

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PUBLIC SESSION

Phoenix, Arizona
August 11, 2001
8:30 a.m.

ARIZONA INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR
Certified Court Reporter
Certificate No. 50349

1 THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING
2 COMMISSION convened in Public Session on August 11,
3 2001, at 8:30 o'clock a.m., at the Pointe South Mountain
4 Resort, Conference Rooms Estrella and Flagstaff, 7777
5 South Pointe Parkway, Phoenix, Arizona, 85044, in the
6 presence of:

7

8 APPEARANCES:

9 CHAIRMAN STEVEN W. LYNN

10 COMMISSIONER JAMES R. HUNTWORK

11 COMMISSIONER JOSHUA M. HALL

12 COMMISSIONER ANDI MINKOFF

13 COMMISSIONER DANIEL R. ELDER

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 APPEARANCES (CONT'D:)

3 ADOLFO ECHEVESTES, Executive Director

4 AMY REZZONICO, Press Information Officer

5 CINDY LE, Administrative Assistant

6 LISA T. HAUSER, Commission Counsel

7 JOSE de JESUS RIVERA, Commission Counsel

8 LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Court Reporter

9 TIM JOHNSON, MC, Computer Consultant

10 DR. ALAN HESLOP, NDC, Consultant

11 DR. FLORENCE ADAMS, NDC, Consultant

12 DOUG JOHNSON, NDC, Consultant

13 CHRISTIANA DOMINGUEZ, NDC, Consultant

14 MARGUERITE MARY LEONI, NDC Counsel

15 CHRIS HUTCHISON, NDC, Support Staff

16 IAN RUDGE, NDC, Support Staff

17 MARION PORCH, NDC, Support Staff

18

19 AGENDA DESIGNATED SPEAKERS:

20 DR. ALAN HESLOP

21 DR. FLORENCE ADAMS

22 DOUG JOHNSON

23

24

25

1

2 SPEAKERS FROM CALL TO THE PUBLIC:

3 WINK WIESS

4 FRANK SEANEZ

5 MEL HANNAH

6 REPRESENTATIVE RICHARD MIRANDA

7 PASTOR GLENN R. DENNARD

8 RUDOLFO H. PEREZ, JR.

9 COUNCILMEMBER CODY WILLIAMS

10 JOSE SOLAREZ

11 LARRY CHESLEY

12 JOSE SOLAREZ

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Public Session
Phoenix, Arizona
August 11, 2001
8:57 o'clock a.m.

P R O C E E D I N G S

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd ask the Commissioners
to take their seats, please, so we can get started.

I see Dr. Heslop from NDC.

If you'll kill the music, we'll reconvene
the meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission.

Let the record show all five members of
the Commission as well as legal counsel and the
consultants are present.

Ladies and gentlemen, I apologize for the
lateness of the process. It's a fluid process, the
fluidity, not the least of such is the consultants have
been up two nights straight.

This is the time for public comment. This
is the time for consideration and discussion of comments
and complaints from the public. Those wishing to
address the Commission shall request permission in
advance by filling out a speaker slip. Action taken as
a result of public comment will be limited to directing
staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for

1 further consideration and decision at a later date.

2 I have two speaker slips.

3 Wink Wiess, President of the Arizona
4 Phoenix South Mountain -- I'm giving it up. Resident,
5 not president, speaking on behalf of Phoenix South
6 Mountain, Arizona Republic Party.

7 Mr. Wiess.

8 MR. WIESS: Thank you.

9 I'm Wink Wiess, live at 1535 East Dobbins.

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Bring the
11 microphone closer.

12 MR. WIESS: And the Diamondbacks win.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yes.

14 MR. WIESS: I'm here to speak on behalf of
15 the Central Phoenix South Mountain Community.

16 I looked at three plan modifications, one
17 to bring in the Garfield Community, a second, release
18 Willow District, a third one being released the
19 Guadalupe area. We feel these changes constitute better
20 natural boundaries than being divided by a freeway or
21 interstate system. It also preserves communities of
22 interest, also makes compactness for the district that
23 this would create.

24 And we look forward to seeing you at more
25 meetings.

1 Thank you very much. I have information
2 for the committee.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you very much.

4 If others filled out speaker forms, hold
5 them up. We'll collect them.

6 Next speaker, Frank Seanez, representing
7 the Navajo Nation.

8 Mr. Seanez.

9 MR. SEANAZ: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
10 Members of the Commission.

11 I'd like to hold off making any comments
12 or statements at this time until a second call for
13 public input, Chairman Lynn.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Seanez.

15 Next speaker is Mel Hannah, Greater
16 Phoenix Urban League.

17 Mr. Hannah.

18 MR. HANNAH: Mel Hannah. My address is
19 1402 South Seventh Avenue, Phoenix, 85007.

20 I'm kind of in concert with the individual
21 that spoke earlier this morning. Our observations at
22 this point our first to commend the outstanding job
23 you've done. It isn't easy, won't be easy to balance
24 everything.

25 We feel it's relatively of critical

1 importance in terms of trying to respond to the natural
2 boundary, if you will, and/or man-made boundaries,
3 particularly stated in District R. We feel that by
4 doing that, it would retain Guadalupe east of the
5 freeway into the district that would come forth from
6 that area. It would also allow for District R, a
7 suggestion would be to put Willow and Garfield to R,
8 compact them, which would also be consistent with the
9 natural boundary, allow that boundary to be in fact I-10
10 to the folks west.

11 Those are our particular recommendations
12 to you at this point. We may have certain other ones as
13 the process moves forward.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, gentlemen.

15 MR. HANNAH: Thank you.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The next speaker is
17 Representative Richard Miranda.

18 Mr. Miranda.

19 REPRESENTATIVE MIRANDA: Thank you for
20 letting me have the opportunity to make comments.

21 I'm not sure, anyway, if we can get the
22 maps on the screen, or --

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Not at the moment,
24 Mr. Miranda. The maps are fluid, in any case. We
25 certainly, if you direct comments either to specific

1 districts or however you'd like to do it, we can
2 reference them later.

3 REPRESENTATIVE MIRANDA: I'm referencing
4 the Legislative District that starts at 51st Avenue, I
5 believe goes all the way out to Cotton Lane. Basically,
6 I'm sure you are all familiar with that area.

7 I want to give you some historical
8 background of the community of interest, that would be
9 West Phoenix, out in West Phoenix, and the communities
10 of Tolleson and Cashion.

11 I speak today on behalf of Murphy School
12 District, Cartwright School District, Riverside School
13 District, Isaac School District, Fowler School District,
14 F O W L E R, School District.

15 I've spoken to the school board members,
16 and I've gotten out to school board meetings. I've
17 spoke to them about the Commission and what their plans
18 are with the historical communities of interest that
19 they're looking for. And I also speak for the Mayors
20 and City Council of Tolleson.

21 I have spoken with some of the members
22 from the Avondale's City Council.

23 The Southwest and West Phoenix area has
24 always been a historical community of interest. It's
25 been that way for many years.

1 One of the problems they had, when the
2 I-10 freeway was being built, they were going to split
3 up some of these school historical areas that has always
4 been out there.

5 When they had the other redistricting
6 process, they stressed they did not want that mandated
7 barrier to determine which side of the district they may
8 be on.

9 The other body that drew up lines
10 recognized that and said yes, there is a community of
11 interest out here and we are going to keep them
12 together.

13 Speaking to the school board members of
14 the five districts I'm speaking about, they are going to
15 be affected negatively by what has been proposed so far.
16 They do not want to be taken into, I believe, three
17 different Legislative Districts. They feel somehow
18 their whole collective area will be -- will not have the
19 effect on determining what is best for that community if
20 it's drawn up the way it is right now.

21 Murphy School District, which is basically
22 from 19th Avenue west of the freeway, and there actually
23 is one school east of the freeway right on the Durango
24 Curve, Hamilton School, said they want to be kept
25 together. They also feel they are part of West Phoenix.

1 That is speaking to the school board members themselves.
2 Riverside was one of only two school districts in the
3 entire Maricopa County, and has been, it was formed,
4 Riverside School has been on 51st Avenue, has been part
5 of Maricopa -- their own school district. It's a one
6 school school district, but it's been in existence since
7 1850, I believe. They want to be kept with the rest of
8 the school districts. Allgea King, one of the school
9 board members, has expressed that to me. They feel they
10 are part of that community. There is a community of
11 interest there.

12 Isaac School District, which is being put
13 in a Legislative district all the way up to Northern and
14 some being put in with another -- two different
15 districts, because Isaac School District goes beyond
16 51st that way and goes south of the freeway, they are
17 being split into three different districts. They've
18 expressly told me they do not want to be split up and
19 feel they are part of West Phoenix.

20 Tolleson always had feeder students from
21 West Phoenix, so they also are concerned about what --
22 where these lines are going to be drawn up.

23 The other, speaking now, going on to the
24 other side, the west side of this proposed district,
25 this historical community of interest has nothing in

1 common if you are going to include Estrella Ranch. It
2 has homes well into the three, four hundred thousand
3 dollar home range. It has nothing in common with
4 Litchfield Park and the northern part of 115th Avenue,
5 Dysart, Litchfield Road, Estrella. There is no
6 commonalty in that area, nor is there a sense of a
7 historical community of interest. There is none,
8 basically. That's something that cannot be done.

9 Again, I speak to you to try and keep this
10 community of interest together. Splitting it up into
11 three different areas is not what they want.

12 I've been out there, speaking to school
13 board members, which are the grass roots of this school.
14 They are the ones that live in it, are the ones that
15 have the children going to school there. Historically
16 some lived in there for longer than we've been alive.
17 That's the bottom line. Regina Ameda Cruz lived off
18 35th and McDowell, is 85 years old, has lived in the
19 same house for 47 years. If the map was to be drawn
20 today, they would be in a district that has no community
21 of interest with them. Again, they would like to see
22 this area kept together.

23 Cutting it into three Legislative
24 districts is just going to destroy this community.

25 I hope you take this into consideration.

1 I hope that as you work this out, Tolleson has expressly
2 stressed already, in a prior meeting, they want to be
3 with West Phoenix. They identify with West Phoenix.
4 They have students from West Phoenix going to their
5 schools. And they would like to stay as a community.
6 They identify very much closely together.

7 And again, I want to thank you for your
8 time for listening.

9 Thank you very much.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Representative
11 Miranda.

12 The next speaker --

13 If you wish to speak in this session,
14 please fill out a yellow speaker slip. We'll get to
15 you.

16 The next speaker, Glenn Dennard
17 representing the African American Christian Clergy
18 Coalition.

19 Mr. Dennard.

20 PASTOR DENNARD: Thank you very much to
21 the Commission, and thank you for your time and efforts
22 thus far for all the people of Arizona.

23 I'm representing the the African American
24 Christian Clergy Coalition, Dr. Warren Stewart, and
25 Pastor Alexis Thomas. And I have been summoned, so to

1 speak, to come and make sure our voice is heard and we
2 have a continuing role, I should say, and focus on what
3 you all are doing. And we commend what you've done thus
4 far.

5 Basically, what I've been told to express
6 to you is our concerns are probably a little less
7 political but very much we represent over 40 to 50
8 pastors who, if you take in the known members of the
9 parishioners, members of churches, probably 50,000 or
10 more, and that's probably very conservative, on purpose,
11 whose livelihood, whose -- we have -- their families
12 have been in the innercity area, predominantly the
13 district that is draft District R. Currently 23 is our
14 main focus, not solo, but that's our main focus.

15 And our concern initially, and you will be
16 hearing from us more, is just that the natural
17 boundaries that are currently existing, if they would
18 continue to take precedence. We're concerned about
19 that.

20 And what we're seeing, some natural
21 boundaries, some natural communities that should stay
22 together, the effort for that to occur we're hoping
23 would continue and increase, and that the lines that
24 currently, are being followed, will continue to empower
25 or greater empower the people who are in draft District

1 R and serve not to lesson their ability to be in power.

2 Not to be wrong makers, a minister we can
3 be wrong, we are looking with anticipation and great
4 interest with what you are doing.

5 And like I said, if at future meetings, I
6 think we're going to have greater participation. And
7 we're looking real close to Central Phoenix South
8 Mountain Community College's Plan at advising and that.
9 We haven't totally supported that yet. We're leaning
10 greatly toward it.

11 Thank you for your time.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Pastor Dennard.

13 That was the last, will be next to last,
14 next to last.

15 Rudolfo Perez, Jr., Director of MALDEF.

16 Mr. Perez.

17 MR. PEREZ: Good morning. Thank you.

18 I'm here to address the issue of
19 competitiveness. I represent the Mexican American Legal
20 Defense Educational Fund and remind the Commissioners
21 that represent the Prop 106 it emphasizes
22 competitiveness in drawing Legislative and Congressional
23 maps.

24 I'm here to advise if maps are drawn to
25 emphasize bipartisanship, competitiveness, if you prefer

1 the term, at the expense of voting rights of the
2 minority community and communities of interest, it could
3 be a violation of Section Two of the Voting Rights Act.
4 That's why I'm here this morning.

5 Thank you.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Perez.

7 If you have a desire to speak, this is
8 call to the public.

9 I have one last speaker form. This is the
10 last one in my possession, Councilmember Cody Williams.

11 Mr. Williams.

12 COUCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Good morning,
13 ladies and gentlemen.

14 I appreciate having this opportunity to
15 thank you for the hard work you've done. I know it's a
16 very exhaustive process. And it's very clear that a lot
17 of time and energy has been put into preparing these
18 maps. Certainly a lot of thought has been put into
19 establishing these various Legislative and Congressional
20 Districts.

21 I would like to comment on both of the
22 maps that you have before us. First I'd like to start,
23 however, with the Arizona Legislative District map.

24 I think you've heard a number of speakers.

25 In the short time that I've been here,

1 I've heard divisions reference the fact that natural
2 boundaries are the things that may create consistency
3 for those of us who look at the next 10 years from a
4 politically and socially connected future. And one of
5 the things that I and others have thought and discussed
6 is the fact that the I-10 freeway creates one of those
7 natural boundaries. It does so. However, I'd point out
8 that as you look at the area that would be R on your
9 Arizona Legislative District map, that there are
10 communities that almost unnaturally exist on the other
11 side. They create islands.

12 I must say I represent a community that is
13 55 square miles, has about 160,000 individuals in it.
14 It runs from Thomas Road to north South Mountain to the
15 south, approximately 19th Avenue to the west and 48th
16 Street to the east. And there are so many pockets
17 divided by the freeways that create narrow strips of
18 community which logically should belong to possibly a
19 neighboring district across a major intersection. For
20 instance if we're at 24th Street, there's a community
21 that has 24th Street to the east, the freeway, 51 to the
22 west, it has McDowell to the south, and Thomas to the
23 north. And there's a community that has McDowell to the
24 north and another freeway to the south, and it
25 represents a little square. And they often remind me

1 that they feel like they are being left out.

2 So it is so much more difficult when you
3 see the community north of I-10, which is more commonly
4 referred to as the Willow neighborhood, sitting there by
5 itself, just as you see Guadalupe sitting east of that
6 freeway line.

7 Subsequently, the communities below that
8 lie also logically below the confines and contrast of
9 that district.

10 So I think that if you would just, if you
11 were making a pie, as my mother would do, there's
12 leftover dough she would trim around the edges. While
13 we had the pie being ready to be filled, the dough still
14 had value. We still wanted to eat the dough. I don't
15 know if anybody here liked the dough of pie crust. It's
16 not to say these communities are poor, but it is to say
17 we do have these types of boundaries that have been
18 established by either natural or man-made design. And
19 we should adhere to them as best we can. And that would
20 be the culmination about my conversation about the
21 Legislative Districts.

22 I do believe that we should take those
23 areas north and east of the I-10 out and add back in any
24 of those that fit south and within the curve of the 10
25 as it moves south toward Tucson.

1 The only other comment that I can make
2 about the Congressional Districts is Metropolitan
3 Phoenix is clearly responsible for massive growth of the
4 State of Arizona. And that growth has produced two new
5 Congressional Districts. So I was personally surprised
6 to see that the two new Congressional Districts happen
7 to be rural districts and that those who will compete,
8 most logically, for the newer districts, at least the
9 way they were described in the newspaper, and I can
10 certainly appreciate if that was not fairly or
11 accurately conveyed in the description, were those left
12 behind. But based on what I read, area C, if I'm not
13 mistaken, and G, are the two new areas that have been
14 established and referred to as new Congressional
15 Districts. And neither of those two connect and/or
16 split or go through any of the major Metropolitan urban
17 areas within Metropolitan Phoenix.

18 Now certainly I think that for what it's
19 worth, balance, numbers, population in each of these
20 areas is certainly as close as you can get. But without
21 being very specific and saying I have for you a better
22 choice, a better plan, I certainly would have hoped that
23 within this large cluster of things that we might have
24 had an opportunity to see a new Congressional District
25 within the urban confines of Metropolitan Phoenix, or at

1 least access to a representative. While I believe that
2 the balance that was trying to be struck by having four
3 rural and four urban certainly may be a very noble
4 effort, the hub of the wheel of the State of Arizona
5 rests squarely in Metropolitan Phoenix.

6 And yes, I will continue to go hunting and
7 fishing in the rural communities. I will bet you by the
8 time we do this again 10 years from now, the urban
9 population of Metropolitan Phoenix will be twice as
10 large and make up three-quarters to four-fifths of the
11 population of the State of Arizona.

12 Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

14 Are there any other members of the public
15 wishing to be heard at this time?

16 If not, let us turn to the status report
17 and update from NDC.

18 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, let me report
19 first on the Legislative plan.

20 We worked hard last evening, but I guess
21 it was one of those evenings. We didn't work very well,
22 or at least we did not produce what we hoped to produce.
23 Our problem is that we faced too many questions to which
24 we need Commission answers.

25 Now, you, yesterday, asked us to make

1 changes in every part of the map. There is a deal of
2 technical complexity in making changes in 30 districts
3 in all parts of the state. And we found ourselves in
4 the middle of the night needing instruction. And so
5 this morning our hope is that we can present to you some
6 of the problems that we discovered in the night and ask
7 you for instruction in resolving them.

8 So that is a rather negative report on our
9 night's report.

10 We do not have a plan or anything that
11 looks like a plan for you. We have incomplete portions
12 of a plan, several different alternatives.

13 We went up several blind allies last
14 night.

15 And that is our report on the Legislative
16 plan.

17 On the Congressional plan, it's much more
18 positive news. We have made the changes that the
19 Commissioners wanted. We think that they are good
20 changes, and we have a plan that the initials NDC have
21 fallen right off and I see glowing, perhaps not in gold
22 yet, "IRC."

