

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF ARIZONA

ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PUBLIC SESSION

Tempe, Arizona
October 14, 2001
8:30 a.m.

ARIZONA INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR
Certified Court Reporter
Certificate No. 50349

1 The State of Arizona Independent Redistricting
2 Commission convened in public session on October 14,
3 2001, at 8:30 o'clock a.m., at the Sheraton Airport
4 Resort, 1600 South 52nd Street, Tempe, Arizona, 85281,
5 in the presence of:

6

7 APPEARANCES:

8

CHAIRMAN STEVEN W. LYNN

9

VICE CHAIRMAN ANDI MINKOFF

10

COMMISSIONER JAMES R. HUNTWORK

11

COMMISSIONER JOSHUA M. HALL

12

COMMISSIONER DANIEL R. ELDER

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 ADDITIONAL APPEARANCES:

3

4 LISA T. HAUSER, Commission Counsel

5 JOSE de JESUS RIVERA, Commission Counsel

6 DR. ALAN HESLOP, NDC, Consultant

7 DR. FLORENCE ADAMS, NDC, Consultant

8 DOUG JOHNSON, NDC, Consultant

9 MARGUERITE MARY LEONI, NDC Counsel

10 MARION PORCH, NDC, Support Staff

11 LOU JONES, IRC Staff

12 CINDY LE, IRC Staff

13 AMY REZZONICO, IRC Press Information Officer

14 LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Court Reporter

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SPEAKERS FROM CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

- JOHN MILLS
- JIM HARTDEGEN (a.m.)
- JIM HARTDEGEN (p.m.)
- MR. FLANNERY
- JOSE SOLAREZ
- AARON KIZER

AGENDA DESIGNATED SPEAKERS:

- DR. ALAN HESLOP
- DR. FLORENCE ADAMS
- DOUG JOHNSON

1 Public Session
2 Tempe, Arizona
3 October 14, 2001
4 8:30 o'clock a.m.

5 P R O C E E D I N G S
6

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Good morning, ladies and
8 gentlemen.

9 For the record, all five Commissioners are
10 present, legal counsel, consultants, and staff.

11 As is our custom, call to the public will
12 be the first item.

13 Public comment: This is the time for
14 consideration and discussion of comments and complaints
15 from the public. Those wishing to address the
16 Commission shall request permission in advance by
17 filling out a speaker slip. Action taken as a result of
18 public comment will be limited to directing staff to
19 considering the matter study the matter unless or
20 rescheduling the matter for further consideration and
21 decision at a later date unless it is the subject of an
22 item already on the agenda.

23 First from the public is John Mills.

24 MR. MILLS: I'm John Mills, a City of
25 Phoenix resident.

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
Phoenix, Arizona

1 First I'd thank the Commission for work
2 they did on the Congressional District. It's long and
3 arduous work. Thank you very much.

4 About the process, a couple things. First
5 of all, for the record, the next time it happens in 10
6 years, hopefully, very hopefully, members of the public
7 will be very interested to follow extensively and there
8 will be a way get to get quicker data real time. I know
9 it's difficult to get data and maps as consultants and
10 maps, in some way I hope, in 10 years, data can be made
11 available almost instantly, follow it almost instantly.
12 That would be helpful.

13 The process thus far, as I was going
14 around to meetings, one of the things especially in the
15 second round, asked for many things, please keep the
16 neighborhood whole or pull people into a neighborhood or
17 out. The problem, several Commissioners asked for if
18 move people out, because of population out, in, district
19 would go where it would go, offset population, move, ask
20 for something, I took it to heart when I presented minor
21 changes around my neighborhood. I made sure I had
22 documented changes, where people could be moved, people
23 in, out, a couple community changes, where they could be
24 made, down Central Avenue, the districts, and two other
25 people got up after myself, people I had no connection

1 with, one was Susan Smith and another one Mr. Olson from
2 City of Scottsdale.

3 Last maps I saw last night, those changes
4 had not been incorporated. That is one thing, I was
5 wondering why those changes did not get incorporated.

6 The Commission in the last few days seemed
7 to accept a small deviation in population deviation, I
8 heard some that where up to two percent deviation. I'm
9 not sure two percent above, two percent below, a total
10 of four percent. My suggestion, either of the changes,
11 I'm suggesting half percent deviation. Let me explain.
12 Metro Phoenix, Metro Tucson, a lot of times balanced
13 down to 171,000, 171, do that in metro areas, small
14 pieces of neighborhoods, 50 people, hundred people in or
15 out of neighborhoods. Exact population, if that's the
16 way all neighborhoods are in the state, that's fine.
17 Taken deviation much larger, smaller, a half percent
18 deviation, clean up, that's not smaller. Cleaning up,
19 51st Avenue, 55th Avenue, these type things, not
20 splitting cities, cities have strange boundaries, clean
21 up, not notches coming out, not only people, talk
22 figures out, things in neighborhoods help the County
23 Recorder, precinct lines, people ask where do I vote,
24 one side Peoria one district, other side Peoria, well,
25 if in this notch here conflicts -- if those things were

1 taken care of, I think much better usefulness for the
2 constituents in Arizona.

3 Thank you for your work on the
4 Congressional and Legislative lines. Thank you for your
5 time.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Mills.
7 We'll try to make terrific lines. We'll take that into
8 account on final instructions to consultants,
9 administrative instructions to consultants.

10 Final speaker, Jim Hartdegen, City of Casa
11 Grande and Casa Grande Chamber of Commerce.

12 MR. RIVERA: Give me a hundred dollar
13 bill.

14 MR. HARTDEGEN: Nice drive. I drove up,
15 could see Stanfield, those areas. Don't own a red
16 shirt, electric Sonoran tie. Move the line a little
17 further to the west. That's all I have to say.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Hartdegen.

19 Other members wishing to be heard?

20 With any luck, there will be another
21 opportunity to be heard today.

22 With that, if no other matters from the
23 public for the Commission, we'll move to the consultants
24 and result from work yesterday.

25 COMMISSIONER HALL: We missed you, Doug.

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
Phoenix, Arizona

1 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,
2 as the files open, it's 4G-SV3. All we have is revised,
3 revised two. As soon as the projector warms up, the two
4 maps are very closely related.

5 The revised is a map we were instructed on
6 by the Commission yesterday.

7 As we did the process, there was a small
8 change that wasn't included in the instruction. We
9 found underpopulate CC, make Hispanic underpopulated.

10 I'll start with revised, instructions with
11 the instruction.

12 We also thought, Mr. Chairman,
13 Commissioners, if it please the Commission I'll take a
14 couple quick minutes, the whole process of the map, how
15 we've gotten where it is today, where we find ourselves
16 today in this context, we found ourselves, even I find
17 ourselves with what 3G looked like, 3F.

18 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Quick one, 4G, F
19 revised one looking like.

20 MR. RIVERA: Correct.

21 MS. HAUSER: What did you do to that
22 screen, Doug?

23 MR. JOHNSON: Tried to mesh that screen.

24 Mr. Chairman, one thing we've been asked
25 to quickly summarize, the majority or number of majority

1 minority districts and majority-minority age voting
2 districts in the revised maps. You have revised maps.
3 You have nine majority districts, seven
4 majority-minority voting age districts. Of those
5 revised for majority-minority districts, three
6 minority-majority districts. The only change, revised,
7 revised two, of the revised two, five majority
8 districts.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: How many voting age
10 Hispanic?

11 MR. JOHNSON: Three Hispanic voting age
12 population.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay. Thank you.

14 MR. JOHNSON: Spread sheets, AQD right at
15 the bottom, used practice AQD spread sheet to determine
16 if competitive. First page at the bottom, four seven
17 AQD, 10 districts, 15 percent AQD. Discussion of
18 avoiding bulletproof, anything within 15, prove not to
19 be bulletproof. Number of different competitiveness
20 floating around. Four within seven percent, 10 within
21 15 percent. Four within Maricopa went up to AQD.

22 McDonald study came back, still very,
23 registration only three percent. We didn't make those
24 changes.

25 Let me put this on the screen and we're

1 ready to go here.

2 Process began, Prop 106, large part was
3 inspired, part here. Current Legislative District.
4 Lines, unusual shapes, community divisions. Looked at
5 them in great detail. Pinal County has been rather
6 clear on their view of districts.

