| 1 | ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Friday, September 9, 2011 |
| 6 | 3:12 p.m. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Location |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Viscount Suite Hotel Conference Room 4855 East Broadway |
| 11 | Tucson, Arizona 85711 |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Attending |
| 14 | Colleen C. Mathis, Chair Jose M. Herrera, Vice Chair |
| 15 | Scott Day Freeman, Vice Chair Linda C. McNulty, Commissioner |
| 16 | Richard P. Stertz, Commissioner |
| 17 | Raymond F. Bladine, Executive Director Kristina Gomez, Deputy Executive Director |
| 18 | Buck Forst, Information Technology Specialist Mary O'Grady, Counsel, Osborn Maledon |
| 19 | Joe Kanefield, Counsel, Ballard Spahr |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | PREPARED BY: |
| 23 | AZ Litigation Support, LLC Michelle D. Elam, CR |
| 24 | Certified Reporter CR No. 50637 |
| 25 | CK NO. 5005/ |
| | |

©

1 Tucson, Arizona Septemter 9, 2011 2 3:12 p.m. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Good afternoon. 4 5 This meeting of the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission will now come to order. 6 7 The time is 3:12 p.m. Today is Friday, September 9th. Let's start with the Pledge of 8 9 Allegiance. 10 (Pledge was recited.) CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, it's great to 11 be back in Tucson. Thanks to all of you for being 12 13 here today for our meeting. 14 We'll start with roll call and then I'll 15 introduce some of the other folks helping us today. 16 Vice Chair Freeman. 17 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Here. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Vice Chair Herrera. 19 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Here. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner 21 McNulty. 22 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Here. 23 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner Stertz. 24 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Here. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We have a quorum.

| 1 | And other folks at the table on my left, |
|----|--|
| 2 | Joe Kanefield and Mary O'Grady, our legal counsel |
| 3 | for us. |
| 4 | Willie Desmond and Kenneth Strasma from |
| 5 | Strategic Telemetry. |
| 6 | We have a court reporter, Michelle, |
| 7 | taking a record today. So be sure to if you do |
| 8 | address us during public comment, be sure to speak |
| 9 | directly into the microphone and spell your name for |
| 10 | the record so that we get an accurate accounting. |
| 11 | Buck Forst is our chief technology |
| 12 | officer. We are streaming today. |
| 13 | I don't think we have other oh, Lisa |
| 14 | Schmelling I know or no, Kristy Olson is here |
| 15 | today, our public outreach coordinator. I think you |
| 16 | saw her on the way in. And then I know Ray Bladine, |
| 17 | our executive director, and Kristina Gomez, our |
| 18 | deputy executive director, will be here shortly. |
| 19 | So I think that concludes all of the |
| 20 | folks. |
| 21 | With that, we our first item on the |
| 22 | agenda is map presentations. And this a recurring |
| 23 | agenda item for us at this phase. And it's for |
| 24 | folks who want to present any maps that they may |
| 25 | have created themselves or as part of a coalition so |

1 that we get that input into the record. 2 And I don't have any request to speaks in front of me on that matter, so I'm not sure -- is 3 there any anybody in the public, if you want to 4 5 raise your hand, that has a map that they wanted to 6 present today? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't realize 7 that you were calling for maps. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right. Well, we are -- this is -- during -- for the past few weeks now, 10 11 we've been having this on our agenda and it will 12 continue. There will be --13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I'll have 14 another opportunity? 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Definitely. Many 16 opportunities to do this in the future. It's going 17 to be on our agenda every single time. 18 So it's fine if there's nobody that 19 wanted to present maps today to us. You'll have 20 more opportunities to do so. 21 We had some additional items on our 22 agenda from yesterday, and I'm wondering if --23 Mr. Herrera, do you have a copy of that agenda on 24 you or does anyone? 25 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: The one from

1 yesterday? 2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We ended up having to table a number of items from yesterday's meeting. 3 And so I thought we would look at those and maybe 4 5 work those in first. MARY O'GRADY: Madame Chair, and it does 6 7 need to be on today's agenda if we are going to talk about it today. So if it's not on today's agenda, 8 9 we shouldn't talk about it today and we'll have to 10 place it on a future agenda. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm not sure I 12 understand that. 13 MARY O'GRADY: Because the idea of the 14 agenda is to make sure that everyone has notice of 15 what's going to be discussed today. And so if we're 16 going to discuss something today, it has to be on 17 today's agenda. 18 The fact that it was tabled at 19 yesterday's meeting doesn't necessarily give the 20 public notice that it's going to be discussed today 21 here. 22 And so -- because not everyone is 23 necessarily privy to the fact that it was tabled 24 yesterday. So it just needs to go on a future 25

1 agenda. 2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. So the items from yesterday cannot be covered? 3 MARY O'GRADY: Unless they are on today's 4 5 agenda. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 6 Okay. 7 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 8 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Can -- for future or 9 10 for our recurring agenda items, can we add tabled Is that possible? 11 agendas to be included? You would need to be 12 MARY O'GRADY: No. 13 more specific than that because, again, the idea of 14 the agenda is to give the public notice. And so 15 they need to have the specific notice about what 16 substantively is going to be discussed. 17 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Well, I guess 18 that makes it kind of easy then. I guess we're only 19 going to cover the items that are on today's agenda. 20 So that takes us to item 3, discussion 21 with mapping consultant and legal counsel and 22 possible action regarding prison inmate population 23 and appropriate methodology to use in mapping. And we kind of had a discussion on this 24 25 yesterday. I don't know if our mapping consultant

> © AZ Litigation Court Reporters Www.CourtReportersAz.com

6

1 has prepared something. 2 KEN STRASMA: Madame Chair, we have nothing to add. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 4 Okay. 5 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 6 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I think what we came 7 up with yesterday -- and I'm going to summarize it. 8 9 I think we will include the prison population as we've been doing with the -- when we 10 11 are doing the total population, total population 12 count. When we are doing the voter strength, for 13 example, HVAP, that we will -- that prison 14 population will not be counted toward the HVAP. 15 I think that's what we decided or agreed 16 on or what we said we would agree on. 17 Is that correct? 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That's my 19 recollection. 20 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame Chair, from 21 what I heard yesterday, that's what I took away with 22 it, correct. 23 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Through Skype. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Restate that, 24 25 please. Your mic is off.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The whole 2 question. 3 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Go ahead, 4 5 Mr. Herrera. VICE CHAIR HERRERA: 6 The issue was 7 regarding the item number 3, discussion with mapping 8 consultant and legal counsel and possible action 9 regarding prison inmate population and appropriate 10 methodology to use in mapping. 11 What we decided yesterday was to keep 12 using the prison population with the total 13 population count when we are doing the equal 14 population among the 30 legislative and 9 15 congressional districts. 16 But when we are doing HVAP, the Hispanic 17 voting-age population, that they will not be 18 included in the HVAP factor. 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Any comments 20 on that from other commissioners? 21 Okay. We'll move to agenda item 4, 22 review, discussion, and direction to mapping 23 consultant regarding ideas for possible adjustments 24 to congressional grid map based on constitutional 25 criteria.

1 So we talked about congressional grid 2 maps what-if scenarios a bunch yesterday and we gave 3 our mapping consultant some directions to make some 4 changes. 5 And I will turn it over to them and they 6 can tell us how far they got. And, of course, 7 KEN STRASMA: Mr. Desmond's computer chose this moment to crash. 8 9 He's rebooting it. 10 If there are any general or conceptual 11 things we want to discuss while Willie gets the 12 computer back, otherwise, it will just be a minute. 13 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Madame Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: 15 We had talked a 16 couple of weeks ago about comparing the 17 majority/minority districts that we had drawn into 18 our grid map with the Hispanic Coalition for Good 19 Government maps. 20 And I'm thinking that we still need to do 21 that, and that it might be a useful exercise not to 22 do it right now, but to do it with the help of 23 counsel and perhaps look at the racially polarized 24 voting analysis and the Hispanic electoral 25 composition with regard to both of those just so

1 that we, again, get more familiar with the concepts 2 and, you know, can see what the similarities and 3 differences are in each of those different 4 configurations. Just as a way, more than anything 5 else, you know, to give us tools that we can use 6 both on those maps and on the legislative district maps as we work on those. 7 So maybe that's something that you could 8 9 Not really as a what-if but as a work on. 10 presentation and discussion for an agenda item next 11 week. 12 KEN STRASMA: Certainly, Commissioner, we 13 can prepare that comparison and drilling down not 14 only into the racial composition but the voting 15 behavior in those districts. We will prepare that. 16 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: That will be 17 helpful. Thank you. 18 KEN STRASMA: Not necessarily Monday, 19 but --20 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: That's fine. 21 KEN STRASMA: -- but at some point next 22 week. 23 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other questions or comments while we await the computer? 24 25 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair.

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 2 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Is there any other item that we can discuss while they are trying to --3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, one 4 5 Mr. Strasma, would we be able to discuss the online 6 Maptitude program which is agenda item 6? KEN STRASMA: Thank you, Madame Chair. 7 We had an agenda item for training on the 8 9 online Maptitude program. That's been moved and put 10 on Monday's agenda. We wanted to make sure that the 11 electoral data was up and running in the online 12 software so that the same data that the commissioners have is available to the public. 13 14 I was informed as we were driving down 15 here that that is now up and working. So although 16 we won't be having a presentation on it today, 17 because that will be happening Monday, the site is 18 up and working. 19 By the end of this meeting, there will be 20 a link on the Commission's website to where you can 21 go for online mapping software. 22 The URL, if anyone wants it now, is 23 azredistrictingmaps.org/redist, r-e-d-i-s-t. And 24 that is the online version of the Maptitude 25 software.

| 1 | Mr. Desmond will be presenting a brief |
|----|---|
| 2 | training on that on Monday and we are planning a |
| 3 | webinar later on for people who aren't able to |
| 4 | attend that training. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Great. |
| 6 | Can you repeat the URL? |
| 7 | KEN STRASMA: Certainly. |
| 8 | It's www.azredistrictingmaps, all one |
| 9 | word, .org back slash redist, r-e-d-i-s-t. Oh, |
| 10 | forward slash. Thank you. |
| 11 | And I realize that's a long URL. It will |
| 12 | be fairly shortly after this meeting it will be |
| 13 | linked directly form the AIRC website. Makes things |
| 14 | a little easier to get at. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Mr. Strasma, will |
| 17 | that webinar be something that people can log into |
| 18 | at any time? |
| 19 | KEN STRASMA: Yes, it will. We |
| 20 | anticipate presenting it live and then having an |
| 21 | archive version that people can watch at their |
| 22 | convenience. |
| 23 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame Chair. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Mr. Strasma, will |
| | |

| 1 | that online Maptitude program have the same degree |
|----|--|
| 2 | of sophistication as the program that all of the |
| 3 | commissioners are equipped with or will it be |
| 4 | simplified in some respect? |
| 5 | KEN STRASMA: Madame Chair, Commissioner |
| 6 | Freeman, it is simplified in some respects. It has |
| 7 | most of the functionality of the desktop Maptitude |
| 8 | software. |
| 9 | And as I mentioned before, we are |
| 10 | endeavoring to have the same data sets available |
| 11 | that are available to commissioners. |
| 12 | There is some functionality, some |
| 13 | reporting that is available on the desktop that just |
| 14 | isn't on the web version. |
| 15 | And Mr. Desmond is ready, if there are no |
| 16 | other questions on the |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other questions |
| 18 | on the online software? |
| 19 | Okay. Thank you. |
| 20 | So we'll jump back to item 4. This will |
| 21 | be congressional grid map discussion. |
| 22 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. So we have two |
| 23 | more grid map what-if scenarios for congressional |
| 24 | today, the first of which is the whole counties |
| 25 | versions 4B, which Mr. Freeman had e-mailed about |

Γ

1 this past weekend and we --2 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I'm sorry to interrupt, but I wonder if it makes sense just for 3 two minutes to explain to people what these what-if 4 5 grid maps are, what we are doing here. 6 WILLIE DESMOND: Sorry about that. The what-if maps are adjustments to the 7 initial grid map that the different commissioners 8 9 have asked for, just to explore different 10 possibilities of how the maps could look. 11 They -- most cases do not meet all --12 actually no cases do they meet all of the criteria. They are conceptual, just snapshots trying to 13 14 explore different possibilities that are informative 15 for the commissioners as they kind of begin to 16 develop what type of first draft map they want to 17 make. 18 So it allows them to explore different 19 possibilities and then evaluate them before making 20 decisions on a final direction they want to move in. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And they are based 22 on feedback that we received during that first round 23 of public hearings that we had around the state 24 where various people came and shared with us what 25 was important to them on certain things.

1 And so some of that is incorporated into 2 what these what-if scenarios are based on. 3 WILLIE DESMOND: We're just starting the tricaster so people watching on the live stream can 4 5 follow along. So, again, the first one we'll be 6 Okay. discussing, I guess, is whole counties version 4B. 7 This is a map that Commissioner Freeman had 8 9 requested with some changes more so dealing with the 10 outlying areas, not so much Maricopa County. 11 I guess I'll just open it up and I'm 12 going to take a look at it. 13 And, Buck, are you seeing the tricaster? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is this a version 14 15 that was part of the handout? 16 WILLIE DESMOND: I don't believe so. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: County version 3? 18 WILLIE DESMOND: County version 4B. 19 I'm sorry, the reason that it's not 20 available yet is because we haven't been able to 21 print for the public until it's been presented to 22 the Commission. 23 So it will available on the website 24 It might be there already because it's a today. 25 duplicate from yesterday, but it's not available in

1 the back of the room. 2 So, Commissioner Freeman, is there anything you wanted to explore right away with this 3 4 one or --5 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame chair. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Please. 6 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: 7 Yes. Would you, Mr. Desmond, zoom in on where 8 9 district -- proposed District 1 abuts proposed 10 District 5. 11 So it looks like what I'm seeing there is 12 there's a portion of Maricopa County that has been included in part of District 1; is that correct? 13 14 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, that is correct. VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: 15 Just generally, the 16 idea with developing this what-if scenario is to 17 start with the proposition based on constitutional 18 criteria and try to develop a map that respects 19 county lines, that is, it splits as few counties as 20 possible, and then overlaid upon that are the 21 districts that the Commission needs to create to 22 respect -- to comply with the Voting Rights Act and 23 then overlay part of that and an overlay on that is 24 not split reservation land, tribal land. 25 And so now we are on version 4B of this

1 map. So that's correct, then, where Maricopa County 2 is? 3 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. So this -- if you can see with my mouse there, if there's a laser 4 5 pointer I can borrow, this little bit of Maricopa County is included in proposed Congressional 6 7 District Number 1. VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: And then could you 8 9 -- and then it also looks like proposed 10 Congressional District 1 we've split a couple of reservations there. I don't know if that's the 11 Gilas' or the Ak-Chins' land. Is that also correct? 12 WILLIE DESMOND: So this is the Ak-Chin 13 14 and then the Gila is above. 15 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Okay. Would you 16 mind zooming out? 17 WILLIE DESMOND: Uh-huh. 18 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Here is what I would 19 request on a further iteration of this map. 20 If you could change the line that we 21 first identified where Maricopa County has intruded 22 into proposed District 1 and back that to the county 23 line so it follows sort of the game plan for this 24 what-if scenario. 25 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.

1 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: And then if you 2 could take proposed District 1 and capture the 3 remainder of Pima County except for the Tohono O'odham tribal area that crosses into Pima County 4 5 and then also take the Gila Reservation and the 6 Ak-Chin and put it into proposed Congressional 7 District 3. It might be the case that there's a 8 9 population disparity there in proposed District 1 10 that perhaps -- and I know you have been trying to 11 drill down to the exact one-person criteria -- we're within a certain margin of error, but it might be 12 13 that we are overpopulated in the one. 14 But for purposes of this next iteration, 15 we'll just -- we'll deal with that perhaps on a 16 subsequent iteration. 17 Then I'm not sure if the proposed 18 District 3, if it's still short in population, if 19 you could look to the area of the West Valley of 20 Phoenix, perhaps in the area of what is currently 21 proposed District 8, I believe. And perhaps 22 starting on the western edge of that proposed 23 district, working our way if you need that population to balance, considering also voting 24 25 rights concerns that we need to make sure that we

> © AZ Litigation Court Reporters Www.CourtReportersAz.com

18

| 1 | are getting close to the baseline there. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And then if you could zoom out. |
| 3 | Maricopa County is going to have to be |
| 4 | split multiple ways. There's just too much |
| 5 | population there. Seeing if we could take different |
| б | approaches to surrounding Maricopa County and |
| 7 | respect county lines in that respect. |
| 8 | So for purposes of the next iteration, |
| 9 | which I think will probably be whole counties |
| 10 | version 5B we'll just keep the B designation, if |
| 11 | you could dedesignate the district well, leave |
| 12 | District 7 because it's a minority/majority |
| 13 | district, but just dedesignate 8, 9, 5, and 6 |
| 14 | because I'm not I'm more interested in the |
| 15 | surrounding districts for version 5B. |
| 16 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. |
| 17 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: And then if you |
| 18 | could let's do a version 5C as well. And just |
| 19 | take version 5B and take a crack at dividing up the |
| 20 | urban area of Maricopa County, focusing on |
| 21 | compactness and respect for municipal city |
| 22 | boundaries. |
| 23 | And I want to keep them separate because |
| 24 | it might be the case that we need to tweak and |
| 25 | reiterate again 5B and so we'll discard 5C. Urban |
| | |

Г

1 area of Maricopa County is in and of itself -- and 2 when you also factor in proposed District 7 -- a 3 fairly compact area. So there might be various -- there's 4 5 certainly various ways that it could be divided up and we would want to look at competitiveness, we 6 7 would want to look at compactness and municipal boundaries, and, of course, communities of interest 8 9 as we do that. 10 But if we can develop those two maps, I 11 would appreciate it. 12 WILLIE DESMOND: That would be great. 13 Are there other questions about this map? 14 Or if possible, for this particular map, we were 15 working on a new report that we're trying to put 16 together. It's not run in Maptitude. It's a 17 program that we are writing separately. And it 18 might not be perfect yet, we were working on it in 19 the car down here, but it's a splits report 20 attempting to show where split congressional -- or 21 split counties and census place and other areas are. 22 If it's all right, I would like to bring 23 that up so you can just see that as an example for 24 this one and you could maybe suggest changes that 25 you would like us -- as we work to finalize it.