23 Florence I called five minutes ago and
24 they should be coming in in about 10 minutes,
25 Mr. Chairman, to make a presentation on that plan. She

1 will lead you through each of the districts. And
2 thereafter, Mr. Chairman, Doug Johnson will come; and he
3 and I will together report on the Legislative plan and
4 seek instruction from you.

5 So that's where we are.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dr. Heslop, thank you.

7 Mr. Hall.

8 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, prior to
9 that report, I don't think we need to look at that plan
10 to make an interim, take care some of Commission
11 business. One of which is, I think, this Commission
12 needs to give final direction to the consultants with
13 respect to the Navajo-Hopi issue that we've been at.
14 There may be a few other items that I think we can
15 address in the interim prior to Dr. Adams being here.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What is your pleasure?

17 Ms. Minkoff.

18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Are you talking --

19 Is this on?

20 Talking Congressional District,

21 Legislative District, or both?

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, I think we'd
23 need to do it one at a time in light of the fact we'll
24 see the report on Congressional first. I was
25 recommending it prior to Doug coming so we maybe want to

1 address that rather than drink water or something.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Well, if that's your
3 pleasure, I'll be happy to discuss that issue or --
4 Ms. Leoni.

5 MS. LEONI: If I can make a comment, the
6 plan you saw yesterday about midday was a plan that did
7 not remove the Hopi Reservation from the Navajo
8 Reservation. This plan that you will see in about 10
9 minutes does that through a narrow corridor of Census
10 blocks which you saw on a slide yesterday that has got
11 about four people in it. I want to advise you that is
12 what you will see in 10 minutes. That is a reversible
13 change, is a reversal that affects only Districts A and
14 C. So we did it that way. But it's still completely
15 subject to reversal of plans.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Another point that needs
17 to be made, what we'll be approving and deciding today,
18 today or whenever that vote is taken on that particular
19 issue, is whichever representation we will be taking to
20 the public for the second round of hearings, it is not
21 and should not be construed as the final decision. I
22 think the question is whether we want the public to
23 react to a Congressional map with the separation or with
24 the inclusion, and that's really where we have to --
25 where we have to come down at this point.

1 Mr. Huntwork.

2 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I
3 agree with Mr. Hall. This is an issue we should
4 consider now. For the sake of putting it on the table,
5 I'm willing to make the motion that we present a plan
6 that separates the Hopi from the Navajo.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I second it.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion?

10 Mr. Hall.

11 COMMISSIONER HALL: It may well be
12 redundant, Mr. Chairman, to highlight the complexity of
13 this issue. But nevertheless, prior to doing that, I
14 want to again reiterate what you said. I had a
15 gentleman talk to me yesterday who said, "You can rest
16 assured that whatever you guys do, there's going to be
17 several changes;" to which I responded, "that is
18 precisely the point."

19 That's why we're presenting a draft plan,
20 to go and to submit that for public input in an effort
21 to try and accommodate whatever changes may be
22 appropriate or necessary that would best represent the
23 wishes of the citizens of this state.

24 With respect to the Hopi and Native
25 American issue, as I've said before, I have met with

1 representatives of both tribes on several occasions.
2 And it's easy to see the perspectives of both. For me,
3 I guess, it becomes more of a practical issue than
4 many -- than some of the legal considerations that may
5 or may not come into play. And that is that it -- if
6 there is a history or a -- a previous separation, and
7 one can wonder and may believe that it would not be in
8 the best interests at this time to try and go against
9 the grain, as it were. And so in light of that, I guess
10 I'm saying that I think that we should present to the
11 public as a draft plan showing a continued separation
12 pursuant to what NDC has -- we saw yesterday. And I
13 think that then we can get whatever additional input, if
14 there is any, regarding that through the coming weeks.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Thank you,
17 Mr. Chairman.

18 This whole activity that we've been
19 involved in is all about trying to create districts that
20 are going to work best for all of the people in Arizona.
21 And to that extent we've been going out, listening to
22 people, asking them to define for us what their AURs
23 are.

24 I would like to be able to respond
25 positively to every AUR that has been identified.

1 Unfortunately, that's not going to be possible because
2 some are in direct conflict with one another. However,
3 this is a unique AUR with defined borders that is easy
4 to identify. And as long as accommodating their desires
5 to be separate from the Navajo Nation does not
6 negatively impact other goals that we are trying to
7 achieve in terms of drawing districts that represent
8 communities of interest that comply with the Voting
9 Rights Act that allow people to have the kind of
10 representation that they want, I think that we should
11 try to accommodate them. That's why I would also
12 support the motion and propose that the draft maps we
13 send out do provide the separation.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I
16 listened to the arguments made on both sides of this
17 question through the Chair. And I believe that the
18 arguments on all sides are sincere and heartfelt. My
19 own perspective comes from, I think, maybe my
20 profession, to some extent. I know that with the kinds
21 of issues that exist today and will continue to exist
22 for some time between the Navajos and the Hopis, that no
23 single lawyer, no single arbitrator, could purport to
24 represent both sides of those questions. There is, in
25 effect, a conflict of interest. This is, essentially,

1 the argument made by the Hopis. I cannot see how a
2 single Congressional Representative could honestly and
3 effectively and fully represent all interests. And,
4 therefore, that is the reason why I feel that we need to
5 separate the two.

6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Call the question.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Well, before we do that,
8 let me also say this: This is -- this is a difficult
9 issue, as has been expressed. I would, frankly, be
10 inclined, because we're going to get reaction
11 regardless, pretty well know what reaction will be
12 depending on what choice we make. I think the safe
13 choice is to maintain separation, because it's been that
14 way for 10 years. It will look similar to maps that
15 they've seen before, because that's the current
16 circumstance. I might wish to go a different way and
17 show people a different map and get reaction to that,
18 just so that people can visualize what a unified
19 Northern Arizona District would look like and give
20 people an opportunity to react, and if they react
21 negatively, to do so. But as we said, it's fairly easy
22 to do inclusion or exclusion based on the work of the
23 consultants and the way things are going.

24 But I certainly get the sense that the
25 Commission wishes to maintain the separation in the

1 draft map.

2 Having said that, unless Mr. Elder wants
3 to make a comment, we'll move to a vote.

4 Let's do a roll call just for the sake of
5 knowing where everybody is.

6 Mr. Huntwork?

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff?

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder?

13 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "no."

15 And the motion carries four to one.

16 Other matters I --

17 I see Dr. Adams. I don't want to rush
18 her. As soon as she gets set up, we can look at the
19 Congressional presentation.

20 Are there other issues we need to take
21 care of that are of a business nature while she is
22 setting up? If not --

23 Mr. Hall?

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I think
25 we need to look at the plan. I don't know if you want

1 to do that at this point or defer that to another time.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's talk a little bit
3 about the consultant's report a bit earlier, about the
4 Legislative conundrum we face, and the fact we may need
5 some additional time to work through the various
6 circumstances that need to be adjusted on the
7 Legislative map. And let me throw out a schedule, or
8 makings of a schedule, to see how that sits with the
9 Commission.

10 I would suggest we hear the Congressional
11 presentation. To the extent presentation shows us a map
12 very much closer to one that we could adopt, that we
13 discuss that as fully as we need to. And at that point,
14 as we all said, we're going to defer adoption until
15 we're further along and have heard all public comment,
16 and so on, to get to a point we feel fairly comfortable
17 with the Congressional map, and so on. At that point we
18 turn to the consultants and ask them what information
19 would be useful to them, from the Commission, that would
20 make their Legislative map advancement easier.

21 And if there are a series of questions or
22 circumstances that need to be discussed and decided, we
23 would discuss and decide those. At that point we would
24 then recess, allow the consultants to move as far
25 forward as they could, and essentially be available at

1 the call of the consultants within, say, a half hour,
2 45-minute call, to come back into session either later
3 today or, if necessary, tomorrow, and return to the
4 discussion of the Legislative map.

5 How does that strike you? Reasonable?

6 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Yes.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let us proceed along those
9 lines.

10 Again, members of the public, and press,
11 that are with us, we apologize for any inconvenience
12 that that schedule puts on you; however, I don't know
13 how else to do it and make progress. You'll just have
14 to bear with us. And it's just going to be a fluid
15 situation until we are completed this weekend.

16 With that, Dr. Adams, are you prepared to
17 talk to us about the Congressional map?

18 (Dr. Florence Adams and Ian Rudge have
19 joined the consultants.)

20 DR. ADAMS: Good morning, Chairman,
21 Members of the Commission.

22 We have a presentation for you this
23 morning on the Congressional draft plan, still a work in
24 progress, but we have addressed some of the concerns you
25 addressed yesterday and followed some of the concepts

1 presented yesterday and more fully presented them.

2 You now have a spread sheet of data in
3 your hands from that work.

4 Let me go through this presentation for
5 you.

6 Yesterday, August 10th, the Commission
7 instructed NDC to continue modification of the
8 Congressional draft map based on the approved reversal
9 Ahwatukee move. Sounds like a lot of double talk. The
10 move, you know, those moves moved Ahwatukee from
11 District D, now moved Ahwatukee out of District D. You
12 saw consequence of that in some form and then partial
13 consequences.

14 Commissioners then asked NDC to modify
15 Districts B, D, and E based on the recommended concept
16 of a united Ahwatukee with Tempe and Scottsdale, moving
17 District B north into the growth areas north of Phoenix.

18 As you recall, we explored problems with
19 possibly moving east into Gilbert, Chandler, and decided
20 to move forward with this particular approach even
21 though it involved a narrow neck.

22 The major consequences of reversal and the
23 subsequent modification: It reunites Hispanic
24 communities defined by the IRC Hispanic AUR except the
25 Glendale portion; keeps Glendale whole in District A;

1 keeps Ahwatukee united in District E; keeps Scottsdale
2 united in District E.

3 We're going to see how it keeps Scottsdale
4 united. That's something you may want to look at.

5 It moves Arcadia and Paradise Valley into
6 District B and a few other blocks in that southeast
7 Phoenix area, or south central, I should say. It moves
8 District B north to share growth areas north of the
9 Phoenix Metropolitan area with Districts A and E.

10 All three districts, A, B and E have some
11 of that territory shared, some of that highly erratic,
12 rapidly growing area.

13 Here we see, and I don't have my little
14 pointer this time. I have this arrow. I think you can
15 see it. This is the little Glendale portion we did not
16 bring back in. And here is the area of B that wasn't
17 moved into District B.

18 Here you saw Ahwatukee is once again
19 united.

20 Now here is a little contrast for you to
21 look at. This unites Scottsdale. So we have District B
22 and District E. It united Scottsdale because of heavy
23 population here to here.

24 To keep Scottsdale united, you need to
25 make this little maneuver. However, you could put it

1 back and, in some fashion, have one small split in
2 Scottsdale. You have to take a look at the actual
3 number there. And we could do that by going through the
4 town.

5 As you see, B does move all the way north.
6 E moves all the way north. A, as you already knew,
7 moves all the way north.

8 The Commission asked also NDC remove the
9 Hopi Reservation Moenkopi Village from District C and
10 connect with District A based on District 10.

11 Major consequences of the Hopi reservation
12 being connected to District A at the northeast corner by
13 a narrow string of Census blocks: It's containing four
14 persons and approximately 7,000 persons that will be
15 moved from the Yavapai portion District A into District
16 C.

17 So hear you see the neck, four persons in
18 that neck moving up to the reservation connecting into
19 the northeast corner of District A.

20 Here you can see the line of the map we
21 presented yesterday, the black line. I'll use my
22 pointer again. This black line is -- shows the
23 territory that as a consequence of the move was moved
24 from District A into C to equalize population, and that
25 is in Yavapai County.

1 If you want to know the exact nature of
2 that area, we can zoom in when we get to the Yavapai
3 map.

4 Commission members asked NDC to determine
5 the influence of Tucson on District G. And I have some
6 numbers for you on that.

7 We note that that area, you can see that
8 Tucson is divided by the line between G and H. In the
9 Tucson portion, there are 226,456 persons. 129,845 of
10 those persons are Hispanic, or 57.3 percent Hispanic
11 persons.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Could you repeat
13 those, please.

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: In G.

15 DR. ADAMS: G, the Tucson portion, 226,456
16 total persons. 129,845 persons of Hispanic origin,
17 which accounts for 57.3 percent of that population.

18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can I ask a
19 question?

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Wait until the end.

21 DR. ADAMS: I left off a slide yesterday.
22 Let me go one more time, make sure I haven't left
23 anything else.

24 Here it is, next steps, final adjustment,
25 fine-tuning.

1 What I'd like to do is bring up the
2 interactive map in order to answer questions.

3 So if we go ahead, if you have questions,
4 specifically now.

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yes.

6 My question, the impact of Tucson District
7 G, when you gave us figures, were those just City of
8 Tucson or the entire Tucson urban area?

9 DR. ADAMS: That's City of Tucson. The
10 Tucson urban area, Metro area, takes that number, adds
11 about 60, not quite 60,000 more persons to that number.
12 I didn't have to say it didn't add the Hispanic portion
13 of that.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The Tucson
15 Metropolitan area --

16 DR. ADAMS: 292,000 persons, 44 percent of
17 the district. But the portion of Tucson that is in the
18 district is heavily Hispanic.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Questions or comments for
20 Dr. Adams?

21 Shall we go back now if you want bring up
22 the interactive portion of the map? I'm sure there will
23 be specific questions that relate to the nuances of the
24 borders of those districts.

25 DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, Members of the

1 Commission, thank you for your indulgence. I had the
2 map all up and for some reason managed to close it. I'm
3 going to bring it up on the screen now.

4 And now we have the interactive.

5 If you have questions, I'll zoom in on any
6 portion to assist you.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's do it in the same
8 fashion you presented it, if we can.

9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Before you start,
10 can you show us the entire map, before we start focusing
11 on specific areas?

12 Thank you.

13 DR. ADAMS: Okay. Almost all of it.
14 There you go. Almost all of it.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That's fine. Thank
16 you.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right. I think the
18 first part of your report dealt with Central Phoenix, or
19 the Phoenix area.

20 DR. ADAMS: Uh-huh.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments or questions on
22 this portion of the map?

23 Mr. Huntwork.

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Before we start,
25 excuse me, could you put the Census place names, or

1 something, for reference up there, please?

2 DR. ADAMS: They are actually on there, if
3 I zoom in closer. If you want them on there, if we
4 change scale.

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If you zoom in
6 more, what we're looking at, corridor B, D, E, F, if we
7 zoom in more.

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: A question about
9 the area between B and E, the two blocks of equal size
10 in reverse.

11 DR. ADAMS: They're not necessarily equal
12 when you take a look at those. I'll have Doug come up
13 and take a quick look at those with you.

14 Flash in. I want to zoom in on those.

15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Let me ask this
16 question: Is the blue box an incorporated or
17 unincorporated area?

18 DR. ADAMS: Unincorporated area.

19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It may very well
20 be annexed into Scottsdale sometime soon?

21 DR. ADAMS: It's heavily populated.

22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Heavily populated?

23 DR. ADAMS: Heavily populated. Exact
24 numbers, I'd have to check.

25 Want me to check?

1 I have a technician. He can fly around
2 the screen much faster than I can.

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Can we get street
4 names?

5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yeah. We have to
6 have a reference.

7 DR. ADAMS: There you can see the
8 population numbers there.

9 Let me zoom in closer, and you can see.

10 When I said "heavily populated," I'm
11 trying to get absolute numbers. When trying to get to
12 numbers like these, even though it doesn't seem like a
13 lot, it will affect your numbers.

14 Zoom in closer there.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: How close in
16 population are the two districts now?

17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: 48 or 38 a part?

18 DR. ADAMS: That is correct.

19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: 200 a part?

20 DR. ADAMS: District E is 138 persons over
21 at this point.

22 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: If you switched
23 them, they'd be almost exactly equal.

24 DR. ADAMS: Sorry?

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: If you switched

1 the two boxes, the districts would have almost exactly
2 equal population, roughly.

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: That's correct.
4 Then we would be dividing a tiny portion of Scottsdale
5 as a consequence.

6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: My question is if
7 that area is likely to be annexed soon, anyway, then
8 that wouldn't make very much difference and, in the name
9 of compactness and achieving more to close equality, we
10 might want to reverse those two.

11 DR. ADAMS: We can certainly do that.
12 Possibly -- let me just show you a possible thing that
13 can be done there.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm not sure those
15 numbers are actually right, Dr. Adams. Looks like the
16 yellow area is very heavily populated. Two Census
17 blocks between them have almost 550 people. I think the
18 switch may put too many people into B and then have B
19 significantly overpopulated.

20 DR. ADAMS: Let's zoom in.

21 MR. JOHNSON: If I may, Commissioner, the
22 issue you are actually wrestling with, the issue you are
23 seeing numbers on is the City of Scottsdale. The desire
24 to avoid is by going there. We're actually looking,
25 where you want to switch this is up is in the north, or

1 other area, also unincorporated areas, of equivalent
2 population.

3 DR. ADAMS: Equivalent population.
4 Population there, a possible division of Scottsdale. A
5 small division, some division. There is room, if I
6 recall in the wee hours of the night when working on
7 this, there is a possibility of taking a look, taking a
8 further look down in this area and possibly bringing
9 some of this territory into B from E, further adjustment
10 there.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Arcadia south of the
12 Paradise Valley area?

13 DR. ADAMS: Trying not to go too far down.
14 It is possible to take in some area.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Phoenix?
16 Scottsdale?

17 DR. ADAMS: It's Phoenix.

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It would make a
19 lot of sense.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It does make some
21 sense.

22 DR. ADAMS: We'd be happy to do that. We
23 were trying not to go too far down with B.

24 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Seems like it
25 would make sense there just to square off the bottom.

1 DR. ADAMS: Yes. It certainly would make
2 sense. We'd be happy to do that.

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: North, the blue
4 box.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That is -- I want to be
6 clear. It still keeps Scottsdale whole?

7 DR. ADAMS: Correct.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Squares off the top by
9 adding to the bottom of the district?

10 DR. ADAMS: Correct.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments on --
12 Let's at this point be sure we're giving
13 clear direction. Any objection to making that
14 adjustment?

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Go back to the
16 northern end of the district. I want to see what it
17 will do after we do that.

18 I think that makes sense.

19 DR. ADAMS: I do, too.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: So let's ask you to make
21 that adjustment, and we'll take a look at that.

