet a major request  
22 today.

23                   Here are the AURs. Each flows either from  
24 testimony at a hearing or from CIFs, in many cases, most  
25 cases, from both.

1                   Again, this is not NDC's point of view,  
2                   it's citizen points of view with regard to what citizens  
3                   perceive important communities to be on the map.

4                   These are the shapes which we would  
5                   suggest to the Commission. This is our second request  
6                   to be placed upon the web for review by citizens.

7                   So, Mr. Chairman, members of the  
8                   Commission, that is our binder. We would anticipate it  
9                   would be somewhat bulkier next time. We are also, of  
10                  course, able to thin it down. We can take materials out  
11                  as well as put it in. We await your instruction on  
12                  that.

13                  Mr. Chairman, if it is appropriate, I'll  
14                  take questions on the binder or, otherwise, move to the  
15                  Power Point presentation.

16                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm wondering, Dr. Heslop,  
17                  if we, as we move through this, take your  
18                  recommendations, things you've asked us to consider, and  
19                  do those as we move through the presentation, to keep  
20                  those in close proximity to the discussion.

21                  Questions or comments on the binder  
22                  presentation so far?

23                  Ms. Minkoff.

24                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I have one comment,  
25                  actually concern. In the section where you, in the



1 section where you've listed the citizen input, various  
2 letters and other submissions, Power Point presentations  
3 and so forth we've received, we've had some technical  
4 problems where some of these are obviously only partial  
5 submissions, as one, I noticed we got every other page  
6 of something. There may be others I haven't picked up  
7 on yet. I don't know how to prevent this from happening  
8 in the future.

9 We need some kind of review of this to  
10 make sure materials we are getting are complete so we're  
11 getting all information submitted to us.

12 DR. HESLOP: Commissioner Minkoff, my  
13 suggestion would be we take the section, review it as  
14 quickly as possible, and return next time with a  
15 corrected, complete section.

16 DR. ADAMS: We also have more materials to  
17 add.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments or  
19 questions?

20 Then on to suggestions Dr. Heslop made in  
21 terms of posting material in the binder to the website  
22 insofar as we make it available to the public, both in  
23 English and Spanish.

24 Is there a motion to that effect?

25 Ms. Minkoff.

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: So moved.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second?

3 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second for purposes  
4 of discussion.

5 What portions are we proposing be put up  
6 in English and Spanish?

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dr. Heslop?

8 DR. HESLOP: The proposal is summaries go  
9 up in both English and Spanish. We -- I believe that  
10 the excerpts are a useful addition, that they help to  
11 add a kind of flavor to an otherwise perhaps excessively  
12 precede report. Add excerpts as well, summaries and  
13 excerpts.

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Excerpts. Citizen  
15 input forms? That would be a tremendous body, I'd think  
16 unnecessary.

17 DR. HESLOP: It is indeed. My reference  
18 to excerpts, that's the page immediately following the  
19 summary. If you open tab one, we have a summary of the  
20 meeting that took place at Yuma. It is on one page.  
21 And then on the page following, we have excerpts from  
22 the actual testimony.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: I agree with the  
25 motion. Subsequent to any additions or input. we've

1 not had an opportunity to visit about some of these  
2 summaries. There's a couple where I feel like there  
3 should be some additional information. I mean, all of  
4 us perceive things through different eyes. Some valid  
5 points on some things I think need to be added. I  
6 just -- I support the motion.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think we need to  
9 get as much information on the website as we can as soon  
10 as we can.

11 I do think these summaries should have a  
12 disclaimer, simply that they are the summary of our  
13 consultant, so they accurately reflect what they are.

14 I am concerned about -- I think the second  
15 part of the motion, which is showing the units of  
16 representation. I haven't had a chance to look at  
17 those. I don't know that it would hurt the process much  
18 if we waited a week, had a chance to look at those and  
19 comment on them. I think they're a very important part  
20 of this process.

21 I think I would like to have the  
22 opportunity to at least look at them and think about  
23 them a little bit before we present them.

24 Again, if we did do it, I would want to  
25 make sure it was properly labeled that they were simply

1 the NDC product and we had not had a chance to review  
2 them. I wonder how much value they'd have with that  
3 type of disclaimer.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: A couple comments to  
6 that. Several AURs are identified as either county  
7 boundaries, or keep the entire county of Cochise  
8 together, La Paz together, whatever it may have been.  
9 Those are very easy to put in. My concern would be we  
10 want to make sure that the disclaimer is these are not  
11 complete yet.

12 I believe three areas we had completed,  
13 section 26 on down, some things happened in  
14 Amphitheatre, mentioned some AURs there, a meeting I  
15 attended, a couple others. I asked NDC about it. Three  
16 sections are incomplete. As long as we had this as work  
17 in progress, incomplete, next week bring it up-to-date  
18 to the 17th, or whatever the next cut-off date would be,  
19 it's appropriate to put all the input we've received on  
20 the website.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments?

22 Ready for the question?

23 All those in favor of the motion, signify  
24 by --

25 MR. RIVERA: Hold on one second.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll wait until counsel  
2 is ready. Apparently there is another meeting going on  
3 there we weren't invited to.

4                   MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman, it's only that  
5 the motion, if you look at agenda item Number IV -- I'm  
6 sorry, agenda item Number V, only that the motion, I  
7 think Ms. Minkoff's motion, to the fact we put --  
8 placing these on the website, it's a step into the  
9 adoption, eventual adoption of AURs, so it would tie  
10 into that agenda item. That's all.

11                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Add to the motion?

12                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: That was my note.

13                   I simply want them as raw data, not to  
14 necessarily be a step toward that.

15                   Do we need a motion for this or can we  
16 simply ask our web master to put this material on the  
17 website?

18                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: We can ask things happen.  
19 When we make motions is how we decide to do that.

20                   I would ask --

21                   MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman, if it's not  
22 part of the process leading to adoption, leading up to  
23 AURs, I'm not sure you can make that motion.

24                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Then, in that case -- two  
25 issues. One is posting of material. Certainly any

1 material that has been gathered through the process can  
2 be made available to the public as material.

3 MR. RIVERA: Right. Then you don't need a  
4 motion.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If we stop short of  
6 posting recommended AURs at this point and continue that  
7 for further discussion and consideration by the  
8 Commission, then we've accomplished essentially what  
9 Dr. Heslop asked us to do.

10 MR. RIVERA: You don't need a motion to do  
11 that.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Then I'd ask the maker and  
13 seconder to withdraw that.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It's done.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Okay.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd direct staff to put  
17 the material on the website, label it as work in  
18 progress.

19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Specifically  
20 talking about summaries, meeting summaries, correct?

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The meeting  
22 summaries, at the end of each one, they have AURs  
23 listed. But those are just what you gleaned from the  
24 meeting, and they are nothing we have adopted or  
25 approved. I think an indication has to be made that

1 that is what those AURs are.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll make sure the input  
3 is appropriately labeled in that fashion.

4 Dr. Heslop, if you would like to continue.

5 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, members of the  
6 Commission, we have two very short Power Point  
7 presentations.

8 I'd ask if the Commissioners at the head  
9 table would care to move so we can shine our projector  
10 on the screen.

11 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission,  
12 while waiting for the Power Point to come up, let me say  
13 what these Power Points seek to do. The first, as we  
14 promised last time we would do, refers to the problems  
15 of grid adjustment.

16 It begins with a little account of where  
17 we are in terms of our work. The second will deal with  
18 our proposed solutions to problems of grid adjustment,  
19 at least the first phase of grid adjustment.

20 So, Mr. Chairman, if I may move into this  
21 first Power Point, I want to say very quickly what we  
22 have done in terms of our recent work.

23 As I mentioned when we were discussing the  
24 binder, senior staff have read all testimony, citizen  
25 input forms, and dealt with citizen input kits and maps

1 and dealt with Census materials.

2                   These are some of the AUR maps that were  
3 developed from testimony and from the citizen input  
4 forms.

5                   I agree with all the comments made by the  
6 Commissioners. These maps are our understanding of the  
7 citizen testimony.

8                   One reason to place them on the website is  
9 to get citizen reaction, whether indeed these were the  
10 AURs they were anticipating. And I expect that there  
11 will be a fair volume of citizen reaction.

12                   Here is another AUR map that speaks to the  
13 bulk of testimony that we received and the bulk of  
14 citizen input we received. But we need to note also,  
15 and it is something we will do in terms of these AUR  
16 submissions, we need to note there are a number of  
17 people that said this AUR is not what they want at all.  
18 They want something very different indeed, as perhaps  
19 you can imagine.

20                   Yet another AUR. Again, these come from  
21 testimony. They come from citizen input forms. They  
22 are merely our effort to summarize in map form what we  
23 heard, what we have read in reflecting on and going  
24 through this testimony.

25                   Here is another AUR, again supported with



1 a lot of testimony in this case, resolutions, and CIFs.

2 Now, as we have accepted these AURs, we  
3 have also become very conscious that there is quite a  
4 lot of conflict over AURs. We refer to these hot spots  
5 in terms of the heat of testimony, if you want, the  
6 conflict with regard to testimony.

7 Here, for example, is something that many  
8 citizens in Sedona agitated about but that fails to  
9 agitate citizens in, at least some citizens, in the  
10 county areas. Sedona divides a county line. How easy  
11 is it to adjust that grid to satisfy the citizens of  
12 Sedona? You've seen the map we displayed. How easy is  
13 it to use that information from hearings to reshape the  
14 grid line? And, of course, as we do so, if we do so,  
15 and this is only a hypothetical, we'd have to in each  
16 case relate it to the grid and give a reason in terms of  
17 citizen testimony for such adjustment.

18 I'm emphasizing ease at this point.

19 Here's another easy hot spot to adjust.  
20 The Yuma testimony came at us forcefully. Yuma wishes  
21 to be together. They don't like the grid on the right.  
22 Well, then, how easy is it to make this grid adjustment?

23 We heard testimony, too, from tribal  
24 representatives that the Tohono O'odhom Nation had been  
25 disadvantaged by the grid. Okay. Let's correct it.

1 But, of course, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission,  
2 there are problems not suggested by these very simple  
3 resolutions. There are problems of grid adjustment.  
4 And it is important that we face them at this early  
5 stage of the process.

6                   You make a change in a grid unit, it has  
7 consequences. Change one factor, you change other  
8 factors in that grid unit. The change you've made may  
9 satisfy this aspiration, this AUR, but it may result in  
10 changing the grid unit that disadvantages some other  
11 community or component. No grid changes are without  
12 consequences.

13                   I state the obvious here, but it is an  
14 important obvious to keep well in mind. Moreover, if  
15 you change one grid unit, you end up by changing others.

16                   The first lesson in redistricting is  
17 something called the ripple. You change a unit here, it  
18 results in this change of a unit there. And the ripple  
19 effect can run extensively through the whole map. So  
20 that's a problem, too.

21                   And here is a problem. The Commission has  
22 placed a great deal of emphasis on the public process.

23                   I have read, senior staff at NDC have read  
24 this testimony. We've read the citizen input forms.  
25 And we're here to tell you that some of these AURs are

1 in conflict with one another. People in one community  
2 want this AUR. People in that community want that AUR.  
3 It's a bit of a problem for the Commission here. And  
4 also, there are criteria, the questions of what weight  
5 you give this criteria as against that criteria. So  
6 there are many problems of grid adjustment,  
7 Mr. Chairman.

8 And I'm going to say something about the  
9 last two. I'm going to say something about conflicts  
10 between AURs.

11 There is disagreement, sometimes sharp and  
12 heated. Members of the Commission have seen it in these  
13 hearings about this county, this city. Should it be  
14 kept together? Should it be separate? And people have  
15 taken very widely divergent views. "What about this  
16 group? Should it be recognized?"

17 "You recognized that other group. What  
18 about recognizing this group?"

19 "Is this a real community? I don't think  
20 it a real community."

21 "I do."

22 That kind of conflict runs through the  
23 testimony and the CIFs.

24 I don't want to exaggerate it, because  
25 there's a lot of unanimity in these cases. In some real

1 cases, the Commissioners will have to act as Solomon.