7 You see problems led by Prop 106. In the
8 quick time line, what we've gone through.

9 We start out with the grid. Essentially
10 an automatic process making the grid-like districts.
11 Led to relatively compact districts, two of which are
12 the same districts.

13 Compact districts, lots of other issues
14 are extensively documented. Effect on Reservation
15 borders.

16 The Commission, other criteria was listed
17 proposition.

18 Then we came to the first round of line
19 drawing hearings and decisions. NDC presented this map.
20 It's own issues have been discussed. Certainly getting
21 better already at that point. Current Legislative
22 Districts. A lot of progress to be made as we went
23 forward.

24 Adopted a draft. The much discussed
25 District W. Also the rest of map. Adopted a draft, the

1 much more compact Legislature, and many more communities
2 which were preserved, many more clearly identifiable
3 AURs preserved. I know I live in this community, you
4 know what community.

5 Jumping forward, what I counted last
6 night, there were 20 statewide maps developed per the
7 Commission's discussions. If there's an option out
8 there we haven't looked at, it's going to surprise me.

9 Came to 3G, and the north was starting to
10 take shape.

11 Familiar the last few days, starting to
12 come back into recent memory, and a big change between
13 that and where we are today is the southern district. I
14 mean the southern District Z and its companion districts
15 Y, W down here which change.

16 So that brings us to the current state of
17 the map.

18 In a quick time frame, that refreshes our
19 memory on where we come from and the considerable
20 progress of citizen requests and community border.

21 To focus on instructions given yesterday,
22 and implemented by NDC staff not too late into the night
23 relatively, 20 hours work doing this, changes all down
24 south, entire Sierra Vista replaced CD. Put labels down
25 here: The eastern Pinal portion Z, unified remainder of

1 Pinal County except Oracle, San Marino --

2 MR. RIVERA: San Manuel.

3 MR. JOHNSON: Three San Marinos.

4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Ocean front property
5 accepted.

6 MR. JOHNSON: District Y, western side now
7 comes into Marana essentially to highway, freeway there.
8 Per instructions, came into Marana, as far as
9 demographics, continued to improve voting rights
10 District Y. Document changes in Sahuarita, voting area
11 extended DD west meeting goals, contradicted, instructed
12 us on District Y. Moved up slightly Santa Cruz County.
13 Looked off squaring off northwest corner. Turned out
14 not having effect approach go for District Y. Only
15 change, add one more place. Essentially line comes up
16 to Tubac.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Tumacacori.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

19 Far west District Y was taken in Gila
20 Bend, rural unincorporated areas of Buckeye and south of
21 Buckeye, noncontiguous portion, 35 portions, did not go
22 into main portion of Buckeye.

23 Other changes involve Z and DD.
24 Essentially we cleaned up lines of Oracle, San Manuel,
25 removed some just going on there, and moved slightly

1 east. Large Census block ZZ, 28 people affected, and
2 mostly compactness goals minimizing population
3 deviations. In goals, minimizing population goals.

4 Speaking of population deviation goals.
5 Areas speaking were population, bench mark population.

6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: District Y.

7 MR. JOHNSON: District Y. Slightly
8 underpopulated District Y, in order to meet the goal of
9 voting age, bench mark percentage. It was nice also to
10 complied with requests of Pinal County, move the border
11 west, take in Stanfield all the way over White Parker
12 Road to the freeway, also slightly west below the
13 freeway. Goals of Y and goals of the voting rights went
14 along there. Not all the way over the highway, past
15 Stanfield and incorporates Stanfield over.

16 COMMISSIONER HALL: What percentage on Y?
17 Looking to the charts, what am I missing?

18 MR. JOHNSON: Y stands out, in comparison
19 to yesterday. Two percent, 3,000, 2,000 people.
20 Handouts, 3,000, 4,000 people.

21 COMMISSIONER HALL: Both have end
22 population, one and two.

23 MR. JOHNSON: One, two same in Y.

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: Difference.

25 MR. JOHNSON: Only change in handouts,

1 one, two, CC and border DD.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: Sorry.

3 MR. JOHNSON: One thing, Tohono O'odham
4 moved, closer to Green Valley, Sahuarita is attempting
5 to achieve voting rights goals, went slightly to west.
6 Completely unpopulated blocks AA.

7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I think one other
8 change. Looks like Census place, Summit, also helped
9 demographics, majority-minority demo.

10 MR. JOHNSON: Exactly correct.

11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Here. Right.
12 Okay.

13 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, answer
14 questions on this or go to revised two.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Tubac, Tumacacori
16 area, how much shift, what population shift Tubac,
17 Tumacacori? Pretty strong change in Tubac, Tumacacori
18 and Tubac Presidio, National Presidio, didn't make a
19 difference? Rather see two cities together, function
20 almost as one. Rarely get as one.

21 MR. JOHNSON: Tumacacori, two-thirds
22 Hispanic. Tubac, 50 percent. Tubac is 15 percent. We
23 could look at it, just over bench mark.

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Rather see bench mark
25 met. If possible met, a positive.

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: District Y, entire
2 town out of District Y. Without exact number, can you
3 tell me about the number of Cochise County that remains
4 in Exhibit Y?

5 MR. JOHNSON: Took out Sierra Vista. That
6 brings it down to 80,000, 37,000, 117,000 in the county,
7 80,000 remaining.

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Little more than
9 half in the district.

10 MR. JOHNSON: Come 170,000 and county
11 line. Essentially 80,000.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Since most of the Pima
13 County contribution to the district I won't say is
14 uninhabited, sparsely inhabited area, exception is
15 Marana, estimate Marana contribution to district.

16 MR. JOHNSON: Marana, 13,000, not sure
17 between the two.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Much is east of it
19 relative to numbers. Relatively insignificant.

20 MR. JOHNSON: I think about 2,500 people
21 in the Pinal County portion of District Y. 25,000 Gila
22 Bend portion. About 7,500, 80,000 from Cochise.

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Santa Cruz. 35,000
24 there.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: 85,000 from Pima County.

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: 10,000 Tohono
2 O'odham.

3 MR. JOHNSON: 10,000, I'd say, total.
4 Point to raise, Tohono O'odham raised with concern to a
5 Sierra Vista dominated district, this change is just
6 under a half population district.

7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Another advantage, go
8 to the Y of the district, remains a rural dominated
9 district.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: So noted.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall is all over that.

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you, Mr. Elder.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments or questions on
14 the map.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Apparently the
16 revision is very minor. If we like it, work on that
17 one.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Sure. Can you explain
19 what you did, and what we did.

20 MR. JOHNSON: Sure. On the handout, zoom
21 out here, only change northeastern corner, District CC,
22 we District CC 49.755 voting age. We dropped, moved
23 about 1,000 people from District CC into District DD,
24 not offset, included a 1.11 percent deviation in
25 District CC, and it created an additional majority

1 district, District, CC through that change. Again,
2 change small movement blocks east of Harrison Road north
3 of Escalante Road handout. Previous handout, see
4 previous hatched line.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Previous line, went
6 through the block one mile square. Those are major
7 roads. So by dividing differently, you achieved that.

8 MR. JOHNSON: The area moved is the area
9 between the black line and pink area. An unusual black
10 line is an attempt to achieve a line, returning all
11 borders.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: This could likely even out
13 better, even out more without detriment.

14 MR. JOHNSON: List to even out city lines.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Elder and I
16 like a llama, close to a donkey.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Elephant district, looking
18 for donkey district.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Just --

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Go ahead.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: No. Sunday. I'm not
22 going to do it. Questions on particular amendment or
23 rescission, sufficiently incorporate in the motion the
24 map we'll discuss. Doesn't mean the map we're
25 discussing we're adopting. I think the change is a

1 beneficial change.

2 Ms. Hall.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yes. I'd like to
4 move we use test 4G SV3 Revision 2 as our map for
5 further revision.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If I, as a manner of
7 speaking, take that as: include Revision 2 as the map
8 under consideration?