1 So this is just a draft. I want to 2 emphasize that. 3 So it shows the number of counties that are -- is that a little easier to see? 4 5 So it shows the number of counties that are unsplit, split into two districts, and split 6 7 into three or more. So in this case, the nine unsplit 8 9 counties are some of the more rural counties. Most 10 of the two-split districts are split to accommodate 11 tribal lands. And then the three or more is 12 Maricopa County and will always be split into three 13 or more. 14 Then you have the same number by census 15 place, by census tract, census block group, 16 reservation, and we also have tribal subdivision. 17 We haven't been looking too much at tribal 18 subdivision, but that's the area inside the 19 reservation that's identified on the census block 20 file. 21 And there's also the counties that are 22 It gives you the number of districts it's split. split into. So, for instance, if we look back at 23 24 the --25 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: And before we lose

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | that point, could you explain a little more the |
| 2 | significance of the data of the tribal subdivision? |
| 3 | WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah. I'll let Ken, if |
| 4 | you want to explain that. |
| 5 | KEN STRASMA: Tribal subdivision is just, |
| 6 | as the name suggests, a subdivision within |
| 7 | reservations. We've attempted to keep entire |
| 8 | reservations unsplit. But, for example, the Navajo |
| 9 | Nation has I believe 20 or 30 tribal subdivisions |
| 10 | within it as just a unit of census geography within |
| 11 | it. |
| 12 | WILLIE DESMOND: So if you look here, you |
| 13 | can see that Maricopa County is split into eight |
| 14 | districts, obviously. Yuma is split into two |
| 15 | districts. Pinal is split into two districts, et |
| 16 | cetera. |
| 17 | Then we have the split census places. |
| 18 | This is the area that the census place you can |
| 19 | see which ones of these are split and how many |
| 20 | districts they are split into. |
| 21 | Then again for the split reservations and |
| 22 | split tribal subdivisions. |
| 23 | So we'll continue to we'll start |
| 24 | providing this for all of these what-if scenarios, |
| 25 | but we would also be interested in any feedback you |
| | |

| 1 | have on other things that might be useful. We can |
|----|--|
| 2 | let you know if it's doable or not and just let us |
| 3 | know what would be helpful for you to have. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: For the mapping |
| 7 | team, there's probably a couple of other cells. |
| 8 | And again, for the purposes of those |
| 9 | folks that are watching, the criteria that we are |
| 10 | that we are to craft the maps on are based on the |
| 11 | constitutional language in that was provided to |
| 12 | us through the State of Arizona under Proposition |
| 13 | 106. |
| 14 | There are six criteria that it's designed |
| 15 | into. The first is meeting the criteria of the |
| 16 | Voters Rights Act and second, having all of the |
| 17 | congressional districts having and I'll speak |
| 18 | only about congressional districts having equal |
| 19 | population. |
| 20 | So when Commissioner Freeman was just |
| 21 | speaking about population sets being plus or minus a |
| 22 | certain number, we are really looking at this from a |
| 23 | macro point of view. |
| 24 | And I believe and I'm not trying to |
| 25 | paraphrase the Chair's discussion when we did our |
| I | |

1 original tour of the state, we found some really 2 general macro ideas. And those general macro ideas are what we are trying to bring forward in these 3 three conceptual map styles that you are looking at. 4 5 One has been sort of named the river district. One has been named the three-border 6 7 district, and one has been named the county district. 8 9 Now -- because there was -- that was sort 10 of a continuing trend. 11 So with that, with the data that we are 12 now starting to drill down from the macro to the 13 micro, we have to look at things that are required 14 of us as design criteria as part of the 15 constitutional requirements. One of them is that the districts become 16 17 geographically compact and contiguous. And what 18 that means is that there's several different styles 19 or ways of looking at compactness. 20 So as this goes forward, you're going to 21 see us looking at those to see how that criteria 22 works. And we'll be asking the consultants about 23 the Polsby-Popper test, the Perimeter test, and the 24 Reock test, which are three different styles that 25 measure compactness.

Another is the -- is to the extent 1 2 practicable where districts respect visible 3 geographic features: Cities, towns, municipalities, and county boundaries. So we are sort of working 4 5 from that data. So as -- we are also looking at this --6 7 one of the reasons why we asked yesterday to start to develop this data is that it's going to give us 8 9 an easy way to count whether or not we are meeting 10 some of the core criteria in the constitutional 11 requirements, sort of working from the outside in. So a couple of things we would want to 12 13 add, too, is that population density as it pertains 14 to, for example, how much of -- in a particular 15 district is -- that may be touching another 16 district. 17 For example, you've got another district 18 that touches into Maricopa County. How much of that 19 overall percentage of population is based in the 20 touch point rather than the rural. You try to give 21 an understanding of where the population centers are 22 and how they affect the rest of the district would 23 be a way. And I think that there's probably some 24 subs down from that. 25 So I just wanted to -- Madame Chair,

| 1 thank you for indulging on the overview. We've | |
|--|-------|
| | got |
| 2 a lot of folks that didn't hear what we were ta | lking |
| 3 about yesterday. | |
| 4 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: No, that's help | ful. |
| 5 Thank you. | |
| 6 And I think you guys were going to w | ork |
| 7 on some kind of population density map for the | |
| 8 state, too, right, overall? | |
| 9 WILLIE DESMOND: That's finished and | it |
| 10 was hanging up at yesterday's meeting and we'll | work |
| 11 to getting that on the website very shortly. | |
| 12 It's a very large JPEG image file so | that |
| 13 people viewing it at home can really zoom in on | the |
| 14 Maricopa and the Pima areas and see where the | |
| 15 population lies. | |
| 16 I could open that up briefly if you | would |
| 17 like to see it. | |
| 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure. Since I | |
| 19 didn't see it on the wall yesterday, that would | be |
| 20 great. | |
| 21 Do you have it here by chance? | |
| 22 WILLIE DESMOND: I do not. I'm sorr | y. I |
| 23 believe I actually left it there yesterday on | |
| 24 accident. So we'll print up another one and it | will |
| 25 also be on | |

1 So here is the map. This is done by 2 census block group. So the gray areas are parts of 3 the state where there is absolutely no population. If it was done by census block, those 4 5 areas would expand because the block group covers a 6 larger area. Just one person living there would 7 automatically shade it. Could you elaborate on what KEN STRASMA: 8 9 a census block group is? 10 WILLIE DESMOND: Absolutely. I'm happy 11 to do that. 12 So census geography, for the purposes of 13 organization, is broken down into several layers of 14 geography that all nest within each other. 15 These are not municipal boundaries or things that come from the state. These are purely 16 17 tabulation areas. 18 So a block is the most granular, the 19 smallest level of census geography. Blocks then are 20 grouped together to comprise census block groups. 21 No block can be in two block groups. 22 They are wholly contained in a block groups. Census 23 block groups are then grouped together to form 24 census tracts. 25 And, again, no block group can be split

1 among two tracts. They all fit perfectly in the 2 The tracts are then grouped together to tracts. form counties and then counties are grouped together 3 to form whole states. 4 5 So this is presented at the block group level. Normally, for the purposes of us looking at 6 7 things, I've been using tract. It's slightly larger, but for this, I did block group because I 8 9 thought people might find it useful to drill down. 10 I will zoom in right now and show you the 11 key so you have a sense of what these -- if you want 12 to keep that in mind. I know this isn't the best way of looking at this, but just for the purposes of 13 14 right now. 15 So as you would have suspected, most of 16 the dense population is in Maricopa County and then 17 also Pima County. 18 If we look at Maricopa and Pima, zoom in, 19 you are able to see exactly some of the areas. The 20 red dashed line is the census place. 21 My computer is acting up today. Just 22 bear with me for one second. 23 So these -- yeah, these red dashed lines 24 are the census place. For instance, this is 25 Paradise Valley.

1 If you go -- zoom in all the way, it lets 2 you get pretty close. Some people looking at this 3 online or downloading it will be able to look at the density in different places. 4 5 It also does have some of the major 6 interstates and roads on it to kind of provide 7 reference points. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Mr. Desmond, is 8 9 the entire state divided up into census places? 10 WILLIE DESMOND: No. That's a good 11 point. 12 Census places are areas that kind of 13 represent towns and different municipalities. 14 Ken, do you have anything you wanted to 15 say on that? 16 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: While you're 17 explaining that, let's go down to Tucson on the map, seeing as we are all from Tucson. Let's look at the 18 19 census places in Tucson and talk about what they 20 are. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: Can you read those? Does it need to be in a little more? 22 23 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Commissioner 24 Freeman has pointed out that he's not from Tucson. 25 I went to school VICE CHAIR FREEMAN:

| 1 | down here. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That's right. |
| 3 | WILLIE DESMOND: So some of the census |
| 4 | places in Tucson are South Tucson, Tucson Estates. |
| 5 | If it were neighborhoods of Tucson, those would not |
| б | be identified as census place. This is more |
| 7 | different areas that have are kind of |
| 8 | incorporated areas that have formal boundaries. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So the main census |
| 10 | place in the that makes up the incorporated town |
| 11 | of Tucson would be what? Can you just take your red |
| 12 | pointer and kind of show us what that is? |
| 13 | WILLIE DESMOND: The main |
| 14 | KEN STRASMA: It's the city of Tucson. |
| 15 | WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Kind of show us. |
| 17 | WILLIE DESMOND: So this is Tucson. |
| 18 | There's some South Tucson, but if you follow the red |
| 19 | border down all the way around, one thing you'll |
| 20 | notice is that in some of the other maps, we had |
| 21 | been attempting to respect these boundaries, so it |
| 22 | does make the districts look a little amoeba-like at |
| 23 | times, because as you see, these aren't very |
| 24 | straight, precise lines. Areas are annexed, areas |
| 25 | are unincorporated, and so there are pockets of |

1 they are not compact, they are not contiguous, they 2 are not defined by the census. But in many cases they are how people 3 think about the area they live, at least as the best 4 5 level of geography that we have coverage of the 6 whole state and no disagreement. 7 So since these are official lines, that's why we are opting to use them. 8 9 Are there other areas you wanted to look at this or -- I will offer -- we can load this layer 10 11 in Maptitude also so you're able to see these if you 12 want to be looking at some of your -- some of your 13 what-if maps. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I think that would 14 15 be good. 16 When everyone is done with this map, I do 17 have a couple more questions on the splits analysis. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Can you get street 19 level on this map? I'm just curious. 20 WILLIE DESMOND: No. This is a saved 21 layout map. In Maptitude, we can drill into the 22 street level and I would be happy to explore that 23 later. So this I don't believe is available on 24 25 the website yet, but we'll be sure we get it up

1 there very shortly. 2 If we take a break today, I'll hand it off to Buck and then we'll get it up there probably 3 this afternoon. 4 5 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Are we doing the same thing on Hispanic voting-age population 6 7 density? WILLIE DESMOND: I have not prepared, 8 9 like, an official layout to be printed out for that. 10 that's something we could easily do. 11 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: If that wouldn't 12 be hard to do, I think that would be something useful to have. 13 14 WILLIE DESMOND: All right. 15 And then I just haven't had a chance yet. 16 I'm trying to get as much done as we can, but I've 17 been defaulting to the what-if maps. We're also 18 attempting -- we have the data, we just haven't put 19 it together yet, to have a population growth map. 20 So it takes the 2000 population and shows where 21 growth has been happening. 22 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Can that be done 23 by Hispanic voting-age growth also? 24 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Let's do that. C

1 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. So I guess 2 getting back to the splits report, if there's no 3 more questions on this. COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Not necessarily on 6 7 this map but are we looking solely at the Hispanic 8 population and the -- all others in this next 9 concentration map? Because there has been growth in 10 all race statistics for the state of Arizona, I 11 think it would incumbent for us to take a look at a third iteration of that as well as far as all voting 12 13 groups. 14 WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah, the one we've been So that's 15 working on is just change in population. 16 everybody, and then I quess we'll also do a Hispanic 17 voting-age population one. 18 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And then also 19 for -- you've got also blocks of all race 20 populations, correct. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: So you would like to see 22 one for changes in --23 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, 24 concentrations of African-Americans, for example, 25 and their -- the change in population.

WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.

1

2 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Surprisingly, the 3 greatest change by percentage in the state over the last ten years was actually in Hawaiian and Pacific 4 5 Islanders by a percentage. Not in numbers, but in 6 percentages. But it would be interesting to 7 understand where the different groups have moved. 8 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. No problem. 9 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. 11 WILLIE DESMOND: So I guess now back to 12 the split. 13 Are there other statistics that would be 14 helpful to you guys? 15 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Madame Chair. 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty. 17 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: The census-place 18 information. 19 WILLIE DESMOND: Such as? 20 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: My question is, 21 for example, when we looked at the San Luis area in 22 Yuma, I know there are about 110 or more thousand 23 people that live in that part of the world but they 24 are in various census places. 25 So it would be helpful in looking at the

1 splits report to understand not just the census 2 places being split or not just the population of the 3 census place but the population of the whole urban area that is comprised of census places. Maybe not 4 5 just for the splits report but just in general. 6 I'm not sure the best way to do that 7 other than to get into Maptitude and start clicking on all of the census places and adding up the 8 9 population. 10 WILLIE DESMOND: We can take a look at 11 minor civil division, which that might incorporate 12 some of the census places. I'm not sure. 13 KEN STRASMA: Unless it's a defined 14 census geography, it's hard to do a canned 15 standardized report. So if it's a colloquial 16 definition of what's included in that urban area, 17 that would have to be something we do by hand in 18 Maptitude. And, you know, MSAs, metropolitan 19 statistical areas are too large probably to be of 20 use in this context. 21 But we will look if there's any other way 22 of grouping that or if there are standard groupings you would want to look at. 23 24 We can -- in this exercise, we can define 25 custom geographies as well. So if you decided that

| 1 | there were, you know, 15 census places that you |
|----|---|
| 2 | wished to be treated as a unit, we can define that |
| 3 | and run it in these reports. |
| 4 | It's also possible to do, for example, |
| 5 | school districts. Those are defined in the census. |
| 6 | So any other suggestions along those lines would be |
| 7 | welcomed. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I just have one |
| 9 | more question. |
| 10 | Do we know if there's a splits analysis |
| 11 | of our current congressional and legislative |
| 12 | districts? |
| 13 | KEN STRASMA: No, but that's an excellent |
| 14 | idea. We'll run that for you for Monday. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. Thanks. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: When we are |
| 19 | speaking of census blocks and tracts, how do those |
| 20 | relate to precincts? |
| 21 | KEN STRASMA: Precincts are defined by |
| 22 | the counties. They are drawn using census blocks. |
| 23 | So each census block exists uniquely in a precinct. |
| 24 | All of the other census geography blocks, groups, |
| 25 | and tracts can do split precinct lines. |

Г

1 Does that answer your question, 2 Commissioner? 3 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It does. Madame Chair, as an experience from that, 4 5 as we are going to be utilizing precinct-by-precinct 6 voter historical data, how will we be using those as 7 a comparison against voter tracts or blocks? If I may, Madame Chair and 8 KEN STRASMA: 9 Commissioner Stertz. 10 Perhaps it will be useful if I give some 11 background on the process of loading the electoral 12 data into Maptitude for how we deal with exactly 13 that question and also some background on what is 14 going on with these precincts or VTDs, to use a 15 census terminology. 16 The existing VTDs, as defined by the 17 census, were the ones used in the 2008 and 2010 18 elections. Those will not be the ones that are used 19 in the 2012 elections. 20 So that's one of the reasons why one of 21 the criteria isn't trying to maintain these 22 precincts whole, because the counties are going to 23 have to redraw their lines. 24 Maricopa County, just for example, has 25 provided us their draft precinct lines. And other

1 things being equal, it would certainly be 2 administratively easier for them if we are able to 3 minimize splitting of those. Other counties may 4 provide those. But the existing precinct lines are 5 going to be redrawn anyway, so there's nothing to 6 gain by attempting not to split them.

As to the question of how we are able to deal with electoral data on lines that are not going to exist in the future and also when we are splitting these precincts, for example, we may take 20 census blocks from part of one precinct and put them in one district and the other 30 in another.

The process for that is disaggregation and reaggregation of the electoral data. And I'd prefer to -- anyone who wants to see Mr. Desmond's presentation from a couple weeks ago about how we dealt with matching the census geography --

Once all of the electoral data was matched to census geography, we knew the census blocks that made up each precinct. For each of the census blocks, we have the voting-age population.

22 So the votes cast in that precinct were 23 disaggregated to the census block based on the 24 census block share of the total voting-age 25 population in the precinct.

1 So electoral data that existed initially 2 only on the precinct level was disaggregated to each 3 census block and then reaggregated to all of the higher units of geography. 4 5 So if you were to go into Maptitude and 6 look on the census-place level, the census tract, census block, all of these levels that don't match 7 the precinct level, they will have electoral data 8 9 which is the reaggregated block level electoral 10 data. 11 Now, I should stress that this isn't 12 known as a fact. When someone casts their vote in a 13 precinct, we don't know which individual cast which All we know is the total votes for the 14 vote. 15 precinct. They are disaggregated evenly among the 16 blocks in the precinct. No attempt is made to say, 17 you know, this half of the precinct is more 18 Democratic, this half of the precinct is more 19 Republican. They are distributed evenly and then 20 reaggregated to the higher levels of geography. 21 And I should stress that that is the 22 standard procedure that's been approved in court and 23 by DOJ for dealing with changing electoral lines. 24 Everybody get that? COMMISSIONER STERTZ: 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Clear as mud.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I ask a 2 question? 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, we can't take questions right now, but anyone is welcome to fill 4 5 out a public request to speak form that we can talk 6 about during public comment. 7 More questions, Mr. Stertz, on that? Did 8 that cover your question? 9 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair, it's 10 the beginning of really rationing down what we as 11 commissioners and the general public needs to 12 understand about the level of detail that we will be 13 drilling down into as this process continues. And 14 it was a complete explanation. I appreciate that. 15 That's a lot of data that needs to be compiled 16 together as we move forward. 17 WILLIE DESMOND: And just to make sure 18 everyone gets it, just a real easy example. So if 19 you have a precinct with a thousand people and 20 there's two census blocks that make it up and one 21 has 700 people and one has 300 people, you take the 22 results and you give 70 percent to the one with 700 23 people and you give 30 percent to the one with the 24 300 people and then you can reaggregate those blocks 25 because they are the most basic level to any other

| 1 | level that you might draw at. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Ms. McNulty. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madame Chair, I |
| 4 | would just like to make one comment. |
| 5 | We are really focusing right now on this |
| 6 | because we are talking about splits, but we do have |
| 7 | six criteria and they all have to be satisfied, the |
| 8 | federal criteria, the Voting Rights Act, and the |
| 9 | equal population have to be satisfied without |
| 10 | qualification. The other four are all qualified to |
| 11 | the extent practicable. |
| 12 | So although this is what we are focused |
| 13 | on right now, our job is to merge all of those |
| 14 | criterias, address them all in these districts. |
| 15 | Just in case someone is not hearing about |
| 16 | the criteria that's most important to them, we will |
| 17 | be focusing on that and we are just talking right |
| 18 | now about this particular issue. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Does anyone else |
| 20 | have ideas on what else could be incorporated into |
| 21 | the splits report that we want to see for now or no? |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Again, as we did |
| 25 | last week with mapping directions that I would like |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | to leave the option open to sending any questions |
| 2 | through our executive director that would be |
| 3 | forwarded back through the consultants. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sounds good. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think it would |
| 6 | be great if we could show where some of these splits |
| 7 | are, describe them colloquially, but I don't know if |
| 8 | that's even possible. We may just have to look at |
| 9 | each of these areas. |
| 10 | WILLIE DESMOND: I mean, I could attempt |
| 11 | to if you want me to just take one of these if |
| 12 | we want to take Glendale, for instance, or Tucson, |
| 13 | since we're in Tucson. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Tucson. |
| 15 | WILLIE DESMOND: Let's look at Tucson. |
| 16 | My mouse is not working well. |
| 17 | All right. So if you remember, Tucson is |
| 18 | split into two districts. So on the right side of |
| 19 | the screen is District Number 2 and the left side is |
| 20 | District Number 3. I'll use the redistricting tool |
| 21 | to show you exactly where Tucson is. It will be the |
| 22 | red area in one second. |
| 23 | So, yeah, again, just to as a point of |
| 24 | emphasis, the census place is not often very pretty |
| 25 | districts, but this is the area the census calls |
| ļ | |