2 As we know, Proposition 106 lists  
3 standards, criteria, and some of them, certainly, have a  
4 kind of legal Constitution priority. But then there are  
5 the others in Proposition 106. What about them?

6 Now, to be cynical for a moment, in many  
7 redistricting processes, indeed in all legislative  
8 processes I know of, the multiplicity of criteria is  
9 viewed by incumbents and their staffs as an advantage  
10 because you can choose this criterion here to solve that  
11 political problem and these other criterion there to  
12 solve another political problem and the underlying  
13 basis, and who's to criticize them? Perhaps we'd do it  
14 in their place. Perhaps the underlying basis for use of  
15 the criteria is not the criteria itself, incumbents,  
16 parties, or whatever.

17 Now, this is an occasion of a cross  
18 process using a very different perspective. How does  
19 the Commission resolve possible conflicts or  
20 prioritization of standards?

21 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission,  
22 I've ended with questions. We have another Power Point  
23 presentation that seeks to suggest answers to those  
24 questions. I can proceed directly with it or, if you  
25 prefer, I can pause for questions.

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's go through the other  
2 Power Point, if you can.

3                   DR. HESLOP: Yes, sir.

4                   We suggest in this Power Point some  
5 resolutions for problems that we have described. Let me  
6 begin with a rehearsal of the Proposition 106 criteria,  
7 the federal mandates, and the grid adjustments.

8                   No doubt as you read Proposition 106, it  
9 unequivocally requires equal population standards.  
10 There is the same kind of unequivocality as to the  
11 Voting Rights Act Amendments. But then we come to the  
12 Proposition 106 criteria as listed in Section 14 C, D,  
13 E, and F. And the question that I posed in the first  
14 presentation was how is the Commission to apply these  
15 criteria?

16                   Which criteria should have priority?

17                   What happens when a particular area, when  
18 you are examining a particular line of districting, what  
19 about competition among these criteria and how should  
20 that competition be resolved?

21                   We think that the Commission, because it  
22 is involved in a very different process from legislative  
23 redistricting, needs a principal method of prioritizing  
24 these criteria. And we think that this method is found  
25 in the process set up by Proposition 106 itself and in

1 arguments made on behalf of Proposition 106 at the time  
2 of its passage.

3 A center role for citizens is clearly  
4 indicated in Proposition 106. It anticipates that  
5 citizens will have the primary responsibility. And this  
6 indeed, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, is  
7 exactly what the Commission has done. You've involved  
8 yourself in an extensive statewide process of these  
9 hearings, citizen input forms, web sites, citizen kits,  
10 all volumes of testimony, and it has provided the  
11 Commission with clear evidence on prioritization.

12 What is this evidence? Community of  
13 interest is the paramount criterion that comes from this  
14 citizen process. It is also a criterion listed in  
15 Proposition 106 with the language that it should be used  
16 to the extent practicable.

17 So, Mr. Chairman, members of the  
18 Commission, we believe Proposition 106 itself and a very  
19 extensive statewide citizen process both utilize this as  
20 a general principle. It is not a principle that will  
21 solve all problems. As I point out, there are  
22 differences as to what constitutes community. But it is  
23 a general principle that we think can guide the  
24 Commission in some waters that might otherwise be pretty  
25 murky.

1                   Now, there are areas where there is little  
2 controversy with regard to community, three big areas  
3 where the testimony, nine to one, eight to two, a big  
4 ratio, in some cases big unanimity of testimony supports  
5 the concept of community. These are very large  
6 communities. The rural areas of Arizona, the urban  
7 areas of Arizona, share an equal interest. It is having  
8 their particular and different interests dealt with by  
9 separate means, by separate representative institutions.

10                   In the report that we submitted just now  
11 we have a footnote that lists some of the community  
12 hearings, some of the citizen input forms where this  
13 issue was embraced. That is only a scatter, a small  
14 scatter of the number of references to this rural urban  
15 community.

16                   Hispanics. Again, there is a huge weight  
17 of evidence that Hispanics constitute a genuine  
18 community of interest. This is not merely an issue of  
19 voting rights or ethnicity, it is a community that  
20 culturally, in terms of its social, in terms of its  
21 residential, and many other aspects of its life and  
22 issue concerns perceives itself and is by others  
23 perceived as a community of interest. And with almost  
24 equal weight, the testimony, again, CIFs, all other  
25 sorts of public evidence, supports that Native Americans

1 are a community, again, self-perceived and perceived by  
2 others to be a community.

3                   So, Mr. Chairman, members of the  
4 Commission, here is a concept, community of interest,  
5 that identifies with very little conflict or controversy  
6 these three major communities of the state. And in a  
7 moment you'll see why I am putting very heavy emphasis  
8 on these communities, because they offer a means of  
9 beginning this process on a fully principled basis.

10                   Proposition 106, the citizen process, is  
11 now coming to a point where the Commission will be  
12 considering grid adjustment. Our strong recommendation,  
13 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, is that you  
14 begin by identifying on your map these three major  
15 communities of interest. We think that this is a  
16 principal starting point justified by the requirements  
17 of 106 amply identified by your public process. We also  
18 think that it is a practical approach.

19                   Why is it practical?

20                   Well, we've done this public process.  
21 What was it about if not to discover such an  
22 overwhelming principle as this?

23                   Second, the Commission certainly needs to  
24 understand and needs to understand as soon as possible  
25 what these very big communities, and their location on



1 the map, what this means for other units of  
2 representation for other areas of the map. And,  
3 finally, two of these communities involve the Voting  
4 Rights Act, important questions of fair representation.  
5 And these two need to be considered at the earliest  
6 possible moment in your process.

7                   What do we think is a second principle and  
8 a practical step in the AUR grid process? Well, second  
9 only to community of interest, and very, very close  
10 behind it, indeed, if you combine these you can indeed  
11 make, in a sense, a case that boundary integrity is one  
12 of the primary concerns of all Arizona and indeed  
13 overlaps with this concept of community. Second then to  
14 community of interest, and only second, is citizens'  
15 ranking of whole cities. Citizens.

16                   Certainly this is true of all medium-size,  
17 some big cities. They want to be kept whole in the  
18 redistricting process. And they are concerned, too,  
19 with other local government boundaries.

20                   In the CIF process, and again,  
21 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, in the report,  
22 footnote four to your report, I've listed out just  
23 examples of citations from testimony and CIFs, using  
24 here only CIFs. It's clear your public process has  
25 again underscored the possibility of using this

1 principle but also practical approach to grid  
2 resolution, namely the use of city, town, and county  
3 boundaries.

4                   And to make one final statement about the  
5 two principles, community interest, city, town, and  
6 county boundaries, there are very substantial, many  
7 hearings, many CIFs, where people think of their city as  
8 their community. They think of their town as their  
9 community.

10                   So, Mr. Chairman, it would be our  
11 suggestion to you with regard to our approach as we  
12 begin mapping for you, if you give us this instruction,  
13 we would intend to return on the occasion of our next  
14 meeting, we think scheduled for July 25th, with  
15 implementation of these proposals and report to you.

16                   We're not drawing districts at this point.  
17 We're really analyzing the effect of placing these  
18 communities on the map. We'd report to you on results  
19 and the implications of them.

20                   A final word on this, Mr. Chairman. We  
21 think that this is the way the Commission, because it is  
22 a public process, redistricting, this is the way that  
23 you can do your task, remain faithful to Proposition 106  
24 and faithful to the evidence that has come to you in the  
25 form of your process.

1                   That's the end of my formal presentation,  
2 Mr. Chairman.

3                   I would ask, if I could, at a later moment  
4 in your discussion, whenever you think it relevant, I  
5 would ask to suggest a deadline to the Commission for  
6 receipt of plans from citizens, because we need such a  
7 deadline for citizens, and for your purposes, and for  
8 your consultants.

9                   Mr. Chairman, thank you very much.

10                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Dr. Heslop.

11                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If we adopt  
12 suggestions that you made and you come back to us with  
13 grid adjustments based on these three AURs and other  
14 communities of interest, county boundaries, et cetera,  
15 would you be able to provide us a statistical breakdown  
16 of each of the new districts in terms of ethnicity and  
17 also in terms of voter registration?

18                  DR. HESLOP: Commissioner Minkoff, our  
19 intention would not be to create districts at this stage  
20 but rather to identify general areas where we think  
21 districts may be created. We can certainly provide  
22 statistics.

23                  There is a question with regard to the  
24 political data base. I cannot at this point tell you  
25 exactly what information will be available in the

1 political data base at that point.

2                   Again, to make the distinction, we would  
3 not be drawing districts here. Some of these areas are  
4 going to be larger than districts. We would be  
5 presenting to you findings, suggestions, and some  
6 thoughts about the development of districts at that  
7 time. But our primary purpose here is to show you how  
8 much of the map would be used up, in a sense, by this  
9 process. The Commission would be entirely free, of  
10 course, to make any changes, develop any districts it  
11 wishes.

12                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: My concern is in an  
13 attempt to understand the map, we're not dealing with  
14 real estate. We're dealing with people. So I would  
15 want as much information as possible as to not what is  
16 contained in these districts but who is -- not  
17 districts, these areas, who is contained in these areas  
18 so we can analyze them and implications they have on  
19 future decisions we're going to make.

20                   DR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, members of the  
21 Commission, we do have the Census array of data we can  
22 provide to you. And that Census array of data you've  
23 seen samples of, and they're attached to the AURs.  
24 You'd have that kind of data available.

25                   We're awaiting receipt of some of the

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE  
Phoenix, Arizona

1 other information from Election Data Services. I've  
2 just received a note on that. I think we'll be  
3 discussing that a little bit later, what the dates will  
4 be for the receipt of that. We will not have or we will  
5 only just be receiving that as of the 25th, some of the  
6 first of that data.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

8 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'd like to discuss  
9 the three categories in the context of school districts,  
10 also.

11 School districts have elected either  
12 Boards of Governors, School Boards, taxing authorities.  
13 That taxing budget is significant in the State of  
14 Arizona. They act as groups. We heard that many times  
15 in our meetings and hearings around the state. The  
16 school district or school area represented a nucleus.  
17 Would it be possible to overlay, see what the effect is  
18 of a school district AUR on the fabric of the other  
19 three in the process?

20 DR. HESLOP: Commissioner Elder, that's  
21 easily done, and we'll commence to do so.

22 COMMISSIONER ELDER: A form of  
23 categorization, it seems like boundaries, and the way  
24 they're conceived, has a cohesiveness, rural to urban,  
25 or whatever, so distinct boundaries are addressed. I'd

1 appreciate it if you add that in.

2                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: I wonder if you might, for  
3 at least my purposes, and I'm sure others may be curious  
4 as well, we seem to be unfolding the process sort of a  
5 step at a time, peeling the onion one layer at a time  
6 here. I wonder if you'd walk us through the remaining  
7 steps to put this step in context. You've made a very  
8 important point of not drawing districts yet as a  
9 precursor to drawing districts. Perhaps if it's easily  
10 done and not terribly time consuming, if you'd just  
11 summarize how it fits into the larger context of how  
12 districts then come out of the process in the near term.

13                   DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, members of the  
14 Commission, I have personally been involved in a great  
15 many very different redistrictings, but all of them  
16 involved three basic stages.

17                   Stage I is the rough map stage where you  
18 identify major units, perhaps Phoenix, let's say, or  
19 perhaps Tucson on the map, how many districts, how many  
20 seats in this area as to that, what are the consequences  
21 of this allocation as opposed to that.

22                   In this rough mapping process, too, there  
23 is identification of certain groups, or areas, whatever  
24 it may be, sometimes issue concerns, that need some  
25 priority.

1                   We know we have a voting rights mandate  
2 sitting on top of this process, so that's obviously one  
3 of the things you need to deal with in the rough mapping  
4 process.

5                   Once you are on the same page, there, you  
6 move into district development. And in that middle  
7 stage of the process you examine a variety of  
8 alternatives.