22 DR. ADAMS: Okay. We will indeed.

23 Let's move to the next area.

24 Now that pretty much takes care of B, D,
25 and E.

1 I believe the next thing we were
2 discussing is restoration of the Hopi into District A.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me ask one other
4 question. If you move to the southern portion of that,
5 I want to ask you a specific question about one other
6 municipality all the other adjustments may have been
7 affected I want to be sure I understand how.

8 Can you tell me in this configuration how
9 many districts, for example, does the City of Chandler
10 find itself in.

11 DR. ADAMS: Chandler is in two districts.
12 The divider, as I recall, is Dobson Road.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Consistent with their
14 testimony, and this configuration maintains that.

15 DR. ADAMS: That's correct.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. Thank you.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What about Mesa?

18 Mesa? How many districts are contained in
19 the parts of the City of Mesa?

20 DR. ADAMS: Let me move that over.

21 Mesa is in F. A small portion of Mesa
22 right here is in E. There is one division.

23 COMMISSIONER HALL: Does that include all
24 of Queen Creek and Gilbert?

25 DR. ADAMS: That's correct. They are

1 included in there.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. Dr. Adams, before
3 you moved in with your presentation, the Commission
4 voted on the Congressional map to adopt the separation
5 of Hopi and Navajo based on the representation that you
6 have on this map. So unless there's something else you
7 want to tell us about that, I think we've dealt with
8 that issue.

9 Mr. Hall.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: I would like to know
11 the specific communities in Yavapai County you pulled
12 in.

13 DR. ADAMS: Let me zoom in on that area.
14 Hopefully I scaled it such that we'll be able to see.

15 The blue line denotes the line that we had
16 on the map yesterday. And so now you can see Eloy,
17 Peoples Valley, Yarnell, Wilhoit, not too terribly
18 populated. And I believe, if I can see where I am,
19 Spring Valley. And I'm not reading this label very
20 well.

21 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Cordes Lakes.

22 Can we zoom out, see something more on the
23 labels, see something more in context of where these
24 things are, please?

25 DR. ADAMS: On the place names, see where

1 they are on the map.

2 Want it further or I can show you both
3 areas on there?

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: Can you go over to
5 Mohave, please.

6 DR. ADAMS: I think I'll zoom out and zoom
7 back in.

8 COMMISSIONER HALL: Zoom on the line --

9 DR. ADAMS: This line?

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: What is the population
11 in Mohave valley and Arizona Village.

12 DR. ADAMS: Mohave Valley, Arizona
13 Village. Let me ask Doug to come up, bring up those
14 cities and show you. He'll do it much more quickly than
15 I would.

16 Populations of Mohave Valley and Arizona
17 Village.

18 DR. ADAMS: Mohave Valley, 13,694.

19 COMMISSIONER HALL: Is there not enough
20 population in the incorporated areas below Mohave
21 Valley?

22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: 14,000 people in
23 that area, Josh.

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: Above that.

25 Can you make that line straight above the

1 major communities, people in the major communities?

2 MR. JOHNSON: The line south?

3 COMMISSIONER HALL: Make it straight.

4 MR. JOHNSON: A couple hundred, probably
5 in here, not a major population, the region west, east
6 of Bullhead City.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Thank you.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Could we zoom back
10 then to where we get the northwestern part of the state
11 on the screen once?

12 I suppose what I'm looking at, I want to
13 understand the trades that NDC was recommending in going
14 south into Yavapai and going north into Mohave.

15 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Elder, there was
16 no change in the line in Mohave County from the map you
17 saw yesterday, no trades there. The only trade that was
18 made was the Hopi Reservation was removed from District
19 C and those two portions of Yavapai defined for you were
20 moved into district C for trade. There was no effect on
21 the line in Mohave County.

22 COMMISSIONER ELDER: That was one of the
23 requests of this Commissioner that be looked at, the
24 line be moved to the south to bring Mohave Valley and
25 areas there with Bullhead City, almost one continuous

1 urban area there.

2 DR. ADAMS: Mr. Elder, I did not have that
3 on my list of instructions. If I missed that, I
4 apologize. So we certainly would be happy to look at
5 that.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: How many people
8 live in the remainder of Yavapai County that hasn't
9 been --

10 DR. ADAMS: Sorry?

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: How many reside in
12 the remainder of Yavapai County that hasn't been
13 incorporated?

14 DR. ADAMS: Hasn't been incorporated?

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Which one?

16 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Into C, I guess.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The southern part
18 of Yavapai County.

19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Right.

20 MR. JOHNSON: It's pretty small.

21 DR. ADAMS: We can calculate the numbers
22 exactly. It will take some churning of the computer.

23 What we can do is show you the numbers on
24 the map.

25 MR. JOHNSON: Numbers are too small. This

1 is an exception in almost 200. We're really very
2 sparsely populated on the end.

3 COMMISSIONER HALL: The fact of the matter
4 is it's probably not enough to trade some of Mohave for
5 Southern Yavapai, is that correct?

6 DR. ADAMS: That was our conclusion.
7 Maybe small areas. We'd be looking at some sort of
8 division or moving around the heavily populated areas,
9 because it is a fairly small count.

10 MR. JOHNSON: Just for Bullhead City,
11 you'd have to find 33,000 people.

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The only
13 incorporated places in that southern part of Yavapai
14 County now are Black Canyon City, Cordes Junction, which
15 have a little over 4,000 people between the two of them.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman,
17 Commissioner Minkoff, what I was looking at is to see if
18 we can find 4,350 in Mohave Valley to put in with the
19 blue, I guess C, so that sort of, I guess
20 mini-metropolitan area be made whole rather than have a
21 line going through a community. We didn't hear that at
22 the meeting in Bullhead. If there's -- I can't read
23 from here, like that one over there, blue now, if that
24 went over to Yavapai County to pick up Mohave County,
25 just enough -- where is the shooter, in other words, if

1 we can take that part right there, have it be in C, I
2 believe it is, and maybe take one of these pieces and
3 put it back into A.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: That's it,
5 Commissioner Elder, too much population.

6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Never mind.

7 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Elder,
8 Commissioner Hall, Members of the Commission, there are
9 about 14,000 people, as we said, in Mohave Valley.
10 Arizona Village does not have sufficient population in a
11 trade to possibly take part of it. That one itself,
12 Mohave Valley, is 13,194. So --

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments or
14 questions on this portion of the map?

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I just want to
16 comment that I think in terms of where they fit, that
17 Yarnell and Peoples Valley probably fit better up in the
18 Northern Arizona District, anyway. I think it's really
19 too bad we can't put Congress up there, too. Well,
20 population doesn't work.

21 Black Canyon City I think is a reasonable
22 fit with the southern portion of state. Those two are
23 better up. I suggest leaving them in the Northern
24 District. I forget what the letter it is.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: C.

1 Let's move forward then unless there's a
2 specific comment on this portion.

3 Mr. Huntwork.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: What is the
5 population of Kingman?

6 DR. ADAMS: Population of Kingman.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You have it in your
8 binder. I'd thought I'd remind you. It takes longer to
9 pull it up than for you to look up.

10 DR. ADAMS: 20,069.

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Thank you.

12 DR. ADAMS: There's a simple way. Talking
13 unincorporated areas, that's where it's more difficult,
14 we have to do computer magic.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right. Next section.

16 The next section I believe was the Tucson
17 issue.

18 DR. ADAMS: I've given you numbers on
19 that. Is there any, any other questions on that area?

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Could you, Dr. Adams,
21 since we didn't have a presentation on the interactive,
22 bring up the Tucson area, give us a better look at the
23 division of the Tucson unincorporated area between the
24 two districts itself?

25 DR. ADAMS: There are a lot of blocks here

1 because of the other thing. I think probably you'd
2 rather have me turn off the Census blocks.

3 As I said, we're looking in the actual
4 Tucson city at 226,456 persons that are in G, and of
5 those persons, 129,849 are Hispanic, that's 57.53
6 percent. If you add in the rest of the incorporated
7 areas there, Tucson Estates, Drexel Heights, et cetera,
8 you add 60,000 more population to it, 282,491 persons,
9 or 44 percent of District G.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dr. Adams, if you would
11 focus on the area of the split just below the name
12 Tucson and give me a square.

13 DR. ADAMS: Just about --

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Even tighter.

15 That area is fine.

16 Go in to the point where I see major
17 streets.

18 DR. ADAMS: You want to see streets.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I do.

20 DR. ADAMS: Get those turned back on.

21 I have to zoom a little more.

22 Do you have a specific area?

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Right below the Tucson
24 place designation.

25 DR. ADAMS: I'm still not getting streets.

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Streets. Don't
2 have street names.

3 DR. ADAMS: Is this the area you are
4 interested in, Chairman Lynn?

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Yes.

6 There are two parts of the University of
7 Arizona campus. There's the Main Campus, and Arizona
8 Health Sciences Center. The Arizona Health Science
9 Center on Campbell Avenue north of Speedway, what this
10 separation has done is divided the campus between two
11 Congressional Districts, which is probably not a good
12 idea.

13 What I would ask you to look for is an
14 opportunity to move that portion that Mr. Elder is
15 outlining with the pointer, that portion, to move that
16 into the western district with the main campus with the
17 University.

18 DR. ADAMS: Talking easily to Campbell.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Actually go a little
20 beyond Elm. That particular area of the city, the
21 University acquired a number buildings to use as
22 ancillary buildings for the Health Sciences Center. The
23 acquisition has gone north of Elm on Campbell. So you
24 may, and again, I don't know what the density is of the
25 population to make the district, but I can't imagine

1 it's huge, I think we're talking not more than a couple
2 thousand people at the most. I would go all the way to
3 Grant Road, just to be safe, which is just above
4 Waiverly that you have there.

5 DR. ADAMS: I see Grant Road.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Grant and Campbell would
7 be a reasonable place for that to be squared off to take
8 in the entire University of Arizona.

9 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Lynn, take all
10 that territory into District G?

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Correct.

12 DR. ADAMS: See what the impact of that is
13 on a minority-majority district?

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If necessary, make
15 adjustments at the bottom of that district to the east.

16 I think to go the other way would create
17 different havoc, if we go the other direction.

18 COMMISSIONER ELDER: The other direction,
19 Commissioner?

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Taking the entire
21 University east instead of west.

22 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Your concern is --

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: By taking it east?

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: Leave it west?

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It goes west.

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: My only concern
2 really is that we keep that University area whole. Now
3 whether it moves east or west is -- does not make a
4 whole lot of difference as long as it's not divided.
5 That's my major concern. Now, if we could find some --

6 Do we know about what the population is in
7 that area?

8 DR. HESLOP: Rather more than we
9 speculate.

10 DR. ADAMS: If we put numbers on the map,
11 we can see it's a rather densely populated area. Every
12 one of those blocks with 25, 30, 52, 130, some have
13 fairly dense blocks in there.

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I guess what I'm
15 looking for is some idea of the numbers we're looking at
16 there, shifting one way or another, so when we move
17 further south we can determine what would be a
18 legitimate way or easy way of recovering that area.

19 DR. ADAMS: It will just take a few
20 minutes. We can go in, select those blocks, tell you
21 what population we're talking about.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I don't know that we have
23 to do all of that here.

24 I guess the point I'm trying to make, my
25 experience suggests that area around the University, and

1 the west University neighborhood, which is, as the name
2 suggests, west of the University, has more affinity with
3 and relates more to downtown Tucson and the
4 neighborhoods to the south and the west than the areas
5 to the far east. And that was the point the other day.

6 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, it
7 looks like, going a little further south, I'm not as
8 familiar with Tucson as you are, but there's a little
9 finger bounded by the, looks like east of 22nd Street,
10 Golf Links Road, Cray Croft, and if that might be a
11 reasonable place to look to adding into the district
12 we've taken population from.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Might very well. I'm
14 suggesting you take a look at that.

15 DR. ADAMS: Okay. You don't want us to
16 show numbers right now?

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You don't need to take
18 time now. Refine the map further, take a look, and as
19 Ms. Minkoff points out, the section goes relatively far
20 east. I'm talking now about District G.

21 Around 22nd Street, it goes fairly far
22 east and there may be some compensating values there.

23 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I guess one of the
24 things I'm concerned about is we've got that situation
25 where we still are wanting to maintain the Hispanic

1 population in G. And by adding in that population
2 around the University, because it does relate to the
3 downtown, as Steve says, we are diluting or -- not
4 diluting, we're adding in more of non-Hispanic
5 population. And we've got to make sure we get the
6 Hispanic population back then into the balance that we
7 had prior to this request.

8 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Elder, Members of
9 the Commission, the main concern would be the Hispanic
10 community, as we have defined the AUR. We would want to
11 make certain we are maintaining that community.

12 I may ask a question? I want to make
13 absolutely certain. You indicated it wouldn't matter
14 one way or the other. You just simply want the
15 University whole within one district or the other?

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's Mr. Elder's point
17 of view.

18 DR. ADAMS: Okay. That's not a universal
19 point of view.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd like to see how it
21 works. If there is a way, without damaging the
22 attributes of G we want to preserve, that the University
23 and area just discussed could move west in that
24 district, if it can't --

25 DR. ADAMS: Into G.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If it can't, but I'd like
2 to see if it could.

3 Mr. Elder's point, if it can't move west,
4 that is say all into G District, then it should be made
5 whole in another district. It needs to be made whole
6 regardless.

7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'd agree with that.
8 My preference would be to bring it into the west. But
9 if it can't, then make it whole is the direction.

10 DR. ADAMS: Thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

12 Do you have any other, on this one point,
13 before we move on?

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'd like to take a
15 look at it again.

16 Mr. Elder.

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I wanted take a look
18 far south, splitting sectors, the county, on this plan,
19 as we look at it a last time.

20 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I'd
21 comment we let them make adjustments and as to
22 specifics --

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are we finished? Is that
24 the last one on your list?

25 DR. ADAMS: The last one on my list.

1 One other concern expressed by
2 Commissioner Huntwork about moving the Glendale portion
3 back into the Hispanic AUR, although I didn't get
4 specific instruction about that, my understanding was
5 there was concern that it would bring Maricopa County
6 into District G as an adjustment.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I
9 was really pointing out, I think -- not managing to do
10 it right now, for example, your concern again expressed
11 many times, concern, discussion we had about just how
12 sensitive G is. Ultimately, it's simply an idea for a
13 way to enrich the demographics of G, if we have to do it
14 for any reason. I don't think you should -- I'm not
15 asking you to go any further with it at this time.

16 DR. ADAMS: That's my understanding. I
17 just wanted to make absolutely certain. Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay.

19 At this point, without objection, we will
20 ask the consultants to make the refinements to the
21 Congressional map as we've outlined them.

22 Let's then take a 10-minute break and
23 return to answer questions on the Legislative map as
24 posed by the consultants.

25 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, shortly

1 before --

2 Jose and I want to just point out just one
3 thing before you take your break.

4 We're not by any means suggesting you
5 change your instructions to the consultants. We do need
6 to just express to you, just to make sure you know, in
7 District G, the draft that we started with had a
8 combined Hispanic and Native American voting age
9 population of 50.36 percent. It has -- and that's
10 running close. It had dropped to, in the plan that you
11 were presented with this morning, dropped to 49.9. Some
12 of the additional changes you are looking at are likely
13 to drop it just slightly further. There's no problem
14 with sending that plan out for comment, because you will
15 get comment with respect to those percentages and also
16 with respect to what you might reasonably do to correct
17 that. But we did want to at least let you know that
18 it -- it is an issue in that particular area and one
19 that we will be watching on your behalf very closely,
20 particularly as we get additional reports back from our
21 experts with respect to the voting history data, racial
22 block voting analysis. It's one we're still working on.
23 At least we realize it will be an issue for you during
24 the public comment period.

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I don't mean to

 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
 Phoenix, Arizona

1 prolong the period before we take a break.

2 Wasn't the only switch we made was to
3 switch the University into G?

4 MR. RIVERA: On this map, yeah.

5 MR. HUNTWORK: Took the plan, and G,
6 restored the whole integrity of the area?

7 MR. RIVERA: I didn't hear the second part
8 of your comments.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Say it again.

10 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Plan B united the
11 University area. Moving back out of G, we would restore
12 the original demographics.

13 MS. HAUSER: I think so.

14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Put back.

15 DR. ADAMS: That's correct.

16 MR. RIVERA: Correct.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Take a look at moving east
18 so it's restored. I clearly understand the other issue.
19 Keep it whole. Don't separate it. Put it where it
20 belongs in terms of maintaining that -- the demographic
21 in G, and we'll put it out for comment and -- that's
22 fine.

23 Let's take a 10-minute break.

24 DR. ADAMS: May I ask one more question.
25 I want to make sure I absolutely understand. That

1 basically takes it back to the map that we -- or
2 division that we had prior to the change Mr. Rivera
3 described. So is that how you want to take the map out
4 at this point or do you still want to explore moving
5 territory and seeing --

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Explore moving it west,
7 keeping it whole. If it does damage, we'll know in the
8 process, as Ms. Hauser said, and we'll understand what
9 adjustment needs to be made at that point.

10 DR. ADAMS: Okay.

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Whole.

12 (Recess taken from 10:33 until
13 approximately 11:04 a.m.)

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd ask the Commissioners
15 to take their seats.

16 I'd call the Commission back into session.

17 If we could at this time have the
18 consultants address us with respect to the issues on the
19 Legislative mapping that need to be the subject of
20 either further direction of the consultants or specific
21 decisions that need to be made with respect to making
22 progress along those lines.

23 Dr. Heslop.

24 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, Members of the
25 Commission, we're going to make a presentation on the

1 problems we have identified. We're going to illustrate
2 those problems. And then we're going to ask some
3 questions which we hope you will answer for us. And
4 then, if the Commissioners are agreeable, we would
5 propose to take a number of hours, ideally five hours,
6 to work through what we believe the solutions are to the
7 problems in light of the responses that you give to our
8 questions.

9 So in order to do this, we're going to be
10 using two projectors, one for the purpose of the Power
11 Point and the other to illustrate using maps the
12 problems that we have.

13 Doug Johnson, who is our senior mapping
14 analyst, has been concentrating on the Legislative plan.
15 And I'm going to leave most of this presentation to him.
16 But as we go along, I'm going to shift in with some
17 commentary. So if we could move into the Power Point.

18 We need to talk about the map in terms of
19 these areas.

20 Why don't you move through.

21 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. As you know, and as
22 we discussed the other day, there's really the three
23 areas in question that are driving this map, the north
24 and west section, the Tucson area, and Maricopa. So let
25 me switch over to the map.