1 Tucson. And you can clearly see the line running 2 down the middle of it where part of it is in 3 District Number 3 and part of it is in District 4 Number 2. 5 Are there other areas you would like to look at right away or -- I should point out that 6 7 the -- trying to respect these census-place boundaries has been a priority that I have been 8 9 keeping in mind but it wasn't expressly exercised 10 for this particular what-if scenario. 11 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I think my point 12 in looking at it and also in asking the question 13 about how you aggregate the census places to create 14 an urban area is just to demonstrate that there are 15 a lot of other things you need to take into account. 16 You know, just looking at this map, you 17 can tell that the different neighborhoods and 18 communities that make up a place like Tucson are not 19 reflected on that census place and those are all 20 things that we're going to need to consider in other 21 criteria in pulling this all together. 22 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. 23 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame Chair, and 24 one of those criteria was creating a 25 minority/majority district, which is the proposed

1 District 3 on that map. And I think that's why we 2 needed to split there, to capture some of the 3 Hispanic voting-age population in west Tucson and 4 south Tucson. 5 WILLIE DESMOND: And I believe this line 6 comes -- this particular segment of the line comes 7 directly from the Hispanic Coalition for Good Government. 8 9 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Does that map also 10 show two tribal nation voting districts? 11 Mr. Strasma, would those be called 12 separate districts? See how that -- our San Xavier district is --13 14 In this case --WILLIE DESMOND: 15 KEN STRASMA: Could you put up tribal 16 subdivision there? 17 WILLIE DESMOND: In this case, these are 18 reservation areas. 19 Let me put on the tribal subdivision and 20 you'll see. 21 Okay. So the tribal subdivisions are 22 shaded orange. 23 It might be more helpful if I do this. 24 So moving out, these are all different 25 tribal subdivisions. I'll also move the reservation

1 layer on top of it so you can see how those two relate to each other. 2 So reservations are comprised, by and 3 large, of tribal subdivisions. 4 5 Does that make sense, then or --6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Thank you. 7 WILLIE DESMOND: So just as a point of emphasis this is all Tohono O'odham. And although 8 9 this isn't connected, it is part of the same reservation but it's a different tribal subdivision. 10 11 In some of our previous versions of the 12 map, this was split off from the larger part of the 13 reservation. We've been working to correct that 14 moving forward. 15 Are there other questions I guess 16 specifically about splits or tribal areas that we 17 want to look at using whole counties or should we go 18 to the next map, which did endeavor to respect some 19 of the census-place divisions, or is there other 20 what-if maps that you would like to see for the 21 future? 22 If not, I'll just go right to the --23 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Was there a what-if 24 full counties version 4A? 25 WILLIE DESMOND: There is. We did look

| 1 | at that yesterday, but I would be happy to show you. |
|----|--|
| 2 | It's going to take me a minute to load it. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: While you're doing |
| 4 | that, Mr. Desmond, do we have a we have so many |
| 5 | of these now. Do we have anything that tells us how |
| 6 | we built each of these? You know, version 1 was |
| 7 | this; version 2, we added this; version 3, we did |
| 8 | that? |
| 9 | WILLIE DESMOND: Not not really. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. |
| 11 | WILLIE DESMOND: It would probably be |
| 12 | good for me to start including criteria file along |
| 13 | with these. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I think we better |
| 15 | because I'm lost now. I've forgotten how we got to |
| 16 | where we are in some of these iterations. |
| 17 | WILLIE DESMOND: I can take you back. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: You don't need to |
| 19 | do it right now, but let's not go forward any more |
| 20 | until without doing the steps. |
| 21 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: I remember these |
| 22 | maps, but some of the other ones, you're right, we'd |
| 23 | have to look at our transcript. It's probably |
| 24 | easier for him to keep track of that. |
| 25 | WILLIE DESMOND: While this is loading, |
| | |

| 1 | is there any other what-if maps you would like to |
|----|--|
| 2 | see right away? |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame chair. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: And I apologize |
| 6 | because I had to appear by Skype yesterday, but just |
| 7 | refresh my recollection. |
| 8 | Were there proposed changes to version 4A |
| 9 | or was that one we were going to study further? |
| 10 | WILLIE DESMOND: 4A was, there was no |
| 11 | it was presented briefly yesterday but there was no |
| 12 | request in changes to it. |
| 13 | And while this is loading, let me I guess |
| 14 | take you back a little bit of how these were |
| 15 | created. |
| 16 | Full counties started out just as an |
| 17 | attempt to create a map that split as few counties |
| 18 | as possible. |
| 19 | Version number 2 attempted to not split |
| 20 | any tribal lands, then. So it was adjusted to not |
| 21 | split the Navajo or the Hopis. |
| 22 | Version number 3 then attempted to |
| 23 | incorporate the two voting rights districts that |
| 24 | were submitted by the Hispanic Coalition for Good |
| 25 | Government. |

Γ

1 Version number 4A was based off of 2 version number 3 and attempted to keep all of Yuma 3 whole and all of Santa Cruz whole. Those two were split by the Hispanic Coalition for Good 4 5 Government's lines. And then 4B is the one we just looked at. 6 That was also built off of number 3. And that had 7 some changes that weren't discussed at a meeting but 8 9 instead submitted by Commissioner Freeman to the 10 rest of the Commission. 11 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: And one more point. 12 The beginning of all of these maps was the grid map? 13 The grid map was adjusted and these steps were then 14 taken? WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, I should have said 15 16 that. 17 It wouldn't be a public meeting without 18 technology difficulties. 19 Okay. Here is 4A. 20 I could -- so on version number 3, Yuma 21 was split right here. So in this one, in order to, 22 again, try to maximize the whole counties aspect, 23 Yuma was then whole. 24 The other thing was that Santa Cruz was 25 split coming down here and it actually went like

| 1 | this. So it was then allocated to 2, which created |
|----|--|
| 2 | a third border district and kept Santa Cruz whole. |
| 3 | I can turn on any other layers you would |
| 4 | like to see. Wet put counties on but they are very |
| 5 | brief or very light. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, while |
| 9 | you're turning layers on, it would be I would |
| 10 | like to take a look at the exact same city of Tucson |
| 11 | split as you had just brought up in the other map |
| 12 | just to give an understanding of the change. And if |
| 13 | there's also a way to overlay interstates. |
| 14 | WILLIE DESMOND: There is. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Main traffic |
| 16 | corridors. |
| 17 | WILLIE DESMOND: I believe the city of |
| 18 | Tucson split will be very similar because I think |
| 19 | these both reference the same line. |
| 20 | Let me check that for you. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And do you know |
| 22 | whether or not you made adjustments to the Green |
| 23 | Valley corridor, Green Valley/Sahuarita |
| 24 | WILLIE DESMOND: I don't know for sure, |
| 25 | but I don't think I did. |
| | |

| 1 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Where did you |
|----|--|
| 2 | capture the additional or where did you give up |
| 3 | the additional population? |
| 4 | WILLIE DESMOND: I think it came up in |
| 5 | Maricopa, actually. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay. |
| 7 | WILLIE DESMOND: I know this is a little |
| 8 | difficult to see, but streets layer is now included. |
| 9 | Why don't I move that down so it's on top |
| 10 | of everything else. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: What are we doing? |
| 12 | Excuse me. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair, |
| 14 | Commissioner McNulty, I was looking to see as a |
| 15 | comparison of the whole county version 4A versus 4B |
| 16 | as pertained to the question that you had regarding |
| 17 | municipality split in the city of Tucson as well as |
| 18 | a request to take a look at the transportation |
| 19 | the main transportation corridors leading through |
| 20 | Tucson, just as a point of reference for ourselves |
| 21 | and the viewing public. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Just they look |
| 23 | the same to me. So I'm just confused about what we |
| 24 | are looking at that's different. |
| 25 | There's a difference between 4A and 4B |
| | |

1 that we are depicting; is that right? 2 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It appears as not with regards to the split through the city of 3 4 Tucson. 5 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. Because it doesn't look like it was different. 6 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It didn't appear. 7 That's why I asked the question. 8 9 WILLIE DESMOND: Are there other areas --10 I guess the other area that this one had changed 11 from the previous iteration was not to split Yuma initially. There has been a split kind of running 12 13 just south of the interstate that divided some of 14 the Hispanic population here and included it in a 15 majority/minority district. 16 Normally, when we've kept Yuma whole in 17 previous versions, it's been kept whole included 18 with the river district. In this case, it was kept 19 whole and included with parts of Maricopa County and 20 that majority/minority district. 21 Is there anything else on this one you 22 guys would like to see? 23 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 25 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Do you have the

| 1 | ability to get population centers on this map? |
|----|--|
| 2 | WILLIE DESMOND: Population centers |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Population |
| 4 | concentrations? |
| 5 | WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah. |
| 6 | Would you like to see total population |
| 7 | density or just voting-age population density? |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Voting-age |
| 9 | population. |
| 10 | WILLIE DESMOND: I'm just trying to find |
| 11 | the same thing we used for the map |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madame Chair, |
| 13 | while Mr. Desmond is doing that, I just want to |
| 14 | again emphasize that we are just looking at one |
| 15 | issue right now and Strategic Telemetry is now doing |
| 16 | thumbnail competitive analysis for us on each of |
| 17 | these maps. And the one that we are looking at, |
| 18 | 4B |
| 19 | Is that Marty's music? Sorry. |
| 20 | The one we are looking at has I guess |
| 21 | one arguably one of the districts would, |
| 22 | arguably, be competitive that has a 3 percent R |
| 23 | advantage, 2 would be D with a 13.87 and |
| 24 | 40 percent 37.8 percent Democrat advantage, and |
| 25 | then there would be one, two, three, four, five, six |

1 Republican districts with an advantage ranging from 2 7.5 percent to 32 percent. So that issue has not been factored into 3 4 any of these maps at all. 5 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame Chair. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 6 7 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: That would include the urban Maricopa County districts, which on the 8 9 next iteration are going to be dedesignated for the 10 next iteration and then for version 5C, Strategic 11 Telemetry is going to go and recraft those. That 12 was the instruction considering compactness concerns 13 and municipal boundary concerns. 14 So we'll see what happens with that next iteration. 15 16 WILLIE DESMOND: This is now --17 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: That would be 5C. 18 WILLIE DESMOND: Right. 19 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Do we have 5A and 20 B already or --21 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Well, here is what 22 might be confusing you. Whole counties version B 23 was the one I was going to modify. I asked 24 Mr. Desmond to modify and create whole counties 25 version 5B. So the letter is going to track because

| 1 | 4A is the one that creates the three-border |
|----|--|
| 2 | districts. |
| 3 | So if there's going to be another |
| 4 | iteration of that map, we would designate that as |
| 5 | 5A. |
| 6 | Do you see that? |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I do, but you |
| 8 | haven't you haven't conceived 5A yet. |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: I have not I did |
| 10 | not conceive 4A. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay. But you |
| 12 | have conceived of 5C. And just tell me again what |
| 13 | that is because I'm keeping this all in one place. |
| 14 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: 5B would be the |
| 15 | changes that I gave Mr. Desmond today connected to |
| 16 | 4B except as for the urban Maricopa County |
| 17 | districts. He's just going to dedesignate those. |
| 18 | So there's going to be no district information. |
| 19 | The point being, we're starting or at |
| 20 | least I'm starting from the outside working my way |
| 21 | in and I want to see what sort of districts could |
| 22 | be, as a theoretical exercise, constructed around |
| 23 | the urban areas of Maricopa County that based |
| 24 | upon this, what's being called the whole counties |
| 25 | concept. |
| | |

1 It involves more than that, but we're 2 just calling that for sake of convenience, whole 3 counties. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: And what else will 4 5 happen in 5B? Well, there were 6 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: 7 other changes. I pointed out an area of Maricopa 8 County that was included in proposed District 1. So 9 that line would be redrawn to follow that county 10 line and then I asked him to make some changes in 11 Pinal County to include the non-Indian reservation 12 portions of Pinal County in proposed District 1 and then place the tribal land in Pinal County into 13 14 proposed District 3. 15 And then I further suggested that if 16 proposed District 3 is underpopulated, then he look 17 to capture that population in far west -- the far 18 western area of Maricopa County borrowing population 19 from the western side of what is now proposed 20 District 8. 21 Of course, that district in version 5B is 22 going to go away, but if he needs to get population 23 to bring proposed District 3 up to the -- close to 24 the number we need it to be, that's where I directed 25 him to take the population from.

> © AZ Litigation Court Reporters Www.CourtReportersAz.com

55

1 Now, for whole counties version 5C, he 2 would take 5B and then attempt to draft -- to 3 construct districts within that unallocated area. So you leave everything else the same 4 5 with 5B but then attempt to draw new districts 6 within the urban area with the exception of the 7 voting rights district, which is District 7. He's 8 going to leave that the same. 9 WILLIE DESMOND: And just as a point of 10 clarification for me, the consideration I was going 11 to use on drawing those districts for 5C was just, 12 where possible, keep together the census-place lines 13 and also to make them as compact as possible. 14 That's what you're looking for with 15 those? 16 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: For this what-if, 17 yes. 18 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. So getting back 19 to the map, this is shaded population. So the white 20 areas, again, are areas where nobody lives. So they 21 are down in the southwestern corner, the Goldwater 22 Range and then some other large tracts of, I'm 23 guessing, federal land. I'm guessing federal lands 24 mostly, things like that. 25 Did you want me to zoom into any of the

| 1 | municipalities to see this? |
|----|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: The what I was |
| 5 | looking at was the I-8 corridor and the population |
| 6 | that carries along the I-8 corridor. And we've got |
| 7 | a relationship between the a consistent |
| 8 | relationship between the people living along the I-8 |
| 9 | corridor and connecting that going into the next |
| 10 | district. |
| 11 | I just wanted to see what the sort of |
| 12 | volume of population was along that corridor. |
| 13 | And right now, Madame Chair, the reason |
| 14 | I'm asking some of these questions in this way is |
| 15 | that as we continue to develop and expand, this is |
| 16 | almost a purely an exercise of review of what |
| 17 | will be coming next, as Commissioner McNulty was |
| 18 | responding to. |
| 19 | There are other considerations that we'll |
| 20 | continue to be making as we drill down from the |
| 21 | county level, city level, census tract level, and |
| 22 | also then falling into communities of interest and |
| 23 | transportation corridors as being one potential |
| 24 | community of interest as people living along |
| 25 | transportation corridors. |
| | |

1 So thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 3 Are there any other what-if scenarios? So we talked about one yesterday where we 4 5 would combine the river district and the three-border districts. That will be Monday we can 6 7 maybe see that? 8 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Any other --10 you had some changes maybe to your three-district 11 one or not, the three-border district? 12 WILLIE DESMOND: I do, but before we do 13 that, do you mind if we take a break? 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure, we can do 15 that. 16 WILLIE DESMOND: And that one, it's not 17 perfect but I do have something on that one to 18 present today. 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, good. 20 WILLIE DESMOND: Of the ones you guys 21 suggested yesterday, that seemed the most manageable 22 for today with the compressed time frame. So it's 23 not done, but that's something we can look at after 24 the break. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Great.

1 So just a point of clarification, too, 2 for the record. I don't want Mr. Strasma to think 3 that I was critical of his presentation just now when I said "clear as mud." 4 5 It was a grad-school answer and I'm at 6 the kindergarten comprehension level, I'm afraid, on 7 that one. So I'm going to have to do some learning. So thank you. It was an excellent 8 9 It's just above my pay grade. answer. 10 It's 4:29 p.m. We'll take a brief 11 How about ten minutes and be back shortly. recess. 12 (A recess was taken from 4:29 p.m. to 13 4:52 p.m.) 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. The time is 15 4:52 p.m. and we'll enter back into public session, 16 concluding the recess. 17 And we were in the midst of agenda item 18 4, which is talking about these what-if scenarios 19 for the congressional map. 20 And I believe we were just about getting 21 ready to maybe talk about the three-river district 22 one -- I mean three-border district. Three-river 23 districts. That's a whole new one that I haven't 24 told you about. Three-border district. 25

WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. And so I will -unless there's anything else having to do with these
whole county 4A and 4B, I will open up the
three-border district.

5 Again, this one does not have the level 6 of population and quality that we've been trying to 7 meet in other versions. We have been not necessarily going down to a zero person population 8 9 deviation but trying to keep it under a thousand. 10 This one is slightly above there and that's just a 11 reflection of the time. We -- I just ran out of 12 time to getting this one ready this morning.

If you look, the worst deviation is about 95, 9600 people, about 1.3 percent underpopulated. So just be aware of that, that this is not finished.

And in this one, what I attempted to do was just take the three-border district map and really try to maximize respect for census-place boundaries.

So I guess probably the best way to look at it would be just to drill down a little bit and then turn on that layer and just kind of track the line and see how it zigs and zags to go around this. So bear with me for one second. I will do that, unless there's something else that you guys

1 would like to see right away.

And I found it useful to turn on the census-place layer and shade those individually, so you'll be able to see that here. So each unique blip is a defined census place.

And this is a good illustration of how much of the state is not covered by census places. So only the little flecks of color on this map are census places.

So if we zoom in a little bit -- so the line is pretty ragged. Some of that reflects different geography areas. But then if you look at things like this -- initially the line had been drawn -- Buck, is this better? To kind of cut right through here. But it did slice these two areas in half, Palden and Chino Valley.

So adjusting to give half -- to allocate them wholly to one district or the other three or four, you can see now that this line does zig and zag quite a bit.

And it is contiguous. There is a sliver of Chino Valley that runs up to this little pocket but it's not exactly the most -- the prettiest line you'll ever see.

25

And if you didn't have this layer looking

1 at these, you would think we were doing something 2 pretty unreasonable here, I bet. The area where this is, obviously, most 3 difficult is in Maricopa County. So this is 4 5 Maricopa County. I did not do much to District Number 7. That was the voting rights district, and 6 I just -- in order to keep the two benchmark 7 districts up there, I didn't touch it very much. 8 9 So currently District Number 7 is 54.13 10 percent Hispanic, slightly below the current 11 benchmark, and -- or District Number 7, excuse me, is 50.48, and then District Number 2 is 54.13. 12 13 So I think if you look at these, they do 14 look a little funny. Things like that District 15 Number 8 is a very, very, very north/south district. 16 And that was just intended to try to keep these 17 areas together. 18 So if we -- Peoria is a very oddly shaped 19 Or not oddly shaped, but just a lot of these area. 20 run very north/south and as a result, some of the 21 districts do, too. 22 So in order to keep things like Goodyear 23 intact, you have to make a district that looks kind 24 of silly. 25 But it does make things easier if all of

| 1 | one district is or all of one census place is |
|----|--|
| 2 | within the same district. |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Can you remind me |
| 6 | what the or if Mr. Stertz or Mr. Desmond |
| 7 | Commissioner Stertz or Mr. Desmond can remind me |
| 8 | what changes were recommended for this particular |
| 9 | version? Was it based on the river district version |
| 10 | 4 and then |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: No. |
| 12 | Madame Chair, Commissioner Herrera, the |
| 13 | purpose of this was to take the three-border |
| 14 | districts, again, as an exercise to take a look at |
| 15 | what how districts would reconfigure themselves |
| 16 | if we were trying to keep intact existing city |
| 17 | lines. |
| 18 | And I chose because we were working |
| 19 | with the three-border district, I chose that as the |
| 20 | map to adjust to. |
| 21 | But as an exercise in discovering |
| 22 | again, I think the question came up earlier that the |
| 23 | request has been made to see what has happened over |
| 24 | the last ten years about where the growth tracts |
| 25 | have been. |

And looking at that sequentially, and, you know, Commissioner Herrera and Freeman, based on the growth areas in Maricopa County, you'll see that as the cities expanded and grew, they grew into this sort of amalgamated form.

So that's why I wanted to see how the 6 7 districts, even though they may not look attractive or might not, again, as Commissioner McNulty had 8 said earlier, that we talked about compactness, they 9 10 might not fit that criteria but might have some 11 relevance in regards to the continuity of the 12 community and then again the communities of 13 interest, that they might have some impact on those.