9                   The great beauty occurs, in the sense of  
10 the redistricting process, is this is very easily done  
11 with computers. You can mix and match. In that middle  
12 stage of the process where you are applying those, let's  
13 say the middle category criteria of Proposition 106, I  
14 personally believe, as I said today, you need some  
15 principle guidelines, otherwise termed alternatives.  
16 There are going to be a great many alternatives. Using  
17 principal guidelines, otherwise alternatives balloon.  
18 And the final stage of the process is when you, when you  
19 take your districts and you do what you have to with  
20 them to make them exactly right, to finetune them in  
21 terms of the population standard to make certain you can  
22 get a good bench mark, let's say, in particular  
23 districts, whatever the criterion may be; if it's an  
24 ethnicity factor, to finetune it. These are the three  
25 stages.

1                   Mr. Chairman, I'm trying to be responsive  
2 to your question.

3                   We're still very much in the rough map  
4 stage.

5                   The Commission deserves to know what some  
6 of the big limits on the process are. That's why we  
7 made the suggestion.

8                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Doctor.

9                   Mr. Huntwork.

10                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I was thinking  
11 along the same lines as you were and asking myself how  
12 this fits in. It seems to me we have maps that show  
13 where physical features are located. We have maps that  
14 show where community boundaries are located. We don't  
15 really have a map that shows where these identified  
16 communities of interest are, are located.

17                  If I understand what you are offering, it  
18 is to fill in that piece of the puzzle so we can place  
19 this criteria on the same level as the others when we  
20 begin to plug in the demographic data.

21                  DR. HESLOP: Exactly.

22                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

23                  COMMISSIONER HALL: So are you saying then  
24 with the AURs on the map, that does not constitute at  
25 this point an adjustment to the grid?



1 DR. HESLOP: I'm really making a  
2 distinction between AURs, as we developed them from  
3 testimony, and this attempt to identify, it is  
4 testimony, three big communities geographically.

5 Now it may be, I haven't looked at the  
6 maps that were represented earlier, it may be there is a  
7 great similarity between the maps presented earlier and  
8 the definition of the Hispanic community as we develop  
9 it. It may be, again, I haven't looked at it, that some  
10 of the maps that came to us as suggestions for rural  
11 representation will have a great deal of correspondence  
12 to how we identify this on the map.

13 No one, so far as I know, has gone through  
14 the state as we plan to do to examine city and county  
15 integrity against the grid. That's something  
16 technically we're the only ones that are going to do  
17 that.

18 Three things aren't AURs, as such, but  
19 give the Commission a lot better hold on the practical  
20 steps that have to be taken in the district formation  
21 phase we do at this point.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: When you were  
24 listening to how citizens prioritized the information in  
25 Prop 106, communities was first.

1 DR. HESLOP: City boundaries first, local  
2 boundaries second.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: You made the point  
4 those boundaries were viewed by many people as their  
5 community of interest.

6 When you listed rural versus urban as one  
7 of the three major areas identified, and if you were to  
8 translate that into essentially a map overlay, would you  
9 just be saying this is a rural area, this is an urban  
10 area, or would you be defining municipal areas people  
11 mentioned as important to them?

12 DR. HESLOP: Commissioner Minkoff, we'll  
13 be showing you some conflict in rural area definition,  
14 rural representation, and some other major communities.  
15 We'll also, certainly, be showing you some  
16 correspondence between criteria. My suspicion is that,  
17 I think, perhaps, you'll find that city, county  
18 boundaries offer a way into the definition of rural  
19 areas in many parts of the state.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser.

21 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Jose and I  
22 wanted to follow up on Commissioner Hall's question with  
23 respect to whether or not the AUR, AURs adopted, are in  
24 fact themselves adjustments to the grid.

25 Just as a legal matter, those AURs are not

1 themselves adjustments to the grid.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

3 I assume we are, getting back to  
4 Mr. Huntwork's comments, I think we're on the same page  
5 on this. We're trying to get prepared to adjust grids  
6 into maps. And we need to translate the body of citizen  
7 input into mechanisms a lot for that to happen. And  
8 this is a stage in this process.

9 DR. HESLOP: That's correct. I believe  
10 it's not only a practical matter, if I may emphasize,  
11 but a matter of principle, principles of not only  
12 Proposition 106 but citizen testimony.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes. I've got a  
15 question. Maybe it's for counsel. Maybe it's for NDC.

16 We have some AURs that in themselves or on  
17 the surface almost appear to be gerrymandering from the  
18 standpoint they may be spreading population, or may be  
19 compacting, or using compact areas, or packing, I guess  
20 was one of the things we use in the first Power Point.

21 At what point will we get either counsels'  
22 interpretation or recommendations of what constitutes  
23 packing and when a community of interest has been very  
24 well-defined and documented and represents an area, says  
25 we think this is our area of interest, it can be

1 perceived as packing or disbursal? At what point in the  
2 process will we address those issues?

3 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner  
4 Hall -- I'm sorry, Commissioner Elder, that will be in  
5 the next phase.

6 NDC is going to, in the next phase come  
7 back with recommendations as to grid adjustment. There  
8 will be a variety of options expressed at that point.  
9 Jose and I will be giving you legal advice with respect  
10 to the kinds of questions you just raised at those  
11 decision points.

12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Okay.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments or  
14 questions?

15 NDC has asked that we take some action  
16 with respect to directing them to produce the mapping of  
17 these communities of interest and other facets of the  
18 public input process. So at some point we'll need a  
19 motion to give that direction.

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Well, would such a  
21 motion be consistent with the agenda?

22 MS. HAUSER: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I so move.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

25 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Call the question.

1                   COMMISSIONER HALL: You'll develop maps  
2 based on AURs of the three major communities of  
3 interest, the second criteria, county, city, and even  
4 more local governmental boundaries?

5                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: And school districts.

6                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion on the  
7 motion?

8                   If not, all those in favor signify by  
9 saying "aye."

10                   (Vote taken.)

11                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

12                   Motion carries unanimously.

13                   With respect to another issue brought up,  
14 that being the deadline for input, do you have a  
15 recommendation along those lines?

16                   DR. ADAMS: Actually, I believe -- is this  
17 on? Yes.

18                   We will be talking about the process and  
19 schedule at numbers -- item number VI on the agenda; but  
20 I would recommend at this point, based on what we're  
21 going to be discussing then, that we have a deadline of  
22 August 1st for people to submit plans and input. That  
23 would give us time before the final, before we come back  
24 with the map we're going to present to you that you will  
25 be finally developing to send to the public. That will

1 give us enough time to make any adjustments to the map.

2 That date schedule proposed to you is August 11th.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Just so I

5 understand this deadline, you are saying then that if  
6 material is to be considered in the development of the  
7 draft map that is to go out for an additional 30 days of  
8 public comment, it must be received by August 1st.

9 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Minkoff, members  
10 of the Commission, that is correct. It should be also  
11 understood that after that there is another very ample  
12 period of time for citizens to comment and for further  
13 adjustments to be made, and that there will be  
14 implements for people to actually use similar to what we  
15 had before, citizen response forms to it, citizen kit  
16 type materials; so that any recommendations that come  
17 during that period of time we will be considering,  
18 presenting to the Commission, so you can make decisions  
19 regarding those materials.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: So if citizen input  
21 is received after August 1st, it's not that it won't be  
22 considered, it won't be a part of the development of the  
23 draft Congressional and Legislative maps. But it may be  
24 included in determination of any adjustments of those  
25 maps prior to determining the final map.

1 DR. ADAMS: That is correct.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I  
4 think as a practical matter we do have to place some  
5 deadline for this so that we can consider the  
6 information. I know, too, know that a lot of people  
7 were under the misimpression that we might already have  
8 closed the door, even perhaps that the opportunity ended  
9 when our hearing process came to an end. For many  
10 people, this would be viewed as an extension of the time  
11 it can be filed. I think it does everybody a service to  
12 actually adopt a date and let them know what the  
13 deadline is so that they can plan and target  
14 accordingly. So in that sense, based on the schedule we  
15 currently have, August 1 seems like fairly late in the  
16 process.

17 Are you going to be able to, obviously  
18 it's your recommendation, it just strikes me if you  
19 received a lot of input at the last minute, that's  
20 pretty aggressive.

21 DR. ADAMS: Yes.

22 Commissioner Huntwork, members of the  
23 Commission, I think that -- I may be going out on a limb  
24 here. I think we have, from major groups, we have  
25 received the input from the major groups. There may be

1 some modifications to them, as we heard this morning. I  
2 suspect the major maps and suggestions have already come  
3 to us. So I think we're safe in saying August 1st is a  
4 good deadline. Some of the material will probably be  
5 repetitive and simply go to consolidate support for  
6 particular maps and particular approaches.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is it appropriate to deal  
8 with the deadline now or in the context of the overall  
9 schedule?

10 MR. RIVERA: Either way.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Overall schedule, just not  
12 lose the point as we go through it.

13 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, it may kind of  
14 get lost in the shuffle when you get in the next area.

15 I think August 1st sounds like a good  
16 suggestion, although there could be perhaps a caveat to  
17 it, not a date specific, that it simply says NDC asks  
18 the deadline be perhaps a week prior to any adoption of  
19 draft maps so in the event that that date moves, at  
20 least you know what your cut-off date is, handle it that  
21 way.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: To Mr. Huntwork's point,  
23 to be fair to the public, I'd indicate two things. One  
24 thing, there's an ability for public input, to provide  
25 input, provide maps or suggestions of maps that runs all



1 the way up to the point at which we make final  
2 determinations and begin the submission process to DOJ  
3 and that we will continually be interested in that kind  
4 of input. But I think it's also fair to say if you want  
5 to be considered, a date certain is better than a  
6 floating date that may not be up to our -- up to speed  
7 on our schedule.

8 MS. HAUSER: All I mean to say in -- if  
9 you were to have a motion now that said the date for the  
10 deadline would be a week prior to, then when you get to  
11 the next phase of the agenda here and deal with the time  
12 line, you'd know what the date is from the adoption,  
13 then you'd know what it's likely to be. Then it's  
14 fixed.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Fine. Or deal with it --  
16 don't I don't want to deal with -- we'll defer the point  
17 until the full discussion of the schedule and add that  
18 date as a very prominent date we're dealing with.

19 Is there anything else NDC requires of the  
20 Commission today?

21 DR. HESLOP: No, Mr. Chairman. Thank you  
22 very much.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Any other comment or  
24 question to NDC under this item of the agenda?

25 If not, the hour is 12:15. I want to ask

1 the Commission what their pleasure is with respect to  
2 taking a break midday or working through so I can judge  
3 the agenda from this point forward.

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to suggest  
5 we work through lunch.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser.

7 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, counsel, I  
8 think would like the opportunity to work with NDC for a  
9 brief period of time prior to the next agenda item. And  
10 if it would be possible to take even a 30- or 45-minute  
11 break to allow folks to grab some lunch, that would  
12 assist us in being able to make a better presentation  
13 for the next item.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Given that's the case,  
15 very well, we'll take a lunch break before that item  
16 happens.

17 I wonder if I might dispense with some  
18 items of less time requirement on the agenda so when we  
19 come back we have a cleaner afternoon.

20 Without objection, I'd like to first  
21 dispense with item IX on the agenda.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I'd move  
23 to ratify the individual items as listed on the agenda.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second?

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion?

2 All those in favor, signify by saying

3 "aye."

4 (Vote taken.)

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

6 Motion carries unanimously.

7 Is there, under item VIII, is there a

8 motion?

9 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I'd like  
10 to make a motion we hire Adolfo Echeveste as our new  
11 Executive Director.

12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion?

14 All those in favor of the motion, signify  
15 by saying "aye."

16 (Vote taken.)

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

18 Motion carries unanimously.

19 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, same  
20 point.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: Does the Commission  
23 have direction with respect to some additional  
24 negotiations with Mr. Echeveste to --

25 What is the Commission's pleasure with

1 that respect?

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I know there are some  
3 specific issues regarding his employment, in particular,  
4 that I think we should just either delegate to you, I  
5 think, regarding parameters and guidelines that the  
6 State has allowed us in terms of the position which  
7 still take precedent. As long as you are within those  
8 guidelines, as long as you are within that guideline, do  
9 that expeditiously, not in open session.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: I wanted to make that  
11 clear. I'm happy to do that.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: In that case, Adolfo --

13 MR. RIVERA: Welcome.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Congratulations.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: And condolences.

16 MR. ECHEVESTE: Thank you very much, I  
17 think.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's always subject to  
19 ongoing discussion, I think.