1 Actually northwest on the computer, as
2 it's pulled up. One second.

3 In an effort to get as much done as
4 possible, I was using both computers. I have part of
5 the map on one computer and part of the map on the other
6 computer. So let me bring this up.

7 This is where I was working on an answer
8 to our question on the north and the west side.

9 If you remember, the district in the
10 original proposal had the Hopi Nation out, and the
11 district that stretched from there down the Colorado
12 River through the river AUR to the Yuma County border.
13 And a corresponding district that was the in-land
14 portions of these two went over into Maricopa.

15 This is a sketch of one possible approach
16 that answers some of the instructions the Commission
17 gave.

18 Let me -- a couple questions I have to
19 pose, and hopefully this map will help illustrate them.

20 Some of the submitted maps that the
21 Commission received had this concept, and it's been
22 discussed in some of our hearings from an Arizona strip,
23 the area north of Grand Canyon.

24 The approach I've taken in this is to try
25 and have a similar community of interest of kind of the

1 Grand Canyon tourist region with the river here but to
2 make it much smaller than the previous approach. And
3 that can be accomplished.

4 This is actually a fully populated
5 Legislative District stretching from just south of the
6 Navajo Reservation over and to the California border.

7 So one thing I want to check with the
8 Commission is the Arizona strip does result in a couple
9 of additional county splits. It results in a split of
10 Mohave and as a result comes down here and also splits
11 La Paz. And it splits Coconino so it gives a partial
12 solution to our northern solution, shared interest up
13 here, does result in county splits, and we welcome any
14 feedback or direction from the Commission on that issue.

15 I don't know.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Why don't we take one at a
17 time, go through them, so don't have to do it twice.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Let me ask related questions
19 on the area so it makes sense and does tie together.

20 As discussed the other day, on Yavapai
21 County, it really did pose concern to the district going
22 around it. This is starting to illustrate what happens
23 in the Legislative scenario, come through Yavapai with
24 something like this.

25 We looked to three different approaches in

1 Yavapai, and end up with a different approach. Yavapai
2 ends up in three pieces.

3 Come here, this district comes down, might
4 end up in two. I haven't had the time to focus on this
5 area yet to answer exactly what happens in here. I'm
6 still trying to keep Sedona and Verde Valley together.

7 Really, Verde Valley is such a
8 predominance of western population, it would probably
9 have to be split if this is the approach we take.

10 The question I propose for the Commission
11 is is the preference to accept a split of Yavapai County
12 or is there a leaning toward the longer arm around
13 Yavapai you saw in the original proposal?

14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Just a point of
15 clarification, Mr. Johnson. Did you say you were going
16 to split Prescott or split Yavapai?

17 MR. JOHNSON: Probably both. When you
18 split Yavapai, it's really hard, maybe not the City of
19 Prescott itself, but the Prescott area. We definitely
20 will reach to preserve as many cities as possible, like
21 preserve the entire Prescott area. I'm not sure we can.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It's not like when
24 you split Prescott you talk about splitting Yavapai,
25 you're talking about Mingus Mountain, the natural

1 boundary. For purposes of Proposition 106, reasonably
2 well people in the region identified that with various
3 testimony as a possible dividing line. There was no
4 testimony that would have conceivably supported going in
5 and splitting up the Prescott area one way or the other
6 at all. And I think I would be very opposed to this.

7 There's another thing, as kind of a tour
8 around the state that I've been thinking about that fits
9 precisely with this issue. And it relates to a series
10 of things and the way things fit together.

11 Again, it's very hard to master any one
12 question itself. I think that's what got us into this
13 predicament in the first place. So I want to try to do
14 that.

15 I think maybe I should defer to the other
16 Commissioners to comment on this specific question.

17 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, if I might say,
18 by the time we've gotten through this map, we'll have a
19 number of questions and your more general questions. I
20 would think it might make more sense, at that time, if I
21 may say so.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: However, I think
23 Mr. Huntwork makes a point. I want to also at least
24 pose, I don't clearly want to do anything to prolong the
25 process, extend discussion at this point in time. It

1 seems to me where we may have the most problem is not
2 any one individual point, because they're all
3 interdependent. Where we may have a problem is
4 methodology, where we start affecting and where we end
5 up.

6 I guess to that point, I think part of
7 what Mr. Huntwork is saying, if I understand him
8 correctly, is that we may want to have a more broad
9 discussion, either now or at some point, about whether
10 or not Legislative, Legislative mapping, is more easily
11 done, for lack of a better term, outside in, or inside
12 out, in terms of population centers, or whether it's
13 better done geographically in some form.

14 Again, broad conceptual rather than this
15 line or that line.

16 The difficulty here is that we've got 30
17 interdependent, interactive units that any specific
18 change made in one will ripple all the way around the
19 state. And whereas it's relatively controllable in the
20 Congressional map, it's four times as difficult in the
21 Legislative map.

22 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, let me suggest
23 in light of those comments, of which I certainly agree,
24 we go through the whole map with all of the questions.
25 In the course of doing so, it will shed light on those.

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Let's not answer.
2 Find out what the questions are.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's go through the whole
4 thing, try to deal with it either one at a time or in
5 general terms so we at least give you some direction.

6 DR. HESLOP: Let's first go through so you
7 see the questions.

8 MR. JOHNSON: The other remaining question
9 in the northwest is the Flagstaff question. One thing,
10 some of you may have noticed, if doing the math in the
11 Northwestern region, it actually adds up fairly well to
12 about three-and-a-third districts. The problem is the
13 concentration of population around Flagstaff is so
14 dense. So there was a lot of discussion about the
15 priority of keeping Flagstaff with the Grand Canyon
16 and/or with Sedona.

17 And one thing I should note, too, when
18 talking about looking for direction, none of these are
19 options we want to do. We understand these are not
20 instructions from the Commission to split any of these
21 things. We're asking, trying to figure out if it comes
22 down to it, which are ways we want to go.

23 COMMISSIONER HALL: Where is Flag now?
24 Show me Flag.

25 MR. JOHNSON: Flag on this map is -- right

1 down in this area here.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay. Thank you.

3 MR. JOHNSON: The white area, unassigned
4 area.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.

6 MR. JOHNSON: Let me go back to the slide
7 show here.

8 There we go.

9 It allowed me get a lot more done last
10 night.

11 COMMISSIONER HALL: You're doing great.

12 MR. JOHNSON: The Northwest questions,
13 we'll walk through.

14 The next key area, northeast, and status
15 of the Hopi. It was really discussed at length by the
16 Commission, looking if there is a difference on the
17 Commission Legislative front as expressed from the
18 Congressional front.

19 Maricopa, there weren't, was not a lot of
20 discussion about Maricopa yesterday. I'll wait -- no.
21 I'm still on this computer. And I actually drew in the
22 unification of Tempe that we discussed. So let me show
23 what the result was.

24 Tempe, as you can see, we actually split
25 it between a little piece of Scottsdale and small piece

1 of Mesa over here. And that, there is flexibility there
2 to go further into Scottsdale, more into Mesa. Those
3 two changes don't have a lot of ripple effect. I was
4 focusing on ripples.

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Two districts right
6 next to each other.

7 Do you have two districts the same color?
8 It's confusing.

9 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Thank you.

11 MR. JOHNSON: Oh, I have one more to do.
12 There we go.

13 What happens here is Tempe is unified, as
14 we discussed. Guadalupe is left out, as I was
15 instructed by the Commission. The ripples, as you know,
16 are both in the south and the north. And the one they
17 spent a lot of time determining how it would affect us
18 is in the south.

19 We can actually keep the population spread
20 within Maricopa fairly successfully. The side effect
21 the unification of Tempe triggers is an excessive
22 division of Chandler and of Gilbert in the east valley.
23 Before there was only a small arm cut off and the other
24 city was unified. Now they are both divided fairly
25 significantly.

1 So in the north, we also will have the
2 ripple effect that will spill out to the northeast.
3 That will come into play with all the Yavapai population
4 coming down.

5 Again, I've not focused on that area at
6 this time. If there is some direction from the
7 Commission on what makes sense and what you prefer to do
8 with this far east valley population, I welcome that.
9 And in particular, I'd be interested in the Commission's
10 view of whether unifying Tempe is a sufficient enough
11 priority for the Commission to do it with Gilbert and
12 Chandler facing more city divisions.

13 Let's go back to the slides here.

14 That, the other change we discussed was up
15 in Peoria, a small shift of one neighborhood. That was
16 easily accomplished, not a major impact. It's not
17 something I'm looking for additional input on unless the
18 Commission has additional input. I didn't spend a lot
19 of time on Maricopa County.

20 If there are additional thoughts, I'd
21 welcome input on that.

22 The key area number for east, southeast.
23 Let me run through the questions before I shift to the
24 map, then I'll shift to the map for review again.

25 I've drawn a significant change in the

1 Tempe area. You'll see it very easily, the significance
2 of how the districts are reconfigured.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Tucson. Long night.

4 MR. JOHNSON: A step behind myself.

5 We're still testing alternatives of how to
6 comply with the Hispanic AUR in that area and will get
7 back to you with more information on that as soon as we
8 can.

9 One question I have for the Commission.
10 The river and freeway run very close to each other, as
11 you know, in that area. I would welcome any direction
12 from the Commission on which one is a better border
13 between the two communities. You'll see how they place
14 into order there.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Before you offer that
16 communication, Mr. Elder, your comment about the river
17 being a dividing line, not the Santa River, Rillito,
18 north of the city, northwest to southeast?

19 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I misinterpreted
20 that. Glad I asked.

21 The definition of the Tucson Metro area,
22 we did discuss, to a limited extent, exactly how far out
23 was considered the Tucson Metropolitan area, the goal
24 being keeping the urban and rural areas separate. We'd
25 welcome input from the Commission on what you term the

1 metropolitan area being kept together in a rural area,
2 perhaps being kept more isolated from the center of
3 town.

4 The last point, within Tucson, as you
5 know, the cities are interlinked and all but
6 overlapping, in many cases. So this is a particularly
7 difficult area to avoid city splits. Any specific
8 cities that are high priority for unification in your
9 view you may see having specific sections that would not
10 be an excessively adverse effect if we had to split
11 them.

12 Let me jump over to the map.

13 This, again, is a proposal under
14 development. These districts are not yet even close to
15 population equality. And the blue area up here is the
16 kind of population we're working with to try to figure
17 out where to put it. So what we have here is a
18 reconfiguration along the freeway as was discussed.

19 There was discussion of the area in the
20 intersection of freeways here. And we have moved that
21 over more to the western community, as was discussed and
22 directed by the Commission to look into that
23 possibility.

24 If you remember, there were a number of
25 more or less east-west running districts in this area.

1 In response to the feedback from the Commission, what we
2 are investigating is if it's possible and advisable to
3 draw more or less an inner Tucson district closely
4 aligned with this dividing line and then more outer
5 Tucson districts. Just to help orient you, this area
6 right in here is the Air Force base as well.

7 So those are the main questions.

8 I would welcome the -- if you had thoughts
9 on where you mentioned the river in the northern part of
10 town, those things, like that, where you think those
11 divisions should take place.

12 We'll walk back through each of these.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Good.

14 The questions I just listed were focused
15 on within Tucson.

16 The big struggle we faced in designing a
17 map for Tucson is it has some very clear areas that
18 could be Legislative Districts; but whereas Maricopa
19 comes up or is similar to Maricopa and comes up a little
20 short, you ask where to get population, Tucson faces a
21 similar question, comes up a little short. We're trying
22 to prioritize different approaches.

23 Things have been discussed both at the
24 Commission and brainstorming sessions. There is a
25 narrow strip to the west that would attempt to go along

1 the border while still unifying Native American
2 communities. The area of eastern Pinal County is a
3 clear opportunity to pick up that population; however,
4 when we got into details of it, there's not enough
5 population there to fill this district.

6 As you saw in yesterday's proposal, to
7 fill it in that way, we'd have to reach up through
8 eastern Pinal to some other region as well.

9 Yesterday we went into Maricopa. It would
10 be possible to go up into Yavapai, if that was a goal.
11 Thus the other question. And then, of course, we
12 understand the priority of the Eastern Arizona Counties
13 to the Commission and to the community, but we wanted to
14 list that because we're trying to look at all the
15 options and see what is the priority of the Commission
16 and what causes the least ripple effects in other parts
17 of the state.

18 All of these are available options in the
19 area, and we welcome any direction from the Commission
20 on that.

21 Those are kind of the big picture issues.
22 Obviously, as we fine-tune the map, we'll get into
23 smaller, more detailed questions, University location,
24 things like that, and welcome any direction from the
25 Commission on these issues.

1 Let me go back through them.

2 I'll bring up the maps as we go to them.

3 The northwest strip, three big questions:

4 Would the Arizona strip approach be acceptable? Split

5 Yavapai or swing around it? And the Flagstaff

6 situation.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: May I suggest that you
8 show us the map and you keep the Power Point, if that's
9 the way it's divided up for you.

10 But let me start with Mr. Huntwork and see
11 if -- you want at this point to go in a different
12 direction or take each of these in turn.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I want to talk
14 about an alternative way of laying out the city to see
15 if we can sidestep some of the questions and provide
16 some different starting points.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do you have some in mind?

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes, I do. It
19 would involve -- if you can put the Hispanic plan with
20 Indian reservations up on the screen, I think I could
21 adequately describe what I have in mind from that.

22 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Huntwork, could
23 you take your microphone out. I couldn't quite hear.

24 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Methodology, this
25 is starting from the outside working in. So how we

1 layout the rest of the state, then zero in on the
2 Metropolitan areas. And it may lead nowhere, but -- and
3 it certainly will involve going a couple of sacred
4 cows, but it may not, you know, injury them to badly.

5 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner, when you
6 mentioned Hispanic plan with the Native American, this
7 is their plan. It's a little confusing. Let me walk
8 through the lines there.

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Does this have
10 your material or entirely theirs.

11 MR. JOHNSON: Colors in background are
12 mine.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Can you make that
14 clear? I want it to be completely theirs.

15 MR. JOHNSON: What we're are looking at
16 here, let me figure out here, one is turned around this
17 way --

18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Just, just shade
19 the reservations. Put cross hatch or some shading.

20 Okay. Let me point out a couple things.
21 Number one, this thing is the last thing you had up on
22 the board.

23 Pinal County is pretty much intact but
24 goes way up here because Apache Junction is added to the
25 east valley. This area is a majority-minority district

1 and includes the entire Tohono O'odham Nation
2 encompasses it, including west of this area, if
3 necessary the western area of Tucson.

4 Now, suppose you also add some of the
5 concepts from the Navajo plan. Suppose that because the
6 Hopis were taken out you were to create a very thin
7 strip down, add these two reservations into the Northern
8 District. Presumably that would swing this line back
9 this way to some extent. You would then have a land
10 pocket here, but you also have this district down here
11 which we previously identified as having lots of context
12 with mining, and so on, with some of the other area and
13 might add portions of that back into here to create
14 contiguity and maybe a more complete community of
15 interest along the non-Native American residents of that
16 district.

17 This area, the Saddlebrooke area, my
18 instinct is there is excess population here, because I
19 think the more people that reside east of the Indian
20 Reservation might supplement that area creating a
21 district in north Tucson, which is one of the problem
22 areas we've talked about.

23 These areas, these districts here do not
24 appeal at all horizontally across county boundaries, and
25 so on. We want to find a different solution. But in

1 sum, you've created, you've created a basically Native
2 American district here. You preserve at least what I
3 perceive to be the essence of the EACO plan, you provide
4 for Pinal County. You've created a river district over
5 here. This is more compatible with the river AUR than
6 the plan we have that comes east of the river plan. And
7 then this area, this area could go, this area should
8 work, could come up with a better solution.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me understand,
10 summarize, not to oversimplify what you are suggesting,
11 is that in order to be able to ultimately draw the
12 districts in the metropolitan areas which, from
13 necessity, have to be more intimately drawn from
14 population started outside of the city, you made
15 decisions relative to those which, having made those
16 decisions, gets you to population centers to finish the
17 mapping process. Then at the same time, you, you have a
18 couple principles you are working with, to the extent
19 that we possibly can, we're not splitting reservations,
20 trying to keep those whole. To the extent possible,
21 we're trying to respect the AURs as we've defined them,
22 at least the three major ones that we have adopted and
23 moved forward with. And -- well, let me stop there,
24 because that's all I'm actually sure of.

25 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: And counties as

1 well to the extent -- most of the counties we're talking
2 about have been identified as AURs.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Well, let me get reaction
4 to that.

5 One -- the only thing I was a little
6 concerned about was the -- what would be left of the
7 EACO proposal may look as if you have a district
8 circling two districts, circling the San Carlos and
9 White Mountain Apache. That would be odd. I'm not
10 closing off thinking about that solution.

11 I think the real problem seems to be the
12 maps seen so far, a couple places, if you will, wound up
13 as remainders, there wasn't much to do about them. I
14 looked back at District L, it seems to be residue.
15 There is other hard work in other parts of the state.
16 It clearly didn't make any sense from any standpoints we
17 knew about. Probably left over territory didn't work
18 anywhere else. We need to avoid that anyplace we can.
19 Perhaps flexibility seems come from more populated areas
20 in terms of where you draw lines, very rapidly pick
21 population. You need one district or another in those
22 two areas.

23 The methodology is sound from a standpoint
24 of working outside in and creating districts that make
25 sense on the periphery of the state and making up

1 population differences as you move into the urban core.

2 So let's discuss that possibility.

3 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, if I may.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Johnson.

5 MR. JOHNSON: One thing we definitely
6 looked at when there were choices for the starting point
7 were the goals of preserving the Native American Tribal
8 Reservations, Hispanic communities, rural communities.
9 Plans, choices you run into, the rural into urban
10 approach, not that that is better than another way, I'm
11 just giving a sense of where the plan is.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The difficulties you have
13 with maps, have you seen the product of the very
14 approach Mr. Huntwork is suggesting?

15 MR. JOHNSON: No.

16 I think when we tried to balance Three
17 Points, we ended up at a very different starting point
18 than the plan we had and the board did. But they are
19 similar problems than any approach, as this approach ran
20 into. It's in Yavapai.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What is the pleasure of
22 the Commission?

23 Mr. Hall?

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, a lot of
25 what I just heard is, I don't know, has created about 10

1 more problems than answers.

2 We have remainders. The Navajo plan
3 creates three remainders rather than one. On the face,
4 there are legal problems, anyway.