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Include Map
10 Revision 2 as the map under consideration.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: Second.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I agree the goal
14 very is laudable. I do not -- I'm very concerned about
15 using simple population deviation for achieving the goal
16 here or anywhere else. My mind races with the obvious
17 equal protection issues. I'd like time to get legal
18 advice on whether it's permissible to use ethnic or
19 racial criteria like that, to use racial or ethnic
20 criteria like that.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll be to happy get that
22 at some point. The reason one might support the motion
23 is more to the point of achieving increased community of
24 interest, one of which we established as the Hispanic
25 AUR. That becomes part of the voting rights reasons to

1 consider it, one of the other goals.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Leoni.

3 MS. LEONI: I'd point out we used a slight
4 deviation, unite cities of La Paz County, slight
5 deviation, and an instruction for the final map
6 clean-up, in conjunction with avoiding splitting
7 neighborhoods and trying to use the most recognizable
8 boundaries, there's some instruction to use some
9 deviational review with your attorneys. I wanted to
10 state my point of how deviation is factoring into the
11 process.

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Question: voting
13 rights issues are somewhat different. The classes
14 suspect under the equal protection clause are defined by
15 equal protection analysis, not a voting protection
16 analysis. It may be perfectly fine using equal
17 protection of 106, voting for protection under 106. The
18 voting clause, you look specifically at 106 issues as
19 they are concerned, legal analysis on whether we can use
20 same categorically, specifically, as a way, use unequal
21 population specifically as a way of addressing this
22 specific category.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: This category?

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think we should
25 make you write "One person one vote" 500 times.

1 My question, legal question, within the
2 bonds of acceptable population deviation, is we make the
3 switch of equal population clause without a claim that
4 would invalidate it. Don't invalidate it.

5 MR. RIVERA: Having gone through a
6 shorthand answer. The shorthand answer from me is yes.

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Short answer.
8 Answer is yes?

9 MR. RIVERA: Yes. We've already discussed
10 it.

11 COMMISSIONER HALL: Wanted to clarify it.

12 In addition to items Ms. Leoni answered,
13 the intended population deviation traps between
14 Congressional and Legislative, to.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: As well as other traps,
16 zero population precincts, that sort of thing.

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I acknowledge as
18 long as not addressing suspect deviation within
19 reasonable boundaries, just that very specific point.
20 I'll accept the advice of our counsel.

21 Lisa, you concur in the opinion?

22 MS. HAUSER: Yes. We previously addressed
23 that.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion?

25 Mr. Elder?

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

9 (Motion carries.)

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Revised 4G-SV3 as Revised
11 twice for those keeping score.

12 Questions on this map? If I don't,
13 reissuance for very, very good reasons. I completely
14 support them.

15 One collateral effect of that, Z is a
16 previously competitive district. It's no longer a
17 competitive district. The adjacent competitive
18 district, Mr. Huntwork raised the competitive, whether
19 BB is competitive, BB is a competitive district, we
20 looked at the possibility of shifting population between
21 two districts, make them both more competitive than they
22 are.

23 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we looked at
24 it. At this time not a fully developed map we're ready
25 to show you this morning. Essentially the border, the

1 Commission is locking out Sweetwater, locking out AA,
2 ZZ.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I see.

4 MR. JOHNSON: In order to have Z
5 competitive, competitive AQD, AQD registration with
6 different results. McDonald with different results, AQD
7 flip-flop, McDonald numbers are more consistent around
8 the map than our numbers, the reverse of what happened
9 in the Congressional map. What map Z is competitive,
10 dark and Blacklidge. Dark here and Blacklidge south of
11 Fort Lowell.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Where is Fort
13 Lowell?

14 MR. JOHNSON: Fort Lowell is here.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay. This is Fort
16 Lowell.

17 MR. JOHNSON: BB loses population. Rotate
18 through DD on the east. DD makes a circle with
19 population. One thing, playing with numbers last night,
20 made BB close to competitive. Took a line where the
21 lines move, make both competitive with the rate of
22 competitive measurements we use. Z stops at Campbell
23 and comes all the way down to A.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: To Blake north of
25 Speedway.

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Upside down.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: East north of BB. We
3 don't have fully prepared maps. We've made progress.

4 I should point out one thing. We made
5 changes. Line DD and Z, it moves well west. Over and
6 around the first, the connector comes around, it is at
7 least from the numbers so far an unusual shape one
8 competitive point. You end up with Z coming down.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No effect on DD
10 moving the population. That seems to me to be something
11 we should take a serious look at, an opportunity for two
12 competitive districts, solid Republican, and solid
13 Democratic, very much in keeping with what we have been
14 asked to do subject to no detriment to communities of
15 interest. May be a positive change to the map.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: As to the detriment
18 to communities of interest, the strongest in state is
19 the crossover of city and county boundary. The river
20 defined testimony, the demographic boundary re the City
21 of Phoenix boundary, Casas Adobas, the City of Tucson.
22 City of Tucson adjoined the City of Tucson. If there
23 was water quality, surprised bales of cattle, cannot
24 support at all going south of that river at all with B.
25 Comes down, funny Z, not compact. Violates four, five

1 principles of 106. I know, Andi, you have a real and we
2 can go, we can take it into the heart, the compactness
3 of it. It didn't benefit from the contiguous,
4 compactness, or competitiveness.

5 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yield to the
6 necessary, have an itch on the screen with the streets.
7 This is just the main streets. You put in all the
8 streets, jumps right out at you. The river borders to
9 completely different types, heart of the most densely
10 populated part of Tucson, may even go into the downtown
11 area.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Larger lot size, less
13 density. North of the river you get to slope up. The
14 river is generally recognized as the community dividers.
15 It does tend to get respected as the repeated city north
16 of the river is an annexation with those that live north
17 of the river.

18 Mr. Hall.

19 COMMISSIONER HALL: Couple questions.
20 Total tally. Never had Judge It, but the map,
21 consideration where are we. Best guess.

22 MR. JOHNSON: Using AQD, for competitive,
23 left out of range. McDonald said competitive, said only
24 three. Another as well, registration is less than seven
25 with AQD out of it.

1 Our two measurements, six competitive
2 measurements, I don't know.

3 COMMISSIONER HALL: He was seven.

4 MR. JOHNSON: He was seven. There was 15.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Green, middle city
6 border. One, this complete unincorporated middle city
7 area is Flowing Wells, comes up slightly to the Tucson
8 City line. As we understand, the battle is mostly where
9 you live.

10 Perspectively following.

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Cuts the center,
12 balance of the medical school. If Adams is indeed
13 the -- breaks, if it moves in. It doesn't make sense,
14 hinders or harms communities of interest.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm somewhat intrigued.
16 If I understood you, two forays into BB in an attempt to
17 achieve the desired goal. One was a longer, deeper
18 foray than the other based on competitive parameters.

19 Could you again tell me the lesser
20 invasive borders? I invite my colleagues to listen to
21 this. It might be important later.

22 MR. JOHNSON: First thing, major roads --
23 first major discussed in the goal is making Z
24 competitive. Instead of the L shape here, what we have
25 is some citizen maps suggested. Z comes down south of

1 the river and continues on up to Dodge where the city
2 crossed and Blacklidge south of Fort Lowell Road,
3 further extension along the river not quite as far
4 south, both come far as south, Z becomes competitive,
5 using competitive AQD measurements,

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Competitive just at the
7 margin?

8 MR. JOHNSON: Right.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: X, treatment at the edge
10 of competitive.

11 MR. JOHNSON: Extreme edge of competitive.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Fairly.

13 MR. JOHNSON: Didn't bring registration to
14 competitive. Chris told me six, seven percent AQD.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What's your pleasure?

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm beginning, I
17 know, to sound like a broken record. I'm comfortable to
18 either make changes in both districts that are
19 competitive in Mr. Elder's view that are not acceptable
20 in the communities view standpoint, from a less dramatic
21 standpoint. I'd like to see two competitive districts,
22 if not to competitive districts. I'd like to see one
23 competitive district.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are you prepared to make a
25 motion?

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to hear
2 from other Commissioners which way they want to go. My
3 preference to, both Democratic Republican, hearing the
4 concern of Mr. Elder is it may be shared by other people
5 on Commission. They could be Less happy with one more
6 competitive district. We'd like to know if we put the
7 motion on the floor, we have it be the right motion.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me put something on
9 the floor have lesser, few changes, not because there
10 are lesser, few changes, there's ample testimony if you
11 live there, express the river does create a number of
12 divisions, natural, historic, in terms of political
13 thought and the community. I don't know if there's a
14 good community in the Phoenix area, give you an example
15 how this works, give you people in Phoenix, Tempe, don't
16 agree Arcadia issues, if that's not wholly appropriate,
17 it's close.