So they might not look pretty, but they might actually be a very functional district. So that was the purpose of the exercise.

17

VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you.

WILLIE DESMOND: And there are places where this does, like, work well. You know, if there's a large -- there's two towns that neighbor each other, it makes sense, I think, to intuitively, even if it skews the line a little bit, change those.

And just as a -- just a -- I guess an informational note -- so a very jagged line,

1 something like that, will affect the score on the 2 Polsby-Popper compactness test but not on the Reock. 3 The Reock is just comparing to a circle that 4 The Polsby-Popper where it stretches contains it. 5 that line out into a circle, you know, the more 6 squiggly the line, the worse the Polsby-Popper 7 score, I think that illustrates why we think it's important to use a couple of those measures of 8 9 compactness. 10 So are there other areas you would like 11 me to dive into right away? If not, I can just kind 12 of show you some of these. 13 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 15 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, I 16 think that I would want you to dive into the places 17 where you actually put your work product. You said 18 that you didn't actually finish it all. So where 19 did you concentrate your most efforts? 20 WILLIE DESMOND: You know, the border 21 between Districts 3 and 4 did seem to just cut 22 through quite a few little areas. So I started out, 23 you know, right up against the border up here and 24 moved some of these over. 25 You will notice this is now a slightly

| 1 | split reservation. So I will have to clean that up. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Again, that's just a result of me kind of running |
| 3 | out of time. |
| 4 | Tracking this down, you know, areas in |
| 5 | Flagstaff and some of these areas in Yavapai County, |
| б | too, took some time to balance the districts once I |
| 7 | allocated the whole census place. |
| 8 | And then I would say the bulk of my time |
| 9 | I spent in Maricopa County because so many of these |
| 10 | large and very populated areas were divided up into |
| 11 | two or three or four different congressional |
| 12 | districts. I did try to clean it up as best I can. |
| 13 | I won't say I was complete in it, but I made a lot |
| 14 | of progress, I think. |
| 15 | You know, if we look over here when we |
| 16 | look at Buckeye, it was hard to keep this this |
| 17 | whole and just because it is such a kind of a |
| 18 | discombobulated area. |
| 19 | You know, there's places where I wasn't |
| 20 | able to do it where I really did try. For instance, |
| 21 | Scottsdale, I tried very hard to keep that wholly |
| 22 | contained in one district but I couldn't. So there |
| 23 | is slightly maybe 10,000 people down here that |
| 24 | just didn't fit. |
| 25 | And then Tempe, I think it was in four |

Γ

1 districts. I got it down to two districts. So 2 those are just things that -- same thing with Mesa, was almost in two districts but it ended up being in 3 Some of these areas right here. 4 three. 5 You know, District 9, if I shade just the districts, you'll see they are a little funky. 6 I'm 7 trying to --And District 7 is relatively good because 8 9 I didn't touch that one very much. It's fortunate 10 that it's almost entirely Phoenix, though, too. 11 And then if we go down, the last place 12 where there was obviously some issues were -- was 13 down in Tucson. Let me turn on -- so Tucson is 14 split kind of inevitably, just as a necessity to 15 keep the District Number 2 a voting-rights district. 16 But other than that, I was pretty 17 successful at not splitting many of the other areas, 18 if you notice. 19 On the way up from the border -- I'll 20 zoom in a little more. 21 Let me know if there's a particular place 22 you would like me to look at. Again, this isn't 23 necessarily a pretty boundary but it's -- I think 24 it's a defensible one because it is two different 25 municipalities.

1 Somehow either I neglected or there's a 2 large population right here that I just didn't have 3 time to get to. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: They are 4 5 squirrels. 6 WILLIE DESMOND: That's the other category, the squirrel rights district. 7 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 10 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, is 11 there anything that you found in doing this exercise 12 that you found compelling, interesting, or an 13 impossible mapping, from a mapper's point of view? 14 WILLIE DESMOND: To some extent, Arizona 15 does lend itself to this type just because there is 16 so many unincorporated areas. And if you are using 17 the census place, you do have population that does 18 not live in a census place that can be used to try 19 to tweak around the edges. 20 Just -- I think without looking at these, 21 people might think the lines look a little funny. 22 But if this were to be a criteria you guys found 23 exceedingly important, it's certainly something that 24 we could definitely maximize. 25 There are obviously trade-offs here in

| 1 | compactness and contiguous, but it's I don't know |
|----|---|
| 2 | if I'm answering your question or |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madame Chair. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Commissioner |
| 6 | Stertz didn't ask me but I'm going to answer his |
| 7 | question anyway. |
| 8 | The thing I found compelling and |
| 9 | interesting was that there are seven Republican |
| 10 | districts on this map. One has a 4 percent |
| 11 | Republican advantage and the others range from 13.7 |
| 12 | to 30 percent. And there are two Democratic |
| 13 | districts. So we are not taking competitiveness |
| 14 | into account at all in looking at this exercise. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, does |
| 18 | the spreadsheet field automatically populate that |
| 19 | you attach to this map? |
| 20 | WILLIE DESMOND: It does not |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Does this |
| 22 | attachment concur with the map in front of it? |
| 23 | WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay. Good. |
| 25 | WILLIE DESMOND: I can double-check. |
| | |

| 1 | This is the data table that is associated with it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Yes. |
| 3 | WILLIE DESMOND: So the numbers we have |
| 4 | available and I didn't use these as a |
| 5 | consideration are the first district is 51.96. |
| 6 | Does that match? |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It does, yes. |
| 8 | WILLIE DESMOND: So again, those numbers |
| 9 | are just an average of the last statewide elections |
| 10 | from 2008 and 2010. I believe there was ten |
| 11 | elections in total more so from 2010 than 2008 just |
| 12 | because of how the four-year cycles work. Or it |
| 13 | could be more from 2008 than 2010. I think I have |
| 14 | that backwards. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: The fields that you |
| 18 | are populating in those, which is as far as these |
| 19 | percentages are concerned, they are percentages of |
| 20 | voting-age population at that time or voters or what |
| 21 | comprises this number? |
| 22 | WILLIE DESMOND: So that number is the |
| 23 | precincts that comprise the census blocks. Again, |
| 24 | the census the results are disaggregated to the |
| 25 | census block and then automatically reaggregated to |

1 these proposed districts in Maptitude. 2 The other set of numbers, the compactness numbers, are compactness reports that we run in 3 Maptitude. And the top set -- and again, these 4 5 fields are all in the data table Excel files that are available on the website with the maps that 6 7 accompany them. And the top table is the voting-age 8 population breakdown by race. 9 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So as far as 10 competitiveness, this does not take into account any 11 voting history? 12 WILLIE DESMOND: It does not take -- it 13 takes into account the results but nothing at, like, 14 an individual level to see --15 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: The reason I'm 16 asking is you left off one-third of the registered 17 voters, which is the Independents and others. 18 WILLIE DESMOND: No, because this is --19 well, those -- your voter registration is not 20 reflected at all in these numbers. It's how --21 because we don't know how people voted; we just know 22 -- this is based not off of registration numbers but 23 off of election results. 24 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: That's what I was trying to get to. Okay. This is election 25

1 results --2 WILLIE DESMOND: Not registration. COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Got it. 3 Thank you. WILLIE DESMOND: So -- and I should point 4 5 out these are two-way percentages so that results 6 for other or Libertarian or Green Party are not 7 counted in these so that the Republican and Democrat 8 number add up to a hundred. 9 There's no elections statewide that have 10 been won by a third party, so we just included those 11 two. Those other results are available and you 12 13 guys have access to those. They will be on the 14 website, but for these combined averages are not. 15 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame Chair. 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 17 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Just to clarify 18 that, then, on the competitiveness measure, it's the 19 quick view based on 2008, 2010 election cycles only. 20 This is not a statistic based on percentages of 21 voter -- registered voters in those districts. And 22 in terms of election results, you haven't looked at 23 2006 and 2004? 24 WILLIE DESMOND: Correct. And these were 25 just added to the data table following I believe it

1 was last Wednesday. Ken made the presentation on 2 compactness and competitiveness and I think you just 3 asked to include these going forward as kind of a relative measure or a reference point. Again, this 4 5 is not the definition of competitiveness or 6 anything. It's just something that is -- we 7 included for reference. VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: And, Madame Chair. 8 Mr. Freeman. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 10 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Mr. Desmond, I might also be interested to know the voter registration 11 12 percentages going forward. 13 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. 14 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: I think during 15 Mr. Strasma's presentation, he did note some 16 correlation between the registration percentages and 17 what may or may not be viewed as a competitive 18 district. So I think that might be a helpful data 19 point for us to have as well. 20 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Just 21 double-check. 22 That is not a problem. 23 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And, Madame Chair. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Did you develop a 25

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | criteria table, a splits table? What are we going |
| 2 | to call that table? |
| 3 | WILLIE DESMOND: The I think we'll |
| 4 | call that a splits report and that will probably be |
| 5 | included along with this, too. We'll just keep |
| 6 | adding to this underlying data. |
| 7 | And going forward for the stuff Monday, |
| 8 | we'll attempt to have that all squared away. Like I |
| 9 | had mentioned earlier, we are still kind of |
| 10 | finishing that and working on that this morning on |
| 11 | the drive down here. So we'll keep plugging away on |
| 12 | that this weekend and hopefully have that all set |
| 13 | for you guys next week. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Thank you. |
| 15 | And, Madame Chair, one last question and |
| 16 | then we'll wrap this up. |
| 17 | If my math is correct, and you can |
| 18 | correct me if I'm wrong because it's hard to see at |
| 19 | this level, it appears as though we've got ten |
| 20 | uninterrupted counties. |
| 21 | WILLIE DESMOND: I haven't run those |
| 22 | numbers. Just a second. That doesn't sound |
| 23 | unlikely. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And I was looking |
| 25 | at the remaining five just as, again, data points, |
| l | |

| 1 | how many districts that the remaining five counties |
|----|---|
| 2 | are split into. |
| 3 | WILLIE DESMOND: That is |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: For example, Pima |
| 5 | is split into three districts. Three congressional |
| 6 | districts are representing Pima County. |
| 7 | How many districts are representing Pinal |
| 8 | County? |
| 9 | WILLIE DESMOND: Pinal County is also |
| 10 | three. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Three districts. |
| 12 | And obviously, many districts in Maricopa |
| 13 | County. |
| 14 | And then Yavapai County, it appears as |
| 15 | though it's split into two districts; is that |
| 16 | correct? |
| 17 | WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay. Thank you. |
| 19 | WILLIE DESMOND: No, I'm sorry, that is |
| 20 | not correct. There is just a tiny |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It does have a |
| 22 | touch of 8 in there. Is that a cleanup issue or is |
| 23 | that a need? |
| 24 | WILLIE DESMOND: That's to reflect that |
| 25 | Peoria goes into Yavapai a little bit. |

Γ

1 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I see. Okay. 2 Madame Chair, that's it for me. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Any other 3 comments on this particular what-if scenario? 4 5 Okay. I believe -- and is there any other scenario that anyone wants to have generated 6 7 by Mr. Desmond for next week? VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 10 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I'm assuming that 11 the what-if scenarios we went over at yesterday's 12 meeting haven't been done? 13 WILLIE DESMOND: Have not been done. 14 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: They will probably 15 be presented on Monday? 16 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. So I'll start with 17 the ones from yesterday and I'll pick up the ones 18 that were asked for today. I'll see how much I can 19 get through. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: And Ken also. 22 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I had a question 23 from the public from -- a member of the public 24 before recess if they could comment on the 25 congressional grid map. And I don't know if that

1 gentleman is here. Yes, oh, good. I can't see you. 2 So I'm happy to take comments from the public on the congressional grid map what-if 3 scenarios if anyone has any. You're welcome to come 4 5 And this gentleman I know would like to. up. 6 And did you fill out a request to speak 7 form? I did. FRED HIGHTON: 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Great. And 10 your name. 11 FRED HIGHTON: Fred. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Fred? 13 FRED HIGHTON: Highton. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Got it. I saw it in 15 here. 16 Fred Highton, representing self from 17 Pima. 18 FRED HIGHTON: Yeah. 19 Can you hear me? 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes, I think so. 21 I didn't have any set comments when I 22 came in, but several questions have occurred to me 23 since I've been sitting there. 24 So some of the questions have since been 25 answered, like I was wondering how close the

| 1 | populations were on the maps we just saw, and I |
|----|--|
| 2 | think I just seen they were pretty close. |
| 3 | And then my other question is, you know, |
| 4 | how is this process actually working? I hear you |
| 5 | requesting the consultant to make changes, but that |
| 6 | and then he goes away, I guess, and makes those |
| 7 | changes. |
| 8 | Does this process take place in public |
| 9 | like this or do you go home and play with the |
| 10 | software and try and do this stuff yourself? |
| 11 | I mean, this seems a very inefficient way |
| 12 | of actually doing it. I've done a map, so I know |
| 13 | how tough it is, but I'm just wondering what happens |
| 14 | next? What do you guys do next after today? |
| 15 | Would anyone like Linda, would you |
| 16 | like to tell me |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Legal counsel? |
| 18 | JOSEPH KANEFIELD: You can respond. It's |
| 19 | on the agenda. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Great. |
| 21 | I agree, it is a somewhat inefficient |
| 22 | process because we are having to come up with our |
| 23 | own ideas for how we would like to maybe see some |
| 24 | lines move and change, but then our mapping |
| 25 | consultant goes away and makes those changes for us. |

1 We do work at home. We all have laptops 2 with the software loaded onto it and have ideas. 3 And what we can do is e-mail ideas for changes to our executive director, Ray Bladine, and then Ray 4 5 can take them to our mapping consultants and let all of the other commissioners know what an individual 6 7 commissioner has suggested. Right now we are still at the macro 8

9 level. We are still way up here talking about 10 what-if scenarios, and these what-if scenarios are 11 based upon some public input ideas that we got from 12 the first round of public hearings.

And there's a lot more that has to be done. As you heard, we've got to comply with the Voting Rights Act and equal population and then six constitutional criteria.

FRED HIGHTON: I understand.

18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So, yeah, there's
19 still -- was there another part to your question
20 that I haven't answered yet?

17

21 FRED HIGHTON: No. I've got a few more. 22 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Feel free. 23 FRED HIGHTON: The census blocks are 24 fixed, and yet I understood you were going to take 25 the prisons out of it. And so if you do that, isn't

1 it going to distort the rest of the map? 2 WILLIE DESMOND: I'm sorry? FRED HIGHTON: You don't understand the 3 4 question? 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: How we're going to address the prisons. We talked about this yesterday 6 7 and it was on our agenda today, and Mr. Herrera gave a good summary of it. 8 9 Would you like to repeat that? 10 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Sure. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, did I miss --12 I'm sorry, I thought you said prisons. Did you say 13 precincts? 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Prisons. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Prisons. Okav. 16 FRED HIGHTON: Because there's blocks. 17 The census blocks that were described, and I thought 18 you were going to move the prisoners from the 19 population. 20 But this -- you know, this detail of 21 information is in there in the map. So somehow, I'm 22 not sure what your name is, but the consultant has 23 to remove that block with that information in it. 24 It would seem to me that would then 25 distort the other blocks. That's a technical

1 question, but he needs to answer.

2

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: You can answer it.

3 WILLIE DESMOND: So I believe if I
4 understand what you're saying, I think the way we
5 are going to deal with it is attempt to use prison
6 populations as a criteria for reaching the 710,000
7 that each census district needs to have for purposes
8 of Voting Rights Act analysis.

9 Those populations will not be counted 10 towards minority numbers. And I believe the 11 official direction of the Commission is just to be 12 conscious of it and try to work to minimize any 13 effects.

14 FRED HIGHTON: Oh, I see. So it might15 distort the results, not the map.

16 WILLIE DESMOND: Well, it's -- I don't 17 think we are able to remove that population from the 18 total population of the congressional districts. 19 They all do need to meet the 710. Those people need 20 to be counted.

FRED HIGHTON: So a rural population would look bigger because of that prison population and so the fewer people in that area would be empowered. They will have more power than the people in another district?

1 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, and we've been 2 directed to try to minimize that by, if possible, 3 allocating the prison population equally among the 4 nine districts. 5 FRED HIGHTON: You can do that? That's 6 going to be a fudge factor. 7 WILLIE DESMOND: Well, we can attempt to. FRED HIGHTON: 8 Okay. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okav. 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My other question, 11 you sort of answered. I was -- how do you judge 12 competitiveness? And I assume because you are 13 combining 2008 and 2010, you're doing it on 14 congressional votes, who voted for the congressman 15 of that district, and they get voted every two 16 years. 17 But it would seem to me 2008, being an 18 election year, more people voted in 2008 than in 19 2010, which may upset the balance. That may be 20 something --21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, and that's a 22 great point. The point is, though, we haven't made 23 any decisions yet on how we are going to measure 24 competitiveness. There are numerous ways, and I 25 actually encouraged the public to look at our

1 website online a few weeks back. 2 Mr. Strasma, our mapping consultant, gave a presentation on the different measures of 3 compactness that we could use and also different 4 5 measures of competitiveness and talks about some of 6 that. And the Commission hasn't made a decision 7 yet on how it's -- what it's going to use. 8 9 FRED HIGHTON: When I did my map, we had 10 some software that was developed by another group. 11 You probably know about it. And I used the measure who voted for which president in 2008. 12 That's 13 something you might want to think about. 14 And I have a map, and you said I could 15 present them. How would I do that? Would I have to 16 bring in my computer and --17 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: You could -- you 18 could come with a jump stick and we could present it 19 on screen. You could also -- and you could come and 20 speak at one of our meetings. 21 So this second item on the agenda, map 22 presentations, is the opportunity for the public to 23 actually present maps that they've drawn. 24 You're also happy -- we're happy to take 25 things electronically if you want to submit it to

| 1 | our executive director, Ray Bladine, he would take |
|----|--|
| 2 | that and ensure that all of us got that information. |
| 3 | FRED HIGHTON: I'd probably like to run |
| 4 | it through this software to make sure it's and |
| 5 | you say this will be up and I can use that? |
| 6 | WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. The online version |
| 7 | of this is going live I think today, if it isn't |
| 8 | already. |
| 9 | BUCK FORST: Right now. |
| 10 | WILLIE DESMOND: Right now. |
| 11 | FRED HIGHTON: That's just about it. |
| 12 | Thank you very much. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes, Mr. Stertz. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: You might want to |
| 17 | make a clarification for the audience today |
| 18 | regarding the 2004/2006 voting data that will be |
| 19 | used as an inclusion. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes. We made |
| 21 | during yesterday's meeting, one of the agenda items |
| 22 | was whether to go back and include 2004, 2006 |
| 23 | election data. And the Commission talked we got |
| 24 | some information from legal counsel and from |
| 25 | Mr. Adelson and decided that it definitely makes |

1 sense to get that information, the 2004, 2006. So 2 that is happening currently. Our mapping consultant is working on that. 3 Was there an additional aspect to that? 4 5 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Just that it was 6 included (inaudible). 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can't hear. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Go ahead. 10 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I was saying that 11 the competitive analysis that's being used, the 12 quick view analysis, is purely based on the data 13 they are currently having stored in their system, 14 which is 2008, 2010. 15 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes, Mr. Herrera. 17 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Now, we agreed to 18 use the 2004, 2006 voting data for -- to determine 19 the DOJ -- to meet DOJ requirements of voting 20 minority -- issues with minority voters. 21 But we did not agree that we would use 22 2004, 2006 data for -- to determine competitiveness. 23 That's something that hasn't been decided. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That's correct, we have not made a decision on what we are going to 25

include in our competitiveness measure or which
 method we are going to use. So that's still
 forthcoming.
 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Or, Madame Chair,
 I might add, whether we'll use one measure or
 whether we might use several measures for comparison
 purposes because Mr. Strasma explained to us

yesterday that it would be very possible and not

9 difficult to pull up different combinations of 10 elections to get different perspectives on what the 11 competitiveness is of a district might be in the 12 same way that we are using different measure of 13 compactness to look at a district from different 14 perspectives. There's no one way to look at it 15 necessarily.