5 In spite of that, I think it's important
6 for us to review where we've been and where we're
7 headed.

8 We have building blocks. And the
9 foundation of our house we started to build, if you
10 will, are AURs. With those, then, we are developing
11 walls and subsequent structure. For us to go back and
12 say we don't like the foundation even though we all
13 agreed months ago on the process this is what the
14 foundation is, we hired consultants, found out what the
15 foundation was, looked at the blocks, if anyone is naive
16 enough, found out after the finishing touches were on
17 the building blocks, I'm confused.

18 We have building blocks. EACO is one of
19 the building blocks, as all the documentation we have,
20 it is the most unified. We have other building blocks.

21 There may well have to be compromises. I
22 think we ought to consider reasonable and rational
23 compromises in an effort to try to solve problems. I
24 think the roads NDC is heading down are certainly
25 legitimate issues.

1 With respect to the issue of dividing
2 counties, we have to divide counties in rural Arizona to
3 accommodate communities of interest and Native American.
4 The problem, for example, with plan reflected on the
5 board is splitting the two southern Native American
6 Reservations that are combined presently under the
7 current configuration. We have several desires from a
8 variety of Reservations to be combined, and some may be
9 realistic, some may not be realistic, or practical, or
10 in line with the majority of some communities of
11 interest that align around some of those areas.

12 So I would propose that we not stroll in
13 reverse, we kind of build from where we were.

14 I think there are some legitimate examples
15 in the southeast section of the state Mr. Elder had.
16 I'm interested in exploring those.

17 The ideas on this particular plan, the
18 southeastern portion of the state, are legitimate.

19 Also in respect to what you proposed in
20 the northern portion of the state, I think that is a
21 very -- an option certainly worth considering. I'm
22 interested to see what numbers are with respect to that.

23 And also determine where you -- if you put
24 up what you were reflecting, Doug, it would certainly be
25 more helpful.

1 I had a couple questions with respect to
2 this Yavapai County area.

3 MR. JOHNSON: Do you want to reference the
4 coalition map or take that one out?

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: No. I want to
6 reference our map.

7 MR. JOHNSON: You asked one question about
8 our numbers.

9 COMMISSIONER HALL: Zoom out a bit.

10 MR. JOHNSON: This point, the western
11 district is little.

12 At this point, this district is 17,000
13 overpopulated. So it can pull back somewhat from that
14 point.

15 COMMISSIONER HALL: So with respect to the
16 comment about the division of the Prescott area, I would
17 concur with that. I think that we need to try and
18 identify solutions. And while we, by reason, may need
19 to divide counties, I hope the best intent possible is
20 not to divide communities. Now realizing, however, that
21 Prescott Valley extends all way from Chino Valley
22 south, there may be some divergent areas there. I think
23 we have to attempt to to the best extent possible.

24 Were you saying the northeast portion
25 there, the white area, if you will, the northeast

1 portion of Yavapai, southeast of Coconino, that is short
2 population?

3 MR. JOHNSON: This is an unassigned area,
4 the area I've not yet started drawing lines in.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Can you zoom out a
6 little more, please.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: There was discussion
8 yesterday with respect to Pinal County. Did you
9 discussion options what were findings, discussions of
10 Pinal and Casa Grande?

11 MR. JOHNSON: The area with respect to
12 interesting -- there's a lot of reason to unify that
13 area as much as possible. We have been trying to find a
14 way to do that. Down in Tucson, this alternative does
15 present a little, a small additional Hispanic population
16 that can be combined with this district. So one of the
17 options, I would put it in the long-shot category, but
18 given the importance and interest in Pinal, it's
19 definitely worth pursuing, is possibly some way of
20 strengthening this. I would be surprised if that gets
21 us to unifying Pinal County, the Casa Grande proposal.
22 It gets us closer to unifying more of it, hopefully
23 getting a significant portion together.

24 That is definitely something that we are
25 pursuing. I can't give you a promise how it will

1 result.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: So, in short, maybe,
3 maybe, Dr. Heslop, you could help us clarify, or Doug,
4 what, specifically -- I know you guys are in the midst
5 of work in progress versus us sitting here trying to
6 move lines for you. What would you recommend are
7 specific areas you would like direction in with respect
8 to some of these changes?

9 MR. JOHNSON: Our interest would be in the
10 key barriers we have run into or decision points we're
11 running into, running past you, and getting direction
12 from as decision points, taking endorsement, direction,
13 on how you'd like us to pursue investigation at that
14 point.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Go back to the Power
16 Point series of questions and use that as a basis for
17 the response you are requesting, other things we can add
18 to that.

19 MR. JOHNSON: Sure.

20 COMMISSIONER ELDER: The north northwest.

21 MR. JOHNSON: Starting in the northwest,
22 it may be helpful to leave the map up and read them
23 or --

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Helpful to leave the
25 map up.

1 MR. JOHNSON: The first question was this
2 Arizona strip. It makes a relatively compact,
3 identifiable community of district at the cost, however,
4 of perhaps an additional county split that might
5 otherwise be avoided. We wanted to see. This is an
6 option proposed by some of the community groups and is
7 something the Commission would be interested in
8 pursuing.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I'll
10 jump in on that one first.

11 I think as far as Legislative Districts
12 go, I know county records probably shoot us in the foot.
13 I'm not sensitive to splitting of the counties to give
14 us communities.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me ask a historical
16 question. In the current configuration, how many
17 counties split the current Legislative configuration?

18 MR. JOHNSON: To be honest, I don't know,
19 off the top of my head.

20 MR. JOHNSON: I did know it, until I
21 started drawing this one.

22 We can look that up.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

24 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Two questions.
25 Number one, if you take Hopis out of that, what are the

1 demographics with respect to Native Americans? And
2 number two, what is happening over in Mohave County?
3 Can you zero in on that for us, see what is in and what
4 is out?

5 MR. JOHNSON: There are two splits in
6 Mohave that result. This is the northern split. Let me
7 focus. This somewhat unusual looking item on the map is
8 an extension of the tribal reservation. Let me put some
9 labels on the cities here.

10 Let me walk through the border here. You
11 have Peach Springs, a Census designated place, I
12 believe, with the Reservation. City of Kingman is north
13 of the line. And Bullhead City is south of the line.

14 Go down to the south, this stays out of
15 the reservation, on the south side here.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The Reservation
17 stays out of the district?

18 MR. JOHNSON: The Reservation is entirely
19 in the middle district here. We do have to split the
20 area here.

21 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I was mostly
22 concerned about the Northern District.

23 Can you tell what the demographics are?

24 MR. JOHNSON: I have run the numbers,
25 having finished just minutes before coming over here,

1 this piece of it. I think around nine or ten percent.
2 That's a pretty speculative guess. I can look that up
3 and respond back to you later today.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think the whole
5 key in this area is to know exactly what the
6 demographics are going to be.

7 MR. JOHNSON: It is a unification of
8 virtually all the Tribal Reservations in the north end,
9 so it's fairly high. It won't be as high as the Navajo
10 Reservation.

11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Commissioner
12 Huntwork, could we try to address the county split issue
13 with NDC and then try to add in other ancillary issues,
14 keep it cleaner, so we get a response back to NDC?

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do we know the question on
16 current splits or an answer to current splits?

17 MR. JOHNSON: Do you have that?

18 Dr. Adams is looking that up.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay.

20 To Mr. Elder's point, I think what you are
21 asking us to determine is whether or not we want to
22 relax that standard in some fashion to allow for more
23 flexibility in splitting counties.

24 Is there further discussion on that notion
25 or would somebody like to make a motion to that effect?

1 Ms. Minkoff.

2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Well, I don't think
3 I'm prepared to make a motion, but in terms of what is
4 more important, it seems to me that the most important
5 thing that we can do is put people in districts where
6 they are going to feel comfortable. And I think that
7 county borders are probably less important than other
8 considerations. And so, therefore, while I would like
9 to keep counties together as much as possible, if there
10 is a compelling interest to split a county in order to
11 make districts seem to work for communities of interest,
12 I think they could do it.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

14 COMMISSIONER HALL: I don't have anything.

15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: To move things along,
16 I would move we allow to relax county integrity criteria
17 to allow for maintaining communities of interest as a
18 whole.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Second.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Second by Mrs. Minkoff.

21 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Proposition 106
22 says consider both communities of interest and counties,
23 not both. It's a case-by-case decision and involves
24 balancing all other criteria. I would be reluctant to
25 pass a general resolution that says one has priority

1 over the other in our deliberations. I'd like to ask
2 legal counsel whether it's appropriate to pass a
3 resolution of that kind.

4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: The question to NDC,
5 maybe to clarify that, is it was my understanding this
6 fell under the criteria of northwest and the question
7 specifically to that area, or general for the entire
8 state?

9 MR. JOHNSON: This question is
10 specifically to the northwest. I suspect we'll
11 encounter additional similar questions as we work our
12 way through the rest of the state or is it your
13 preference we come back to you with those as they occur?

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Discussion on the motion?
15 Mr. Huntwork.

16 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The difficulty --
17 it is also difficult for me to decide how willing I am
18 to split counties when I am not very happy me with the
19 proposal that is on board in terms of configuration.

20 I am voting to give away a value expressed
21 in 106 over a piece of a plan that hasn't been fully
22 developed. The whole thing is difficult to me to get my
23 arms around at this point.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Well, what we may wish to
25 do, since we're clear that the two issues are of

1 relatively equal importance in terms of the standards
2 we're trying to achieve, we see the work product where
3 one has been used more than another.

4 If I take your point, the point would be
5 you'd like to see a work product where the other might
6 be in play more and determine whether or not that's a
7 more acceptable solution than the one we have. That is
8 to say the point of Mr. Elder's motion is to state it
9 otherwise, to give consultants flexibility to look at
10 communities of interest at least with equal weight to
11 county boundaries in terms of Legislative districting
12 and see if that doesn't relax, correct, not relax the
13 standard, but change the emphasis in a way that would
14 make the districting process easier. I mean that's,
15 again, wording, specific wording aside, that's what I
16 take the motion to mean and give the consultants that
17 direction.

18 Mr. Elder, is that close?

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: That's correct,
20 Mr. Chairman.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

22 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Again, in this
23 case, particularly Northern Arizona, we have Voting
24 Rights Act issues very heavily in play which clearly
25 express that priority over county boundaries under

1 Proposition 106. So --

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We're not mandating
3 anything else. All of those things are still in place.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: With that in mind,
5 I think the motion with that in mind is fine, as long as
6 we're talking about Northern Arizona and population of
7 Native Americans.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other portions of the
9 state as well.

10 Mr. Hall.

11 COMMISSIONER HALL: Correct me if I'm
12 wrong, counsel, basically with the issue of
13 representation of minorities, essentially the district
14 is there is about what they have now. And to utilize
15 the existing as a bench mark, it's difficult to go
16 backwards, if you use the same, then the current
17 configuration, if you utilize the current configuration
18 as a bench mark.

19 MS. HAUSER: Yes, Commissioner Hall, the
20 current bench mark is the last current plan infused with
21 the 2000 Census data.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: In light of the Census
23 plan, we'll have to use Census data. I appreciate we're
24 not there yet. This district is very similar to the
25 bench mark. I guess is what I'm saying is I would doubt

1 Mr. Huntwork's fears run to the relative minority Indian
2 issue.

3 Mr. Chairman, I think it's clear that NDC
4 frankly needs more time. And Mr. -- Doug --

5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Johnson.

6 COMMISSIONER HALL: If -- my preference
7 was with respect to the county split, I'm not sure if we
8 need it in the form of a motion, if that's the
9 Commission's motion.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: They clearly need time.
11 They are also asking for direction. This is one of the
12 areas.

13 Further discussion on the motion?

14 All those in favor of the motion, say
15 "aye."

16 (Vote taken.)

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Opposed say "no."

18 Motion carries.

19 Again, the sense of the motion is, to the
20 extent communities of interest and other interests are
21 served by violating county boundaries in terms of
22 mapping, we'd like to see the results of that so we
23 might get a better view of how those two equal concepts
24 wrestle with each other. I mean we've seen one. We'd
25 like to see the other.

1 Mr. Elder.

2 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Now to take
3 Mr. Johnson's lead, the next question is Flagstaff,
4 whether it should be viewed as a link with the Kingman
5 area or viewed as linkage with Sedona, Sedona or the
6 Camp Verde area. Is that correct? That's the next
7 area, is it not?

8 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, if there is specific
9 direction or if the Commission is comfortable with it
10 going whichever way, going to either of the other two
11 factors. We welcome either type of direction.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think my
14 preference would be, if possible, to link Flagstaff with
15 Sedona and Verde Valley. With my preference, all other
16 things being equal, it makes sense, if it causes
17 disruption with other things we're trying to achieve, I
18 think there is flexibility.

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Johnson, what are
20 the relative numbers we're starting with in Flagstaff?

21 We're potentially looking at 6,769 Hopi
22 probably being connected to that area. What is the
23 population in the Canyon area?

24 Thirdly, what is the population in that
25 Verde Valley area?

1 MR. JOHNSON: The population of Flagstaff,
2 we'll focus, but 52,894. The other area, Williams is
3 2,800. Parks is 1,100. And Kachina Village is 1,000 --

4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: It may be a moot
5 question. It appears they don't have population in all
6 those put together to make a district.

7 MR. JOHNSON: That's correct. They
8 definitely do not have enough to put a district together
9 centered on here.

10 The question is the areas around here are
11 essentially all but unpopulated. It's very, very sparse
12 population. So really when we get into Coconino County,
13 it's a problem to keep them together. I worked
14 extensively drawing a region, trying to work around this
15 issue, and felt it was a large enough concern to bring
16 to the Commission to seek your guidance.

17 Is it a high priority to keep Flagstaff
18 together with the Grand Canyon or together with Verde
19 Valley or together with the Navajo Nation? Or is it
20 more of a preference -- obviously we prefer to keep it
21 with the appropriate community.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You are asking what is
23 that appropriate community.

24 MR. JOHNSON: What is that appropriate
25 community and what is the priority on it.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

2 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I changed my mind.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right. Let me try
4 this. I don't think it's fruitful at this point for us
5 to be going into detailed discussion on any of this.
6 I'd like to give you direction, give you the opportunity
7 to work on an overall concept.

8 Ms. Minkoff gave direction it go South
9 with Sedona, North Verde Valley. I don't know that I
10 have a strong preference one way or other. I think
11 we've heard testimony that links those communities
12 together over the course of the hearing. We've also
13 seen maps that include that area delivered from other
14 parts of the state.

15 I think Flagstaff is enough of a
16 population center that as a community, they probably
17 could see themselves in a number of different scenarios,
18 for example, the interstate, one terminates in
19 Flagstaff, other runs through it east west. So there's
20 ample reason to think there's an affinity to Williams,
21 other communities to the west. There's reason to
22 believe they clearly have some affinity down the
23 mountain, if you will, to Sedona and Verde Valley.
24 There's a significant amount of commerce that occurs up
25 and down the highways, both state highways and

1 interstate to the south. So, I, too, don't have a
2 problem with linkage Flagstaff to the south, if that
3 helps the circumstance.

4 Mr. Elder.

5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, this
6 may be a comment that just doesn't make sense but I'm
7 going to make it anyway.

8 Through a lot of hearings we've heard "We
9 don't want to be a part of the State of Maricopa."
10 Flagstaff is a big doggone block. It might be
11 appropriate to bring in Prescott, Dewey, Chino, bring in
12 Cottonwood, Chino, and is the population of Flagstaff a
13 balancing condition to the Flagstaff Metropolitan area?

14 My hope is maybe look at bringing in the
15 area we've split now along that line in Prescott, try to
16 get Prescott entirely in one area or another. If it
17 makes sense to bring it in with Flagstaff, do so.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Well, you don't have a
19 motion, but do you have a sense that --

20 MR. JOHNSON: Definitely.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Next question.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'd just like to say
23 that I think, to Mr. Humphry's point, the Coalition
24 plan, there are interesting solutions to the southern
25 point of the state. I again urge, even in connection

1 with the immigrants, alternative solutions.

2 I assume you guys haven't -- don't recall
3 with intimate detail the majority-minority issues there.
4 I direct we would be sensitive, continuously sensitive,
5 I know you are, to those issues in that. I think that
6 there are some possibilities for configuration in that
7 southern portion, and, if possible, still trying to
8 maintain for the two southern reservations to be
9 connected.

10 COMMISSIONER ELDER: What are you talking
11 about?

12 COMMISSIONER HALL: Southern Arizona.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's a little premature.
14 We just finished Flagstaff.

15 That additional direction is welcomed as
16 well.

17 MR. JOHNSON: The last question on the
18 northwest area is Yavapai County which is a question
19 which to some degree we addressed other day.

20 We wanted to clarify. We have seen plans
21 where instead of dividing it, if we zoom out a little
22 bit here, throw a long arm all the way around it,
23 similar to the plan that we had proposed the other day,
24 but these are more inland arms than we've seen in some
25 of the proposals, don't share much community around the

1 county border, similar to the L-shaped district here,
2 serve the purpose of keeping Yavapai together. The
3 question is to look to the Commission for direction or
4 preference from the Commission on: Would you like to
5 see some arm around Yavapai County, if that keeps it
6 contiguous and together, or is it acceptable --

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think our previous
8 direction, and one we gave you about county contiguity,
9 would apply to Yavapai as it would to others. That is
10 to say we have other interests we're trying to satisfy
11 as well. To the extent you show us communities of
12 interest in other criteria we hope to accomplish that
13 are kept together, we'd entertain some split, if it were
14 appropriate. I think that's what we said.

15 Mr. Elder.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Sorry, quickly,
17 Mr. Huntwork, one thing I saw with the plan we had up
18 Mr. Huntwork requested was there is whole series of
19 bands that went horizontally across the state in this
20 area. And one of my sort of pet peeves with
21 redistricting as done in the past is you can't get there
22 from here.

23 If you look at highways, linkages,
24 valleys, all the things people use to move through their
25 communities, they run north to south or west to

1 southeast in this area. So would you please keep it in
2 the request and say maybe something C shaped through
3 there wrapping around -- if you can't get from one area
4 of the district to another effectively, it makes it hard
5 to represent people or people to get to you you're
6 supposed to be representing. That's my request, look at
7 linkages of people, representatives in the area.

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I would also like
10 to take a step back to basic principles. We've
11 recognized a number of AURs. Aside from the major
12 communities of interest, Hispanic, Native American, and
13 rural urban, I don't believe we prioritized the other
14 AURs.

15 One of them was Yavapai County. We have
16 discussed the fact that there was testimony that
17 justified dividing Yavapai County basically at Mingus
18 Mountain. But we have not had any testimony to justify
19 dividing Yavapai County any other way. It's no more our
20 playground, province, than any other AUR, any other
21 county, the EACO plan, anywhere else. That's still part
22 of our bedrock, even though not an issue of a county
23 line.