20 At this point, it may be appropriate to  
21 take a 45-minute break for lunch.

22 We'll reconvene at 1:00 o'clock and  
23 continue with the balance of the agenda.

24 (Recess taken.)

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd call the Commission

1 back to order.

2 Presentation of options, discussion, and  
3 possible decision with respect to the process and  
4 schedule for development of draft Congressional and  
5 Legislative maps, the 30-day public comment period and  
6 adoption of the final Congressional and Legislative  
7 maps. And within that context, also, the setting of a  
8 deadline for public input prior to draft maps being  
9 created.

10 So, Ms. Hauser, will you make the  
11 presentation or will somebody else do that?

12 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, you have in  
13 front of you two versions of the time line. I went  
14 through the concept in some detail at the last meeting.  
15 I don't think that needs to be repeated.

16 There are two versions. Version A is  
17 essentially the one from last time with some specific --  
18 incorporating some specific requests made at the last  
19 meeting. The version B is essentially a set of  
20 suggestions, or developed from a set of suggestions made  
21 by Commissioner Huntwork. And I understand that there  
22 are probably some -- there is some modification that  
23 needs to occur toward the end of version B if the  
24 Commission chooses to go that route dealing with the  
25 dates in late September. Co-counsel is going to be out

1 of the country at that time, and I had neglected to make  
2 allowance for that.

3 The essential difference between version A  
4 and version B is that there is, in version B, greater  
5 consolidation of the meeting time on the weekends than  
6 there is in version A.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. And our task this  
8 afternoon is to discuss the two versions and to give  
9 direction as to which or a modification of either we'd  
10 like to pursue.

11 So with that in mind, discussion.

12 Ms. Minkoff.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I have a question.  
14 Page two of both of them, I assume when you put day and  
15 evening in parentheses, that refers to a particular day?  
16 If you notice, version A, second day, day and evening,  
17 day and evening, it's twice. Same thing for Friday the  
18 3rd. I was trying to figure out whether in fact there  
19 were additional days. You have the same thing on  
20 version B, August 2nd. It first says day, then day and  
21 evening. August 2nd again, day and evening.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What?

23 MS. MINKOFF: This is B, the blue folder  
24 that you got. So I couldn't really make sense out of  
25 these.

1 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner  
2 Minkoff, I can see it more clearly on version B. That  
3 means a flow between those activities.

4 For example, version B, page two, Thursday  
5 August 2nd, during the day, anticipated time for  
6 Executive Session. If it didn't take all day, then the  
7 meetings with -- individual meetings with Commissioners  
8 could commence during the day and proceed into the  
9 evening. It's certainly impossible to specify exactly  
10 how long a session is going to take. So those are  
11 simply to give you an idea. And particularly with  
12 version B, because there was a greater emphasis on  
13 utilization of evenings, I went ahead and specified what  
14 Commissioner Huntwork had in mind.

15 He might be able to amplify that a little  
16 bit.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: My concern is let's  
18 assume August 2nd ends up being a very lengthy meeting  
19 and does run into the evening. Five Commissioners, two  
20 of them. Should we want to sit down individually with  
21 them before Friday's meeting, when do we do that?

22 MS. HAUSER: Commissioner Minkoff, clearly  
23 version B is a tighter schedule, more consolidation  
24 there. We would simply have to --

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It may be so

1 consolidated, there would be three things going on at  
2 the same time, working from 3:00 o'clock in the  
3 morning --

4 I'm trying to figure out how everything  
5 gets done, if meetings end up being lengthy, as they  
6 very often are.

7 MS. HAUSER: Keeping to the Commission's  
8 instruction last time, we did not allow that set of  
9 meetings to move over into the beginning of the  
10 following week, not to split over the weekends like  
11 that.

12 Version B, again, was at the request that  
13 Commissioner Huntwork had made to try to do some  
14 additional consolidation and not take up so much daytime  
15 during the weekday. This is the result of that.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It's just a  
17 personal issue that I have that other members of the  
18 Commission do not have. I'm not available for meetings  
19 Friday nights. It's one night of the week I have no  
20 flexibility. I'm not available. Any meetings on Friday  
21 nights, they will occur without me.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other discussion?

23 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Is discussion only on  
24 this first part?

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: No, on the schedule,



1 either schedule.

2 Mr. Huntwork?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Both versions  
4 potentially call for meetings on Friday night or  
5 Saturday morning, or -- and don't -- none of them call  
6 for meetings on Sunday. I'm wondering about that, too.  
7 At least for me, I'm willing to suspend my normal Sunday  
8 morning activities, if necessary. I don't know how  
9 other members of the Commission feel about that. But I  
10 do feel to the extent we can consolidate this over or  
11 around the weekends and have our consultants work  
12 Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday of the next week and do the  
13 same thing again, that's a very effective cycle and we  
14 should try to do that.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are the consultants  
16 comfortable with either version?

17 COMMISSIONER HALL: Both are just as bad.

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: As I understood it  
19 from the last meeting, they were expecting to work over  
20 the weekends one way or the other.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further input or  
22 discussion or would somebody like to offer one of these  
23 as the way to go and we'll work with the one and either  
24 make modifications or adopt it or --

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to ask

1 Lisa about the modifications.

2 You mentioned modifications in late  
3 September.

4 MS. HAUSER: Jose.

5 MR. RIVERA: Option A is fine. Option B,  
6 I'd like to move the adoption of final plans, if you'd  
7 adopt the adoption of final plans schedule in A instead  
8 of B.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I have September 19  
10 as a religious holiday.

11 MR. RIVERA: B doesn't have it.

12 MS. HAUSER: That's counsel.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No problem. You  
14 can work.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What is your pleasure?

16 Mr. Huntwork?

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I will make a  
18 motion we go with option B attempting to work around  
19 weekends as much as possible.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

21 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'll second that so we  
22 can talk about it.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall, you want to talk  
24 about it?

25 COMMISSIONER HALL: Let's talk about it.

1 I -- can we get it all done is the  
2 question, in that time frame.

3 We're talking, basically, in a four-day  
4 period, just starting with August 2nd --

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Three-day period.

6 COMMISSIONER HALL: Three-day period,  
7 right.

8 I'm just interested if everyone agrees we  
9 can get it all done in three days.

10 COMMISSIONER ELDER: That's just the  
11 original grid modification.

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: Right.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Ask NDC.

14 COMMISSIONER HALL: Really, it's our  
15 request. How long would the individual meetings with  
16 Commissioners be?

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Is there time if  
18 the Thursday meeting goes all day?

19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I'd  
20 like to clarify.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

22 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The intent was our  
23 meetings would be Thursday and Friday. Nothing says NDC  
24 couldn't come Wednesday and meet with some  
25 Commissioners, couldn't come on Monday and meet some

1 Commissioners.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Or go to where  
3 Commissioners were, if they weren't necessarily in  
4 Phoenix, in that regard. There may be an opportunity  
5 that week, earlier that week, to have individual  
6 meetings with Commissioners at the Commissioner's  
7 convenience.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser.

9 MS. HAUSER: The individual meetings with  
10 Commissioners probably should follow the Executive  
11 Session. The meetings -- individual meetings for legal  
12 advice with respect to grid modification. Individual  
13 Commissioner meetings would be shorter and more  
14 productive if the Commissioners have the benefit of that  
15 advice before the individual meetings.

16 COMMISSIONER HALL: What I suggest is  
17 start the July 25th meeting with an Executive Session.

18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If there is a July  
19 25th meeting.

20 MR. RIVERA: There is none. There is no  
21 July 25th.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: NDC --

23 MS. HAUSER: You'll get a report.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Could some legal  
25 advice come to us as a written report rather than

1 Executive Session?

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Or, as an option, this  
3 kind of flies in the face of what Mr. Huntwork was  
4 trying to achieve. If we were to take a day earlier, in  
5 other words, earlier that week, if we were to have the  
6 Executive Session earlier that week, and maybe that  
7 Executive Session, I don't know whether you view that as  
8 a day-long process or if it could be accomplished in a  
9 portion of a day.

10 MR. RIVERA: Depends on what NDC comes  
11 back with at that point in time.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I guess what I'm  
13 suggesting is to try to put it in an orderly fashion, do  
14 the Executive Session earlier in the week, allow a  
15 couple days for meetings with individual Commissioners,  
16 and finish the week with the Executive Session for as  
17 much time as it takes.

18 I'd be prepared, my understanding is  
19 Ms. Minkoff's concern and everyone else's concern is if  
20 we go, adopted the schedule, the intent is to start the  
21 Friday meeting as early as practical, do what people  
22 need to do Friday afternoon, evening, reconvene Saturday  
23 as long as it takes to finish the process.

24 I'm wondering if moving the Executive  
25 Session earlier in the week doesn't give two benefits:

1 One is it puts the sequence correct and it also gives  
2 more time to meet with NDC earlier that week.

3 COMMISSIONER HALL: Why not Wednesday a  
4 half-day meeting, start at noon or something?

5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I  
6 would -- if at all possible, I would like to move that  
7 meeting further, earlier in the week.

8 Do you mean Wednesday, Thursday, Friday,  
9 Saturday, a four-day run, all at that time, if we meet  
10 on Wednesday? Monday for receipt of the information,  
11 Monday or Tuesday, and do the Executive Session for  
12 advice of counsel, let there be three days, and schedule  
13 independently with NDC when we meet on an individual  
14 basis, meet Friday, adjourn for whatever time we need  
15 to, meet Saturday --

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm not available  
17 Saturday. I'm available morning, not afternoon. If we  
18 finish up in the morning.

19 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman --

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser and Jose, also,  
21 of course, need time to develop the legal advice once  
22 the report comes in from NDC.

23 MS. HAUSER: We have built in some time to  
24 do that. If you back up too much earlier -- I mean  
25 we'll try to get a handle on exactly the legal advice,

1 go through those decision points as quickly as we can,  
2 put as much of it in writing as quickly as we can,  
3 attempt to shorten the Executive Session as much as  
4 possible. We do need preparation time.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: On the schedule it looks  
6 like you allowed a five-day period for that to occur.  
7 I'm going to leave it to your own devices to see whether  
8 or not that's sufficient or more than sufficient.

9 Jim, Mr. Huntwork.

10 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I need to  
11 understand something a little better.

12 What is the relationship between the legal  
13 advice you are going to give us and the time we're going  
14 to spend with the consultant?

15 MR. RIVERA: Well, I think what you'll get  
16 are general parameters in terms of where the  
17 consultants' information fits into the whole picture.  
18 And then the time you spend with the consultants, you'll  
19 spend with the consultants possibly looking at  
20 alternatives and changes. And then you have specific  
21 legal advice, legal aspects come up that you may not ask  
22 consultants if you have the general legal advice that  
23 comes off the very first aspect.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Let me ask again  
25 the question I asked very quickly earlier. Is there any

1 reason why the initial legal advice has to be in  
2 Executive Session? Can it be in written form, then if  
3 individual Commissioners have questions, they can  
4 contact the attorneys directly with questions, save  
5 ourselves a meeting?

6 MR. RIVERA: It's an option. It's an ease  
7 aspect. When you get questions that flow off a legal  
8 memorandum, it may be generic. You can flush that out  
9 better in a public meeting.

10 MS. HAUSER: Not public.

11 MR. RIVERA: I'm sorry, Commission  
12 meeting. It's flushed out fuller and with more ease  
13 than based on exchanging e-mails with individual  
14 Commissioners and faxing to Commissioners.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Two executive  
16 sessions to receive legal advice, I understand the  
17 benefit of that. I'm wondering if one of them could be  
18 handled in writing, then the interaction you're talking  
19 about could be restricted to the second meeting and  
20 eliminate one meeting.

21 MR. RIVERA: Well, except, and you can do  
22 whatever you wish, Commissioner Minkoff, the two  
23 executive sessions, the prospect of it, if you want to  
24 eliminate the first session, you are missing that  
25 interaction which I think is very important to narrow



1 the focus down and issues with NDC in that meeting.

2                   The second session basically may be a lot  
3 quicker, shorter, have a lot of answers done, a lot of  
4 answers done within the individual aspects of it. At  
5 that point in time we can discuss individual changes  
6 that came in through the Commission.