8

16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And I would just 17 make a quick comment on these what-if scenarios, 18 too. We are doing this for both congressional maps 19 and legislative maps, of course, and we are getting 20 to that on the agenda next.

The goal is to have draft maps that we can take on the road, so to speak, do a road show with a second round of public hearings that will have a draft legislative map and a draft congressional map.

1 And then the idea is to get public 2 comment on those draft maps. The goal is to start 3 that second round of public hearings the last week in September. So we'll see if we can get there. 4 5 So over the next few weeks, we are going to work our hardest to try to get some draft maps 6 7 generated. Any other comments from commissioners on 8 9 congressional? VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Yeah, Madame Chair. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 12 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I had mentioned 13 yesterday that I had some changes that I wanted to 14 think about for the proposed river district version 15 5, and I wanted some time to think about it. So I 16 wanted to see if I can give you those changes -- or 17 those proposed changes now and so you could work on 18 them over the weekend, Saturday and Sunday. 19 WILLIE DESMOND: Sounds -- don't forget 20 tonight, too. 21 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Oh, I meant Friday, 22 Saturday and Sunday. 23 So let's focus on District 3 and 5. 24 So let's move the Pinal and Pima County 25 portions of District 3 into 5. That's not including

1 the areas of Queen Creek and San Tan Valley. 2 So, again, with the exception of Queen Creek and San Tan Valley areas, move the Pinal 3 County and Pima County portions into District 3 --4 5 of District 3 into District 5. WILLIE DESMOND: Not including San Tan 6 7 Valley and what other area? VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Not including San 8 9 Tan Valley and the Queen Creek area. 10 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. 11 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: And the other issue that I wanted to address for the what-if scenario 12 version 5 of the river district is moving District 13 14 3 -- so there's an arm from District -- moving 15 District 3 into the arm from District 5 that grabs 16 Apache Junction, north Mesa and also Fountain Hills. 17 We talked about that arm that Commissioner McNulty 18 was referring to. 19 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. So I believe there 20 you asked me to take out of CD 5 -- take out the 21 nonreservation land of Fountain Hills and trade that 22 with the eastern portion of District 4; is that 23 correct? 24 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I'm sorry. 25 WILLIE DESMOND: Do you mind if I just

| 1 | load that version up again and we can |
|----|---|
| 2 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Definitely. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I can study it |
| 4 | later. |
| 5 | WILLIE DESMOND: So we are talking about |
| 6 | changes to congressional river District 4, correct? |
| 7 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Correct. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Version 5? |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: It will be version |
| 10 | 5. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think we |
| 12 | don't we have a version 5 that we looked at |
| 13 | yesterday? |
| 14 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: That was a change to |
| 15 | correct, that was a change to version 5 of the |
| 16 | river district. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: So this will be |
| 18 | version 6? 5A? |
| 19 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: 5A is fine. |
| 20 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. |
| 21 | So you're talking about this area? |
| 22 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Correct. |
| 23 | WILLIE DESMOND: You would like to do |
| 24 | what with this area, then? |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: That's what we were |

Г

1 calling the arm, I guess, from District 5 that grabs 2 Apache Junction, North Mesa, and Fountain Hills. So 3 move District 3 into the arm from District 5. WILLIE DESMOND: So move District 3 to 4 5 come and take these areas? VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Correct. 6 That grabs -- so move District 3 into the arm from 7 8 District 5 that grabs Apache Junction, North Mesa, and Fountain Hills. 9 10 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. And then to 11 accommodate that population that will be removed 12 from 5, where should I --13 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Say that again. WILLIE DESMOND: So if we take that area 14 15 away from 5 and put it into 3, where should 5 make 16 up that population, I guess? 17 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: They trade each 18 other. 19 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Probably in 4 20 right above it, maybe. If you move that arm down 21 into 3, then you could move 5 a little bit -- just a 22 little bit. You've got another arm there. 23 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: So we are trading --24 so you trade the arm of District 5 for the rural 25 Pinal County and Pima County parts of District 3.

1 So they trade for each other. So that should kind 2 of equalize the population. 3 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Does that make sense 4 5 now? 6 WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah. 7 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: So you may be able now to work Friday and Saturday and have Sunday off. 8 9 WILLIE DESMOND: I'm sure I'll have 10 something to do. 11 Are there other changes to this one or other what-if maps for next week on the 12 13 congressional side? 14 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Madame Chair. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 16 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Don't forget that 17 I had a version B to whatever the last version of 18 the river district was. 19 WILLIE DESMOND: That's right. That's 20 6B is to take Congressional District 5 out right. 21 of the nonreservation lands dealing with that same 22 area, correct? 23 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: I believe it was to 24 put the Fountain Hills area -- there was a swap with the Fountain Hills area. 25

| i | |
|----|---|
| 1 | WILLIE DESMOND: The eastern portion of |
| 2 | yeah, that makes sense. |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Desmond, what I |
| б | would like to do I think you are doing that for |
| 7 | all what-if scenarios, but I definitely want to see |
| 8 | that competitiveness score that you have been |
| 9 | creating for the other ones. I would like to see |
| 10 | Even though we are doing what-if |
| 11 | scenarios, I am keeping competitiveness in the |
| 12 | forefront in my mind when I am creating these and |
| 13 | making sure that it is not a skewed some of these |
| 14 | ones have, you know, six districts that are not |
| 15 | competitive at all that favor one district one |
| 16 | party or the other. And I don't want I want to |
| 17 | make sure that we are creating as many competitive |
| 18 | districts as possible. So I would like to look at |
| 19 | that information. |
| 20 | WILLIE DESMOND: And I believe also |
| 21 | criteria for version what I will call 6A was try |
| 22 | to make more competitive districts. That was |
| 23 | from Commissioner McNulty had asked for that |
| 24 | yesterday. |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: And now could you |
| | |

| 1 | put up that competitiveness measure so that people |
|----|--|
| 2 | in the audience can see this? |
| 3 | WILLIE DESMOND: Right now? |
| 4 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Well, it doesn't |
| 5 | have to be today. That's your Saturday and Sunday |
| 6 | work. So if you don't mind doing that, because what |
| 7 | we want to do is allow the people in the public to |
| 8 | make sure that they can see that information because |
| 9 | I think this information is good to look at. |
| 10 | WILLIE DESMOND: Those data tables that |
| 11 | we've been providing you are available on the |
| 12 | website. |
| 13 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Oh, they are? I did |
| 14 | not know that. |
| 15 | WILLIE DESMOND: They will have not only |
| 16 | just the racial breakdown but also the compactness |
| 17 | and that competitiveness in there, too. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 20 | WILLIE DESMOND: And also the splits |
| 21 | coming forward, too. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Will Mr. Bladine be |
| 24 | available to receive e-mails tomorrow that he will |
| 25 | be able to forward to our mapping consultant? |

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes. He's nodding 2 yes. 3 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Thank you very much. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We're not supposed to take comments from the public unless you 6 7 want to come up and talk to us about these congressional maps. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And I know you 11 filled out a form. This is a request to speak form. 12 Let me pull it out. 13 This is Guy Keenan, representing self, 14 from Pima County. 15 And please be sure to spell your name for 16 the record. 17 GUY KEENAN: My name is Guy Keenan. My 18 last name is K-e-e-n-a-n, and I live in Tucson. 19 I just -- I've only been to one of your 20 meetings prior to this one, and so I may be missing 21 the bigger picture. But it seems like you're doing 22 an awful lot of work here with all of these 23 scenarios without considering competitiveness, 24 because that's key. 25 And as I understand it, the main -- the

main complaint with the prior redistricting -Independent Redistricting Commission of ten years
prior was that despite all of their work, which was,
I know, done in good faith and despite all of the
litigation that followed the work on the maps, we
ended up with either the same or less
competitiveness than we had before.

So it seems to me that -- I know it seems 8 9 like equal population is a definite concern here and 10 that the Voting Rights Act is a definite concern 11 here, but I think competitiveness should be next. Because if I understood -- I'm sorry, I don't 12 remember -- is it Ms. McNulty? If I understood your 13 14 comment correctly, it seemed like seven out of these nine districts were Republican districts and that 15 16 the competitiveness was way off as a percentage 17 measure in most of these districts, up to 30 or 18 35 percent off favoring one party over the other.

Now, I don't see how it profits you at all to be studying the intricacies of these district maps if they are that far off competitively.

I mean, you could do better with a dart board I think. I mean, really, why do that? Why start here and then tinker, you know, with the boundaries when you're so far off competitively?

1 And I'm sure that it's much more 2 complicated than I can understand -- than I 3 understand right now about measure of competitiveness, et cetera, but I really think that 4 5 from, you know, just a layperson in the public's point of view, I think our political system is very 6 skewed now by the lack of competitiveness in 7 districts. And this is your chance to fix that, or 8 9 least try to make it better.

10 So I know you're going to get to that, 11 but I wish you guys would focus on it now. I know 12 you have those other two criteria, population and 13 Voting Rights Act that you have to consider, but --14 and I know there's six criteria, which is an 15 impossible job to match all of these six criteria, 16 but at least from my perspective as a member of the 17 voting public, I haven't had a competitive race to 18 vote for. I've lived in the same house for 22 19 I've never had a competitive race to vote years. 20 Maybe once or twice on the congressional for. 21 level, never on the state legislative level ever. 22

22 Most of the time there's only one party's 23 person running for state representative in my 24 district. Two people run because the other party 25 knows that they don't have a shot. And I just don't

| _ | |
|----|--|
| 1 | think that's fair to the voters to do that. |
| 2 | So, you know, for what it's worth, I |
| 3 | really wish you guys would focus on that. And I |
| 4 | think it would cut down your workload maybe |
| 5 | 90 percent. I mean, you guys are killing yourselves |
| 6 | up here. That's very apparent, not to mention |
| 7 | staff. |
| 8 | But I would hope that maybe you could |
| 9 | laser in on competitiveness, try to consider that |
| 10 | first among equals, except for these other two |
| 11 | equals that are first, and hopefully kind of, you |
| 12 | know, make it easier on yourselves and everyone else |
| 13 | down the line. |
| 14 | So that's all I've got. Thank you very |
| 15 | much. |
| 16 | And I would have stayed for the regular |
| 17 | comment period, but I have chronic pain and I can't |
| 18 | sit that long. So I appreciate your letting me talk |
| 19 | now. |
| 20 | Thank you. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. |
| 22 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. |
| 24 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I would like to |
| 25 | address something. |

1 I know that we are all doing our what-if 2 scenarios and we are looking at it a little 3 differently. I'm assuming -- for example, I don't -- my river district didn't have three borders, but 4 5 that's fine. It had two border districts but it had a river district and other areas. But when I'm 6 7 putting these together, I am looking at, obviously, the Voting Rights Act and equal population, but the 8 9 issue of competitiveness is an important issue, and 10 one that I am looking at. I know Commissioner 11 McNulty is and I'm hoping that my other fellow 12 commissioners are doing the same thing. 13 We have a lot to be looking at. 14 Competitiveness is equally as important and we are 15 looking at it. So rest assured that we are doing 16 it. 17 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Lynne St. Angelo, 19 representing self from Pima. 20 LYNNE ST. ANGELO: Thank you, Madam 21 Chairman. I also had a comment on the congressional 22 maps dealing with the data table. 23 Just from a data standpoint, it's very 24 important when you have a small amount of data, you 25 get outliers that skew the data very big. When you

1 have larger amount of data, that outlier criteria 2 kind of goes away, which is one reason that when you do -- you do polling and that kind of thing, you try 3 4 to get a large sample. 5 The same thing is true with the data Basically you are making a judgment on every 6 here. one of these based on only the 2008, 2010 election 7 8 results. 9 And in my opinion, that is -- that kind 10 of outlier, you're going to get that kind of skewing 11 happening because you have a small amount of data 12 field. To include the 2004, 2006 election 13 14 results only makes logical sense from a data 15 standpoint alone, especially since you are making 16 judgments, basically, as you are looking at these 17 maps and you are getting a skewed viewpoint if you 18 only look at two sets of data. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 21 Any other comments? 22 I'm sorry. Please. This is on the 23 congressional maps what-if scenarios. 24 SHIRL LAMONNA: Yes. My name is Shirl 25 It's S-h-i-r-l, L-a-m-o-n-n-a. Lamonna.

1 And I'm not a mapping expert. I do 2 understand that you have the various constitutional 3 requirements to deal with for the redistricting and all of the what-if scenarios. 4 5 Please excuse me, I don't have access to 6 some of the maps that you've been looking at today 7 and perhaps Commissioner Herrera has addressed this with his last reiteration about the Pinal and the 8 9 Pima. 10 But I will say -- I just have two quick 11 I'm very pleased to see that you have comments. 12 three-border districts here in Arizona because I think we really do need to have three 13 14 representatives in Congress to deal with the various 15 representatives on the border issues. 16 Second of all -- and this might be 17 another what-if scenario that could be explored --18 we know that we have this issue of the Tucson 19 sector, as they call it on the news, between Tucson 20 and Phoenix and the drug trafficking and the crime 21 and, you know, the increased home invasions and 22 things like that that are going on. 23 And I would just suggest that I haven't 24 seen on any of these maps Pinal and Pima linked 25 together. And I'm just kind of -- just throw it out

| 1 | for what it's worth. Is that a possibility, that we |
|----|--|
| 2 | should be looking at it, because there does seem to |
| 3 | be some sort of a linkage. |
| 4 | Thank you. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. |
| 6 | This is Christine Bauserman, representing |
| 7 | Citizens for Common Sense Redistricting from Pima. |
| 8 | CHRISTINE BAUSERMAN: And it's B-a-u-s, |
| 9 | as in Sam, e-r-m-a-n. |
| 10 | One thing I wanted to ask is when you |
| 11 | show these maps well, I know now we have it |
| 12 | online, we can see the backup data, but it would be |
| 13 | kind of nice to hear this version meets, like, four |
| 14 | out of five of the requirements, like what they are, |
| 15 | that it meets compact, contiguous, you know, |
| 16 | whatever the four or five are just so we kind of |
| 17 | know. |
| 18 | I heard that one time online, it was |
| 19 | really helpful. |
| 20 | And another thing that hasn't been |
| 21 | clarified in a long, long time, are these maps |
| 22 | are they based on the original grid maps? I think |
| 23 | they are and you kind of moved the lines, but it |
| 24 | might be nice to hear that, because that was a |
| 25 | constitutional requirement. |
| | |

1 About the data, the 2006 and 2010, data 2 were two times in the system where we had huge 3 In 2006, the Democrats took over the turnovers. In 2010, the Republicans took over the 4 House. 5 And I've kind of alluded to this before. House. And when you give the Republicans and Democrats, you 6 should give the Independents in this state. 7

And I know all of the requirements of 8 9 Prop 106 always say you're supposed to be 10 nonpartisan, so why you're considering -- you almost 11 have to consider voter registration because Pima 12 County, especially Southern -- or Southern Arizona is not a pigeonhole. We do not vote. People got 13 14 tired of all of the incumbents and they voted them 15 out and they did it again. And I'm already hearing 16 everybody is tired of all of the politicians again 17 and we may do it again.

And people really do vote across party 19 lines. So it doesn't matter how many votes. It's 20 more registered. You can't tell or predict how 21 people vote.

And you guys are supposed to be drawing maps and lines. You are not supposed to be electing candidates. This isn't a campaign. You're not supposed to be taking account where they live, who

1 is going to run. 2 If people want more competitive districts, they got to get out there and find 3 candidates to run. And there should be people who 4 5 run, if they are that passionate about it. But the '6 and '10 data, really, I think, 6 is a different issue that should be included. 7 Now, another thing specifically about the 8 9 maps, I like the three-border district and the river 10 District 4. I think they could be kind of combined. 11 But one thing for a community of interest 12 and the geographic feature is in District 1 and 2, the southern border, the Santa Cruz border, there's 13 14 a big mountain range down through there and so it's 15 like Patagonia and Sonoita kind belong more in one 16 because the only way they can get to the rest of 17 Santa Cruz is, like, around the mountain. 18 And if you just know events -- like we go 19 to events. I'm in Tanque Verde and I will go to 20 events in Sonoita, like their fair, and I will go to 21 Cochise because it's on my side of the mountain. 22 Just to put that out there. 23 And that's all. 24 Thank you. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.

1 Other speakers? 2 Thank you. This is Jack Roosa, 3 representing self from Tucson. JACK ROOSA: And that's spelled J-a-c-k, 4 5 R-o-o-s-a. Well, this is my first meeting, so 6 7 hopefully I stay within the ground rules here on the 8 comments. 9 What I would like to say, I think it's in 10 the public's best interest to have multiple 11 districts along the border. Having multiple 12 districts provides diversity of thought, diversity of solutions from our representatives as we deal 13 14 with the border issues. And after all, diversity is 15 something we should all be looking for. 16 I don't know how that fits into the 17 criteria that you are looking for as far as the 18 Voting Rights Act and being competitive and so 19 forth, but I do think having multiple 20 representatives along the districts helps -- helps 21 generate different ideas, different solutions as 22 opposed to one individual representing the entire 23 border. 24 Maybe the next one is just a comment 25 because I think the meeting is for you all and not

1 necessarily for us, but it's confusing sitting out 2 there watching the maps evolve and not knowing how or what changed from one map to the next and trying 3 to follow along. 4 5 But again, I guess if you all can follow it, then it's okay by us. 6 7 Okay. That's all I got. VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Anyone else on the 10 congressional district maps before we move on? 11 Okay, I did receive that. 12 Is this Dee Pfeiffer? 13 DEE PFEIFFER: Dee Pfeiffer, 14 P-f-e-i-f-f-e-r, and I'm from Vail. 15 And I just wanted to say I was pleased to 16 see the maps that have the three-border districts. 17 I think it's very important that we have at least --18 well, the more the better, although the one with the 19 four, I didn't think that worked very well, but for 20 us to have the most representation in Congress that 21 we can for the people and the problems that are 22 along the border. 23 So I agree with Shirl's comments and the 24 lady before me, her comments regarding that, as well 25 as the lady before me that was talking about that we

| 1 | need to get the people out there to run. We need to |
|----|--|
| 2 | find qualified people to run. |
| 3 | And, again, remember that |
| 4 | competitiveness, that's up to us. We need to do |
| 5 | that. So I think that was a very good point that |
| 6 | she brought up. And, again, competitiveness is last |
| 7 | on the list and I hope that you remember that |
| 8 | because I know what it really means. |
| 9 | Thank you. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. |
| 11 | We have another speaker on this topic. |
| 12 | Do you have a request to speak form |
| 13 | already filled out? |
| 14 | JOHN VAN DE BEUKEN: John Van De Beuken. |
| 15 | That's V-a-n, D-e, B-e-u-k-e-n. |
| 16 | I noticed as you were talking, you |
| 17 | mentioned how you were putting these areas together, |
| 18 | trying to keep municipalities together, trying to |
| 19 | keep Indian reservations together, and in some cases |
| 20 | counties or most cases counties, and then I |
| 21 | noticed that you had a special group here that came |
| 22 | in and requested that you break up Tucson, a |
| 23 | coalition, as I recall. |
| 24 | Well, are there other coalitions that |
| 25 | have evolved in this state? Is that the only |
| | |

1 coalition that's occurred, that you have recognized 2 and made changes for? 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Legal counsel, can 4 we answer that question? 5 JOHN VAN DE BEUKEN: I wonder if the commissioners have solicited coalitions to come 6 7 forward? MARY O'GRADY: Madame Chair, it's not a 8 9 violation of the open meeting law to respond since 10 it's on the agenda, but on the other hand, this 11 really is a time for comment, and so the focus 12 should be comments, rather than the question and 13 answer. So it's time for them to get your input. 14 JOHN VAN DE BEUKEN: Okay. I guess that's my comment, then, it's a question. 15 16 Thank you. I have the question that 17 doesn't involve the map. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 19 Anyone else? 20 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Could I just make 21 a brief comment in response to that? 22 Under the Voting Rights Act, we do need 23 to reach out to minority groups and request their 24 And I think that was a minority coalition. input. 25 So that's one of the things that we are doing to

1 satisfy the Voting Rights Act is reaching out to 2 minority coalitions. But I think it's fair we're reaching out 3 to everyone. We've traveled at this point thousands 4 5 of miles all over the state and invited people on the website to submit their suggestions and maps 6 7 either individually or as groups. So we are looking for input from anyone 8 9 who wants to provide it. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And I believe the 11 coalition maps, anyone that has submitted anything, 12 that is on the website, too, that they can view; is that correct? 13 14 Okay. I don't -- anyone else from the 15 public on this topic? 16 One more -- oh, two more. Sorry. 17 STEVE NYGREN: I did turn in my card. 18 I'm Steve Nygren, N-y-g-r-e-n. I'm in LD 19 29, and I agree with basically everybody who has 20 talked here, that we need as many congressional 21 districts on the border as possible. 22 I also agree that gerrymandering or 23 attempts to advantage minority population seems to 24 distort the maps in the geographical areas of 25 communities of interest.