18 To answer the question, Ms. Minkoff, I'd
19 be more inclined for a lesser incursion than I would
20 full incursion. I'd not for sure support that for
21 reasons stated. I need to know what that rotation would
22 look like. Z on east and BB, how much division is
23 affected there. Many, I think, if I remember correctly,
24 the difference is something like Craycroft north, how
25 the access to Campbell.

1 MR. JOHNSON: In Catalina Foothills?

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: In the foothills. When
3 you are located around the lesser ones.

4 MR. JOHNSON: That's okay. A lot of
5 stuff, a lot of pages.

6 It stayed east of La Canada, it was
7 between La Canada and first.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not as far east. Further
9 from the borderline between Craycroft and Campbell.
10 This region moved only into DD.

11 Move to one other comment and be done on
12 issue.

13 Dividing line signals to me, may be a
14 nuance, divides in a nice way what I consider the oldest
15 and newest foothills development, a development in the
16 first years, all the way from the boundary, remain Knoll
17 Canyon, further down on the east side, DD, in this
18 representation, fairly depicts another nuance of the
19 district bordering.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Want to hear from
21 others?

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Sure.

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: On the Foothills
24 development, I'm not as sure as you are.

25 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Doug, put state

1 highways on the map.

2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: A question about
3 the Foothills map, ZZ, it's a large district. A lot
4 isn't the Foothills development at all. In terms of
5 community development, is that Westwood --

6 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: East. Older
7 Foothills development, newer Foothills development than
8 ones bordering --

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Say again.

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: -- the area of
11 Catalina Foothills being proposed from BB, from District
12 Z, you mentioned the area west of Craycroft, west of
13 Craycroft.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What I'm asking,
15 District Z, being the large district, going all the way
16 into Pinal County, all way to Interstate 10, the larger
17 portion, newer portion of the Foothills areas north of
18 Interstate 10.

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: From almost -- it
20 almost relates to a land form. Drive down the
21 Interstate 10, the Foothills dominated the east and flat
22 irrigation to the west. All the way, shows up there
23 with the symbol I-10, northeast Tucson, National Country
24 Club in the area, growth and areas north of that, we
25 have new areas just exactly the same as the foothills

1 along there. As far as a shift, until we get to Marana,
2 tenuous until there.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Anyone else offer an
4 opinion to help Ms. Minkoff in motion preparation?

5 Mr. Huntwork?

6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Well, I haven't
7 even had time to absorb totally the map me just
8 received.

9 I'd like to talk about, see the
10 competitiveness analysis as a whole. I've seen that as
11 whole. I heard a lot of testimony in the downtown area.
12 It occurs to me there's a community of interest in three
13 pieces. Some in B, D, which pretty much jump out at you
14 when you look at population densities, the way people
15 live, and so on.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Minkoff.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm not suggesting
18 changing the map. I agree we haven't had a lot of time
19 to absorb it. We presented a minor adjustment to ease
20 it to understand the adopted incorporated map. I'm
21 suggesting a test. I'm not suggesting a change, any
22 boundaries. I agree it's premature. I'd like to see
23 what it does. If test result, don't like what it does,
24 don't adopt it. If run does positive things, we should
25 adopt it. I'd like to move we have a test, help me

1 describe it Steve, less incursive of the two changes,
2 near Fort Lowell on south and east as far south as --

3 MR. JOHNSON: Dodge.

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: -- as far as the
5 Dodge test that as far as it affects competitiveness as
6 far as Z.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What testing?

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Boundaries, AQD
9 test.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Draw it and AQD test it.

11 Second to motion.

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: Clear to say it
13 wouldn't pay attention.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Accurate?

15 COMMISSIONER HALL: The motion again.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The motion is to attempt
17 to test the lesser of two adjustments bringing District
18 Z, BB achieve a more competitive district. It would
19 bring ZZ into B as far south as Fort Lowell, as far east
20 as Dodge, with a registration AQD test to look at the
21 competitive nature of the test, I believe is the motion.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: Second that.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Where Z gives up
24 population.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Z gives up population on

1 the southern boundary.

2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Gives up population on the
4 western.

5 MR. JOHNSON: Southeastern.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Long week.

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Where would DD
8 give up?

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: DD rotates west along the
10 Foothills first, or far west as La Canada.

11 Is that right?

12 MR. JOHNSON: DD is giving up west.

13 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Oracle Highway.

14 Looked at numbers, not balanced or anything.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: BB essentially
16 slides eastward.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: So it's clear, slide
18 eastward to the least objectionable of the rotations, as
19 I see it. Unless it changes demographics the other way,
20 slight eastward is the least done to any other parts.

21 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I suppose it would be
22 as long as the forest boundary, there is no road on the
23 Saguaro boundary, east Rim Cons. Went that far,
24 functional gerrymandered districts. I cannot see. I'm
25 still sitting here to see what benefit.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If the motion passes,
2 we'll find out.

3 Further discussion on the motion? If not,
4 roll call.

5 Mr. Hall?

6 I'm sorry, ladies and gentlemen.

7 Mr. Elder?

8 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "No."

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

14 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It breaks up
15 communities of interest, "no."

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The Chair is willing to
17 look at it. The Chair votes "Aye."

18 (Motion carries three-two.)

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: When do we return?

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: 45 minutes to an hour.

21 That much to go. About ready to go.

22 Anything else to discuss on the map before
23 we move away?

24 We'll review the Northern District we
25 talked yesterday, the Phoenix District we talked about

1 yesterday. My understanding is the southern part of the
2 state is really the only part of the state to focus on
3 in terms of the state we're discussing.

4 Further discussion for one part of the
5 state at the moment?

6 Let's take a look at that part of the map.

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It's not this
8 portion. I had one thought about Phoenix.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Big thought or little
10 thought? Is the thought on efficacy or complex?

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: We could take the
12 usual 10-minute to half hour break.

13 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from
14 approximately 10:00 a.m. until 11:07 a.m.)

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd call the Commission to
16 order.

17 Mr. Johnson, the result of your incursion,
18 carpet bombing on the bottom of Z.

19 MR. JOHNSON: The results are on the
20 bottom of the screen.

21 The north, District Z, border moves
22 westward, actually the highway there, blue. It moves
23 over. If you remember, we were over on Craycroft
24 before. Now we're at the highway. So that population
25 comes out District Z. District Z comes down the final

1 street borders, Dodge and Blacklidge. The remainder of
2 the shift occurs at the remainder of the edge. District
3 Z goes out to the Tucson city border, follows
4 essentially the city border. The result is as you see
5 from the spread sheet that you have,

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Spread sheet who has?

7 MR. JOHNSON: The map and spread sheet.

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I took yours.

9 Sorry.

10 MR. JOHNSON: The spread sheet after the
11 work, registration, in BB, is also seven percent; AQD
12 remains 13 .2, to do other change talked about.
13 Registration is 17 percent. AQD is 4.8 percent. You'll
14 see from your maps --

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Just so we don't have to
16 look it up, Z registration AQD numbers? Erasing
17 Ms. Minkoff.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Registration is just under
19 12 points, 11.8, registration 9.4.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

21 And thank you.

22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: You are welcome.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right.

24 Ladies and gentlemen, your pleasure.

25 Mr. Hall.

1 COMMISSIONER HALL: I have one question.
2 Given these questions, can someone explain to me how
3 these changes cause significant detriment to other
4 goals?

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Elder,
7 Commissioner Hall, we have now succeeded in adding
8 another one to the list I made before, split the place
9 of Casas Adobas. Casas Adobas, as I mentioned, in
10 litigation, we named them in litigation incorporated
11 battle lines along the river, so distinct from the City
12 of Tucson. Casas Adobas, the school system people are
13 willing to pay 10, 15 percent out to go to the City of
14 Tucson, Catalina School Foothills School district,
15 Catalina Canada. Splitting more densely, Flowing Wells
16 School District. If we go further east, we now see
17 ramifications of this incursion, just about if not at
18 the boundary, the national forest, Saguaro, DD,
19 noncontiguous southern portion of the district.
20 Catalina southern mountains, if a link, zero population
21 link. I don't see any benefit from this. If a motion,
22 needs a motion, I'll make a motion.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Make a motion, do not
25 make motion, consider other issues, issues and

1 principles of 106.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Motion on the floor.