7                   It would be hard to do the second one by  
8 writing. You had that conversation with NDC, and  
9 individual Commissioners, individual Commissioners have  
10 specific questions of them, and they may be applicable  
11 to the whole Board.

12                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

13                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think what is  
14 confusing me is what we're going to be doing in our  
15 sessions with NDC. My view is it's a very wide range of  
16 sessions, asking questions like what happens if we move  
17 this thing over here; what happens if we draw a line  
18 north south instead of east west. I'm feeling in my own  
19 mind a very weak link between the very wide open process  
20 and specific advice counsel will give us on the specific  
21 proposals NDC will have generated at that time. That's  
22 what is bothering me.

23                   I would feel just as comfortable sitting  
24 down with the consultants before I had that advice,  
25 after I had that advice, whenever, and asking those kind

1 of wide-ranging questions.

2 I feel like we're being -- a schedule is  
3 being dictated between the two that may not exist.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser.

5 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, of course not  
6 having -- Arizona has not handled redistricting with a  
7 multi-member body of this type before. Some of the  
8 suggestions that we're -- that we've made here have come  
9 from NDC's own experience in dealing with city councils  
10 and the like and what seems to work best with respect to  
11 the give and take of information.

12 But appreciating the concern that has been  
13 raised about that, I suppose that we could do the first  
14 set of legal opinions with respect to the  
15 recommendations in some abbreviated written form and  
16 then I'm sure it will generate questions. But the  
17 attorneys plan to be at least, one or the other of us,  
18 present at each one of the individual Commissioner  
19 meetings. And perhaps we could address those, any  
20 questions you have, sort of on the spot, and handle it  
21 that way.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If I'm understanding that  
23 comment, then, the Thursday August 2nd Executive Session  
24 might be deferred and there might be some written  
25 instructions in its place with questions being answered

1 at the individual sessions. Is that what you are  
2 saying?

3 MS. HAUSER: Yes.

4 MS. MINKOFF: Or, if possible, if we could  
5 do those earlier in the week, replace the Friday  
6 Executive Session with a Thursday Executive Session, do  
7 Saturday's session on Friday. I don't run into the  
8 problem Friday I'll run into Saturday afternoon. Then  
9 all we'll have is a two-day meeting, do it on Thursday  
10 and Friday --

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you,  
13 Mr. Chairman.

14 I, having heard all I've heard, I would --  
15 I agree we can handle version B. I think we can, with  
16 Thursday, Friday, and Saturday morning, put everything  
17 into those days that needs to occur.

18 I think logistical issues need to be  
19 worked out.

20 I think what we need, for purposes here,  
21 is basically to block days. I think we can block days  
22 and, I think, get it done pursuant to this schedule.

23 My preference is versus to drive up and  
24 down the mountain, my preference is to work from 6:00 in  
25 the morning until 10:00 at night. That's my preference.

1                   So I think I want to state my support for  
2 this version B with whatever changes Mr. Rivera needs to  
3 accommodate his particular schedule in the latter  
4 portions of September.

5                   Just categorically speaking, I think all  
6 of us need to realize that from a personal standpoint,  
7 the month of August is over. And, folks, I just have  
8 said I just cleared my calendar.

9                   I guess my point in saying that is I think  
10 we all just need to do that. And if there are holes  
11 that come available by reason of whatever, then we can  
12 go ahead and accommodate personal situations for  
13 whatever is available. Flat clear calendars for this  
14 job to get it done and make it happen.

15                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: There is a motion on the  
16 floor to accept option B.

17                   Are there any particular modifications we  
18 need to make before we accept that?

19                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Do we need to put  
20 into the motion to eliminate the first Executive Session  
21 and schedule meetings Thursday, Friday and Saturday  
22 morning to accomplish what was going to be accomplished  
23 on the Friday and Saturday sessions? I think that's  
24 what I'm hearing.

25                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd be happy to

1 incorporate that without objection.

2                   COMMISSIONER HALL: I'm not sure that's  
3 relevant. Block days, send a memorandum of legal  
4 advice. In the event there are subsequent questions  
5 that arise, we can agree counsel sometimes bounce  
6 questions off one another. A preliminary memorandum may  
7 abbreviate that process. Nevertheless, that Executive  
8 Session could go five minutes or five hours. But if we  
9 have the two days, two-and-a-half days blocked, I think  
10 we can accommodate it no matter what.

11                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think the larger concern  
12 is if we formally decide not to have an Executive  
13 Session up front, it allows us the opportunity to extend  
14 the period time for NDC to spend with individual  
15 Commissioners and again preserve the meeting dates of  
16 the 2nd, 3rd, and as much of the 4th as necessary and  
17 allow us then to have that memo in hand earlier in the  
18 week and, we hope, allow NDC to make individual  
19 arrangements to meet with Commissioners, which I think  
20 is important.

21                   I don't think one day, day, evening,  
22 affords us enough time to do what we need to do with  
23 NDC.

24                   Mr. Elder.

25                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: Is it my

1 understanding, or correct my understanding, during the  
2 individual meetings with NDC one or the other counsel  
3 will be with us so we can pose questions on regression?  
4 I have absolutely no idea how that works. Conceptually,  
5 yes. Statistically how it fits the law, all kinds of  
6 things, if you do this, this, what happened, what does  
7 the law say about it? If that's the case, I'm fine with  
8 this.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The intent is to have one  
10 counsel with the Commissioners when they meet with NDC.

11 MS. HAUSER: Hopefully both.

12 MR. RIVERA: Hopefully both.

13 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Okay.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Which would be further  
15 accommodated if we elongated the time so everyone could  
16 accommodate the schedule.

17 Ms. Minkoff?

18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: We also need to  
19 incorporate the suggestion based on Mr. Rivera's  
20 absence, the adoption of the final plan section of the  
21 memo, use the version A time schedule.

22 Is that what you are asking for?

23 MR. RIVERA: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Adopt version B up  
25 to adoption of the final plan, then move to version A

1 because Mr. Rivera is not available.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: Lose a week.

3 What days will you be gone?

4 MR. RIVERA: The 20th through Monday of  
5 that day.

6 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Monday the first?

7 MR. RIVERA: 20th to 23rd -- 24th, evening  
8 of the 24th, back on the 25th.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Well, do we need --  
10 in version A, the two-day meetings were immediately  
11 followed by Executive Session and a public meeting in  
12 version B.

13 Version A, the same two-day meeting of  
14 counsel and then the Executive Session and public  
15 session aren't for another week.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser.

17 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, when I prepared  
18 version B, and Commissioner Huntwork was not specific as  
19 to anything other than a couple pretty condensed periods  
20 of times around two weekend meetings, I sort of let it  
21 play out in the same sort of time frame as version A.  
22 It ends up with concluding with the adoption of the  
23 final plan slightly earlier. If the Commission is  
24 comfortable with the final plan schedule in the A time  
25 line, where that ends up, what you could do is allow

1 extra time in version B, expand your time frame for  
2 holding public hearings, which doesn't mean necessarily  
3 mean you have more than we did last time but perhaps  
4 have them on a schedule where they are spread out a  
5 little bit more and won't be maybe quite as grueling on  
6 everybody. That's another thing you might consider.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: There was also a point  
8 that came up in the public comment period, or public  
9 periods around the state, I want to ask a question  
10 about, see if it was built in or if I may be missing  
11 something, and that was several people asked if there  
12 could be a period of time, again, a day, two days, three  
13 days, some period of time between the time we actually  
14 identify the final versions and the date at which they  
15 would ultimately be adopted so as to have a final  
16 opportunity for public comment. I want to make sure  
17 that's built in here in some fashion.

18 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, it is not  
19 specifically built in here in the sense that you are --  
20 the meeting for adoption of final plans, as I understand  
21 that suggestion, would involve a meeting at which final  
22 plans are adopted and then they are put out to sit there  
23 for a period of time. And unless something occurs where  
24 we actually have a Commissioner who calls Adolfo and  
25 says, "Okay, we need to have a have a meeting to do the



1 final adoption, I assume that vote would be final. The  
2 vote you take prior to sending plans out there for a  
3 last look see for everybody would be final at that point  
4 unless somebody asks to have them revisited. So you are  
5 not guaranteeing a second meeting unless one is  
6 requested. So it's not built in other than to --

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. The assumption  
8 would be regardless of which end day we use, if it were  
9 discovered that some ultimate modification had to be  
10 made for whatever good proper reason, there always would  
11 be the ability to call that meeting on a majority of the  
12 Commission request and have a meeting.

13 MS. HAUSER: However the Commission  
14 desires to handle that.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Lisa, Mr. Chairman --

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

18 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Lisa, it looks like  
19 schedule B, September 10th, we would have a final plan  
20 on the table for review for the 30-day period; is that  
21 correct?

22 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner  
23 Elder, I think, as far as the beginning --

24 Are you talking about the beginning or end  
25 of public --

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: The end --

2 MS. HAUSER: Yes. That's when the public  
3 comment period would end. According to the schedule  
4 that I had, public hearings are concluding at the same  
5 time as the public comment period. Public comment  
6 period is a minimum. It's not a ceiling. Therefore, if  
7 the period of time for hearings went on beyond the  
8 30-day comment period, that's fine.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I suppose where I'm  
10 going, it appears, then, you'll have from September 10th  
11 to 19th or 20th to, in effect, prepare, I would call it,  
12 the DOJ submittal. Is that the correct window?

13 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Elder, we  
14 cannot prepare the DOJ submission until the Commission  
15 adopts the final plan. There are some parts we can be  
16 working on along the way. The time period you are  
17 looking at between the 10th and roughly the 20th is for  
18 NDC to prepare its report on final modification to the  
19 draft plans, based on the input received at the second  
20 round of public hearings, and for counsel to prepare  
21 legal advice based on those recommendations from NDC and  
22 moving into the final adoption of meetings on or about  
23 the 22nd of September, although that looks like it's  
24 likely to be changed more reflective of the version A  
25 adoption schedule.

1                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: The version B  
2                   submittal is actually October 19th, plus or minus a day  
3                   or two.

4                   MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner  
5                   Elder, that would be sort of the outside time period. I  
6                   think we're both hoping to be able to get a submission  
7                   prepared sooner than that, but it's kind of a ballpark  
8                   figure.

9                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

10                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think the  
11                  difference between these two, in version A we had  
12                  October 2nd, 3rd to the Wednesday meeting date; version  
13                  B, meeting of counsel a few days earlier, prior to  
14                  Jose's planned trip, right?

15                  MR. RIVERA: Right.

16                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Then, if we were  
17                  to meet on Tuesday and Wednesday, the next available  
18                  Tuesday, Wednesday not --

19                  MR. RIVERA: September 25th and 26.

20                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: We've lost a week  
21                  in there.

22                  If able to end public hearings on the  
23                  10th; 18th for NDC to report; 19th, 20th for counsel to  
24                  meet; 25th and 26th for us to meet, all of that would  
25                  track pretty well.

1                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The only issue I  
2 would have with that, the 26th, that evening is the  
3 start of Yom Kippur holiday. I'd have to be through  
4 with the meeting by about 2:00 o'clock that day. It  
5 starts about 5:00 o'clock.

6                   COMMISSIONER HALL: I thought it was the  
7 27th.

8                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That's the day.  
9 Sundown to sundown. 26th, that evening, at about 5:00  
10 o'clock the holiday starts. We'd have to be through in  
11 time for Lisa Hauser and myself to get home, get ready  
12 for the holiday. As long as we do it, I'm fine.

13                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: My suggestion is  
14 we attempt to do that. If we can't, we simply recess  
15 and reconvene.

16                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Would have to be  
17 Friday.

18                   MR. RIVERA: Start early on the 25th.

19                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Start very early on  
20 the 25th. That's fine.

21                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: See where we are on the  
22 25th.