1 So I look at some of these and I see 2 that, you know, people on the west side of Tucson 3 have nothing to do with Yuma and have no interest in common except for the fact that somebody is telling 4 5 us that we can pour a bunch of Hispanics or we can pour a bunch of prison population into supposedly 6 7 making a competitive district. And again, I agree that someone here is 8 9 really ledging this panel into the fact that it's, 10 like, we need to create Democratic- or Republican-controlled districts. And that happens 11 12 in natural progression through time. 13 And, I'm sorry, that if your Hispanic 14 population rolls to Republican, it is because of the 15 lack of leadership that your population is feeling 16 rather than a party problem. 17 So I would like everyone to think about 18 that this weekend. Because if you feel your 19 district is not competitive anymore, it happened 20 because of what the population has experienced in 21 the last ten years. 22 Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 24 Betty Bengtson, representing self from 25 Pima.

1 BETTY BENGTSON: Thank you. 2 Betty Bengtson, B-e-n-g-t-s-o-n, and I live in an incorporated area of Pima County in LD 26 3 4 and CD 8. 5 And I'm not sure -- several people have commented on the need for three voter districts. 6 7 Maybe we need three, maybe we don't. But the one over along the eastern border, it looks to me 8 9 like -- that the people in the northern part of that 10 district would have -- would have different concerns 11 perhaps than those on the southern part. I'm not --12 we wouldn't be in that district, so that's just an 13 aside. 14 If a district is 70 or 80 percent 15 registration for one party or the other, it's hard 16 for it to be a competitive district, whatever your 17 political concerns are. So it's hard to get 18 organized, maybe, if there's such a disparity in 19 registration. 20 I just wanted to comment a little bit on 21 CD 8, the current CD 8, which is the district that 22 we live in. And I would very much like to see CD 8 23 24 retain much of its current geographic appearance. 25 It's a very competitive district at the moment, as

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | evidenced by past election history, and should |
| 2 | remain I think as intact as possible. |
| 3 | We are I think we share a community of |
| 4 | interest. I share a community of interest with |
| 5 | people who live in the current CD 8. |
| 6 | My husband is a uniformed services |
| 7 | retiree. We are quite interested in what happens to |
| 8 | Davis-Monthan and even Fort Huachuca. So those are |
| 9 | areas of interest to us. |
| 10 | We've also spent a lot of time in Cochise |
| 11 | County and other areas in the Wilcox area and so on, |
| 12 | hiking and birding in the Chiricahuas, the |
| 13 | Huachucas, Klondyke in the upper Sulphur Spring |
| 14 | Valley, and in the grasslands of Cochise County. |
| 15 | We are quite concerned about |
| 16 | environmental issues in those areas, including, for |
| 17 | example, water use. We are concerned about border |
| 18 | security and safety in those areas. We don't want |
| 19 | to be out hiking when we don't feel safe. |
| 20 | And we feel those are all issues affected |
| 21 | by voting and political affairs. |
| 22 | So I hope you will consider keeping CD 8 |
| 23 | as intact as it is now. |
| 24 | Thank you. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. |
| ļ | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I guess we need to |
| 4 | be reminded that the Voting Rights Act is |
| 5 | something Voting Rights Act is something we have |
| 6 | to abide by. It's not something we can ignore. And |
| 7 | I didn't pass it. It wasn't me. It was before my |
| 8 | time. And just remind people that although I'm the |
| 9 | only Hispanic here, I represent everyone, white, |
| 10 | black, Native American, it doesn't matter where you |
| 11 | live. |
| 12 | I was put here for a reason, and I intend |
| 13 | to do the best job I can in representing everyone. |
| 14 | So just thought I would clarify things. I'm not |
| 15 | here supporting any group. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you. |
| 17 | Anyone else from the public who wanted to |
| 18 | address us on the congressional grid map what-if |
| 19 | scenarios? |
| 20 | Pete Bengtson, representing self from |
| 21 | Pima. |
| 22 | PETE BENGTSON: The name is Pete |
| 23 | Bengtson. It's B-e-n-g-t-s-o-n. |
| 24 | I would like to just second what Betty |
| 25 | said, but I would also like to comment that I really |
| | |

1 appreciate what the commissioners are doing. It's 2 becoming increasingly clear to me how difficult your 3 job is, and I appreciate the work you are doing. One of the things I think is not guite 4 5 clear to this audience is that you are following Proposition 106. There are the two legally required 6 items of Voting Rights Act and equal population but 7 then you have the other four that are equally 8 9 important, including competitiveness, following 10 cities/towns/state boundaries -- county boundaries 11 and communities of interest. I forget what the 12 fourth one is. Compact and contiguous. 13 And that's one that I normally talk about 14 is compact and contiguous and city/county boundaries 15 because I would like to see as many city/county 16 boundaries used on the borders. 17 Thanks for all of your good work. 18 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. 19 Anyone else from the public on this 20 agenda item? 21 Okay. The time is 6 p.m. Do we need a 22 break for folks? 23 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: We do. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We'll take a brief 25 recess of ten minutes and be back at 6:10.

1 Thanks. 2 (A recess was taken from 6:03 p.m. to 3 6:21 p.m.) CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. The time is 4 5 6:19 p.m., and we'll conclude recess. 6 And we are now past the congressional map 7 and moving into the next agenda item, which I believe is agenda item 5, review, discussion, and 8 9 direction to mapping consultant regarding ideas for 10 possible adjustments to legislative grid map based on constitutional criteria. 11 12 So moving into legislative, were you able 13 to do anything last night on these? 14 WILLIE DESMOND: I was. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okav. 16 WILLIE DESMOND: So we have two more to 17 present for legislative, and I guess it's good if we 18 just go through the progression of how these started 19 and what criteria have been used. 20 So I will do that briefly. 21 Initially, the first cut at adjusting the 22 grid maps for legislative districts was to adjust 23 the grid maps in order to accommodate the nine 24 majority/minority district that Mr. Adelson 25 identified.

1 In order to emphasize that this was 2 something that could be done different ways, we did 3 it two versions of that, two options that kind of ran parallel to one another, both attempting to 4 5 change the grid map and accomplish the same goals but by doing slightly different lines. That was the 6 7 first version of these maps. Then it was followed up to adjust them so 8 9 as not to split any Native American reservation 10 lands, if possible. A third version was then created to 11 12 respect census-place boundaries, and then a fourth 13 version, these ones, were created. And I should say I created these off of version number 2. 14 I did adjust some of the boundaries to better reflect 15 16 census-place areas, but they were back, too. 17 So I guess using our new naming 18 structure, these should have been 3B. The other 19 ones would have been 3A, but right now they are 4, 20 so I apologize for that, but we are going to work --21 I'll adopt that system going forward. 22 The criteria specifically for these came from Commissioner McNulty, and they were to move all 23 of the Tohono O'odham Reservation into District 24 25 Number 3 from District Number 2 and also to clean up

| 1 | the border that runs through Tucson, to move the Pai |
|----|--|
| 2 | tribes into District Number 6, to move the Holbrook |
| 3 | and Winslow areas into a district with Flagstaff and |
| 4 | to keep the Prescott and Prescott Valley areas whole |
| 5 | and not with Flagstaff. |
| 6 | So with that in mind, I will go to the |
| 7 | first one. |
| 8 | So just for everyone, these are |
| 9 | legislative nine minority districts, option 1, |
| 10 | version 4. |
| 11 | So I guess I'll start with the first |
| 12 | part, which was to move the Tohono O'odham |
| 13 | reservation all into District Number 3. So as you |
| 14 | can see, it is now included into District Number 3. |
| 15 | And for anyone following on the live |
| 16 | stream, I was informed over the break that these are |
| 17 | all now available online. |
| 18 | Just turning on county and I am going to |
| 19 | turn on census place. And again, the census place |
| 20 | is now are colored to identify the different |
| 21 | areas. |
| 22 | But what we're I guess the first |
| 23 | criteria was to move this reservation land into this |
| 24 | area. |
| 25 | All right. Going on, next was to move |
| | @ NZ Litigation Court Doportorg |

1 the Supai and Havasupai tribes into District Number 2 So -- or into District Number 7, I'm sorry. 6. So they are now included with the Navajo and the Hopi 3 in District Number 7. So they were in District 4 5 Number 6; now they are in District Number 7. So District Number 7 does have a fairly 6 strong Native American population. 7 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Mr. Desmond, see, 8 I viewed that a little differently than the Hispanic 9 10 issue where there's no other influenced district 11 where those votes can be. So to me, it makes sense 12 to consider having them together in a district where 13 they are with -- where they can elect a candidate of 14 their choice, which isn't going to happen unless they are in that district. 15 16 WILLIE DESMOND: So right now with that 17 change, District Number 7 is 61.36 percent 18 voting-age Native American. 19 And I believe that was a criteria for the 20 grid maps that you had asked us to try to include 21 the Supai and Havasupai with the congressionals too. 22 So that is something we've been attempting to do. 23 Going on from there, the next object was to move the Holbrook and Winslow areas into the 24 25 district with Flagstaff, which worked out well.

1 When we moved all of this area into this District 2 Number 7 from District Number 6, we were then able 3 to accomplish that by extending District 6 into this part of District Number 7. So it was effectively a 4 5 swap. So District Number 7 took the Pai tribes 6 7 and District Number 6 got Holbrook and Winslow. So I'll zoom into that. 8 9 So Winslow is good, and then Holbrook, I 10 also, in order to make up that population 11 difference, did include Sun Valley and Joseph City, 12 some other incorporated areas east of there to the 13 Apache County line. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: What's the color 14 15 scheme? Are those population differences in census 16 places? 17 WILLIE DESMOND: That is just census 18 places uniquely colored to identify them a little 19 easier. 20 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: I can turn that off. 22 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: No, I like it. Ι 23 want to know how to do it. 24 WILLIE DESMOND: It's not hard. I can 25 show you right after.

1 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: You can show me 2 later. 3 What's got the line through it there? WILLIE DESMOND: Woodruff. 4 5 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Well, maybe we should fix Woodruff. 6 7 WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah, that's some little 8 tweaks. 9 And I should be clear here, because I had 10 done -- because I did this version before 11 yesterday's meeting, I didn't pay as much attention 12 to respecting the census-place lines. Version 13 number 2 I did this morning and last night, and 14 since we had talked about that more yesterday, 15 version number 2 does take into account trying to 16 not split those as much. And we'll see that in a 17 minute. 18 But I would be more than happy to go 19 through and make some of those changes. 20 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: We'll be working 21 on these a lot, so there's time for that. 22 WILLIE DESMOND: It's a good example --23 so if you look down here, this is the type of split 24 that was fairly common in all of these until it was 25 addressed.

1 So when you're not paying attention to 2 census places, it's easy to make those splits 3 because there's lines that run through them reflecting population centers and stuff. So it's 4 5 just one of the many things we look at when we are 6 doing these. 7 And we have been continually improving them. 8 9 So the last part -- the last criteria was 10 to keep the Prescott and Prescott Valley areas whole 11 and not with -- not with Flagstaff. So if you'll notice District Number 14 --12 13 and this wasn't a very hard thing to accomplish. 14 Most of Prescott was already in District 14 and just 15 parts of the outer lying Prescott Valley needed to 16 be moved over. 17 Prescott Valley does have a very 18 interesting border. I'll highlight it real quick. 19 It's a little hard to see, but it does extend like a 20 border going way out surrounding unincorporated 21 areas. So there is no way of keeping Prescott 22 Valley whole without including all those areas that 23 are unincorporated between it. 24 So those were the four criteria. Are 25 there other things you want me to look at on this or

| <pre>1 should I go straight to the second one? 2 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: There's another 3 one of these that you did the same thing to? 4 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, because we had the 5 two parallel 6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. I'm not 7 sure I have both 8 WILLIE DESMOND: You should. 9 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I have option 1,</pre> | 2 |
|--|----|
| 3 one of these that you did the same thing to? 4 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, because we had the 5 two parallel 6 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay. I'm not 7 sure I have both 8 WILLIE DESMOND: You should. 9 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I have option 1, | 2 |
| WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, because we had the two parallel COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. I'm not sure I have both WILLIE DESMOND: You should. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I have option 1, | 2 |
| <pre>5 two parallel 6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. I'm not 7 sure I have both 8 WILLIE DESMOND: You should. 9 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I have option 1,</pre> | 2 |
| 6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. I'm not 7 sure I have both 8 WILLIE DESMOND: You should. 9 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I have option 1, | |
| <pre>7 sure I have both 8 WILLIE DESMOND: You should. 9 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I have option 1,</pre> | |
| 8 WILLIE DESMOND: You should. 9 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I have option 1, | |
| 9 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I have option 1, | |
| | |
| | |
| 10 version 4, and I have option 2, version 3. | |
| 11 Okay, I'll just share. I'm going to war | ιt |
| 12 to study that whole Tucson that little finger | |
| 13 that sticks up. | |
| 14 Actually, there is one thing I would like | e |
| 15 to look at on this. If you would go back down to | |
| 16 Tucson actually to Santa Cruz County, I don't | |
| 17 know what layer this requires or if it requires | |
| 18 Google Earth, but I would like to know where the | |
| 19 Santa Cruz River is and whether that line is on the | : |
| 20 river or incorporates both sides of the river. | |
| 21 WILLIE DESMOND: You have to direct me | |
| 22 where the river is. It runs through Santa Cruz? | |
| 23 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: It runs from the | |
| 24 yeah, south boundary kind of right down the | |
| 25 middle of Santa Cruz County. And my guess is that | |

1 line may be on the river. 2 What's the best way to figure that out? Just look at -- I can just -- can you look at Tubac 3 or Tumacacori or Green Valley? 4 5 Is that Green Valley right there? WILLIE DESMOND: That's Green Valley, 6 7 that light green or yellowish area. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: 8 Okay. 9 WILLIE DESMOND: I'm not seeing the 10 river. 11 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Well, the river is 12 just east of Green Valley. 13 What's the pink thing below Green Valley? 14 WILLIE DESMOND: That's Elephant Head. 15 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. So the 16 river runs between Elephant Head and Green Valley. 17 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. There's I-19. 18 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Yeah, and the 19 river kind of runs along I-19 to the -- a little bit 20 east of that. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: I mean, I think that's 22 the river. I don't know why it's not showing up on 23 the river. 24 Let me just check statewide for a second. 25 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: This may take a

1 little more work than we want to force everyone to 2 sit through here, but what I have in my mind is 3 that's our own little river district and that's 4 really -- the people that live along that area have 5 very common interests. And so I want to look at and think about 6 7 incorporating that in that legislative district. 8 And I think it's probably not just one side of the river but both sides of the river along certain of 9 10 its reaches or maybe the whole thing. 11 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Maybe you can 13 think about finding it and I'll do the same thing over the weekend. 14 WILLIE DESMOND: And what's it --15 16 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: It's called the 17 Santa Cruz River. 18 WILLIE DESMOND: All right. I will try 19 to have it done. 20 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Yeah, it runs from 21 Nogales north. And, you know, we wouldn't follow 22 its whole length but just to a point south of 23 Tucson. 24 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: There are a lot of

| 1 | ranching, farming communities, arts communities, |
|----|--|
| 2 | common interests there and we don't want to be |
| 3 | splitting it up like that. |
| 4 | WILLIE DESMOND: Give me one second. |
| 5 | Okay. So this is the river there. You |
| б | can see there's many of them. So I assume it's this |
| 7 | kind of central artery that they all run into. |
| 8 | It is available. I'll play around this |
| 9 | weekend with trying to make it not quite so |
| 10 | extensive, just to kind of get the main parts. |
| 11 | We'll have that for Monday. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: And if you look at |
| 13 | Google Earth, you'll be able to see the channel. It |
| 14 | will be very visible where it actually has water in |
| 15 | it or effluent or a combination. Liquid. |
| 16 | WILLIE DESMOND: Are there other areas of |
| 17 | this map you wanted to look at? |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I would like you |
| 19 | to do one more what-if on a different iteration, and |
| 20 | I'm not sure which map to do it off of. Maybe I |
| 21 | don't think I care which one. And this is kind of |
| 22 | out there, but I just want to see it. |
| 23 | I want to draw a legislative district, |
| 24 | and you're going to have to look at roads, from Ina |
| 25 | Road at the north, Campbell at the east. Start from |

| 1 | that point, come southeast, you know, and fill in |
|----|---|
| 2 | 213,000 people or whatever the number is. And do it |
| 3 | a couple of different ways. Coming south, you know, |
| 4 | finding it going in a more southerly direction and |
| 5 | then finding it going in a more easterly direction. |
| 6 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I'm just curious. |
| 8 | And that should be a completely separate you |
| 9 | could call that, you know, Linda's weird what-if |
| 10 | map. |
| 11 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. I will I'll |
| 12 | draw that. |
| 13 | Can you just spell Ina Road. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I-n-a. |
| 15 | WILLIE DESMOND: Ina. I just wanted to |
| 16 | make sure. |
| 17 | Okay. I will do that. |
| 18 | Anything else to look at on this one or |
| 19 | should I go to the other one? |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: And I should add, |
| 21 | it will be the Ina Road alignment, but it will |
| 22 | probably be Sunrise. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The names change. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: What is the name |
| 25 | of that? Do you know what I mean? I think it's |
| | |

| 1 | Sunrise Road. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ina, Sunrise. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Ina, Sunrise. |
| 4 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Again, picking |
| 8 | whatever iteration you would like, I would like to |
| 9 | see where we've got cities, again, contained. I'm |
| 10 | not sure which one you would want to start with. I |
| 11 | mean, I think that you've begun. And getting as |
| 12 | it pertains to the northern part of we've heard a |
| 13 | lot of testimony wanting to keep Oro Valley, Marana, |
| 14 | and Saddlebrooke combined. |
| 15 | I would like to start getting those |
| 16 | together and start getting those together now so we |
| 17 | don't have to wait down the road. We've heard and |
| 18 | seen an enormous amount of testimony regarding that. |
| 19 | I would like to start getting |
| 20 | Saddlebrooke into the Oro Valley connective. |
| 21 | WILLIE DESMOND: Saddlebrooke, Oro |
| 22 | Valley, and what other one? |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Saddlebrooke and |
| 24 | Oro Valley. |
| 25 | Probably it's what number is that |
| l | |

| 1 | where you got Saddlebrooke, Oro Valley, |
|----|---|
| 2 | Saddlebrooke, Casas Adobes? |
| 3 | WILLIE DESMOND: So Saddlebrooke |
| 4 | is currently in 11, right here. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Getting not |
| 6 | splitting Oro Valley. |
| 7 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. That might be a |
| 8 | good time to look at the other one. I think I did |
| 9 | take that into account more on this other one. |
| 10 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which one? |
| 11 | WILLIE DESMOND: Option 2, version 4. |
| 12 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which what-if? |
| 13 | WILLIE DESMOND: It's what-if what-if |
| 14 | legislative nine minority districts, option 2, |
| 15 | version 4. I don't think this one hasn't been |
| 16 | presented, so it wasn't available as of yet. It's |
| 17 | available on the website, but it's not available. |
| 18 | So in this version, Oro Valley is not |
| 19 | split but it's not with Saddlebrooke. So I will |
| 20 | you'll notice if we look at this a little more, I |
| 21 | did attempt to keep some of the census places more |
| 22 | whole in this particular I apologize that wasn't |
| 23 | taken into account more on the last one, but this |
| 24 | one was made after yesterday's meeting where it was |
| 25 | brought up that that was something to look at. |

1 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. 2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Willie, am I 3 correct then that for looking -- as we are doing our 4 5 homework over the weekend, the general public wants to be looking at option 2, version 4 in regards to 6 7 contiguousness of property -- of communities, 8 correct? 9 Yes, and also --WILLIE DESMOND: 10 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Further along in 11 development? 12 WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, but I would say 13 that version 3 of both option 1 and 2 did pay 14 attention more to both census places and that version 4 was built off of version number 2. 15 16 So if you look at version number 3 --Option? 17 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: 18 WILLIE DESMOND: Option 1 and 2, version 19 3, did attempt to pay attention to not splitting 20 census places. 21 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay. 22 WILLIE DESMOND: Option 4 is not -- what 23 I'm trying to say is option 4 did not -- they are 24 not built off of -- 1 does not necessarily evolve 25 into 2, into 3, into 4.