5 Consideration?

6 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Obviously I'll vote
7 against the motion. I have a few comments.

8 Looking at the original plan we talked
9 about, it's important to the river, the previous plan
10 before the shift made to District BB went north of the
11 river in certain areas of District Z, went far south of
12 Fort Lowell Road which has been crossed over the river.
13 To understand comments, the Flowing Wells previous plan,
14 it's now more split. Same thing with the Kyrene School
15 District. One of the things of the competitive
16 districts, one of the most competitive districts, one of
17 the most exciting districts. DD, and I'm not from
18 Tucson, and I don't know it as well as Mr. Lynn or
19 Elder, streets north-south part of DD east of Cotton
20 Road, Tucson Cotton Road boundaries, if streets exist
21 Tanque Verde, Wentworth or whatever gets you into the
22 southern part of the district.

23 I was told Proposition 106 creates
24 competitive districts as long as not to a significant
25 detriment of other criteria of Proposition 106.

1 Significant is a subjective term. Another person's
2 "minimal" is another person's "trivial." You can't move
3 one line on this map and affect one person without
4 someone claiming you are affecting many communities of
5 interest. The key word is "significant."

6 I look at voters in this state who want to
7 know their vote counts for something, at least some
8 districts who want, when they go to the polls, to have a
9 choice. If they are in the minority party in the
10 particular interest, that their vote still means
11 something. If a majority party district is so locked
12 in, the incumbent has no contest.

13 I support this while the compact
14 communities of interest I feel are not significant
15 enough to outweigh the obligation to create competitive
16 districts where possible.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Ms. Minkoff.

18 Other discussion on interest.

19 Either one. Mr. Huntwork then Mr. Hall.

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Just looking at
21 the types of population that we're mixing here, and the
22 geometric contortions you have to do to go through it,
23 it strikes me you create competitive districts all over
24 the state if you mix the effects of dense urban and less
25 dense suburban population connecting less dense rural.

1 I don't think that's what we're called upon -- I think
2 we're prohibited from doing it. Those are different
3 interests, different communities of interest. We're not
4 to mix them in order to create competitiveness in an
5 artificial way. I don't think it's a healthy type
6 competitiveness. Competitiveness does not go and
7 overcome communities of interest. Mix together and,
8 yeah, you have a district that is competitive, but it
9 doesn't create a consensus. It's a polarized
10 competitiveness, one ends up winning and one loses.
11 That's not the goal of this exercise.

12 This clearly violates the compactness rule
13 and contiguous rule.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

15 COMMISSIONER HALL: I wanted to know your
16 compactness rule, whether you agree.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Simply got no laugh.

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: Smart enough, got to
19 have no point.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: One, no point.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: To sum up -- let me echo
22 what Mr. Elder said. Good people differ on the
23 application of good principles. Ms. Minkoff has been
24 extraordinarily consistent on that point of view. You
25 have to take each of the opportunities presented into

1 account and weigh the specific advantages of each.

2 Mr. Elder was quite specific on what it
3 does.

4 The general terms, generally polarized
5 voting geography, by definition, if you make districts
6 more competitive than they would be by geography of a
7 neighborhood, they don't look very good, don't seem to
8 respect interests and boundaries of interest. Tend to
9 take the shape that is inexplicable rather than
10 attaining the goal. It's a matter of balancing goals
11 available.

12 I do think that the original presentation
13 to the south is a better representation of how Tucson
14 thinks, votes, lives, and operates in general terms. I,
15 too, plan to vote in favor against the planned amendment
16 to get you where you need to be, Mr. Hall, Ms. Minkoff.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Then I'd like to
18 make a suggestion. Keeping with comments I've heard,
19 one of the reasons for not doing this, the cities
20 feuding and neighborhoods are very, very different. If
21 crowding into districts won't work, we're needing to go
22 back to Phoenix. District J, Paradise Valley, J,
23 Sunnyslope, two neighborhoods, less in Sunnyslope, N,
24 and look at Buckeye Road. Then Tempe, including Phoenix
25 portions, if there's going to be a consistent redraw of

1 the entire Phoenix map.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, in
4 Phoenix we tried to minimize differences. Here we are
5 going way out of the way to create one district from
6 multiple communities of interest for competitiveness,
7 and that is the thing Proposition 106 prohibits us from
8 doing.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm interested,
10 Ms. Minkoff, if those were problems, why didn't you
11 raise it earlier?

12 Other comments?

13 Mr. Elder?

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "No."

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

22 (Motion carries.)

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Back to the district
24 without change as presented by the consultants.

25 District Number?

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER. SV3 Version 2.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, the
3 issues --

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We don't have an
5 affirmative motion in Southern Arizona. I'd be more
6 than happy to address his concerns --

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Sorry. Affirmative
8 motions for A, Maricopa.

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'd move we
10 incorporate this test, 4G-SV3 Revision Test 2, as a
11 working model into our current test.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Hats off to you to
13 remember the numbers.

14 The right version.

15 Discussion on the motion?

16 Mr. Elder?

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "No."

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

21 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."

25 Motion carries four-one.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We addressed three
2 separately, have taken them separately. They really,
3 really don't exist within a single map quite yet.

4 MR. JOHNSON: They're incorporated into
5 the version.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: This is, as it stands, the
7 most complete map, legislatively, of maps we've taken.
8 Then with that said, unless there's a caveat to it.

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: As I said, there
10 were something like 14 districts within the 16 percent
11 registration.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: 15.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Some were varying
14 degrees of competitiveness. Some were less than 15
15 degrees of competitive.

16 MR. JOHNSON: We don't have the total
17 count. There's some on competitiveness.

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Fine. Talking
19 AQD. In addition to four --

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

21 MR. JOHNSON: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I was looking my
23 list.

24 Let me ask a different number then. Of
25 the districts not in that range, how many of them are

1 highly Democrat? How many highly Republican?

2 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we didn't look
3 at that. Give me a minute. I can count them.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Okay.

5 While doing that, let me ask you about
6 Western Maricopa County.

7 Move a little to east. See the northeast
8 area? Okay.

9 Too many lines and too few colors.

10 What I'm concerned about, I've been
11 talking growth areas a lot, and I'm simply concerned
12 that as we move into the West Valley, we have basically
13 put all growth areas in two districts. We didn't do
14 that further east. We were successful in spreading them
15 out. We're looking at some areas growing leaps and
16 bounds in two districts.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Name them?

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: L, and the other
19 is E. I would like to avoid that if possible. It's
20 very possible 10 years from now both districts would
21 have conceivably twice as many people, or E, twice as
22 many people compared to districts right next to this.
23 At this stage of the game, if you've given any thought
24 to another approach, you might ameliorate that problem,
25 as lease I consider it to be a problem.

1 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we understand
2 the concern up front and looked at it to some degree in
3 an area. There are a couple caveats, more district
4 drawing, that address that. District L, the concern is
5 growth areas west of it. Both District L is a minority
6 influence area. The reason, it's a somewhat noncompact
7 piece going off to the east of the district. So moving
8 the district in a more north-south configuration, or
9 taking more of Buckeye, impacts a minority influence.
10 We did a separate, northern part, separate northern part
11 of Buckeye. It's difficult the further part over.

12 H, looked at the north-south, northeast
13 configuration. Areas north of it at the extremely north
14 population, Peoria is very dense. It doesn't get very
15 far north, very complete, unless we divide Sun City or
16 this part of Peoria.

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: With respect to
18 District L, have you done any analysis of the
19 polarization of District L to determine if that
20 polarization is real?

21 MR. JOHNSON: I'll defer to the attorneys
22 on polarization.

23 I believe of the procedural approach, the
24 polarized districts, it doesn't look or have the
25 character of the new districts.

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: My question, maybe
2 based geometry numbers, perceptions, while they are
3 discussing that between themselves, is there anything
4 to, instead of L and inverting actually L, M, to go into
5 the area west of M, going into Goodyear and the area
6 south, extending both the growth areas to the west
7 instead of M being landlocked?