24 We also have the river area AUR in play in
25 this region. Those are two things that, to the extent

1 we have foundation, as Joshua put it earlier, those are
2 two we need to try to start with, if we can.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'm not --

4 MR. JOHNSON: I have a sense there.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: -- not sure we will get
6 through earlier.

7 MR. JOHNSON: Some may resolve on their
8 own as we work on the map. To the extent we get as much
9 direction as we can, it helps.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Where would you like to go
11 next?

12 MR. JOHNSON: Northeast.

13 Checking in, checking in with the
14 Commission, we checked in on the Hopi Legislative level.
15 I'll confirm, check in if there are differences when we
16 view the Hopi issue at a Legislative level.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd ask the Commission if
18 they've made an affirmative decision with respect to how
19 they wish to display the Navajo-Hopi Congressional map,
20 if looking for the same direction on the Legislative
21 map. I'll open that for discussion.

22 Mr. Huntwork.

23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Well, we have
24 testimony and evidence from the Hopis that is clear and
25 it has been from the beginning that they considered it

1 equally important at the state level. I feel there's
2 numerous documents to that effect publicly, private, and
3 they've given us examples of why they feel that way.

4 The issue with the demographics in that
5 Northern District is significant. 7,000 is much more
6 significant in demographics of a Legislative District
7 than with the Congressional Districts. Now,
8 nevertheless, for the same reasons, when dealt with
9 separately, we're making sure we consolidated as many
10 Reservation areas as possible.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is that a motion?

12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there a second?

14 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: That we separate
15 them, Navajo and Hopi.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I second.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Moved and seconded.

18 Mr. Elder.

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Hall,
20 Commissioner Hall will probably shoot me, but we had a
21 speaker discuss the Native American minority positions.
22 And I would like to support the Hopi removal from that
23 area and link them outside the Navajo area. I would
24 like to see, just an aside or slide to the aside, I
25 don't know if you call it analysis or look at what are

1 the ramifications or effect of taking a tongue, or
2 linkage down and picking up linkages down the White
3 Mountain and San Carlos, somewhat in A, and replace
4 population in A with additional Native American to
5 balance the Hopi relocation, removal. Let's see what it
6 does in that area.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: On the motion. Discussion
8 on the motion.

9 Mr. Hall.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, considering the
11 Hopis have made the preference that most of their issues
12 are federal, and when specifically asked if they had a
13 preference, if it was not possible to or practicable, or
14 whatever, to separate them, that they would prefer that
15 occur at a Congressional level, there's no question most
16 of there issues are federal and are not with respect to
17 the State Legislature; furthermore, as may have been
18 discussed, the Hopi Reservation, as it presently
19 resides, I think we did discuss this, there are portions
20 of the reservation to the south that are not -- that
21 would presently be included in what is the EACO plan.
22 I'm not sure representation on land issues would be
23 accommodated anyway. They'd still be in two, presently
24 in two separate districts. But in light of the fact
25 that there are, most of their issues are federal and

1 also in light of the fact of the Native American
2 concerns, I oppose the motion and desire they stay
3 together on a state level.

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion?

5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I support the
6 motion.

7 It's relatively small population, about
8 7,000 people. I do not see moving them out dramatically
9 disrupts that district. I think we can easily move
10 7,000 people into another district. I don't think we
11 can do it, as Mr. Elder suggested, from two
12 reservations, Apache Reservations, the White Mountain
13 and San Carlos, which between them have over 20,000
14 people. I'm not sure that's where we can find
15 population. I think can find population.

16 Like with respect to EACO, what
17 Mr. Huntwork said, they have made it very, very clear
18 that they would find it devastating in terms of
19 representation to be in a district with the Navajos.
20 They have indicated their very, very strong desire to be
21 pulled out of it even if it is in district with no other
22 Native American population. I think that's something we
23 need to listen to in trying to accommodate.

24 I would support the motion.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Call the question.

1 All in favor of the motion signify by
2 saying "aye."

3 (Vote taken.)

4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All opposed say "no."

5 (Vote taken.)

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "no."

7 (Motion carries three to two.)

8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Direction is to separate
9 the two for Legislative purposes for mapping again to
10 explore whatever makes sense in terms of connection once
11 it's separated.

12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: To clarify for NDC, I
13 withdraw the request in connection with going to the San
14 Carlos and White Mountain Apaches. It doesn't make
15 sense statistically or from a representation standpoint.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It would also create a
17 number of other problems to do it that other way as
18 well.

19 DR. HESLOP: Current Legislative splits,
20 except La Paz and Yuma, splits Mohave into four
21 districts, Yavapai into four districts, Pinal into five
22 districts, Santa Cruz into three, and most of the other
23 counties are also split among two or three districts.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you for that bench
25 mark.

1 All right. Next question.

2 MR. JOHNSON: The next area to look at is
3 on the other computer, involves Maricopa. Let me switch
4 over here.

5 I should clarify, I failed to clarify, we
6 also had a question on attempting to unify the
7 Historical District. It's one I haven't had time to
8 look at, just haven't had time to look at. My apologies
9 for not looking at it before.

10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Doug, one other
11 instruction I wanted to make sure you were aware of. In
12 terms of districts, I think O and U, the suggestion of
13 the vertical split for those districts rather than the
14 horizontal split?

15 MR. JOHNSON: Right.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It's doable, two
17 districts, dividing a different way.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Right. We looked into that
19 extensively at a Congressional level, and it should make
20 up the districts, be easier to do, and be at the
21 Legislative level, although the districts would not be
22 quite as high up.

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Those two issues
24 and the Historic Districts will be included on the
25 revised maps?

1 MR. JOHNSON: As you might imagine, we
2 tried to get as much information together, having gone
3 through all the maps. It does include all the issues
4 I've run into so far.

5 So the question that I definitely want to
6 bring to the Commission is the issue of Tempe. And it
7 is described for a run-through scenario, unifying for
8 it; and I'm curious for feedback on the scenario.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Feedback, unifying Tempe
10 to the detriment of what, and what is the detriment?

11 You have a four-way split of Chandler as a
12 result of unification of Tempe.

13 It seems to me under a doctrine we haven't
14 adopted, we might want to consider, is do the least
15 amount of harm. And that you, in my opinion, you need
16 to rethink that unification if for no other reason than
17 to reduce the harm that that unification has done to
18 surrounding districts.

19 Mr. Huntwork.

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I want to commend
21 you. You've taken on an important principle we haven't
22 articulated.

23 I guess secondly, there was testimony from
24 Chandler itself as to where, if they were to be split,
25 they would like to prefer to have it happen. Also some

1 evidence from Tempe, I believe it was the Tempe Chamber
2 submitted a proposal that was submitted if Tempe had to
3 be split, where the logical point might be.

4 So as a compromise, we might want to use
5 those two bench marks to work out something more fair to
6 both.

7 MR. JOHNSON: If I may, in the Chandler
8 case, I did look closely at that. If you'll look here,
9 what they said was Dobson Road actually runs north south
10 just east of the city line here.

11 I'm assuming they were referring primarily
12 to Dobson Road, this area, not the narrow strip up and
13 down. That was the first attempt in order to have a
14 flow, Tempe up and down, it did have to flow. Should
15 the instruction be to divide Tempe, we'll refer to the
16 best way to do that.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd also like to
18 suggest you take a look at the Coalition for Fair
19 Redistricting Plan and the Democratic Party Plan, both
20 of which have a united Tempe and I think have maybe done
21 a little bit less damage to some of the surrounding
22 communities. You might look at those plans, see if they
23 might help you reconfigure and keep Tempe united without
24 chopping up other three pieces as much as you have right
25 now.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: With all due respect, I'll
2 say it, and I believe it, and I might get in deep
3 trouble with my colleagues, but I think reference to
4 other plans, in the narrowest sense, is not very
5 helpful. The reason I say it is due to the interactive
6 nature of the units on the plan. If you take away the
7 way a plan treated one portion of the map, it's simply
8 not useful.

9 With all due respect, unless you disagree,
10 while I know my colleague, what you are trying to do is
11 give direction, if you find in taking direction, ways of
12 doing it, you already discovered one thing, I don't
13 think we want to start a way of doing it wholly
14 dependent on everything else around it.

15 Mr. Hall.

16 COMMISSIONER HALL: I want to be sure.
17 There have been interesting thoughts in this morning's
18 period public of comment, many of which surrounded
19 District R. I know Dr. Heslop made those notes. I want
20 to be sure those are also considered, what the ripple
21 effect of those considerations is. They may well come
22 into play in neighboring districts.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Particularly with respect
24 to not only R and S as well, comments relative to what
25 we've lovingly come to know as the Broadway curve and

1 separation by the interstate of communities along that
2 axis.

3 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, Lisa Nance
4 provides a wonderful service. We get a diskette
5 immediately after, and we'll look closely at those
6 comments.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Comments had to do
9 with Guadalupe. And I'm wondering if the evidence or
10 testimony confirmed Guadalupe is unambiguous about how
11 people there want to be treated, not how others want to
12 treat them, how they themselves want to be treated.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We received yesterday a
14 letter from Guadalupe indicating they wished to be
15 considered with the Central Phoenix District.

16 But to your point about ambiguity, that's
17 testimony in a long line of testimony.

18 Ms. Minkoff.

19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Let me clear that
20 up. I've had a number of contacts with the community
21 and people in it. There is confusion, I think, and it's
22 very, very difficult for us to say it's an unambiguous
23 position from the Community of Guadalupe.

24 Mr. Echeveste and I met quite some time
25 ago with the then Mayor and City Councilperson who

1 explained to us that the interests of Guadalupe seemed
2 to align more with Tempe than with the South Phoenix
3 community, for a number of reasons, Justice Courts,
4 governmental agreements, et cetera. We then heard a
5 presentation by the Mayor of Guadalupe who was at that
6 meeting, at the South Mountain Community College public
7 hearing, supporting the presentation from the group that
8 was led by Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox that they belong
9 to an AUR covering the South Phoenix area.

10 I contacted some people I met with later
11 on and said "I'm confused. I heard one thing when went
12 to Guadalupe, heard something else at South Mountain.
13 What exactly is the position of the community?" I was
14 told from their standpoint it made sense to put
15 Guadalupe in a Congressional District with the AUR
16 presented at the South Mountain Community College
17 meeting. For a Legislative District, they still felt
18 their interests lie more closely with Tempe.

19 Shortly after that point, there was,
20 apparently, a coup in the community of Guadalupe and the
21 Mayor was replaced. And there is a new group that is in
22 control of the Town Government at this point.

23 We received a letter from them saying that
24 they definitely want to be with the South Phoenix area
25 in both the Congressional and Legislative Districts.

1 So in terms of what the people of
2 Guadalupe want, I'd say your guess is as good as mine.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further comment?

4 What we have, Mr. Johnson, is what we
5 have. Comment from elected officials has indicated
6 they'd like to remain with Central Phoenix.

7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, the
8 draft map, let's send it out. I'm sure, I'm confident
9 somebody will tell us.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The other comment
12 we got this morning was important, really had to do with
13 over to the west. And I think it will impact from the
14 other side. I can't quite tell which of the concerns
15 came from the other direction. It's the area he was
16 speaking about from the other side.

17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: V and R.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Commissioner Hall's point,
19 in general terms, to consider what Representative
20 Miranda said this morning, in public comment, to put it
21 in context, at a public hearing, every hearing, we'll
22 have public hearings all throughout and it will also be
23 on the record today.

24 You might also check the transcript as you
25 will with the Central Phoenix hearing this morning as

1 Mr. Miranda spoke of Tolleson, in particular the
2 Avondale, Tolleson issue, with respect to the Avondale
3 Tolleson issue, with respect to that issue.

4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: New development
5 areas with very expensive, high-end housing going in
6 being combined with city areas with poverty and exactly
7 opposite communities of interest being combined in that
8 configuration.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Johnson or Mr. Hall.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Couple questions,
11 Doug.

12 Is this an attempt to connect rural and
13 urban areas?

14 MR. JOHNSON: It's more an attempt to
15 minimize the portion of Gilbert taken in by taking the
16 entirety of Maricopa County portion of Queen Creek,
17 minimize the Gilbert portion now, the southern portion
18 now that's much less densely populated.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Likely a high growth area.

20 COMMISSIONER HALL: It is.

21 Where are the city boundaries of Chandler,
22 approximately?

23 MR. JOHNSON: It's almost impossible to
24 describe the city borders in this area.

25 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right.

2 Mr. Johnson, the next area.

3 MR. JOHNSON: The only other question is
4 in the Maricopa area, we arrived at this late in our
5 discussions today. We did get the four discussions
6 referenced before we added a couple comments today. I
7 wanted to be sure there weren't any other priority
8 concerns of the Commission or instructions of the
9 Commission we didn't get to the other day.

10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think there may be one
11 question as we see the direction we've already given you
12 fleshed out in terms of change that might be rendered,
13 some of the Central City District, Western Districts,
14 and possible correction of the Tempe-Chandler-Gilbert
15 Districts which will have a ripple effect throughout the
16 valley anyway, look at those as they remain.

17 Mr. Huntwork.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Now we're moved down to the
19 Tucson area.

20 This is an area of considerable focus
21 right within Tucson and for the considerable effect they
22 have on the surrounding areas, you go into Pinal, given
23 all the input requests we've received from that area.
24 This district obviously begins with understanding we
25 have the state border on the sides, population coming in

1 from the south and the east that has to be kept in mind.
2 It also faces issues, as you know, of high growth,
3 especially on the north side.

4 What we took from direction the other day
5 is we had only captured the best community splits of
6 Tucson. So what we've attempted to do is implement some
7 suggestions on the other side, have not fully
8 implemented all suggestions. Some are significantly
9 underpopulated. I is overpopulated by 17,000. They are
10 definitely works in progress. The impacts of changes
11 made so far is over here a more hills oriented district
12 and creation of this new area along the east side of the
13 river, east side of the freeway district.

14 Before there were two really east-west
15 running districts in this area.

16 District H, you see out here, is pushed
17 out more into Tucson into small parts that are pushed
18 out into suburbs. As noted before, if it helps
19 reference, this area here is the Air Force Base.

20 Other changes we've made at this point are
21 moving the district and freeway Y here over to link with
22 the district in the east instead of the west in response
23 to feedback direction and feedback in the community on
24 the mapping.

25 Questions we're facing here, internally in

1 Tucson, we have a couple. Number one, I should point
2 out we're still testing alternatives for how to comply
3 with the AUR, overall Hispanic AUR in the area. I'll
4 label the AUR a joint Hispanic AUR. I'd seek guidance
5 if the river is a good divider, how you might see that
6 impacting where we're at in drafting the state right
7 now, and of particular interest is the definition of the
8 Tucson Metro area.

9 Let me bring up what I have in mind at
10 this point is based on a comment. I don't think there's
11 official direction from the Commission, but mention of
12 the Coalition plan seemed to have a good border. Let me
13 bring that border up. And I just wanted to follow up
14 with you and see if that is -- was a specific direction
15 you wanted to give us or if there has been other
16 thoughts on that, including really all the way up to the
17 county line is Tucson Metropolitan, or consider some of
18 this more rural and attempt to stick more with a closer
19 into Tucson basing of these districts.

20 So that would be one particular question I
21 have. Would your preference be to see districts go out
22 to the county line as much as possible, have the issue
23 of population coming this way, down, or population
24 coming up, or some mix if that is possible.

25 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Could we zoom in and

1 see a few more roads.

2 MR. JOHNSON: Sure. Which?

3 COMMISSIONER ELDER: In the area you are
4 referring to.

5 More.

6 MR. JOHNSON: Let me turn on the streets.

7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: The urban area of
8 Tucson.

9 Okay. That's good.

10 What we've got there is the Tracy Roads
11 that go out to the east. That's the Balinca Ranch,
12 Catalinas, and Red Rocks, the only area would make sense
13 bringing into urban areas, Steve.

14 Highlight the moutain, up in the area --
15 no. There you go. I don't know that it goes that far,
16 but it maybe does. There's a town up at the top of the
17 Catalinas that does have residents. And the only road
18 that goes up there comes down into the urban area of
19 Tucson, going to the east at all. It makes sense to
20 incorporate that portion to the urban area as well as
21 sort of the east west tan portion right above the green,
22 right in there.

23 There's some areas that are within the
24 urbanized area of Tucson that are very difficult, goes
25 back to why you can't get there from here. There's the

1 possibility of including those in the area urban area of
2 Tucson.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: But the point about the
4 county line to the east, I mean the county line to the
5 east evaporates into the eastern part of -- southeast
6 portion of the state. To that extent, going out in that
7 direction with a district, I don't think it's
8 particularly critical.

9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I was going to say
10 drag out that direction from northeast to the southwest.

11 Okay. Right there is good. See that line
12 runs from where the hand is to the left and runs north.
13 That's San Pedro from San Manuel to Pomerene and Benson.
14 There is a valley there that runs there, and it makes
15 sense keeping that with Cochise, or EACO, or something
16 besides the urban area of Tucson. The roads may be good
17 enough without chuckholes you could get the there from
18 Tucson, but I don't think so.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: One area we're
21 forgetting, and it is the area in the northern part of
22 Tucson that goes into Pinal County, the communities of,
23 I guess, now the Sun City Vistoso.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Saddlebrooke.

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Saddlebrooke.

1 Currently the districts drawn, the one we had such
2 difficulty with last night, the one in Eastern Pinal and
3 into Maricopa County, a good portion of the district is
4 in Metropolitan Tucson. When dealing with what
5 Metropolitan Tucson would have to deal with, the issue
6 is what is going to happen with Pinal County.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Well, again, topography
8 here, the town is at the Catalina base, base of the
9 Santa Catalina Mountains. Growth along the corridor is
10 here. It does not affect here along this state highway.
11 It has gone over the county line into Pinal to the
12 extent that community is there. And Saddlebrooke and
13 Sun City Vistoso on opposite sides of the state
14 highway bisect the highway, try to keep it together.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Keep it in the
16 Tucson Metropolitan area?

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Makes sense. It's all a
18 community of interest, if you will, arts, culture,
19 shopping, support systems, health care, and so on, go to
20 the south.

21 If, Doug, you can go to this portion of
22 the map, give me more emphasis on that.

23 I'd draw attention to portions of Central
24 Tucson, the district labeled J, and a portion of
25 District I, which is between the two interstates. That

1 should work very well.

