Summary of Public Hearing
of the
State of Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission

Location: Prescott                Date: June 21, 2001

In Attendance:

Commissioners:  Joshua M. Hall

Commission Attorneys:  Lisa T. Hauser

NDC Staff:  Florence Adams

A total of 8 speakers addressed the Commission. One common theme expressed by the speakers was the importance of keeping Yavapai County in legislative and congressional districts separate from Maricopa County.

Two Republican Party speakers argued that Yavapai County constitutes a community of interest, that it should be kept whole, and that it was a “poster child” for meeting the requirements of Proposition 106. Two Democratic Party speakers emphasized the language in Proposition 106 concerning the creation of competitive districts, argued that the current predominance of Republicans in Yavapai County resulted in the effective disenfranchisement of Democrats, and endorsed a map, which was presented to the Commission, that would promote party competitiveness by cutting off the northern portion of Yavapai County and by replacing it with a Democratic portion of Gila County. They argued that Yavapai and Gila Counties constituted a community of interest based on mining, ranching, and retirement communities.

The Yavapai County Recorder urged the Commission to complete its work in a timely fashion so that the Voter Registration Departments across the state could begin the difficult and time-consuming process of completing precinct maps.

Detailed questions were posed to the Commission concerning: (1) how Arizona could free itself from the preclearance requirements of the Voting Rights Act; (2) whether the six requirements of Proposition 106 are rank-ordered in terms of importance; (3) why the state legislature was authorized to review and comment on the Commission’s draft maps; (4) how the Commission intended to deal with the desire of the Hopis to remain in congressional and legislative districts separate from the Navajo Nation; and (5) what were the allowable population deviations from one congressional or legislative district to another.

AURs: Yavapai County outline
            Democrats’ map
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