8 MR. JOHNSON: It's a good job breaking up
9 the areas described. District M, it's a Hispanic AUR,
10 also a majority-minority district.

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: If it go against
12 minority-majority AURs, never mind.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Appears to me, h
14 and I are in play, the only ones. I is already working
15 on this. M and N are already majority-minority
16 districts, so we don't want to change them. If we're
17 going to do this, it would involve H, I, L, B, and L
18 that would be the only significant interest I know of.

19 In L, the question is whether there's
20 truly a minority interest or not or -- but is there some
21 other way combine this?

22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can I ask a
23 question?

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Will, Mr. Huntwork, will
25 you yield to a question?

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Tying I's growth to
2 certain areas, it seems landlocked.

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Certainly that's
4 correct. To do anything, you'd work with F as well.

5 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: F -- the
6 north-south dividing line with F, there's the New River
7 Anthem area. Those further south are well-defined
8 communities.

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: F is more
10 pie-shaped and on the west it's a bit on the short side.
11 Similar -- it would open up a corridor. You could
12 create --

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I is one of the
14 very few competitive districts.

15 MR. JOHNSON: To add something, L is
16 competitive.

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I hate to say it. I
18 agree with Ms. Minkoff on this.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Underline this.

20 COMMISSIONER ELDER: To the detriment of
21 communities of interest, and AURs and testimony, and all
22 the other stuff I derived from reading, participating in
23 meetings there, I would like to have seen it, L, M, that
24 rotation work. The rotation, advent of rotation,
25 compactness just don't seem to work.

1 I'm prepared to leave it as is, move
2 forward.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The important point
5 is out just of the West Valley. We have a lot of
6 districts, Maricopa growth areas, G, K, F, V, U. I feel
7 really, really comfortable growth areas are disbursed,
8 O, J, N, because areas served can't take growth areas
9 in, in 10 years they'll be underpopulated in the two
10 districts, unavoidable populations. And the way we draw
11 districts ...

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I know it's
14 unavoidable in many areas.

15 Again, what you've done, part of the
16 valley is covered. And the west side, you just don't
17 draw line and suddenly there's a block. I'm concerned.
18 I don't think H, I, L, and tip, gerrymandered tip of L,
19 involve the gerrymandered tip of it. I just want to say
20 D on the growth both ends, is rapidly growth on both
21 ends, and there are the rapidly growing areas of the
22 Tri-City areas. There are serious population problems
23 in D we'd like to see addressed if there's any way to do
24 it.

25 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, it's an

1 unusual city line.

2 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Unusual, the east
3 in Glendale picks up part of the Hispanic AUR.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Chairman, there are
6 four districts in the West Valley, H, L, M, D. To
7 increase the number, would it do so much damage? 50
8 percent districts, strong growth districts. I think
9 it's pretty reasonable.

10 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Well, I feel in 10
11 years that district will be at least twice as big as the
12 others.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Absolutely.

14 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I hate to see that
15 happen. The stabile population happens to be switched
16 around.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

19 Mr. Huntwork, I'm very sympathetic to the 2000 Census
20 data and what we'll do, in effect, to 10 years' growth
21 rate in the state, growth rate in the county.

22 The map will look at very different
23 population, the next Commission, as it has to do it.

24 I take your point. I really do. And we
25 should, where we can, anticipate growth we not know

1 going to occur. What is difficult is I don't know how
2 to do it fairly or evenly. I do know one of the ways we
3 can approach it, it seems, one of the ways we have
4 approached it is lining up of the districts in such a
5 way as the periphery of the valley is generally divided,
6 is best divided, among a number of districts. Because
7 you can't assume where the growth is absolutely going to
8 occur or at what rate. Assume some distribution of
9 growth among districts on the periphery.

10 I really do take your point. I don't know
11 what changes we'd balance on that and I'm thinking.

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think as much
13 growth as in D, F, K, and G all put together.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No.

15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The only way to
16 see it, if F is further down into I, cut off part of the
17 district, cut off part in Peoria, the Glendale part of
18 Glendale. Glendale is currently cut. H is not --
19 therefore H is not a roadblock. Work H into the
20 equation, no specific community. Sun City we need to be
21 careful how we divide.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The division at the
23 moment, we don't need to bring it up along some degree,
24 but Sun City, Sun City West, and Sun City Grand.

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The homeowners'

1 Association in Sun City Grand.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Jim, done?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I could go on and
4 on.

5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Let me ask a question
6 on a different bent.

7 Doug, what do you believe the urbanized
8 area is populationwise in relation to the balance of D,
9 the rural-urban influence, I guess growth? How soon
10 urban, if it's not?

11 MR. JOHNSON: The district is dominated in
12 the Maricopa portion. Rural portions go out to
13 Surprise. It varies on who you ask. I'd hesitate to
14 take a guess.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I don't see any
16 shift, gain there: Protect the rural-urban break.
17 Seems like where we're at.

18 MR. JOHNSON: F, K made it easily at
19 the -- what were at the edge's of dense population,
20 narrow population. The freeway dense population of the
21 southern edge. F, H, I have to be include the extremely
22 narrow half of Wisconsin F, K. That would be a
23 challenge.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen.

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I'm

1 sufficiently concerned about this I'd like to make a
2 motion, I don't know if get any sympathy for this or
3 not, more like 150,000 people in D, a thousand like you
4 have sympathy for, would like you to ask the consultants
5 to think about and show us what it would take to break
6 up the enormous growth area currently all included in
7 District D.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

9 Sorry, Mr. Huntwork.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there an affirmative
11 motion on the map?

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Would like to know
13 the answer to the question I asked previously.

14 MS. LEONI: I have the answer.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What was the
16 question?

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The question on
18 districts of more than 15 percent difference.

19 MS. LEONI: The data sheet from Doug this
20 morning, new base map, 10 districts within 15 percent on
21 AQD measurement. Of the remaining 20, 8 Democratic, 12
22 Republican.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: We've come a long

1 way and worked very, very hard to find, identify, and
2 respect communities of interest throughout the State of
3 Arizona. We've worked very, very hard to find
4 competitive districts that we could create without
5 violating the other mandatory criteria of Proposition
6 106 to a significant extent.

7 There are things about this map I don't
8 like. I'm sure that everyone else also has -- other
9 people have other concerns about other things. But I
10 think we have looked at all pieces of it at this point.

11 I'm not aware of any other issue that has
12 been raised that hasn't been examined. And I think it
13 may be time at this point to make a motion that we adopt
14 this map. So I so move.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: To be sure, this is the
18 adoption of test 4G-SV3 Revision 2 as amended.

19 And you'll remember the "as amended" that
20 is in there.

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It is in there.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: So it's 4G-SV3 R 2 that
23 we'll move forward with.

24 Discussion on that?

25 COMMISSIONER HALL: Point of order: I

1 wonder if we'll amend with minor flexibility for the
2 consultants to tweak boundaries to very small degrees
3 between Congressional lines and Legislative lines.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Similar instructions as to
5 Congressional lines?

6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: As I recall, there
8 are instructions where possible to avoid squiggles, juts
9 out, and try to smooth out for population deviation and
10 incorporate that as well.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Minor population
12 deviations.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Same instruction.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: As the Congressional map,
15 higher tolerance.

16 Is that acceptable?

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder?

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'd ask counsel if
20 that addition makes a complete motion and what we need
21 to do with moving the map forward.

22 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Elder, yes.
23 For the moment, I would recommend to get to the same
24 stage on this map as you're currently at with for the
25 Congressional one, final summary, for the moment.

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: This is it.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The summary, at the
3 moment, and then we'll talk about in the future where we
4 need to go.

5 We're okay. I do. Promise I do.

6 MS. HAUSER: I do.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: So Mr. Elder is satisfied
8 with the answer at the front end of it.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion on the motion.
11 Mr. Hall?

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd like to say in
13 summary I feel we have, Mr. Huntwork made every effort
14 to accommodate a variety of interests throughout the
15 great state, minorities, as were implemented as have
16 ever been in any process. Native Americans and
17 Hispanics, rural Arizona, has had significant
18 representation. And there's ample competitiveness to
19 allow for choices at the polls and people to vigorously
20 debate the issues and hopefully develop better ideas and
21 better policy for our state.