2 This section of I is more closely aligned
3 culturally and in terms of community of interest with
4 this area of J than the downtown area of Tucson. And as
5 a result, to the extent that this triangle could be
6 reunified in this direction, it would make more sense
7 than having it relate across the freeway and then over
8 this portion of this district to the west of I.

9 MR. JOHNSON: I would add this region
10 right here is the region we were last working on. Don't
11 take these lines as anything significant.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I understand you were
13 trying to give direction in that regard.

14 MR. JOHNSON: It's very useful.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I do think in terms of the
16 district you've created, potentially, in terms of the
17 green district, the one that is running sort of
18 northwest to southeast along the base of the mountains,
19 if you will, it's probably a fairly good representation
20 of a community of interest that makes sense in Tucson.
21 I mean it's going to be more homogeneous than not as a
22 district.

23 Mr. Elder.

24 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I think I would agree
25 with that. Although I think when we look at the impacts

1 on Pinal County and we take the areas from Saddlebrooke
2 down and try and link them back into communities back
3 into south of Pima, we may very well have to come back
4 in and pick up portions of what is labeled as Flowing
5 Wells and shift things to the east a little bit.

6 I would also note that sort of brighter
7 green area without the roads is the river I was
8 referring to. And linkages across that are probably not
9 as strong as if you went east to west.

10 That area there, if there are ways of
11 either extending, picking up urban areas in the tan, get
12 out of rural District 2 southeast and then Cochise and
13 giving up areas to the south of the river for that area,
14 it would be preferable.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Also you see the influence
16 of the Catalina Mountains here.

17 MR. JOHNSON: Right.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: And this portion of the
19 green district at this point may fit as well this
20 direction as it does this direction because of the
21 influence of the mountains. You could be flexible there
22 in terms of where it goes. I know that is what you are
23 interested in, flexibility, not rigidity of the
24 direction.

25 Other comments on the Tucson area?

1 Mr. Elder.

2 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Let me weigh one in.

3 Doug, if you'd put up the school
4 districts, maybe not now them as doing adjustment of the
5 lines, we did have fairly strong testimony in relation
6 this morning about school districts in Phoenix, but also
7 had, during community outreach, comments about
8 districts, one at Amphitheatre and the County Foothills
9 District being appropriate. Those might finalize
10 exactly where you do shift some of the lines.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is this the last area of
12 concern or are there others?

13 MR. JOHNSON: There are a last area,
14 bigger picture Tucson questions.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We do need to take a
16 break. I guess I want a sense from the Commission.

17 Do you think we're close to finishing
18 direction from the consultants so they can take their
19 leave and begin work? If so, we could move ahead
20 through comments. Or if there are substantially more
21 comments, we should take a break.

22 Ms. Minkoff.

23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The only question,
24 we've really not dealt with Pinal County at all.
25 Obviously we have to deal with Pinal County.

1 Are other directions we're giving you
2 going to give you the flexibility that is going to
3 create something new or do we need to discuss that?

4 MR. JOHNSON: Pinal County is, as you
5 know, very affected by this. And, more or less, every
6 line I draw in Tucson is trying to get visual
7 flexibility in Pinal. I'm sure we'll return for
8 additional direction as we get specifically into Pinal.
9 It may be more helpful and perhaps more focused use of
10 time to hold that until we get to that.

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'm not quite sure
12 what is being said there. You have to take Pinal County
13 as one of the prime directives today. You have to start
14 with that as much as anything else you've been told, as
15 least as far as I'm concerned. I think we've said that
16 before. That is equal, as far as I know, equal to any
17 direction you've been given.

18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I agree.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The starting place in the
20 Pinal County plan may have been, essentially keeps the
21 county intact. The difficulty, as all of us have said,
22 have heard in the testimony as late as yesterday, the
23 difficulty with that is the position of Casa Grande,
24 particularly in relationship with Phoenix, Tucson, and
25 in relationship to reservations, both north and south.

1 Then we have the issue of mining, the Indians on the far
2 eastern side of that. All of that, all of that creates
3 issues to deal with that.

4 Mr. Huntwork's point, to draw everything
5 else, whatever happens is okay. We are saying try to
6 take that into account as you draw, as you take all
7 other things into account, to achieve as much of an
8 accommodation to Pinal County as is feasible.

9 MR. JOHNSON: If I may, one common concern
10 in our redistricting, once you draw an area and it's
11 locked in, once you draw Tucson, it's not locked in at
12 all. In many situations we'll have drawn all but the
13 last district and then have had to redraw everything.
14 We're not locking in everything just because it's not
15 first.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Can we zoom out, go
18 to Gila River to about the border so we can see the
19 various linkages and -- okay.

20 MR. JOHNSON: This is actually the last
21 question I had. It's similar to the Phoenix point, to
22 the Commission's comment, or discussion we had the other
23 day, Tucson comes up a little short in getting five full
24 districts. Within this District G, obviously there were
25 a lot of factors in play. The Commission has been clear

1 in the interest's of Hispanic AURs, Pinal. I wanted to
2 check back if there was any additional direction at this
3 point.

4 COMMISSIONER HALL: My question is I
5 notice you've adjusted Santa Cruz County there,
6 incorporated it all with G. Is that population of G
7 correct with the way that sits?

8 MR. JOHNSON: I think it's still a little
9 short. Yes. It's at 156,000 and needs to reach a 171.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Because you moved H
11 out; is that correct?

12 MR. JOHNSON: H out and G moved east out
13 of here. I was attempting to see if I could swing it
14 around and what the effect of that would be.

15 COMMISSIONER HALL: Is that district, of
16 course you wanted to move -- is that a majority
17 minority?

18 MR. JOHNSON: G was not.

19 COMMISSIONER HALL: Close?

20 MR. JOHNSON: G is 117,000. Out of the
21 total of the district of 170, there are going to be --
22 and there -- I don't know off the top of my head the
23 Hispanic percent. It's not very high. That is one of
24 the thoughts in my mind, though, trying to a swing
25 little westward, see if that was something that came

1 into play. It still might.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: Okay.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are you seeking additional
4 direction at this time or do you feel you have enough
5 direction?

6 I'll get to us. I'm asking the
7 consultants at this point whether you've asked all the
8 questions you need to ask of us.

9 MR. JOHNSON: We'd certainly welcome any
10 direction on the Hispanic community, Pinal County,
11 Eastern Pinal County. Our knowledge may not be as good
12 at that point. If you have any ideas, we'd welcome
13 that.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Don't think anything we
15 said takes away from those principles we started with
16 which were, again, it's hard to achieve and still be
17 appropriate in terms of Legislative mapping, and those
18 principles, you know, you committed to in terms of
19 Reservation land, in terms of Hispanic AURs, and urban
20 rural in terms -- frankly, that's one of the problems
21 with the former district L was it combined a significant
22 amount of rural space between two urban centers of
23 population. And that was what made, in part, made it
24 problematic.

25 Mr. Huntwork.

1 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I have another
2 issue, the purpose of which is to end up giving
3 direction.

4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I
5 propose something to resolve some of the things we're
6 looking at in the Oro Valley, Saddlebrooke area, in
7 there.

8 Take a look at the I area. One of things,
9 if we take this population out, then this to T, whatever
10 it is, it becomes underpopulated. It almost seems as
11 though if shifted, so it took in part of a balloon to
12 the south, whatever district that is, I can't see, and
13 allowed this area to come around some, that would take
14 and allow the expansion of Pinal County to go back over
15 and pick up some of the areas to the east and
16 incorporate that and lose some of the areas to the south
17 that had been questioned as to whether the district
18 should really go from the Maricopa Metro area all the
19 way to the border.

20 I guess what I'm trying to do is still
21 maintain the connection of the Santa Vera District to
22 Tohono O'odham and Pasca Yaqui as well as the main
23 Reservation area of Three Points south, the amalgamated
24 southern districts, and allow Pinal County to made more
25 whole. That would be helpful.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think you may run into a
2 dilution of the majority-minority district in that area.
3 We can at least look at it.

4 Okay.

5 COMMISSIONER HALL: You say "that area."
6 You mean I?

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If you do exactly what
8 Mr. Elder said, move some of that area in a
9 counter-clockwise motion.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Right.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I may become, or E,
12 depending on where it ripples, may become --

13 COMMISSIONER HALL: Is I majority
14 minority?

15 MS. LEONI: I is majority-minority, yes.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: And E, should it work
17 out.

18 MS. LEONI: I is overpopulated by 17,000.

19 COMMISSIONER HALL: I is.

20 MS. LEONI: I by adjustment will remain
21 so.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: There may be room for
23 adjustment.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay.

25 Other direction at this point?

1 Again, if lengthy, trying to be fair to
2 Lisa as well as everybody else, if there is a lot more
3 to say, let's take a break. If not much more to say, we
4 could be finishing over the noon break, which is
5 lengthy.

6 Ms. Minkoff.

7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: One quick thing,
8 referring to the EACO area that is currently an entire
9 Legislative District. That is an AUR this Commission
10 felt extremely important, I still feel is extremely
11 important; however, I don't believe that it has a
12 position above and beyond any other AUR in the state.
13 And so as you look at trying to deal with some of these
14 very, very difficult issues, while it's the sense of the
15 Commission, or at least my sense that we try to keep
16 that AUR intact. If there are some minimal adjustments
17 you need to make in order make other things work, I
18 personally would not have a problem with that.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.

20 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Further along the
21 same lines, just yesterday evening Mr. Seanez made a
22 very important argument on behalf of the Navajo tribe.
23 The gist of it was that, according to him, we would be
24 diluting, in effect, the existing district if we failed
25 to include the two Apache Reservations in the same

1 district with the Navajos. We've heard some arguments
2 to the contrary.

3 One thing that is crystal clear is that by
4 our own standards, the issue of Native Americans,
5 Hispanics, and rural versus urban are three super
6 priorities take priority over any AURs, including
7 Yavapai versus Pinal or anything else. Had we not come
8 to that conclusion explicitly, it would have been
9 implicit anyway because of the fact that the Federal
10 Constitution and Federal Voting Rights have priority.

11 Before we promulgated a plan for
12 discussion, I would like to have the very best advice
13 that our collective legal counsel can provide to us
14 based on the information we have today, including
15 information that was suggested to us by Mr. Seanez, as
16 to whether, between that, whether we are required to do
17 it or are prohibited from doing it. Might we be packing
18 if we did it? Might we be creating nonminority
19 districts under 106? Clearly that's one of the driving
20 decisions and may affect a lot of things around it. I
21 think we need to have the best wisdom and advice we can
22 get before we -- in that regard, before we take our next
23 step.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Does legal counsel
25 understand the question?

1 MR. RIVERA: Yes.

2 Want the answer now or for us to brief it?

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I suspect -- I
4 doubt it's an easy enough question to answer now. In
5 any event, I think it appropriate to provide legal
6 advice in Executive Session.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The next question, whether
8 or not required at this point, the next question is if
9 that advice impacts significantly the direction
10 consultants go and to figure out where they go. We
11 don't want to waste their work and hard effort if it
12 would significantly impact their work and effort.

13 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Precisely.

14 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, the
15 previous plan submitted, or I should say NDC's draft,
16 that's why we have expert staff testing each of the
17 proposals relative to those issues. I don't Ms. Leoni
18 sitting on her hands with respect to those issues.
19 She's considered those issues. It's a continuous issue
20 in Mr. Huntwork's mind. He should sit down with counsel
21 and satisfy the question to the best extent possible. I
22 believe those issues have already been tested,
23 especially in light of this proposal and the previous
24 proposals.

25 Again, I reiterate, we don't have time to

1 throw the vehicle in reverse. That still will not
2 preclude whatever questions Mr. Huntwork will, have from
3 questions, many of which are contained in the three
4 binders we already have in our possession.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Does legal counsel wish to
6 respond?

7 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, Jose and I are
8 not sure we have an answer any more conclusive than what
9 we had already indicated to the Commission in our last
10 Executive Session.

11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Then we turn to the
12 consultants.

13 To Mr. Hall's point, do you feel
14 sufficiently advised of this issue as to be able to
15 proceed at this point to be able to bring us back
16 another draft Legislative map?

17 MS. LEONI: I'd like to answer that we're
18 sufficiently aware of the issue. There is data that is
19 going to be brought to bear on it which may color the
20 advice we'll be giving one way or another. Data will
21 request possible adjustment. We're aware of the issue
22 and bear it in mind. We me we can proceed under the
23 circumstances understanding, though, this is an issue
24 that could cause adjustment in the second phase of
25 hearings.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.

2 We are at a point a break would make
3 sense, I believe. And the break that has been asked,
4 for and the time consultants have asked for to do their
5 work, and the schedule conferred with earlier, suggests
6 a five-hour break at this point. That would bring us
7 back slightly after 6:00 p.m.

8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: 6:30.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It would make sense to
10 move it to 6:30. I'd expect people to have done
11 whatever they wish to do in terms of food and water, and
12 begin at 6:30 and continue until a reasonable breaking
13 point this evening so we can reconvene.

14 I'll tell the public that we would this
15 evening have another opportunity for people to make
16 public comment. There would also be an opportunity
17 tomorrow at the meeting noticed that will begin at
18 approximately 11:00 a.m. on Sunday at the same place.

19 So that is our schedule.

20 Unless there is anything further from the
21 Commission, the Commission will stand in recess until
22 6:30 this evening.

23 (Recess taken at 1:10 p.m. until
24 approximately 6:34 p.m.)

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd call the Commission in

1 session.

2 For the record, all five Commissioners are
3 present in the room. And again, I will --

4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Is this on?

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: How about mine?

6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We need to add --

8 Thank you. That's better.

9 I'll call the Commission in session.

10 For the record, all five Commissioners are
11 in the room, although not all seated, but they are
12 present.

13 For the benefit of those in attendance
14 this evening, the schedule will be approximately as
15 follows: We will have a presentation on the
16 Congressional changes that were discussed earlier today.
17 We will, at the end of the discussion on the
18 Congressional map, probably have call to the public, and
19 then end the session this evening. It is reasonable to
20 expect that the changes that have to take place to the
21 Legislative map will take a sufficient amount of time
22 that it would be counterproductive to again discuss
23 those before the consultants have had an ample
24 opportunity to create a new draft for us to look at.
25 That would be the subject of tomorrow's meeting, which

1 will begin at 11:00 a.m. and run no later than 3:00 p.m.
2 tomorrow. Should we not be able to adopt both maps by
3 tomorrow afternoon, which is certainly possible, we've
4 made contingency plans for another meeting of the
5 Commission later this week, time and place to be
6 announced, to finalize the maps and preliminarily adopt
7 them to be taken out for public comment.

8 So that's where we are in our process.

9 Dr. Adams.

10 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Lynn, Members of
11 the Commission, good evening.

12 I'm here to present a report, it is a
13 little report, on the two recommendations that were made
14 this morning regarding the Congressional draft plan.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Dr. Adams, could
16 you get a little bit closer to the microphone, please.

17 DR. ADAMS: Get a little closer. Okay.

18 Today, August 11th, the Commission
19 instructed NDC to again make modification of the
20 Congressional draft map by moving the unincorporated
21 area east of North Scottsdale into District E. The
22 Commission further instructed NDC to balance the
23 population using Phoenix territory south of the Arcadia
24 area. Here is the result of that revision.

25 As you can see, we have a considerably

1 cleaner, straighter line between B and E. I'll show you
2 a little bit, zoom in on that, see what we've done in
3 both districts to go up to the county line.

4 And then to compensate for population
5 taken in there, we went down in the Arcadia area below
6 Paradise Valley, and I'll actually show you with the
7 pointer. We actually straightened out this line a
8 little bit all the way around here to equalize the
9 population with the rest of this which is a city
10 boundary. So the cities are unified.

11 In addition, the Commission instructed NDC
12 to explore unifying the University of Arizona Campus in
13 the Tucson area, and this is the area of concern. We
14 did affect that unification. And the area in question,
15 right here, that's the area that we moved, east Grant
16 Avenue down Campbell. And that is the territory that
17 was moved.

18 The change, actually, was affected up
19 here, the trade in population. This line was
20 straightened. Some of the territory up here was
21 actually smoothed out a bit. And I'll show you the
22 results of the change here.

23 On our August 4th draft we had a total
24 Hispanic population of 51.27 percent. In the August 10
25 draft, with the change of a portion of the campus

1 requested to be moved, the total Hispanic figure was
2 50.97. And then with this change that we effected
3 today, the percentage went to 50.87. The overall
4 minority population changed only slightly from the
5 August 10 draft, 61.48, 61.4, and then the voting age
6 Hispanic population went from the original August 4
7 draft, 45.36 percent to 44.9 to the August 10 draft to
8 44.8 in the current draft.

9 So those are the results of the work that
10 you had us do on the Congressional map today. And I'd
11 be happy to actually go to the map to answer any
12 questions that you have.

13 MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman, Dr. Adams,
14 could we go back and look at your figures again?

15 DR. ADAMS: Uh-huh. Did I do them wrong?

16 MR. RIVERA: No. I want to look at your
17 VAP on that.

18 Do you have total minority VAP, Native
19 American, Hispanic VAP on the district?

20 DR. ADAMS: Current district.

21 MR. RIVERA: August 4th, August 10th.

22 MS. LEONI: Total minority VAP.

23 MR. RIVERA: Hispanic, Native American.

24 DR. ADAMS: I have it on the spread sheet.

25 MR. RIVERA: I guess I should look at it.

1 DR. ADAMS: You should look at it.

2 54.8 -- no, wait a minute. Am I looking
3 at the right number? Yes. No, I wasn't looking at the
4 right number. Yes. 54.8. It's 61.4 total minority,
5 and total minority VAP, 54.8. That's for the August 11
6 draft.

7 I think I have the other with me. And I
8 can tell you what it was. 54.8.

9 So in the total minority VAP, there was no
10 change.

11 MR. RIVERA: Thank you, Dr. Adams. And
12 sorry for the interruption.

13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: As soon as you have that
14 interactive --

15 You have it on the interactive map,
16 Dr. Adams?

17 DR. ADAMS: I do have it.

18 Is this the area you would like to look at
19 or go back to other --

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: No. Total map.

21 DR. ADAMS: Oh, yeah.

22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: In using the impression
23 issue analogy, using brush strokes, let's see the whole
24 painting.

25 DR. ADAMS: I'll take the Census blocks

1 off. Bear with me in this drawing. I'll turn that
2 layer off and we'll go back.

3 Actually those are streets. You probably
4 want them on.

5 I'll turn them off. Right now I'll hide
6 those.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay.

8 Mr. Huntwork.

9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I just wanted to
10 take a look at the south end of B, with streets, to see
11 where they are.