23                   We're concerned with option B as modified  
24 in a couple ways. Option B, modified first, that the  
25 first Executive Session in its current form as listed

1 for Thursday August 2nd would be in fact a written  
2 executive piece to the Commission and that we would  
3 actually begin Thursday August 2nd to take care of the  
4 Executive Sessions following individual meetings with  
5 NDC and move into modifications of the grid. That would  
6 be a Thursday, Friday, and as much of Saturday as  
7 possible or as necessary. Secondly, that we've modified  
8 B in final, the adoption phase as presented in B,  
9 Tuesday for adoption of the report, the 19th for counsel  
10 to meet, Friday -- no longer Friday. The 25th, which is  
11 a Tuesday, for the Executive Session, and then the 26th  
12 for the public meeting for final adoption with the  
13 understanding if that meeting cannot be concluded in  
14 time that we would recess and reconvene at our earliest  
15 option.

16 MR. RIVERA: With an option to leave open  
17 for an Executive Session and public meeting. Executive  
18 Session may be very quick.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Fine, combine the two and  
20 notice it that way. In many instances, multiple  
21 meetings, notice in a way so as to move through as  
22 expeditiously as we can in terms of the agenda.

23 The only thing I see missing, we said we'd  
24 take care of as part of this, is the August 1st deadline  
25 for public input, if the public wishes to have that

1 input included in the drafting of maps. It doesn't mean  
2 they won't be able to submit later in the process.  
3 Let's add the August 1st deadline to the time frame to  
4 accommodate NDC's request earlier in the day.

5 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'll accept the  
6 modifications to the motion.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Second.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Now we have a revised  
9 motion on the floor with those changes.

10 Ms. Hauser.

11 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, the adoption of  
12 the final plans phase calls for NDC's report on  
13 September 18. If we could make that the 17th, since the  
14 20th is one of the days Jose is going to be away, we  
15 have at least that, and it would give Jose and I the  
16 18th and 19th to work before he leaves, if that's  
17 acceptable to NDC.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dr. Adams and Heslop, can  
19 you accommodate that?

20 DR. ADAMS: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Does that change  
22 the day of our meetings?

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: This is the 17th and 18th.

24 MS. MINKOFF: I have a request, since  
25 we've gone about this and changed A and B, et cetera --

1 MS. HAUSER: Yes?

2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: After the motion is  
3 adopted, if we can have an e-mail schedule, schedule of  
4 the Commission meetings, to make sure what we have in  
5 here matches what you've got.

6 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, I've made a  
7 grievous error. Adoption of the final plan schedule  
8 called for NDC to deliver the report on the 18th, and  
9 that was not problematic because that did not require me  
10 to work on the 18th. And that is Rosh Hashanah. So we  
11 can't -- if we had them deliver the report on the 17th,  
12 Jose could get working on the 18th. I could meet with  
13 him on the 19th. That's -- I think we could leave it  
14 the same. I'm not able to work with him on the 18th.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I understand.

16 Let's leave it the same as previously  
17 stated.

18 Any further discussion on the motion?

19 COMMISSIONER HALL: Does the October 19th  
20 date stay the same?

21 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner  
22 Hall, that's kind of a target deadline. That's not one  
23 fixed. We're hoping to do it earlier.

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: Great.

25 MS. HAUSER: Also, Mr. Chairman, can you

1 clarify for me exactly on August 2nd and 3rd when you  
2 plan to start the individual Commissioner meetings?

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Actually those meetings  
4 would start prior to August 2nd in the way we've  
5 currently discussed this, because we would have a  
6 written executive memorandum now, not a session from  
7 counsel. Sometime that week we would, with NDC's  
8 scheduling, actually have the individual meetings during  
9 that week, but they wouldn't be confined to August 2nd.

10 MS. HAUSER: Okay.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We would begin August 2nd  
12 with a second, third, fourth possible meeting of the  
13 Commission to accomplish what now is in the August 3rd,  
14 August 4th date on the schedule.

15 MS. HAUSER: Okay.

16 Mr. Chairman, does that include Friday  
17 evening, August 3rd, or is that out?

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What we'd do is schedule  
19 it in such a way as to accommodate schedules Friday  
20 evening and also Saturday, try to do that. If the  
21 meeting could be concluded Thursday and Friday before  
22 2:00 o'clock, or whatever --

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: 5:00 o'clock.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Whatever time is  
25 appropriate, 5:00 o'clock, if that's it, if we need to



1 move over to Saturday, move over to schedule  
2 accommodating staff and Commissioners.

3 MS. HAUSER: Bypass Friday evening.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not meet Friday evening.

5 Could we vote on this? It would be so  
6 refreshing, I couldn't tell you.

7 All those in favor of the motion as  
8 modified, signify by saying "aye."

9 (Vote taken.)

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

11 Motion carries unanimously.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can we get this  
13 summarized?

14 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, for everyone's  
15 benefit, with such a moving target all along, for the  
16 benefit of the members of the public and press who are  
17 here, I think it would be a good idea for the Chair to  
18 give the key dates. I don't think you need to give the  
19 working dates, key dates for meetings.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right. Let me try to  
21 do it then.

22 What we've just adopted then is we have a  
23 tentative -- let's see. I don't know which are key --

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: I suggest you take the  
25 revised memo, give it to Amy --

1                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think better than giving  
2 it today, do it actually by tomorrow, have it clear and  
3 on the website and distributed. It's easier than to go  
4 through this and parse it.

5                   MS. HAUSER: Okay.

6                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: VII, presentation of  
7 options, discussion, and possible decision with respect  
8 to plans for citizen outreach and the second round of  
9 public meetings.

10                   I know there's a draft memorandum the  
11 Commission has which has been circulated which is from  
12 Mr. Echeveste on the subject of the second round of  
13 proposed hearing schedules.

14                   What is your pleasure on this item?

15                   COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, if I may  
16 start this discussion.

17                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

18                   COMMISSIONER HALL: I think there's been  
19 some misunderstanding with respect to the motion made  
20 last meeting to condense some public hearings. I want  
21 to clarify my perception regarding this in an effort to  
22 try to convey my thoughts more accurately.

23                   I feel like that given our experience in  
24 the first round of public hearings, that we were  
25 operating in two meetings a night and, therefore, had

1 different Commissioners at different locations. Having  
2 read some meetings I attended and obviously some  
3 summaries I didn't attend, and then listening to reports  
4 from a variety of people, it's obvious persons'  
5 perceptions of what was presented or occurs at meetings  
6 is obviously different. And it's difficult to ascertain  
7 the spirit of the input even though you may receive it  
8 in writing after the fact without having been there  
9 personally. Therefore, I feel it's important, if  
10 possible, that all Commissioners attend all meetings of  
11 the second round; because that, in essence, helps us to  
12 all make sure we're on the same page of the play book,  
13 if you will.

14                   And with the proposed condensed schedule,  
15 you know, there may be -- we may need minor  
16 modifications. We're still contacting every area of the  
17 state and providing opportunity for everyone still to  
18 have an opportunity to make an appropriate amount of  
19 input and, simultaneously, preserving, if you will, the  
20 integrity of the input and assuring all Commissioners  
21 attending all meetings and all say yeah, what did you  
22 think of what was said, and have that same like  
23 experience together.

24                   For example, I have received all of the  
25 input and again saw a brief review of the input at the

1 South Mountain meeting this morning. I was not able to  
2 be at that public hearing. However, that input was  
3 presented then in much more detail and provided a clear  
4 perspective of the constituents represented there. It's  
5 difficult. Now, having that on my computer, or hearing  
6 it this morning, to properly interpret that. That's why  
7 I feel it's important to assure we're all able to  
8 attend.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay. A couple  
11 concerns I have.

12 Number one, with the first round of  
13 community outreach hearings, even when we had two  
14 hearings in the same night, there wasn't a member of  
15 this Commission that was at a public hearing every  
16 single night. None of us got to 12 hearings. There  
17 were always nights one of us or more of us had to miss a  
18 meeting. So I don't think it's reasonable to assume  
19 we're all going to get to every single one of the  
20 meetings.

21 I've looked at the schedule, and there are  
22 probably five I'd be unable to make. I'm sure others  
23 have similar concerns.

24 I'll still be relying on what other people  
25 tell me and I'm still relying on summaries from

1 consultants.

2 I have another concern. And my concern is  
3 allowing people to react to an extremely important stage  
4 of the process, which is the draft maps that presumably  
5 are going to become the basis for final maps we're going  
6 to adopt.

7 When we went to the initial hearings  
8 during the first stage, we told everybody that we would  
9 be back to them with our draft maps and that they would  
10 have an opportunity to comment on those draft maps. And  
11 while I'm certainly supportive of compressing some of  
12 these, several of the meetings in the Tucson area,  
13 several meetings in the Phoenix area, I think we can  
14 probably, it's possible to cut those down, because it  
15 only requires people drive an extra 15 minutes.  
16 Although we're awfully lazy in urban areas, that's  
17 certainly doable. I'm concerned, in the original draft  
18 memo, listed as option one, option two, not necessarily  
19 in the same order, but it listed all of the different  
20 places we met last time. I want to make sure everybody  
21 that went to one of those 24 meetings has an  
22 opportunity, with relative ease, to get to a meeting in  
23 the second round.

24 So, for instance, the first one listed in  
25 Adolfo's original draft is Prescott. What I would

1 suggest is we go through each of these sites and make  
2 sure that people who would have gone to a meeting in  
3 Prescott, let's say, or who maybe went to a meeting in  
4 Prescott during the first round, have an opportunity  
5 without too much difficulty to get to a meeting in the  
6 second round. Prescott, it seems to me, we have a bit  
7 of a problem. Where do people from Prescott go, on this  
8 session? Do they have to go to Flagstaff?

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I'd  
10 like to jump in here just a second.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Is it the sense of  
13 the Commission we have to go back to the same locations  
14 to meet the same publics or is it something that, as an  
15 example, we didn't meet in Florence. As an example,  
16 Florence is not that far away from Globe. Florence is  
17 not that far away from Coolidge. Florence is not that  
18 far away from Casa Grande. Is it something we can  
19 divide the space between original locations and  
20 potentially get a broader coverage than we did the last  
21 time through or different coverage to make sure we're  
22 hearing from all the sectors of the community? I just  
23 wanted to throw that out.

24 I think Ms. Minkoff's starting with  
25 Prescott, Prescott may be an anomaly. There may be

1 other areas where we could bring things together.

2 I, for one, I made that statement last  
3 time through. I had, I recall it, had people and said  
4 I'd be back, or we'd be back to listen to them, give an  
5 opportunity for input. I want to make sure we don't  
6 take that away from the process.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I want to echo  
9 that and add another dimension to it, as I said before.  
10 I want to dramatize the fact by meeting on weeknights, I  
11 think we might have not allowed as many people to  
12 participate as we could have. You know, it's very  
13 difficult for a working person to get home after work,  
14 feed the kids, or whatever they have to do, and get out  
15 to a public meeting at 6:30, 7:00. Some started at 6:00  
16 o'clock. Furthermore, in rural areas, some people had  
17 to drive for an hour or more to get there. Some people  
18 actually did do that.

19 We had, you know, a lot of wonderful  
20 public participation. You wonder how many people,  
21 particularly working people, simply couldn't seriously  
22 try to participate because of the way we scheduled those  
23 meetings.

24 To the extent we could have more weekend  
25 meetings, I guess especially in the rural areas, say we

1 had a meeting Saturday morning in one place and moved to  
2 a meeting Saturday afternoon in another, working people,  
3 especially, would have more opportunity to participate.

4 I think picking the places so that --  
5 Florence is a good example of that. If we had a  
6 Saturday meeting in Florence, give people an opportunity  
7 to drive there from other locations, we'd allow a whole  
8 group of people that didn't have an opportunity to  
9 participate before a chance to do so.

10 One other dimension. We're also asking,  
11 to some extent, for a different kind of input this  
12 second time around. And that worries me in connection  
13 with the plan.

14 The initial plan was really designed to  
15 get the type of input that we did. At this point we're  
16 going to have maps. We may get -- we may get similar  
17 comments: You missed my community completely, and so  
18 on.

19 I would like to give the public an  
20 opportunity to make a lot of specific comments that have  
21 some demographic validity behind them.

22 In that respect, although I don't wish to  
23 cut back on the number of hearings we're having, but I  
24 do want to allow time in all schedules, including that  
25 of staff and consultants, to design, participate in some



1 process that allows people to provide statistically  
2 meaningful input.