22 As Mr. Huntwork indicated, the map isn't
23 perfect. It indicates significant compromise. On the
24 whole, on the record, I'm pleased to be part of the
25 process and proud to support adoption of this map.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion on the
2 motion?

3 If not, roll call.

4 Mr. Elder?

5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

9 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye."

13 The test, 4G-SV3 Revision 2, is passed and
14 adopted as our preferred Legislative map.

15 We now have two maps that have come to
16 this stage of completion. We have given instructions to
17 the consultants to do required fine-tuning, required
18 clean-up of traps that may occur, alignment issues of
19 Congressional-Legislative lines that may be practical,
20 adjustment of neighborhoods, minimal
21 population-deviation issues that are in play.

22 Ms. Minkoff was going to ask something of
23 our attorney, Ms. Hauser.

24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Ms. Hauser, is
25 there something we need to add to this? We need to

1 instruct the letter designation change to number
2 designation.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: At the risk of incurring
4 an awful lot of debate, we could use the same.

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: We started in
6 Yavapai. We could do that and do it alphabetically, A
7 being number one.

8 MR. JOHNSON: My only suggestion, in this
9 revision F, K, G, they were flipped.

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Change them.

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: We used the context,
12 Tucson was once designated for the Capitol, and it
13 changed later.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Tucson once served as the
15 State Capitol.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Refers to the State
17 Capitol.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork wanted to
19 weigh in.

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: In this area,
21 particularly some of the urban settings, I think there
22 is room for -- people can be confused by changes in
23 number. As to comments to that, running to the same
24 precinct, to folks that lamented District 21, then
25 District 7, now District 25, as if that were, you know,

1 a significant source of concern and confusion, I don't
2 see any concern, particularly in urban areas, to
3 identify the closest to current numbering system, to use
4 that and avoid as much confusion as possible.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: My concern there, there's
6 a lot of room in one's judgment on what is closest.
7 It's very difficult for someone to make an independent
8 judgment.

9 Mr. Johnson, Dr. Adams, this is our first
10 redistricting. You have a few under your belt. Is
11 there a methodology you might suggest?

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: I make a motion they
13 be numbered alphabetically.

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Straight alphabetic
16 enumeration.

17 Moved and seconded.

18 Ms. Hauser?

19 MS. HAUSER: The only thing I'd point out,
20 we made an effort to start with Yavapai because of the
21 historic precedent for Congressional 1. It's actually
22 applicable to Legislative maps. That's just for the
23 Commission's edification.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That would be a different
25 point of view.

1 Rather than go through the motion, would
2 you be amenable if Prescott presides as 1, then going
3 alphabetically?

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'm amenable to
5 anything that ended this discussion.

6 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'll second it.

7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'll second it.

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Want to ask
9 counsel, one second, I recall reading in a newspaper a
10 number of candidates, incumbents, raised mention
11 regarding term limitations how they might be affected.
12 It was answered by the Attorney General there was no
13 court decision regarding the issue, simply an Attorney
14 General decision. Do you feel we should take that into
15 consideration in any way?

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser?

17 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner
18 Huntwork, no.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall, is that
20 acceptable?

21 COMMISSIONER HALL: I reiterate.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Absolutely.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: C district 1 and proceed
25 alphabetically.

1 MR. JOHNSON: I suggest in -- that F, K,
2 G, so, the geographic order, the relettering caused it
3 to be flipped.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Yes.

5 All in favor of the motion, signify aye.

6 (Vote taken.)

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Opposed "no."

8 Motion carries. (Vote was 5-0.)

9 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd instruct the
10 consultants to provide electronic data and a binder with
11 the final maps in light of -- I guess a number of folks,
12 one of which scheduled me to do a synopsis, a Power
13 Point presentation, for our benefit. They asked for a
14 presentation on the process. And the quicker that might
15 occur the better. It's the 8th of November.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The quicker that can
17 occur.

18 MS. HAUSER: I need two minutes to get a
19 final motion ready for you.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: As that is being readied,
21 we do need to have an additional meeting, at least one.
22 That meeting is for the purpose of possibly making
23 adjustment to maps as a result of instructions to
24 consultants, other instructions for the record.

25 Is that the subject of the motion?

1 MS. HAUSER: That's included.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Excellent. I'll hold off.

3 MS. HAUSER: If you wanted to discuss and
4 select even a date, as to selecting dates, that's
5 appropriate to do.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Prior to getting
8 there, before the crowd disburses, I'd like to thank the
9 consultants.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen, if
11 we could have it quiet.

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd like to thank the
13 consultants and staff, most especially Doug and Chris,
14 for their dedication, flexibility, patience, and the
15 tremendous work they've done.

16 Without objection, I'd instruct
17 Dr. Heslop, they deserve a big bonus.

18 DR. HESLOP: I want mine, first.

19 COMMISSIONER HALL: So ordered.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It has always been thus.

21 Wrap-up comments.

22 I do think, for the record, with the kind
23 of redistricting we undertook, I believe it would have
24 been extremely different. From a personal view, we're
25 very fortunate we made good choices in legal counsel,

1 the consultants. The kind of redistricting we embarked
2 on needs to be followed in an open manner, and it's
3 extraordinary, open. Hiring NDC as mapping consultants,
4 I echo what Mr. Hall expressed. I think they're not
5 only the very most capable people in the country, they
6 did a tremendous job. They were so flexible with
7 requests given, responses done. I echo his sentiments.

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I do as well.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser, other
10 business?

11 COMMISSIONER HALL: I do.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dates before Ms. Hauser.

13 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Synchronize calendars to
15 the best of our ability? Everybody has theirs out
16 except the Chairman.

17 Look at a date.

18 Dr. Adams, two weeks or more hence?

19 DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, Members of the
20 Commission, a week for our work, a week for the
21 attorneys' to look over our work. I think that would be
22 satisfactory.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I didn't bring my
24 calendar. We'll have to do it by --

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We're trying to

1 incorporate it into the motion.

2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Maybe say since
3 we're all here, pick a couple dates and see which is
4 best?

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do you know of any off the
6 top of your head that are not possible?

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Two weeks from
8 Monday, I haven't looked that closely at my calendar
9 that far in advance.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Week of Halloween, we
11 could dress up.

12 We said we'd leave out in that lengthy
13 process some opportunity available for people to
14 comment.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Comments so they'd
16 be able to...

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Nope. Not without a
18 motion. Specifically falls clearly under instructions
19 already given, they may not make changes.

20 So are talking about essentially the week
21 of October 29th, sometime during that week?

22 Mondays are not good for me.

23 1st of November?

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Wednesday?

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thursday.

1 One date is November 1st for you to at
2 least clear on your calendar. The alternative is the
3 next day -- it's a two- to three-hour meeting.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: The next day.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: The 2nd is better for
6 me.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You like Fridays and
8 Mondays.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I can make either.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Which, Friday?

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: November 5th?

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: No.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I can't make
14 Tuesday.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What about the 3rd,
16 Saturday the 3rd?

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We can do that.

18 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Could be the World
19 Series.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork, Saturday the
21 3rd.

22 Concentrate on the 1st or 3rd, see what
23 Mr. Huntwork's calendar looks like.

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: Do it Saturday.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Saturday the 3rd.

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Final or keep both
2 days?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I can do that day.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think we're settled.

5 Ms. Hauser?

6 Wanted her to know for purposes of the
7 motion, November 3rd has been selected.

8 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: While legal counsel
11 isn't paying attention, which would be good, we'll need
12 to do a little administrative work negotiating with them
13 on phase two of the process. I'd be happy to do that.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Say that again?

15 COMMISSIONER HALL: Legal counsel.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Oh. If that is required,
17 bring it back to us on that date, fine.

18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Question about
19 that. You mean subsequent to preclearance?

20 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mean into the process
21 of preclearance. I think there was a question there,
22 determine whether there was or was or wasn't a report
23 back.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think that's fine.

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I am concerned,

1 because the intention was to hire this counsel through
2 preclearance. They are the two attorneys best
3 qualified. I don't think there was question as to that
4 detail or is it an administrative detail?

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Absolutely. The
6 question is detail.

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It's very late in
8 the process. It could be expected, timewise. My
9 understanding, we haven't adopted the final map. It's
10 close enough to it they can begin to develop the
11 submission to the Department of Justice.