1 So it split at 2. So one split went from 2 2 to 3 and one split went from 2 to 4. Going 3 forward, I'll do those splits as 3A and 3B, but currently that has not been the case. 4 5 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: But in both options 6 1 and 2, versions 3 and 4, they all reflect the nine 7 minority districts? WILLIE DESMOND: Yes. That has remained 8 9 constant. 10 And, you know, feel free to direct me 11 however you would like, but if it makes things 12 simpler, we could do a third tract that might kind 13 of combine the two or, you know, be more universal. 14 I'm just trying to -- for simplicity sake, these two 15 are similar but there are some differences. 16 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: What was the split again? From 2 to 4? 17 18 WILLIE DESMOND: So 4 was the changes you 19 had asked for --20 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: -- on 2. 22 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Yes. 23 WILLIE DESMOND: 3 was the changes the 24 other commissioners had asked for on 2. 25 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. All right.

| 1 | Okay. Maybe we should rename them. Why don't we |
|----|---|
| 2 | VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Why don't we call |
| 3 | these 3B? |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. That might |
| 5 | be the thing to do. |
| 6 | WILLIE DESMOND: So here forward, option |
| 7 | 4 will be 3B and option 3 will be 3A. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. And then |
| 9 | let's do an option 3C, with the earth the thing I |
| 10 | just asked you to do with the Catalina the |
| 11 | Catalina. |
| 12 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Let's do that as a |
| 14 | separate |
| 15 | WILLIE DESMOND: That will also be based |
| 16 | off of option 2, then, which is just |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: You can base it |
| 18 | off 4, the one that you just made my changes on. |
| 19 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. So that will be |
| 20 | 4B, then. |
| 21 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Give them a name. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: We'll leave it to |
| 23 | you. |
| 24 | WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Thanks. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Just start putting |
| I | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | notes on them what the date is and what the major |
| 2 | change is. |
| 3 | I guess I'm still concerned that we |
| 4 | haven't received the block equivalency files yet on |
| 5 | that Arizona minority coalition's |
| 6 | WILLIE DESMOND: No. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think we are |
| 8 | going to have to reach out to them, because I'm a |
| 9 | little concerned that we can't get down into the |
| 10 | nitty-gritty of these legislative districts |
| 11 | without we really do want the input from the |
| 12 | minority community, given that we know that they are |
| 13 | going to be providing it. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Bladine, do you |
| 15 | have information on that? You don't have to run. |
| 16 | RAY BLADINE: I can't anymore. |
| 17 | We have had several contacts last week |
| 18 | about trying to get that information from them, and |
| 19 | I know Kristina called them I believe yesterday and |
| 20 | we just don't have it. But we will keep talking to |
| 21 | them. |
| 22 | And I understand it's starting to get |
| 23 | into a place where it's a problem for us. But I |
| 24 | guess if we don't think they are going to get it in |
| 25 | a timely fashion, we can talk to Strategic about |
| | |

1 other alternatives, but we'll follow up again on 2 Monday. COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Okay. And then, 3 Mary, are you looking at the voting rights analysis 4 5 of these districts now? MARY O'GRADY: Yes, Madame Chair, 6 7 members, in working with Strategic and Bruce and everyone, we are starting to look at the voting 8 9 rights analysis closer. 10 And one of the questions is our number of 11 benchmarks, frankly. Historically, as Bruce 12 described, when DOJ did the preclearance nine years 13 ago, that they concluded that there were nine 14 districts where there was an opportunity to elect. 15 There are more than nine districts where, 16 in fact, a minority candidate has been elected and 17 we are looking at that analysis to see who -- you know, is there -- is there a candidate of choice of 18 19 the minority community that is actually being 20 elected there. Just what's going on at a closer 21 level in terms of where the opportunity to elect is 22 occurring. 23 There are some districts in Arizona that 24 don't require a majority/minority to elect minority 25 candidates. An example that comes up with that

| 1 | historically, like District 23 from the last decade |
|----|--|
| 2 | had a history of electing without a |
| 3 | majority/minority and some other districts as well. |
| 4 | So we were thinking just in terms of, |
| 5 | without having drilled down, that there may actually |
| 6 | be ten benchmarks, not majority/minority, but paying |
| 7 | attention to districts where there is an opportunity |
| 8 | to elect. |
| 9 | And I've been, as we're going through |
| 10 | this, trying to match up numbers with districts |
| 11 | because what we see what I see and again, this |
| 12 | is very quick and there might be others who have |
| 13 | seen more it seems like more of a concentration |
| 14 | of the minority numbers that we might be able to |
| 15 | spread out a bit more to make sure that there's |
| 16 | that we preserve the opportunity to elect in some of |
| 17 | those that have not a true majority/minority, but |
| 18 | have a significant population. And when you look at |
| 19 | the voting analysis, they did have the opportunity |
| 20 | to elect. |
| 21 | So I just wanted to note that, and I was |
| 22 | trying to figure out an instruction that would help |
| 23 | maybe getting to that issue in crafting the maps, |
| 24 | but I haven't gotten there as I'm sitting here. If |
| 25 | I do but I think that's generally if there was a |
| | |

1 way of spreading things out a bit more --2 And also how it's easy on the congressional districts to match up -- you kind of 3 know generally the vicinity of where the minority 4 5 districts are now. And in terms of legislative districts, we all know that there's the Northern 6 7 Arizona Native American district. There are two in Maricopa County where they elect all minority 8 9 candidates, all three, all the time. There are two others where they have pretty consistently elected 10 11 one of the three minority candidates. So looking in 12 terms of whether there was an opportunity to elect 13 there. 14 In Pima County there's one that tends to always elect and there's another one that elects --15 16 tends to elect a mix. Again, wanting to look at the 17 opportunity to elect. 18 And we have three rural districts that 19 have elected minority candidates fairly 20 consistently, Southeastern Arizona, Southwestern 21 Arizona, and in the Pinal County area. 22 So trying to match those up with how 23 those areas would perform here and whether we are 24 now -- we just have nine that have an opportunity to 25 elect or are there still some more where there might

1 be an opportunity to elect. 2 And that's the analysis that we are doing and Ken is doing with input from the lawyers. 3 And that's the kind of thing that DOJ 4 5 will ultimately look at in terms of the opportunity to elect a preferred candidate of choice. 6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Could we make --7 would it be helpful to take an HVAP density map that 8 9 you are going to do and overlay that with our 10 existing legislative districts and then maybe with 11 our grids? 12 Can we -- I know we have to start with 13 the grid, we can't start with our existing 14 legislative districts, but would it help us at least to conceptualize it if we did that? 15 16 MARY O'GRADY: Perhaps. I was even 17 looking at, you know, there are -- how many 18 districts we have when you look -- because some of 19 ours are coalition districts now and so just off --20 some of them have, like, 70 percent plus minority 21 population and comparing how many we have in that 22 range compared to the current range and how many of 23 them we have in the 60 to 69 and make sure we kind 24 of group them within the same range. And maybe that 25 would -- if they can still get the overall

1 population they need, maybe that's a way to approach 2 it. But again, if the overlay helps as well --3 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I'm just a visual 4 person. 5 WILLIE DESMOND: We could --6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I don't know if 7 that helps or not. We can let you guys -- let the 8 lawyers --9 WILLIE DESMOND: I can definitely make 10 any reference maps that you want. That's not hard. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Any other 12 legislative thoughts -- legislative district thoughts, I should say? 13 14 Okay. So you have enough work for the rest of the weekend, I take it. 15 16 WILLIE DESMOND: I've got plenty of 17 congressional. 18 Are there any other legislative what-ifs 19 you would like to see? 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: There's the one 21 Ms. McNulty mentioned --22 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: There's also the 23 what-ifs that we covered yesterday. You'll be working on them; is that correct? 24 25 WILLIE DESMOND: The legislative

1 what-ifs? 2 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: The one based on the congressional river district, version --3 WILLIE DESMOND: That's right. 4 5 Just reclarify that, I guess. What you 6 would like to see is as many districts that kind of follow that one --7 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: That is correct. 8 9 WILLIE DESMOND: -- district as possible? 10 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: We said five, with 11 the changes that I proposed today. 12 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Yeah, that's on 13 my list also. 14 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you for 16 all of your work. Appreciate it. 17 The next item on the agenda -- we covered 18 previously the -- number 6, so we go to number 7, 19 discussion and possible action on retaining a voting 20 analysis expert to review, analyze, and recommend 21 action on voting rights issues, including polarized 22 voting and conduct analysis regarding 23 competitiveness and other state constitutional 24 criteria as needed. 25 I believe our legal counsel was going to

1 be doing some research at our direction for -- on 2 this topic. MARY O'GRADY: And hopefully we'll have 3 -- this is on the agenda for Monday, too, so we're 4 5 hoping to have a more complete report on Monday. So 6 I don't really have an update that's ready for the 7 Commission today. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. So any other 8 9 -- no cheering. 10 The next item is the executive director's 11 report, which we had to miss yesterday so -- but it 12 was on our agenda for today. 13 So, Mr. Bladine. 14 RAY BLADINE: Actually, I think we'll be 15 rather short, too. 16 Kristina, I've asked her to just give you 17 a quick update. She's been working hard this week 18 on round two and also an update on -- she's also 19 been working with Strategic on getting mapping 20 going. So I thought I would let her talk about 21 that, MapIt online going. 22 KRISTINA GOMEZ: Willie, did you have a 23 chance to go over that since I missed the first 20 24 minutes of the meeting? 25 Maptitude online WILLIE DESMOND: Yes.

| 1 | is up and running. It's on the website now. I |
|----|--|
| 2 | believe we'll be conducting a short training during |
| 3 | Monday's meeting for members of the public. |
| 4 | KRISTINA GOMEZ: And I spoke with Andrew |
| 5 | yesterday and he said that Brad and Buck were |
| 6 | working on finding a home on the website for this |
| 7 | link for the public. So that should be up soon. |
| 8 | And also as far as the second round of |
| 9 | public hearings, we are exploring the idea of having |
| 10 | simultaneous meetings on the same night. So we're |
| 11 | looking into our options of how we will record these |
| 12 | meetings. So we should have an update for you next |
| 13 | week. |
| 14 | I believe that's it. |
| 15 | Oh, thank you. |
| 16 | And I've contacted ASU. I have a meeting |
| 17 | with them next week as far as videoconferencing some |
| 18 | meetings for us. And, yes, they actually use a |
| 19 | webinar system. So we are looking into that as |
| 20 | well. And we are also |
| 21 | RAY BLADINE: I can't remember now. |
| 22 | Let's see. And you've talked to some cities, too. |
| 23 | KRISTINA GOMEZ: Oh, yes. Yes. I've |
| 24 | also spoken to some cities about meeting locations |
| 25 | as well. And I have to say they've been very |

Г

1 helpful in hosting us. So we are working out those 2 details as well. VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 4 5 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Have you spoken to 6 representatives of Northern Arizona University? 7 They have campuses pretty much everywhere throughout the state and they are pretty advanced with the 8 9 technology that they use. 10 Right. I've actually KRISTINA GOMEZ: called them. I called them prior to the first round 11 12 of public hearings because in 2001, we actually 13 utilized their satellite system and 14 videoconferencing. 15 And what happened was, yes, they had the 16 latest and greatest in technology; however, with the 17 budget cuts, so did their -- that component of their 18 department as well. 19 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: So just to confirm, 20 that is not an option? 21 KRISTINA GOMEZ: That's not an option 22 right now. 23 And then I've also spoken to community 24 colleges as well. And the problem that we are 25 running into right now is that class has started

| 1 | already. |
|----|--|
| 2 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: What about Rio |
| 3 | Salado? Rio Salado uses a lot of technology. They |
| 4 | don't really have a lot of in-person classes but |
| 5 | they still have that technology. Have you spoken to |
| б | them. |
| 7 | KRISTINA GOMEZ: No, I haven't, but I can |
| 8 | check with them next week. |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. |
| 10 | KRISTINA GOMEZ: Thank you. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: In following up on |
| 14 | Commissioner Herrera's thought, we've got there |
| 15 | are universities that have got campuses in, for |
| 16 | example, both in Flagstaff and in Yuma, in Phoenix |
| 17 | and Tucson. Have you pursued having simulcasts or |
| 18 | webinars where you're able to have two presentations |
| 19 | simultaneously using their |
| 20 | KRISTINA GOMEZ: So when I spoke with |
| 21 | ASU, they said that they would go ahead and make |
| 22 | those contacts with the other community colleges and |
| 23 | universities to see what options they have as well. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Thank you. |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. |
| - | |

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 2 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: One last recommendation. Consider pursuing or speaking to 3 the University of Phoenix, Apollo Group. 4 That's a 5 private sector university, but they -- I quess out 6 of all of the universities, they are the ones --7 they are pretty advanced with the technology and I 8 would recommend you contacting them. 9 If you don't have any contacts there, I 10 would be happy to help you out. 11 KRISTINA GOMEZ: Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other questions 13 on the executive director report? Madame Chair. 14 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 16 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: There was a 17 distribution of a draft budget update. When will 18 that be ready for presentation? 19 RAY BLADINE: I have gotten a more 20 detailed document yesterday and I thought what I 21 would do is send it out to you over the weekend. Ιt 22 has the backup detail to that so you can look at it. 23 If that gives you the detail you want, 24 then we could put it on the website or do whatever 25 you would like to do with it. But it is in much

| 1 | more detail and is complete through the end of |
|----|--|
| 2 | August. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And that would be |
| 4 | ready for our review this weekend and your |
| 5 | presentation on Monday to be posted or are you |
| 6 | thinking that you're just going to go ahead and |
| 7 | post? |
| 8 | RAY BLADINE: Well, I was that's a |
| 9 | good question. I was going to send it out to you |
| 10 | and then let you kind of decide whether you wanted |
| 11 | me to post it or bring it to a meeting, whatever you |
| 12 | would like me to do. |
| 13 | I believe I have it in electronic file |
| 14 | that I got just before I left. And if I do, I can |
| 15 | certainly send it out to you over the weekend. I |
| 16 | think that's what I can do and was planning on. |
| 17 | Otherwise, first thing Monday. |
| 18 | And I can put for later I don't |
| 19 | remember that I have budget on director's report for |
| 20 | Monday, but I can put it on for, like, Thursday, one |
| 21 | of the other days we are meeting. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madame Chair. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Would it be prudent |
| 25 | to post the budget and then put it on the executive |
| | |

Γ

| 1 | director's report so we could have commentary and Q |
|----|---|
| 2 | and A about it on Thursday, allowing the public, if |
| 3 | there was any questions that they may have? |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, with maps, we |
| 5 | haven't it's we've kind of taken the approach |
| 6 | that we would like to discuss them first before we |
| 7 | post them on our website, but I don't know. How do |
| 8 | others feel about our budget |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: draft? |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I wouldn't see I |
| 12 | would use the same approach with the budget. I |
| 13 | would make sure that we look at it first before it |
| 14 | goes out to the public. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Agreed. |
| 16 | RAY BLADINE: Then I will get it out to |
| 17 | you as soon as I can. And if I have an electronic |
| 18 | file, it will be this weekend. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Great. |
| 20 | Do you mind staying up for the next item |
| 21 | on the agenda, which is the discussion of future |
| 22 | meetings. I want to make sure we have the right |
| 23 | days. |
| 24 | RAY BLADINE: Okay. One more thing. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure. |
| I | |

| 2 that the link for online mapping is on our website 3 right now. It's under the "maps" link and it's 4 called Online Mapping. | |
|--|--------|
| | ıt |
| 4 called Online Mapping. | ıt |
| | ıt |
| 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. | ıt |
| 6 KRISTINA GOMEZ: Thank you. | ıt |
| 7 RAY BLADINE: I don't have mine in from | 1 |
| 8 of me. | |
| 9 What we had talked about but my only | 7 |
| 10 knowledge of changes of the meetings we have set | ıp, |
| 11 I think we had one time where Commissioner Herrers | ì |
| 12 on the 14th will have to participate during Skype | , |
| 13 if I remember it right. But I think that's the or | ly |
| 14 change that I'm aware of since we developed the | |
| 15 schedule that you all have. | |
| 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So our next meetin | ıg |
| 17 is the 12th, Monday, at 9 a.m. in Casa Grande? | |
| 18 RAY BLADINE: Correct. | |
| 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And we go all day |) ' |
| 20 RAY BLADINE: Yes, we do. It's a long | |
| 21 day. I think we scheduled that one until | |
| 22 potentially 9 o'clock, and I don't remember the | |
| 23 start time from the top of my head. | |
| 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 12 hours? | |
| 25 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: That's ridiculor | ls. |

1 RAY BLADINE: I want to see you all do 2 this. I'll be sleeping on a cot in the back. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. And then the 3 4 next meeting --5 I'm sorry, it starts at 9 RAY BLADINE: a.m. on the 12th, so I don't know that we did 6 7 that -- I think it's the ones that were like 2 o'clock you told us to put it until 9:00 or later in 8 9 the evening. So I don't know that we did that one. And, I'm sorry, I didn't bring my 10 11 schedule. 12 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. 13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 14 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: There seems to be a 15 lot of -- I've been noticing that a lot of the items 16 that have been in previous meetings, the meeting 17 yesterday or even today, have been moved to Monday. 18 So I suspect that Monday's meeting is going to be a 19 long meeting. 20 Not that I enjoy long meetings, but I 21 would prefer to get it over with as opposed to 22 making two days that are long. One long day. Let's 23 all come prepared with snacks and water. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So we'll meet 25 Monday. Then the next meeting is?

| 1 | RAY BLADINE: The 14th. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And that's in? |
| 3 | RAY BLADINE: Casa Grande, and also at 9 |
| 4 | o'clock. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 9 a.m. Okay. |
| 6 | RAY BLADINE: And then the 15th is at the |
| 7 | Heard Museum with the Inter Tribal Council and also |
| 8 | a mapping meeting for you. Potentially, I believe |
| 9 | we could start at 9:00 or 12:00, and I think that |
| 10 | works with everybody's calendars. |
| 11 | Then we could have the tribal leaders |
| 12 | come in somewhere around 1:30. They have an earlier |
| 13 | meeting. Have that item on the agenda. So we could |
| 14 | do some mapping, if you wish, from 12:00 to 1:00, |
| 15 | 1:30, have about an hour to meet with them, go back |
| 16 | to mapping and I believe we can be there until 5:00. |
| 17 | I'm trying to see if we can be there a little longer |
| 18 | than that. And that will be at the Heard Museum. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. And are we |
| 20 | holding the 16th as well? |
| 21 | RAY BLADINE: Yes, we were. And I'm |
| 22 | that would be whether you want me to continue to |
| 23 | hold that or not, but we do have it on a hold. |
| 24 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. |
| | |

1 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: That same date that 2 we are meeting with the tribal leaders, which is on 3 Thursday, the 16th? CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thursday, the 15th. 4 5 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Sorry, Thursday, the 15th, 1:00 to 5:00, which seems like a really short 6 7 meeting. And I know you had mentioned -- can we 8 have availability to stay later if we have to? 9 RAY BLADINE: Madame Chair, Commissioner 10 Herrera, I will check. The last time I talked to 11 them, the Inter Tribal Council who uses the facility 12 has never gone past 5:00. They weren't sure whether 13 we could. 14 But I can certainly see if we can't do 15 that. And they are being nice enough to get us 16 their rate, which is about half of the -- more than 17 half of the going rate. And if we can't do there, I 18 can certainly have another location that you could 19 go to after 5:00. But I will try to see if we could 20 go to at least 7:00, maybe. 21 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Won't that be our 22 third hearing next week and then we're holding open 23 the 16th also? 24 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: So how about not on 25 the 16th if we have a long meeting on the 15th.