3 We do have some organized groups that  
4 access computers and software, give us specific maps.  
5 The ordinary citizen can't do that. There's nothing in  
6 this process but public meetings that is going to afford  
7 them the opportunity to do that.

8 We have to do something else, in effect,  
9 if we're going to afford that kind of input. If we are,  
10 we're going to have to provide the means and time for it  
11 somewhere in the schedule.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments?

13 Mr. Hall?

14 COMMISSIONER HALL: There may be  
15 additional areas. Florence was referenced. You visited  
16 with representatives of Casa Grande. They were more  
17 than happy to, with the Florence location; is that  
18 correct?

19 MR. ECHEVESTRE: I touched base with key  
20 players, that made a key presentation on behalf of the  
21 city, and asked whether there would be any negative  
22 reaction to that. And the comment was "No, that's fine.  
23 It's central and does get you closer to Gila County  
24 which is one of the areas there was some feedback faxed  
25 on last Saturday, was the mining areas of Ray, Sonora,

1 and all that mining area." I tried to put it in between  
2 Casa Grande and Globe which covers the mining areas and  
3 agriculture areas.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: With respect to  
5 Prescott, I was the lone Commissioner there with Lisa.  
6 We had eight presenters total, probably 40, 45 people  
7 total there. Yes, they could have the option to come  
8 into the valley, or Flagstaff. It's certainly no  
9 greater distance to drive than any of the other rural  
10 areas, especially up in my neck of the woods.

11 I may add my additional caveat, talking  
12 about actual public hearings, for example, we on this  
13 proposal here, on the draft memo we have in front of us,  
14 Window Rock is not listed as a location to which we'd  
15 return. Well, with respect to the, my Navajo friends,  
16 they attended four public meetings via their own  
17 personal jet, Globe, Flagstaff, Holbrook, and Window  
18 Rock, I think possibly one more.

19 This schedule does not prevent us as  
20 Commissioners from going and meeting with these folks,  
21 as I've done on more than one occasion, if they feel  
22 like if they need additional attention. There's nothing  
23 wrong with me, or Mr. Lynn, driving to Douglas, or  
24 whatever, and saying: Look, is there something we  
25 didn't hear? Is -- I don't think this prevents some

1 additional opportunity for us to hear any amount of  
2 input anyone may want to give us.

3 The fact of the matter is it's wonderful  
4 for us to meet for three, four solid months, go to every  
5 city in the state. We are crunched by an end deadline  
6 for the DOJ presentation.

7 I just looked at the schedule. I'm not  
8 sure how we can get any more aggressive than it is. I  
9 feel like what we gain by doubling locations we lose by  
10 not having as many Commissioners as can be there.

11 My perception, with the exception of  
12 Saturday the 8th, is to be at every single meeting.  
13 That's my intention.

14 I'm more than happy to compare schedules  
15 with anyone. That's my desire to do so.

16 I did not have the opportunity to hear  
17 representatives from South Mountain or Pima County in  
18 the first round. I want to have the opportunity to hear  
19 that. So I don't see that we lose anything through this  
20 condensing process.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me add just one  
22 comment. I take parts of both arguments. Both have  
23 validity.

24 I'm not so concerned we actually return to  
25 every single location we actually hit in the first

1 round. Where I do have some concerns is use of  
2 interactive television. There are some benefits and  
3 severe limitations. Particularly it has limitations in  
4 that someone might be trying to make a point on a map at  
5 a remote location. Because this is not interactive TV  
6 with the most refined static camera, or very narrow  
7 field of vision for detail, what somebody is trying to  
8 tell us may be lost by the way in which that is done.

9           What I would like to see happen is that  
10 rather than doubling up with the use of interactive  
11 television, that perhaps on those occasions where we do  
12 have an opportunity to go to a couple of different  
13 remote locations, that we take that opportunity to  
14 consciously perhaps split the Commission in terms of  
15 their attendance, but to do it in person so people have  
16 the opportunity to address us face to face, to make  
17 those changes or modifications on the proposed maps in a  
18 way we can understand it and the consultants can  
19 understand it.

20           I agree, for the most part, we'd try to  
21 make as many meetings as we possibly could. I think in  
22 doing that what we might be able to do is restore just a  
23 couple places where we've consolidated but to give those  
24 places an opportunity to at least have a live meeting  
25 with live representation of the Commission present. I

1 certainly don't mind doing that on Saturday, which I  
2 think is a fine suggestion. But there are three  
3 occasions, actually two occasions and three instances  
4 where that might occur.

5                   Certainly the 8th, we have a -- an  
6 enormous schedule in trying to pick up the three west  
7 river communities simultaneously and the Flagstaff  
8 Prescott area together on the 8th. I would like to see  
9 at least individual meetings held in those two locations  
10 rather than interactive television, whether the same day  
11 or not. The other is the northeastern part of the state  
12 extravaganza on the 15th, the number of locations there.

13                   I'd certainly defer to Mr. Hall, he knows  
14 that area better than I, to figure out whether or not  
15 there might be a better way to handle that part of it.  
16 I'd like to see these not rely on interactive  
17 television. I'm afraid we'd lose something in terms of  
18 of the richness of comment and detail we'll get.

19                   COMMISSIONER HALL: I agree, Mr. Chairman,  
20 with not relying on the interactive except as a  
21 supplemental convenience.

22                   With respect to September 15th, every one  
23 of those locations, with the exception of Polacca and  
24 Kayenta, is within an hour drive to Show Low. Given the  
25 rural and expansive nature of the area, I'm not sure

1 it's too much of an inconvenience. Most locations,  
2 given the opportunity to interact with interactive TV,  
3 it's no less than a drive to Holbrook or Window Rock.  
4 Most locations had to drive two-and-a-half hours.  
5 Window Rock, it's supplemental. If someone wanted five  
6 minutes, they could listen. You can be sure those that  
7 had a vested interest will drive the hour to be there.

8 I agree that the river cities, it's  
9 appropriate to split up and try to accommodate them more  
10 fully.

11 The comment made about Southern Arizona,  
12 maybe, you know, Douglas or Nogales.

13 MR. RIVERA: Separate.

14 MR. ECHEVESTES: Nogales there.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Bisbee, Douglas.

16 COMMISSIONER HALL: Bisbee, Douglas.

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Douglas is 25 minutes  
18 from Sierra Vista.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not far.

20 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay there?

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay there. One Sierra  
22 Vista, one Nogales.

23 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I  
24 recommend or throw out the idea to move the Nogales one  
25 to Rio Rico or Green Valley allowing the southern part

1 of Tucson or Pima County area to go south. We had a few  
2 people come from Green Valley. A few people from Green  
3 Valley went to the Santa Cruz or Nogales area. Very few  
4 people in the Nogales area would not come to something  
5 in Rio Rico or model, split the difference there, get  
6 our participation in the Santa Cruz Valley.

7 MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman, from a voting  
8 rights perspective, it's not a good idea.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: In that case, we might  
10 add. It's an idea.

11 I met with a group from Green Valley, 60,  
12 70 people. It was not a scheduled meeting, outreach  
13 hearing. We might want to accommodate that, not going  
14 to Nogales.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Rio Rico is 15 miles  
16 away.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Generally it's the same  
18 community, just the other high school.

19 Mr. Echeveste.

20 MR. ECHEVESTE: I need clarification.  
21 Regarding Saturday September 8, see if I heard you  
22 right, the Saturday September 8 Kingman interactive  
23 Bullhead City, Lake Havasu, you prefer to set up  
24 separate hearing times and split up the Commissioners to  
25 go to those different cities on the same day? Because

1 the point I was going to make, the only way to add any  
2 more hearings here is if we end up having dual meetings  
3 during the week.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I understand that. I'm  
5 not trying to add on either end of the schedule. Some  
6 areas where -- I grant you that Bullhead City, Lake  
7 Havasu are 30 miles apart. I don't know we necessarily  
8 have to do both of those. I think it's reasonable to  
9 have a meeting at one of those two places and a meeting  
10 in Kingman. That's my suggestion.

11 Flagstaff, Prescott, seems to me it's  
12 reasonable to have a meeting in each of the locations.

13 MR. ECHEVESTE: Split up the  
14 Commissioners?

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's my suggestion.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Four locations,  
17 five Commissioners.

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The schedule just  
19 adopted has the process ending on September 10th. What  
20 I'm looking at shows September 15th as the ending date,  
21 plan A --

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: September 10th, 30 days is  
23 required. Nothing says we have to adhere to that.  
24 That's minimum.

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Did say public



1 hearings conclude.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Maybe that portion, I  
3 think, added in A, that language wasn't there.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: In B.

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Is there enough  
6 time Saturday September 15th and Saturday September 8th  
7 for NDC to do what they're going to have to do,  
8 reporting possible final modifications and draft plans?

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think not.

10 DR. ADAMS: Actually, Mr. Chairman,  
11 members of Commission, I think that date also moved,  
12 talking about the 17th now. Meetings ending on the  
13 15th. We come back on the 17th. Presuming, I'm  
14 probably just simply stating we will be looking at  
15 suggestions and modifications as the process goes along,  
16 I don't see a major problem coming back to report.  
17 There may be some modifications coming back. The only  
18 problem is getting back to you in a timely fashion so  
19 you have time to look at it before the 25th. That  
20 shouldn't be a problem. No meeting scheduled there. No  
21 meeting scheduled. I don't think it's a problem.

22 MR. HUNTWORK: Counsel has to review and  
23 respond to it.

24 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, the problem I  
25 saw coming out of the last public hearing, assuming you

1 use different court reporters at different locations,  
2 NDC does need to have access to and time to summarize  
3 the meetings that occur. And Dr. Adams is being very  
4 gracious here, but I think that the Commission probably  
5 would be better served by allowing more time for NDC to  
6 get them a report so that, you know, you have it more  
7 than a day in advance of when you need it.

8 MR. RIVERA: If you look at it, 72 hours,  
9 72 hours goes to the 17th.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: We have draft maps  
11 August 11th. Not starting public meetings until the  
12 27th. Bump the whole unit up a whole week.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The purpose was to give  
14 the public ample time. Subject to interpretation, an  
15 ample amount of time to take a look at maps, make  
16 whatever judgment they want to make, be prepared to tell  
17 us what those judgments are. There is some concern  
18 about starting public meetings too soon after maps are  
19 released. I don't know what the definition of "too  
20 soon" is.

21 COMMISSIONER HALL: One week should be  
22 sufficient.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Combine that with  
24 two concerns and as much weekend activity as possible.

25 I think it gives a different group of

1 people an opportunity to participate. To the extent we  
2 are able to consolidate, especially in rural areas,  
3 those meetings should all be held on Saturdays. People  
4 might need to drive an hour to come to a meeting.  
5 They'll have an opportunity on Saturday and not have to  
6 cope with that on a weeknight. In that regard, I don't  
7 see why we couldn't start on the weekend prior to August  
8 27th.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The 25th?

10 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Start the 25th.

11 My particular thought there is have  
12 multiple meetings in Tucson and Phoenix. We could have  
13 one meeting in Phoenix on that day and then, in the  
14 morning, go down and have one in the afternoon and get  
15 started that much earlier. Those are both areas where I  
16 think our information can get out that much more quickly  
17 than perhaps attending some of the rural areas. And  
18 because we're having multiple meetings there, we'd not  
19 deprive people in those communities of commenting more  
20 fully at a later time when prepared to do so at posted  
21 meetings. It's a chance to use Saturdays and get  
22 started earlier.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: By extension, it frees up  
24 two days taken up in the schedule to accommodate one of  
25 the meetings we were talking about splitting up.

1                   MR. HUNTWORK: Right. I'd also suggest  
2 again, maybe an exception to those two meetings, other  
3 Saturday meetings, probably in rural areas, again,  
4 because people have to travel so far.

5                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think they are.

6                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Florence is  
7 scheduled on Monday. We said we picked Florence so  
8 people from other areas could drive in. I feel that  
9 ought to be a Saturday meeting.

10                  COMMISSIONER HALL: We only have so many  
11 Saturdays.

12                  MR. ECHEVESTE: Mr. Chairman.

13                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Echeveste. We started  
14 Monday the 27th. That was the time line we were working  
15 from in collaboration with NDC and the attorneys. If it  
16 is possible to pick up an extra Saturday, if we move it  
17 forward and start on a Saturday, we can pick up a little  
18 more operating room, if you will.