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: It will not be
13 delayed.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Fine.

15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Instruction is if
16 there's a problem, fix it.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm sorry the press is
18 otherwise occupied. I want to do this as well while
19 they are here, talk a moment about IRC staff.

20 The IRC staff has been absolutely
21 exceptional in this process.

22 Lou, if Lou or if Cindy are here, anybody
23 from staff are here in the hotel, in the room: IRC
24 staff is an exceptional group of people to work with!
25 This is a job on its face nothing short of team work.

1 There's absolutely no possible way we could guarantee
2 we'd get beyond this phase of the process where we are,
3 preclearance, and any defense end, at some point in time
4 and not too far into the future, without them. And to
5 have a staff such as we assembled is truly outstanding.

6 I think for the record we need to
7 acknowledge when we needed to be in several places per
8 day at a time when we needed to be doing that, they did
9 that. Air connections, remote connections, they did
10 that!

11 Something in the press this week caught my
12 eye. It was mentioned that our Executive Director
13 wasn't here this week. I need to make two comments
14 relative to that. First and foremost, our schedules
15 were such, all of us felt we'd be finished before now.
16 When Mr. Echeveste was hired, he was hired as an
17 outreach coordinator. Outreach ended in September. He
18 had continued to maintain a family vacation for a long
19 period of time prior to the process being started. With
20 his elevation to Executive Director, it was very clear
21 to me that we would miss him this week whatever was
22 happening this week. We certainly thought we would be
23 finished this week.

24 To his credit, I don't think anybody would
25 have noticed a blip.

1 What that means, Mr. Echeveste left a
2 staff, a fully functioning and professional staff.

3 Mr. Echeveste's task, office functions, he
4 does that in the most professional and responsible
5 manner. He was able to take his vacation with the full
6 support of the Commission. He also specifically
7 deserves our thanks and respect. And I'm delighted.
8 And hopefully he had a wonderful week with his family.

9 That having been said, is there a motion?

10 MR. ELDER: I move to adopt Draft 4EE as
11 the Final Congressional and Draft 4G SV3 R2 as the Final
12 Legislative Maps subject to:

13 Adjustments by NDC to the final
14 Congressional map to equalize population to within one
15 person to the extent practicable;

16 Adjustments by NDC to the final
17 Legislative map to avoid small pockets of population
18 trapped between Legislative and Congressional lines that
19 would otherwise necessitate the creation of tiny
20 precincts;

21 Adjustments by NDC necessary for
22 administrative clean-up;

23 Identification by counsel of any areas in
24 which the Commission needs to make a record of its
25 finding concerning matters including, but not limited to

1 other plans, substantial detriment and competitiveness
2 adjustments and/or population deviations, before
3 certification to the Secretary of State of the
4 establishment of the Congressional and Legislative
5 Districts; and that the Commission schedule a subsequent
6 meeting on November 3, 2001, for the purpose of adopting
7 these administrative changes to the maps, making any
8 final statements or findings and voting to certify the
9 maps to the Secretary of State.

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion?

12 If no discussion, roll call.

13 Mr. Elder?

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: "Aye."

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."

22 Any further business to come before the
23 Commission?

24 (Applause bursts out from the audience for
25 the Independent Redistricting Commissioners.)

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I cannot tell you how much
2 I appreciate that.

3 As was testimony earlier and our custom,
4 there is one more opportunity for public comment. We
5 said we would, and we must.

6 MR. HARTDEGEN: The peanut gallery might
7 not.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You have a slip.

9 MR. HARTDEGEN: One of the stuff they gave
10 me was my own stack of forms.

11 Jim Hartdegen, City of Casa Grande.

12 You guys are fun. This has been
13 educational for me. There has been a little bit of
14 history even for the peanut gallery.

15 My task has been to keep Casa Grande from
16 slipping away. I think that's been easy. I don't think
17 Casa Grande would have been slipped away even if put on
18 Mars. The second task given was to try to keep Western
19 Pinal County as whole as possible or whole. Third was
20 to keep Pinal as whole as possible. Kind of slipped on
21 Western Pinal, but not too bad.

22 I'd like to thank you. You really did on
23 the limb for this. I'd like to thank you on behalf of
24 the City of Casa Grande, Casa Grande Chamber of
25 Commerce, the Farm Bureau. I think they'll be very

1 pleased when I get back down and show them things. I'll
2 post it on the nearest telephone pole to show things.

3 Thank you for allowing me leeway, several
4 times, with movement of lines. When maps didn't make
5 it, you were open to listen to that.

6 I'm personally pleased with the outcome
7 for Pinal County.

8 Thank you very much.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Hartdegen.

10 Other members of the public that wish to
11 be heard at this time.

12 If memory serves, Mr. Flannery.

13 Mr. Flannery from Prescott.

14 MR. FLANNERY: I'm the closest thing to a
15 representative from area up there.

16 It's an honor to be here, to be designated
17 and recognized for the original Capitol, Congressional
18 District 1, Legislative District 1. Thank you for
19 honoring us. I'll relay the message up there.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Flannery.

21 Other members of the public?

22 Mr. Solarez.

23 MR. SOLAREZ: Thank you for paying
24 attention to the needs of minorities, even though before
25 you guys were on the Commission, we chastised you, and

1 you guys performed up to par. Respect has to be shown
2 to you. You respected the State of Arizona. To bring a
3 point to order, my family was from Tubac, it's actually
4 the first Capitol.

5 I appreciate the friendships and wish you
6 the best off.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other members wish to be
8 heard?

9 MR. KIZER: Aaron Kizer, Citizens for Fair
10 Redistricting.

11 We wish to thank you very much. We wish
12 we achieved a more compact district. We have nine
13 districts. You lived up to your end of the bargain.
14 We'll live up to our end. We'll meet Tuesday and
15 consider that, take it into formal consideration.

16 Thank you very much.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other members of the
18 public that wish to be heard?

19 Dr. Adams.

20 DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, Members of the
21 Commission, National Demographics, all the staff of
22 National Demographics, we've enjoyed working with all of
23 you, we agree with you, you've been wonderfully superb,
24 wonderfully responsive to us, groundbreakingly
25 responsive, and it's groundbreaking work.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further comments from the
2 public?

3 If not, any member of the Commission have
4 any business or statements from the dias?

5 MS. HAUSER: Thank the court reporter.

6 COMMISSIONER HALL: First public comment
7 where we haven't been threatened to be sued.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Significant number of
9 plaintiffs not present.

10 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Elder,
11 Ms. Minkoff?

12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Just a vote of
13 appreciation for our court reporter. Of all the
14 reporters, we had the most timely putting out of
15 reports. Even liked her when the reporter got back late
16 from the break by 15 minutes. (Laughter)

17 I appreciated the data, the ability to
18 read and respond to us when you came to the meetings.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments from all of us on
20 that, we feel the same way.

21 I think secretly, Ms. Nance has -- I think
22 she has stock in steel cases. I had to buy three, four
23 file cabinets just to put transcripts in.

24 She's really done an outstanding job.

25 And those of you used to use court

1 reporting, they generally break every hour on the hour.
2 Ms. Nance has the ability to extend that, which helps us
3 and the public address us and our ability to get the job
4 done, which we very much appreciated.

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: This has been a
6 very, very long road and we're almost at the end of it.
7 Our staff has been absolutely the tops.

8 I think our legal counsel. Jose, Lisa,
9 you guys are terrific!

10 Lisa not only does transcripts well,
11 quickly, on occasion she feeds us.

12 NDC, how you put up with us without
13 totally losing it in public is beyond me.

14 I've been very, very pleased to be a part
15 of this.

16 I believe the most important vote taken by
17 this Commission was the vote to elect Mr. Lynn as
18 Chairman. The end product was very much a result of
19 that decision.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Ms. Minkoff.

21 Further business to come before the
22 Commission?

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, not
24 necessarily on the agenda.

25 COMMISSIONER HALL: Just need to get the

1 tear out of my eye. I'm ready to go.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Help me, ladies and
3 gentlemen.

4 We are, until the 3rd of November, we'll
5 deal with the motion and other motions substantially
6 made.

7 We're adjourned.

8 (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
9 approximately 12:45 p.m.)

10 * * * *

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