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, but I like |
|----|--|
| 2 | we have to give direction to our mapping consultant, |
| 3 | too. So going sometimes it doesn't make sense to |
| 4 | go eight hours because there's only so much we can |
| 5 | do because then we need to get the maps. |
| 6 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: True. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So I would rather do |
| 8 | the noon to 5:00 thing on at the Heard Museum |
| 9 | RAY BLADINE: On the 15th? |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: and let's all |
| 11 | hold the 16th in case we need a half-day meeting |
| 12 | day. |
| 13 | RAY BLADINE: And I don't think we have a |
| 14 | start time for the 16th. I think we just held that |
| 15 | on our schedule. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right. Is everybody |
| 17 | clear the 16th? |
| 18 | RAY BLADINE: I don't remember a conflict |
| 19 | from the top of my head, but we can certainly |
| 20 | double-check it and let you all know again if there |
| 21 | was a conflict one of you told us about, but I don't |
| 22 | remember on the 16th that there was one. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. So let's plan |
| 24 | on at least a half-day meeting on the 16th because |
| 25 | then we would have two half-day meetings in a row. |
| | |

1 RAY BLADINE: How about time and 2 location? 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm flexible. Do you want to do Casa Grande? 4 5 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Morning, Casa 6 Grande. 7 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Morning in Phoenix. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Casa Grande. 8 9 RAY BLADINE: Morning, Casa Grande. 10 About 9 o'clock? 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is that good, 9 12 o'clock, everyone, in Casa Grande Friday morning? 13 RAY BLADINE: On the 16th? Going once, 14 going twice. 15 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: 9:30. 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 9:30. 17 RAY BLADINE: 9:30, going once --18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We can do 9:30. Is 19 that all right? 20 Okay, 9:30, Casa Grande, Friday, the 21 16th. 22 And just to clarify on the 15th, it's 23 starting at noon, Ray? 24 RAY BLADINE: Unless -- that was my 25 thought. It would give you potentially an hour to

1 do some mapping or things and then meet with the 2 tribal leaders when they come in. And I'm guessing we may not see them exactly at 1:30, so that way you 3 could keep meeting. And when they are available, 4 5 we'll make that the agenda item. VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Madame Chair. 6 Mr. Herrera. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Now, can you explain 8 9 to me the meeting with the tribal leaders? Is that 10 going to be an open meeting like we have with other 11 coalitions that have approached the IRC and they've talked to us at the podium and addressed us? 12 Is it 13 going to be that type of meeting? I guess I'm not 14 understanding what that meeting is going to be. 15 RAY BLADINE: I think what we planned 16 would be similar to the presentation you had from 17 the Hispanic Coalition for Good Government. 18 I've asked that basically we would have a 19 mapping presentation from them, is what it would be, 20 or if not a specific map, then any discussion about 21 goals, objectives they would like to see. And I've 22 asked if we could get a list of the tribal leaders 23 before we get there, who would speak so we would be 24 able to kind of organize it and proceed in that 25 fashion.

1 So, yes, I would see it as a public input 2 for them and then you can go back to your regular 3 mapping type of hearing. VICE CHAIR HERRERA: 4 Madame Chair, one 5 more question, if I may follow up. 6 What tribes are being represented in this 7 meeting? RAY BLADINE: I think it's pretty much 8 9 all of the tribes in Arizona with perhaps the Navajo 10 being the only exception, but I'll double-check that 11 with you. I'm not sure they are a member, but they do -- there's a liaison there. 12 13 Do you know, Mary? 14 MARY O'GRADY: The Navajo aren't a member 15 of Inter Tribal Council, but sometimes they do 16 participate. As you say, they might have some 17 liaison for special events. 18 RAY BLADINE: Okay. 19 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Any other 21 questions on that, for Ray or Kristina? 22 Thank you both. 23 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. 24 RAY BLADINE: All right. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any future agenda

| 1 | items, too, that we haven't already discussed that |
|----|---|
| 2 | need to be raised, too, now? This is part of agenda |
| 3 | item 9 today. |
| 4 | Okay. That takes us to we'll go ahead |
| 5 | and skip ten since we may go into executive session |
| 6 | on that one so that the public can have comment. So |
| 7 | we'll just take that out of order. |
| 8 | So we'll go to number 11. |
| 9 | I have a few request to speak forms, and |
| 10 | if anyone has already spoken and they want to speak |
| 11 | again, feel free. Just raise your hand. |
| 12 | My first speaker is Frank Bergen, from |
| 13 | Tucson. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Gone. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Gone. |
| 16 | Barbara Warren, representing self, from |
| 17 | Pima. |
| 18 | Maddy Urken, representing self, from |
| 19 | Pima. |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Geri Ottoboni, |
| 21 | representing Rancho Vistoso HOA from Pima. |
| 22 | GERI OTTOBONI: Yes. Hello. My name is |
| 23 | Geri Ottoboni. That's G-e-r-i, O-t-t-o-b-o-n-i. |
| 24 | Can you hear me okay? |
| 25 | I was wondering with regard to the data |
| | |

Γ

1 that you are using, is that coming from those who 2 voted for Obama/McCain, congressional Senate seats 3 or state Senate and the House of Representatives? Which of these are you using for your 4 5 data? 6 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And that's the end 7 of my request to speak forms, unless anybody --8 9 There are people who have spoken who want to okav. 10 speak again. 11 This gentleman, you'll have to remind us 12 your name. 13 JOHN VAN DE BEUKEN: John Van De Beuken. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you, John. 15 JOHN VAN DE BEUKEN: This is my first 16 time to be here at these meetings, and I understand 17 that we have five commissioners who are all unpaid 18 volunteers. Is that true? 19 I guess so. And it looks like you have 20 nothing short of a full-time job. So thank you for 21 your service. 22 One other thing that I have noticed is 23 that the Attorney General has ordered a deposition, 24 I guess, from all five commissioners and that two 25 commissioners have given a deposition to the

1 Attorney General. 2 Is there a date when all five commissioners will have given their deposition? 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 4 Mary? 5 MARY O'GRADY: This is just a time for 6 comment rather than a question and answer. So qo 7 ahead and --JOHN VAN DE BEUKEN: The question is a 8 9 comment. 10 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you, sir. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And other speakers? 12 Lynne St. Angelo. 13 LYNNE ST. ANGELO: Thank you. 14 Since Mr. Bladine is going to be giving, 15 I guess, an update on the budget, I was wondering if 16 you would -- because all I have is, like, what was 17 handed out at the meeting on July actuals. I guess 18 he's going to do the August actual figures. 19 It would be nice if this was online so 20 that people who are just observing on the Skyping 21 could actually print out the budget from what -- the 22 budget and the actuals together so we could see the 23 projection as well. 24 And that's my comment. 25 Thank you.

| 1 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. | | |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. | | |
| 3 | Betty Bengtson. | | |
| 4 | BETTY BENGTSON: Betty Bengtson, | | |
| 5 | B-e-n-g-t-s-o-n. | | |
| 6 | Thank you for listening to me again | | |
| 7 | today. | | |
| 8 | I just had a couple of one | | |
| 9 | observation. You keep talking about census places. | | |
| 10 | And I assume that these are areas that have been | | |
| 11 | defined by the National Census Bureau, and I would | | |
| 12 | be interested to know what criteria how are those | | |
| 13 | defined and do those definitions really have | | |
| 14 | anything to do with the definitions that you are | | |
| 15 | working with for the redistricting. | | |
| 16 | I have no idea how the Bureau of the | | |
| 17 | Census defines the census places. It's simply a | | |
| 18 | convenient geographic area or are there some other | | |
| 19 | criteria. So if someone could speak to that at some | | |
| 20 | point, I would appreciate it. | | |
| 21 | And I would also like to speak to the | | |
| 22 | issue that was brought up earlier about including | | |
| 23 | Saddlebrooke with Oro Valley and Marana. | | |
| 24 | I currently live in I live in what's | | |
| 25 | currently LD 26. I'm in what is defined as a | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | Catalina Foothills census place, and I get my | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | services government services from Pima County. | | | |
| 3 | And I feel I have no community of interest with the | | | |
| 4 | residents of Saddlebrooke. | | | |
| 5 | So I feel that I have the greatest | | | |
| 6 | community of interest with those people who live in | | | |
| 7 | Pima County. And so I would like to be associated | | | |
| 8 | politically with Pima County residents and not Pinal | | | |
| 9 | County residents so that my senator and | | | |
| 10 | representatives could address the needs of my | | | |
| 11 | county. | | | |
| 12 | Thank you. | | | |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. | | | |
| 14 | I have a request to speak form from Ralph | | | |
| 15 | Kayser, representing Tucson Tea Party from Pima | | | |
| 16 | County. | | | |
| 17 | RALPH KAYSER: Good evening, ladies and | | | |
| 18 | gentlemen of the Commission. My name is Ralph | | | |
| 19 | Kayser. I'm a resident of Pima County, Arizona, and | | | |
| 20 | an organizer of the Tucson Tea Party, a group of | | | |
| 21 | approximately 4100 conservative Arizona residents. | | | |
| 22 | Among other values, the members of the | | | |
| 23 | Tucson Tea Party believe in smaller and more | | | |
| 24 | transparent government. Above all, our members | | | |
| 25 | expect honesty and truth from our elected and | | | |
| | | | | |

Г

1 appointed government officials. 2 I'm here tonight to implore all members of this Commission to fully cooperate with our 3 state's Attorney General's investigation. 4 5 We implore the chairman to promptly 6 comply with the state Attorney General's written 7 investigative demand for production of documents and examination under oath. 8 9 And in Mary O'Grady's August 29th letter to Mark Wilson, Mary states that the Commission 10 11 provided as much information as possible to the 12 public about the procurement process. I'm troubled about the use of the term 13 14 "as possible." For a matter of such extreme 15 importance as the redistricting, the public should 16 have access to all procurement information. 17 Our members expect that this Commission 18 should be fully transparent on all information as it 19 relates to the use of our tax dollars. And if this 20 Commission is acting ethically and impartially, 21 there should be no reason to withhold any documents 22 from the public. 23 It's the right and honest thing to do. 24 Thank you. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.

1 Anyone else from the public? 2 A couple more. JACK ROOSA: Once again, my name is Jack 3 4 Roosa, R-o-o-s-a. 5 I'm going to act on some of the comments 6 the gentleman just made. 7 Sometimes doing what's right is not necessarily fair and it's not necessarily easy. 8 So 9 I would urge the members to appear before Attorney 10 General Horne's commission and let's clean this up 11 as soon as possible and restore the faith in the 12 process. 13 Thank you. 14 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you. 15 Christine Bauserman. 16 CHRISTINE BAUSERMAN: I'm Christine 17 Bauserman. 18 I want to speak about lawyer O'Grady. 19 When you had the conversation, you were 20 asked about the Voting Rights Act and you were 21 defining that there are ten -- that Hispanic was 22 voted for, and I was under the impression from the 23 DOJ when he gave his presentation back on the 22nd 24 of August, he specifically stated that the race of 25 the candidate was not a factor. It's they have to

1 vote as a voting bloc. 2 But if a group of Hispanics want to vote for a white person, if a group of Indians want to 3 vote for a Hispanic, or white people want to vote 4 5 for Hispanic, it doesn't matter. 6 So I don't think you can -- because it kind of got confusing. You were talking about the 7 Voters (sic) Rights Act, and then I know there's 8 9 been discussion about having competitiveness defined 10 as who is electable. But earlier today I think it was 11 Commissioner Herrera had stated that was never voted 12 13 on as being a factor. So it got really, really 14 confusing because, you know, I know we are not 15 supposed to take race. 16 I just wanted to point that out. 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 19 Anyone else from the public? 20 Shirl Lamonna. 21 SHIRL LAMONNA: Just one guick comment. 22 I live in Rancho Vistoso. I'm not in 23 Saddlebrooke but I am friends with a number of 24 people that work up -- or that live up there and I 25 work with a number of people from there.

1 And whenever we talk about this 2 redistricting, there's not a single one that I know, 3 although I'm sure there are some, that want to be associated separately from Oro Valley. They all 4 5 come down to do their shopping and whatever. It's 6 truly a community of interest with Oro Valley, and 7 that's where they want to be. So I just felt the need to say that. 8 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 11 Anyone else? 12 Pete Bengtson. 13 PETE BENGTSON: My name is Pete Bengtson. 14 That's B-e-n-g-t-s-o-n. 15 I've sort of been following -- or I've 16 been coming to these meetings for quite a while, so 17 I've been following the issue in the paper with the 18 Attorney General's suit. 19 And I believe Mary O'Grady correctly 20 decided that the Commission was -- what is it, 21 administrative -- a legislative body and didn't need 22 to comply. And so I support you in your decision on 23 that. 24 I would also like to point out that one 25 area, one of the goals that doesn't get spoken about

1 a lot is following county boundaries. 2 I'm in LD 26, the Catalina Foothills, as you've probably learned from Betty. And I wanted to 3 4 add that there's a Pima County boundary/Pinal County 5 boundary that cuts LD 26. And I believe that Saddlebrooke folks vote for state -- vote for county 6 7 officers and they should stay in Pinal County and give us a separate legislative district in Pima 8 9 County. 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 12 Anyone else from the public? 13 Okay. That concludes public comment, 14 then, and takes us to agenda item 10, report legal 15 advice and direction to counsel regarding Attorney 16 General inquiry and related litigation, including 17 discussion and possible action regarding 18 expenditures for legal representation. The 19 Commission may vote to go into executive session, 20 which will not be open to the public for the purpose 21 of obtaining legal advice and providing direction to 22 counsel. So is there anything first that we want 23 24 to cover? 25 Madame Chair, I vote VICE CHAIR HERRERA:

| 1 | that we go into executive session to discuss the |
|----|--|
| 2 | issue of the AG and the investigation. |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Is there a |
| 4 | second? |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Second. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: All in well, any |
| 7 | discussion? |
| 8 | All in favor? |
| 9 | ("Aye.") |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any opposed? |
| 11 | Okay. So the time is 7:23. That |
| 12 | concludes the public session and we'll enter |
| 13 | executive session once we clear out the room. |
| 14 | We'll take a five-minute recess. |
| 15 | (Whereupon the public session recessed |
| 16 | and executive session ensued.) |
| 17 | |
| 18 | * * * * * |
| 19 | |
| 20 | (Whereupon the public session resumes.) |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. The time is |
| 22 | 7:47 p.m. We'll enter back into public session. |
| 23 | Is there a motion to move forward based |
| 24 | upon our discussions in executive session? |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Madame Chair, I |
| | |

1 move that we authorize the expenditure of funds for 2 the defense of the individual defendants named in the action brought this week by the Arizona Attorney 3 General based on the advice of counsel. 4 5 VICE CHAIR HERRERA: I second that. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any discussion? 6 Madame Chair. 7 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 8 9 VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: I'm a little tired, 10 and I want to make -- I know I've said some things 11 when this investigation began that -- I am 12 struggling to remember whether it was in public 13 session or not, so I'll be very careful. 14 I'm certainly -- I really regret that this situation has arisen. I don't think it's been 15 16 easy for me. I respect the three of yours 17 positions. I hope you respect my position and my 18 thinking of what was in the best interest of the 19 Commission. 20 I certainly don't want to see any of you 21 have any stress about it or any financial hardship. 22 I'm not going to oppose this motion, but 23 I'm not going vote in favor of it either. I'm going 24 to abstain on this. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other

| 1 | discussion? | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: I will add that I | | | |
| 3 | don't understand your position. I honestly don't. | | | |
| 4 | I know I've done nothing wrong here other than try | | | |
| 5 | and serve my state. | | | |
| 6 | I know that one day the story of this | | | |
| 7 | whole thing will be told. I don't know when it will | | | |
| 8 | be, but I know that's how these things work and it | | | |
| 9 | will be told. And it won't be a story line that's | | | |
| 10 | been manufactured to try and derail this Commission. | | | |
| 11 | But in the meantime, I'm going to | | | |
| 12 | continue to do everything I can to uphold the oath | | | |
| 13 | that I took to draw fair and impartial districts for | | | |
| 14 | the state. | | | |
| 15 | And I would like you both I respect | | | |
| 16 | you both, but I don't honestly understand your | | | |
| 17 | position. | | | |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other comments? | | | |
| 19 | All in favor? | | | |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Aye. | | | |
| 21 | VICE CHAIR HERRERA: Aye. | | | |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Aye. | | | |
| 23 | Any opposed? | | | |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Abstain. | | | |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So we have three | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | "ayes" and | two abstentions from Freeman and Stertz. | |
|----|------------|--|--|
| 2 | | And with that, anything else? | |
| 3 | | The time is 7:50 p.m., and we will | |
| 4 | adjourn. | | |
| 5 | | (The meeting adjourned at 7:50 p.m.) | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

Г

I, MICHELLE D. ELAM, Certified Reporter No. 50637 for the State of Arizona, do hereby certify that the foregoing 177 printed pages constitute a full, true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter, all done to the best of my skill and ability. WITNESS my hand this 26th day of September, 2011. MICHELLE D. ELAM Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50637

> AZ Litigation Court Reporters Www.CourtReportersAz.com

C