19                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I notice, too,  
20 nothing is scheduled for Saturday September 1st.

21                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Labor Day weekend.

22                  COMMISSIONER ELDER: Real participation  
23 there.

24                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: The presumption --

25                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Hold a meeting in

1 San Diego. A lot of Arizona is there.

2 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Expensive. On the  
3 beach. Arizona.

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman,  
5 Mr. Huntwork's suggestion about the Florence meeting, I  
6 don't know that we need Phoenix and Tucson that first  
7 Saturday. With Florence as one of the meetings, we  
8 could pick up either Phoenix or Tucson as the second  
9 meeting that day and have even less travel time.  
10 Florence is halfway in between.

11 COMMISSIONER HALL: Florence and Thatcher.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Florence and  
13 Thatcher.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Florence and Safford or  
15 Thatcher is easy in one day.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: That would seem to  
17 be --

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Adolfo --

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: First Saturday,  
20 Florence/Thatcher, Safford.

21 MR. ECHEVESTE: Move it to the 25th. Do  
22 Florence/Thatcher/Safford.

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Flagstaff, Prescott  
24 or Kingman, Lake Havasu City.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let us not five of us move

1 individual dates. It would take more time than we have  
2 on the clock today. We're trying to give Adolfo, start  
3 on the 25th, make use of as many Saturdays as practical.  
4 We'd like to have Saturdays reserved in the main, unless  
5 it makes sense to combine rural communities, for Phoenix  
6 and Tucson communities.

7                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: My only concern is  
8 the first Saturday, one thought seems important to me,  
9 I'm more comfortable using Saturday for Phoenix, Tucson  
10 because each of the communities has another opportunity  
11 later whereas the only -- whoever we put on that date  
12 anywhere else, it's the only meeting they get.

13                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: They will have ample  
14 notice. The reason we're doing it now, we want to, A,  
15 publish the schedule.

16                   COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Okay.

17                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: B, start outreach to the  
18 communities and get the press in support. We have,  
19 minimum, a month-and-a-half notice -- a month of notice  
20 if we come to some resolution.

21                   MR. ECHEVESTE: Mr. Chairman, if I may, on  
22 the Saturday public hearings, we've had, the community  
23 college system, interactive, there's difficulty at times  
24 to acquire use of a facility, city, county. If we run,  
25 prioritize, acquire those, if we run into problems, we

1 may have to go to some other facility.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's perfectly  
3 acceptable, even pay for a room, an easily accessible  
4 location that people know where it is, how to get to it.  
5 There's nothing sacred about community colleges.

6 COMMISSIONER HALL: Speaking to that, too  
7 small locations. Some were too small. And we're  
8 condensing. Make sure we have ample locations.

9 MR. RIVERA: Flagstaff was too small.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Accommodate -- we had  
11 to move Window Rock. It was too small there.  
12 Especially in urban areas, we need to insure, given as  
13 we're condensing, we have very large rooms.

14 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd like to make a  
15 motion that we basically adopt this schedule with  
16 respect to the second round of public hearings ranging  
17 from August 25th to September 15th and incorporating the  
18 changes that we have discussed. And I'm sure that  
19 there's going to need to be some finetuning, but how's  
20 that for a rambling motion.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right.

22 Is there a second?

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I

1 want to take one more shot at getting one set of weekend  
2 meetings, just one weekend meeting in Phoenix and one in  
3 Tucson, in order to afford, really, the working people  
4 in those two communities that account for 80 percent of  
5 the population in the state a better opportunity to  
6 participate. I just think it's hard for people who  
7 maybe leave work at 5:00 o'clock to participate in every  
8 meeting. And I would like to have one weekend meeting  
9 in each of those places.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, one  
12 way we might do that is utilizing the Saturday of Labor  
13 Day Weekend, because these are areas where there are  
14 other options. People not away for the weekend, other  
15 meetings in Tucson, people that are not going to be away  
16 for the weekend, some weeknight meetings, it might  
17 achieve what Mr. Huntwork is looking for.

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I will be in  
19 Arizona that weekend.

20 COMMISSIONER HALL: Have fun.

21 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Two Commissioners  
22 then.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If that's necessary in  
24 terms of accommodating the schedule, work on that, if  
25 that works. I just think turnout will be miserable.



1 MS. HAUSER: Including staff.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Including if in town or  
3 not, they've probably planned other things to do.

4 Further discussion on the motion?

5 I'd incorporate by reference

6 Mr. Huntwork's reference of trying to work Phoenix and  
7 Tucson into a Saturday somewhere.

8 MR. ECHEVESTE: Add on to the current --

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not add on, a tradeoff.  
10 Three meetings in Phoenix, two are scheduled in Tucson.  
11 Mr. Huntwork is suggesting one Phoenix and one Tucson be  
12 on a Saturday, one of three in Phoenix, one of two in  
13 Tucson, be on a Saturday.

14 COMMISSIONER HALL: But that doesn't have  
15 anything to do with the motion.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It does in a sense.

17 COMMISSIONER HALL: It's not at all  
18 feasible. I can wish for a dozen Saturdays. With what  
19 we've done, it's not feasible.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not with Florence,  
21 Thatcher. Could do Phoenix, Tucson.

22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Right.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'll leave it to  
24 scheduling. We've agreed to clear our calendars from  
25 the 25th to the 15th and commit to attend as many of

1 these as practical for each of these as we schedule for  
2 the group.

3 Further discussion on the motion?

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: What is the motion?

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You made it.

6 COMMISSIONER HALL: You just amended it.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I didn't amend it. I just  
8 like to take into account when we're trying to do it.

9 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Starting on the 25th of  
11 August, ending on the 15th of September. We have  
12 bought, in effect, another Saturday by doing that. And  
13 we are attempting to reconfigure the Saturday, the  
14 8th -- the day of the 8th, September 8, and --

15 MR. ECHEVESTE: Separate.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: -- separate them so  
17 there's individual treatment of those locations.

18 Further discussion on the motion?

19 If not, all those in favor say "aye."

20 (Vote taken.)

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Opposed say "no."

22 Motion carries.

23 MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman.

24 MR. ECHEVESTE: Mr. Chairman?

25 THE COURT: Mr. Rivera.

1                   MR. RIVERA: The whole conversation  
2 started off with the 15th through 17th. NDC, I'm not  
3 sure if there's enough time. Dr. Adams is optimistic.  
4 With a 72-hour turnaround from the court reporters --

5                   DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, Commissioners,  
6 we could operate on our notes from the meetings. That  
7 would -- that would be what we'd have to do. There is a  
8 72-hour turnaround for getting the transcripts. And  
9 then we previously asked for 72 hours after that to  
10 analyze transcripts. We can shorten that time, doing  
11 this as we go along, as I said. For the last couple  
12 meetings, we'll have to be relying on our own notes. If  
13 you'd prefer us not rely on our notes, then we would  
14 have to change the time frame.

15                  THE REPORTER: There's also daily copy or  
16 dirty ASCIIIs.

17                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Otherwise, you could get  
18 videotaping of those and take it with you.

19                  DR. ADAMS: That would be fine.

20                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Accommodate the back end  
21 of the process.

22                  MR. ECHEVESTE: The last few.

23                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Audio video, take that  
24 with you.

25                  COMMISSIONER HALL: To digress a second,

1 the idea of accommodating Mr. Huntwork's desire of  
2 meeting in the valley, why not split the 15th?

3 MR. ECHEVESTE: That's possible. Why not  
4 split the Commission on the 15th? One in Tucson, move  
5 one from Phoenix to Tucson on the 15th, do it that way.

6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Show Low would not  
7 change, only Phoenix.

8 COMMISSIONER HALL: Add Phoenix and split  
9 the Commission. Leave them Saturday --

10 MR. HUNTWORK: I want to accommodate  
11 Tucson in the same way.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let Adolfo work that out.

13 MR. ECHEVESTE: Mr. Chairman, regarding  
14 Roman Numeral II and III, I'll assume by your silence on  
15 those two that implies consent?

16 Basically get a strategy for trying to get  
17 as much publicity up front after you print the map --  
18 after you approve the maps. That's number II.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Number II and III speak to  
20 the process we believe is a really outstanding process  
21 from the first go round given the amount of time  
22 available to put it together. We learned a lot, we all  
23 learned a lot from the first round of meetings, and we  
24 can make some different choices in terms of how we  
25 staff, the way we do outreach, and so on, from the first

1 go round. It's implicit in the way things happen from  
2 maximizing input.

3 MR. ECHEVESTE: Okay.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I don't think it's a  
5 problem this time around. It will be clear.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Item X, public comment.

7 Before we move into public comment, I'd  
8 ask, first of all, by virtue of adopting the schedule, I  
9 don't know that item XI has any particular relevance.  
10 So without objection, we'll skip it, since we have a  
11 schedule in place.

12 Item X is public comment. Before we begin  
13 public comment, I want to remind members of the public,  
14 if you wish to speak, fill out a speaker form. Those  
15 are available in the back outside the back door. They  
16 are yellow. I also want to advise members of the public  
17 that because of a previous engagement, I may have to  
18 leave during public comment. Vice Chairman Minkoff  
19 certainly will be in attendance and will be able to  
20 carry on.

21 Adolfo, before we move to public comment,  
22 do you want to briefly do staff introductions?

23 MR. ECHEVESTE: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's not on the agenda. I  
25 don't know how much trouble we could get into.

1 MR. ECHEVESTES: We can do it another time.

2 MR. RIVERA: Go ahead.

3 MR. ECHEVESTES: Let me ask them all to  
4 step forward. I had it on my minutes here.

5 Here we go.

6 Yours truly, the new Director speaking.

7 Administrative services officer, Rudy  
8 Serino, he's not here. He's passing through. He's  
9 accepted a position with the State as a data center  
10 administrator. He'll not be with us any longer.

11 Press secretary, you all know Amy back  
12 there.

13 Office staff, we have Iva Rockwell, which  
14 she is back processing -- she's not here. She went  
15 back.

16 Administrator, Lou Jones, stand up.  
17 That's -- Lou is going to be the point person to put  
18 together all the hearings, Commissioner meetings.  
19 She'll be the person in charge of that.

20 Assistant staff receptionist, Kristina  
21 Gomez. She's back at the office answering phones.

22 Audrea Traun is also at the office  
23 handling the front office.

24 You know Augusta Knight, outreach  
25 coordinator.

1 Cindy Le, outreach coordinator.

2 Myra Parker was with us. She's gone.

3 We'll have Jess Sixkiller replace her.

4 Theresa Pulido, want to thank her. She's  
5 leaving, accepted a position with a school district.

6 Greg Patterson, helped us with media  
7 activities. He's come and gone, is no longer with us.

8 Manuel Gutierrez, I gave him the  
9 consolidated hearing, public hearing information. He's  
10 back at his computer right now translating them into  
11 Spanish. He's on as needed.

12 And then our audio, visual aid staff  
13 person, on as needed, Paul Cullor. And we call on those  
14 as needed.

15 I do have a sheet here if anybody would  
16 like a copy of that.

17 That's the end of our introductions.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Echeveste.

19 The next item is call to the public.

20 This is the time for consideration and  
21 discussion of comments and complaints from the public.

22 Those wishing to address the Commission shall request  
23 permission in advance by filling out a speaker slip.

24 Action taken as a result of public comment will be  
25 limited to directing staff to study the matter or

1 rescheduling the matter for further consideration and  
2 decision at a later date.

3 Are there members of the public that wish  
4 to be heard at this time?

5 Seeing none, item XII, the Commission will  
6 stand adjourned until further notice.

7 Thank you all very much.

8 (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at  
9 approximately 2:50 p.m.)

10

11

\* \* \* \*

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF ARIZONA )  
 ) ss.  
COUNTY OF MARICOPA )

BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing hearing was taken before me, LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Arizona, Certificate Number 50349; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing 120 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of all proceedings had upon the taking of said hearing, all done to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 3rd day of August, 2001.

\_\_\_\_\_  
LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR  
Certified Court Reporter  
Certificate Number 50349