12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Did you hear the question,
13 Dr. Adams?

14 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I want to take a
15 look at the new south end of B.

16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: With streets.

17 COMMISSIONER HALL: And to that point,
18 Mr. Huntwork, it may be beneficial for the audience if
19 you show them the boundaries of all of those internal
20 districts. I know several of them out there would like
21 to know where east, west.

22 DR. ADAMS: It's drawing the streets.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is it possible,
24 Mr. Huntwork, if this doesn't do what you wanted done,
25 please correct me, to Mr. Hall's point, it might be

1 useful in the urban areas for us not to hit every jog,
2 every move along the city boundary, but generally give
3 parameters of the northernmost boundary, eastern,
4 westernmost, the most predominant boundary line.

5 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Lynn,
6 Commissioner Huntwork.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The urban districts, for a
8 number of people in the audience, not for their benefit,
9 per se, but there are a number of people concerned about
10 this, and we'd like to be as precise as we can about
11 this.

12 DR. ADAMS: Okay. You can actually see,
13 let me get my pointer here, you can see this fairly
14 well. But we are looking here at the east valley -- you
15 don't want the whole thing. We're looking at city
16 boundaries up here. So we have Paradise Valley up in
17 this valley. That's actually the city boundary. Get
18 down here, go around Arcadia, down here to Oak Street.
19 And we have 35th Street, and then along -- the gully
20 here is Thomas Road. I apologize. That is Thomas Road.
21 And then that street is not properly labeled, doesn't
22 look like it's properly labeled. I'll have to further
23 zoom in. That's not accurate.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Pasadena, one block north
25 of Camelback.

1 DR. ADAMS: Actually Bethany Home.

2 So let's continue. Hopefully we'll
3 continue -- I apologize. It does take a while for
4 streets to draw on here. See 46th Avenue, and if I zoom
5 in here, it says 52nd Lane, Jomax Drive. If I zoom in a
6 little closer, West Happy Valley on the top. We walked
7 around this part before, 19th Avenue, Jomax, then once
8 again you are looking at a city boundary, moving on up
9 to Carefree Highway, the city boundary again, when you
10 see awkward little juttings out. And then all the way
11 up to the top, to the county line, Seven Springs Road,
12 yeah, Seven Springs Road, Trail 247, and you are coming
13 down, again, to the territory that we just took in,
14 county territory on the eastern side of Scottsdale, Pima
15 Road, North Pima Road. Again, we've got an odd little
16 city boundary going on right here coming on around this
17 way.

18 Very often when people get accused of
19 gerrymandering it's because they're following a city
20 boundary.

21 North Scottsdale Road.

22 Generally it goes along North Scottsdale
23 Road back to the beginning point. And the boundary is
24 Paradise Valley.

25 I can go over and take a look at D for

1 you. You see we followed Indian School, 99th Avenue,
2 and that's Camelback.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Camelback.

4 DR. ADAMS: 43rd Avenue, Palo Verde Drive.
5 You have to see that one down a little bit lower. Oh,
6 North 33rd Street, East Cambridge Avenue, 39th Place,
7 11th Street. I can zoom in and get these. If you want
8 a little more detail, I'd be happy to zoom in on those.
9 48th Street, and we are down to leave the boundary of
10 the Ahwatukee area. You see West Ray Road, and this is
11 the boundary of the rest of the region we're looking at
12 here. And then we're looking at the City of Avondale,
13 coming up along the border of Glendale, and Camelback
14 Road, and then back to where we begin.

15 Do you want to see the others? We could
16 see some others, but here again we're at Camelback,
17 going along the boundary of Glendale, I believe that is
18 43rd and 51st, that border of Glendale. And then you
19 see we have some little jogging up to Happy Valley Road,
20 then 19th up to Jomax, and then you see Carefree
21 Highway, 27th Street, I believe we have the Phoenix
22 border coming in there between them, and then Glendale,
23 Phoenix, and then this is that the very northern
24 territory going up to the county line. I'm trying to
25 get my hand back. Okay. We're getting into fairly

1 unincorporated area.

2 Want me to zoom out a bit?

3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think this is in
4 the part in Yavapai County. I think you left Maricopa
5 County behind.

6 DR. ADAMS: There you go. So we've just
7 come to the finger that has come up to the Hopi
8 Reservation and some of the Yavapai County territory.

9 I think I'll zoom out a little more again
10 on this boundary as well. You can see a little letter
11 where you are. I think you can generally see where you
12 are. On this, rather than looking at streets away from
13 the urban area, you're looking at counties now. And
14 then back around to Avondale.

15 Looking at this area, I can generally
16 describe to you we're taking in the cities of Mesa,
17 Apache Junction, Gold Canyon, Gilbert, a portion of
18 Chandler, the division of Chandler at Dobson Road, Queen
19 Creek, and a bit more of Pinal County.

20 Are there others you wanted to see?

21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like you to
22 zoom out, if you would, and just show us the state.

23 DR. ADAMS: Uh-huh.

24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Dr. Adams, so I can

1 understand what I'm seeing here, essentially the changes
2 from the previous draft are the inclusion of the
3 inclusion of Hopi Reservation in A and changes in
4 Metropolitan areas of Phoenix and Tucson. Essentially
5 that's it, correct?

6 DR. ADAMS: That's correct.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments or
8 questions for Dr. Adams?

9 If you don't have questions, I'd like a
10 comment, a sense. Do you think this helps? Are we
11 close?

12 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think we're
13 getting there.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are we awake?

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That I'm not sure.
16 I think we're getting there. I think this is definitely
17 a move in the right direction. For now, I think it
18 would. There are obviously other things, other issues
19 we need to look at; but based on the information we have
20 now, I think it looks okay.

21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Again, I want to remind
22 everyone that when this map is adopted for public
23 review, it is adopted only for public review, public
24 review and subsequent testing. Other information that
25 may come to light between the time we put it out for

1 review and the time of final adoption may have impacts
2 on the map in a variety of ways, and we should all be
3 prepared for that. This map, once approved for public
4 review, will not be perfect and will not have been
5 completed. I mean there are anomalies even in terms of
6 population among the districts. And we know that. So
7 this map will go out for review with a disclaimer to
8 that effect. It is in fact a draft. It will have been
9 an adopted draft when we get to that point.

10 Any further comment by the Commission on
11 the map as it stands? If not, what I suggest is that
12 we, as soon as possible, make the map in detail
13 available both to the public and to the Commissioners.
14 But I think it would be prudent for us to adopt both
15 maps, Congressional and Legislative, after a period of
16 review, that is our own review. I would like for the
17 actual adoption of the Congressional map to wait until
18 we've had a chance to look at Legislative maps and until
19 we've had time to review this as well.

20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, I'd
21 like to request if NDC could put this on CD by tomorrow
22 so we could load it onto our laptops and review it.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

24 COMMISSIONER HALL: We might as well ask
25 for floppies to be available in the event some in the

1 audience members prefer to have a data copy available,
2 also.

3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Anything else anyone would
4 like to add at this point to the Congressional map
5 issue?

6 Mr. Elder.

7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I don't
8 know whether it's Florence or Alan, but would you update
9 your spread sheet for the Congressional map on the new
10 numbers so spread sheet is reasonable to what the
11 changes reflect?

12 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Elder, you do
13 have an updated spread sheet. The one I handed out this
14 evening is an updated spread sheet.

15 COMMISSIONER HALL: There is a reason both
16 he has are one because I have two.

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Okay. Never mind.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay.

19 We thank you for that diligent work. And
20 we will -- we will look forward to more detailed review
21 individually as we get that material. We appreciate
22 that very much.

23 I want to also maximize the amount of time
24 you have to work this evening on the Legislative
25 matters. But before we try to do that, we have a couple

1 of items on the agenda we might be able to take care of
2 before we allow the consultants to go back to their work
3 on the Legislative map.

4 If I can direct your attention to Item IX:
5 Presentation, discussion and possible decision
6 concerning the Commission's process during the 30-day
7 public comment period for items such as the release of
8 draft plans, mailing, citizen kits, and the procedure
9 for responding to submissions.

10 This was on the agenda to be discussed
11 today. I believe you received a packet and that was to
12 have been discussed today.

13 Dr. Heslop.

14 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, Members of the
15 Commission, as you approach the time for adoption of
16 these plans, it seems appropriate to begin considering
17 how they are best served in terms of release materials,
18 in terms of bringing their detail to the attention of
19 the public by the media, in bringing attention of
20 different groups whose interests are affected by these
21 plans, bringing them to the attention of those groups.
22 And also, since we have had a substantial round of
23 hearings, 24 hearings in different parts of the state,
24 it does seem appropriate to let all those who attended
25 those hearings have some information on the Commission's

1 plans.

2 So the first items that I considered in
3 this short memorandum have to do with the effort to
4 bring the plans to the attention of those who might be
5 interested, should be interested, and have in the past
6 been interested.

7 On item four, it seems appropriate that as
8 we enter the second round of hearings decisions should
9 be made on the materials that go into citizens, what
10 exactly should go in the kit or packet for citizens.

11 One very important element of such a kit
12 is a statement from the Commission about its plans. And
13 I have provided a draft of such a statement that the
14 Commission may wish to consider for including in kits.

15 And then, as we approach the time for
16 citizenry action, I think it would be well to consider
17 the procedures that we need to have in place for
18 responding to citizen reaction.

19 All of our experience over the last 28
20 years is that it is the second round when citizens see
21 the lines, it is then that you will get an outpouring of
22 questions, of comment, indeed, of suggestions for change
23 and of sideline rounds of competition of your own and a
24 large volume of citizen recommendations. It seems now
25 is the time to think ahead of how these submissions

1 should be completed and to what extent the Commission
2 wants to formalize procedures for formalizing
3 submissions and comparing them and how exactly we should
4 respond.

5 It would, for example, be an idea, one we
6 have in the past used, to develop an analytical table
7 that would allow the comparison of many statewide plans,
8 or indeed partial plans, on key facts.

9 So this is our effort, Mr. Chairman,
10 Members of the Commission, to look ahead to the period
11 which will begin after adoption of your plans and to
12 think through how the Commission might wish to respond.

13 The attachments to that short memorandum,
14 the draft statement, seem to us appropriate to state
15 very clearly the 106 criteria, the other guidelines that
16 were used by the Commission, and then to make clear that
17 the changes that the Commission is interested in
18 considering would be changes that are in line with those
19 criteria, with those consorts, and only changes in line
20 with those criteria and principles.

21 The attached memorandum of July 18 is our
22 effort to suggest a way of contacting all of those who
23 attended the first round of hearings with a letter
24 telling them what has happened and with a short summary
25 statement of plans and some outline maps.

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
Phoenix, Arizona

1 Those are the ideas, Mr. Chairman. If
2 they are approved, we'd be happy to work on these
3 documents, refine them further, and work with Adolfo and
4 other members of his staff in helping these become a
5 reality.

6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Doctor, has legal counsel
7 reviewed the recommendations contained in the memo?

8 DR. HESLOP: They have indeed.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What is the pleasure of
10 the Commission?

11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I've looked it
12 over. In general, I think it's a good plan. My concern
13 is as we go out for public comment and people tell us
14 what they like or don't like --

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Use the microphone.

16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I want to
17 understand very, very clearly what changes they are
18 suggesting. I wonder in a draft form if there's
19 something that can be added to flesh out what we mean by
20 detailed suggestions, something like "provide specific
21 boundaries of districts, if you so choose," or -- not
22 requiring them to do it, but just allowing them to be as
23 specific as they want to be, as they can be, so we
24 really understand.

25 DR. HESLOP: Yes, indeed. We will do

1 that.

2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments?

3 Mr. Elder.

4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I suppose the center
5 of my question would be the timing. How soon would you
6 anticipate trying to process these? Like if we're going
7 to be meeting sometime this next week, would it be a
8 matter of days or so after that?

9 DR. HESLOP: Yes, Commissioner. It seems
10 to us we should be prepared for your adoption, and that,
11 very quickly thereafter in the wake of the first news
12 about this mail program that should begin. And we
13 should have, in being there, some procedures for
14 responding to criticism, suggestions, and all other
15 comments we're going to get. I predict they'll begin
16 perhaps sooner than you think.

17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: With respect to the
19 area, I guess I would call it the survey portion, is
20 that appropriate?

21 DR. HESLOP: Oh, yes.

22 COMMISSIONER HALL: Whatever you want to
23 call that, where we ask for their input, do you approve
24 or disapprove, in your experience, would it be better to
25 maybe put that on a scale of one to five versus --

1 DR. HESLOP: That's something to consider.

2 COMMISSIONER HALL: Would you please rate
3 on a scale of one to five your opinion relative to those
4 questions listed.

5 What is your opinion regarding that,
6 Dr. Heslop?

7 DR. HESLOP: I have several opinions
8 regarding that. Some people are unfamiliar with the
9 idea of rank ordering, so it confuses some respondents,
10 but it certainly gives you a more precise answer than
11 merely to approve or disapprove. And if it's precision
12 they are looking for, then that's a way to do it.

13 COMMISSIONER HALL: Maybe to that point,
14 it would be better in line with the fact we can always
15 go through school the A through F, if you will, grade --

16 DR. HESLOP: Grade, yes. I'm familiar
17 with the principle.

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: I understand that,
19 knew you would be, as we all are.

20 A possible suggestion, versus black or
21 white, pass or fail, true or false, maybe give us a
22 better sense.

23 DR. HESLOP: I think that's certainly
24 true, Commissioner Hall.

25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Any other comments?

1 Dr. Heslop, do you have what you need?

2 Mr. Huntwork.

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'm wondering if
4 there's a way we can try to propose a different kind of
5 input from ordinary citizens. We talked about this
6 theoretically, talked about this theoretically from the
7 beginning, but is there a way for a website, or some
8 other way, would it be feasible to create a mechanism
9 where ordinary citizens can test their ideas? We have
10 seen amply demonstrated one little change here affects
11 other places. We got, in the first round, we got input
12 from people where they thought lines should be drawn and
13 so on. The second round, in order to add a lot, it
14 probably needs to have a more quantitative dimension to
15 it. Is there a way we can help people to do that?

16 DR. HESLOP: Commissioner Huntwork, we
17 have in some jurisdictions, including a statewide
18 jurisdiction, say redistricting, we have made available
19 to citizens a redistricting program so that they can go
20 to a central site or take a diskette, disk, and work
21 with it. That is something that the Commission
22 certainly could do. It would involve expense,
23 obviously, and it is something that would have to begin
24 now, because it is not something that happens overnight.
25 But, again, we would be happy to share our experience in

1 that with Members of the Commission and see what we
2 could develop.

3 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'd appreciate
4 what you'd do with that including how much it would
5 cost, including how many such sites you'd have to
6 provide in order to create meaningful coverage of the
7 state.

8 DR. HESLOP: One of the things we
9 experienced in many of the jurisdictions is that
10 libraries, they are very keenly involved in things of
11 this sort. The library is very often a community
12 center. People can go to the library where they have
13 some equipment that may be necessary, so that is
14 something else that perhaps could be worked out in
15 association with the libraries throughout the state.

16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Any further comment?

17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.

19 COMMISSIONER ELDER: If at all possible, I
20 know we've had our average people out there at many of
21 the meeting locations that I was at. There were
22 locations they said they would be more than willing and
23 happy to post maps. I would like to see, if it's
24 possible, and with the timing we have, identify the
25 locations as we send out two different areas where they

1 can see a map, hard copy, note the library, if it is the
2 library, and have some eight-and-a-half by elevens of
3 their area that may be accessible so they can fill it
4 out and attach it to their response.

5 DR. HESLOP: Commissioner Elder, I think
6 that's a great idea, not only libraries but
7 jurisdictions, City Halls.

8 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'm concerned about
9 the interactive, now that I've had my own experience
10 with Maptitude, to ramp-up a program for the public
11 here. To e-mail, send a map, hard line, copy line, copy
12 it and send it back, we'd probably get more response
13 than trying to commence a new digital type of data base.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: First of all, I'd
16 like to agree with Commissioner Elder. I think for most
17 people the computer program will be a little
18 intimidating. Some people would have the sophistication
19 to use it, and they'll make themselves available of it,
20 avail themselves of it. For the most, people do better
21 with paper, pen, pencil.

22 To clarify what was said earlier, in
23 devising the draft form, asking about specific boundary
24 lines: If you do have a suggestion, if you want to
25 indicate alternative boundaries, the request a citizen

1 kit, maybe somebody, all they care about is looking at
2 this district, we've drawn this line in the wrong place
3 and instead of being along A Street it should be along B
4 Street, and that is all they want to tell us. The don't
5 want a citizen kit, don't want the rest. They live
6 between A and B and want to be in a different district.
7 The word draft form is easy for them to provide that
8 information.

9 DR. HESLOP: Individual changes might be
10 difficult to make in a map with 30 changes. I should
11 warn and encourage the Commission about the sort of
12 citizen response you have. Obviously, the people that
13 will take the time to write, to develop maps, are those
14 people who are unhappy with your adopted maps and want
15 to make changes. I do believe you'll find very
16 constructive, very interesting, often very useful
17 recommendations, and the time we give to this process is
18 well done.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The other thing I think
20 might be useful for those who will not, cannot, may not
21 avail themselves of an electronic methodology of looking
22 at the maps, as you've done with us, certainly as these
23 maps are finalized, if you would provide a general
24 written description of the district, generally speaking
25 it includes cities of, follows city boundaries of, has a

1 northern boundary of X, southern boundary of Y, so
2 people can, if they can't get it some other way, can
3 really fix in their minds in terms of neighborhood, in
4 terms of community, see how that fits; and if that will
5 accompany the map, that will make it that much easier.

6 DR. HESLOP: Something like that.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's also much more
8 easily translated into Spanish as well as made available
9 to people that have an interest that prefer that in a
10 language other than English, as is part of our
11 obligation.

12 Mr. Hall, anything?

13 Anything else for the consultants this
14 evening on this issue?

15 We thank you very much for all of your
16 hard work and sleepless nights this week. It was a bit
17 of a marathon.

18 DR. HESLOP: We'll be glad to sleep some
19 this evening.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right.

21 We have four opportunities on the agenda,
22 one more piece of which is call to the public.

23 This would be the time for consideration
24 and discussion of comments and complaints from the
25 public. Those wishing to address the Commission shall

1 request permission in advance by filling out a speaker
2 slip. If you would like one, staff will provide one for
3 you. Action taken as a result of public comment will be
4 limited to directing staff to study the matter or
5 rescheduling the matter for further consideration and
6 decision at a later date.

7 I have two. The First is from Jose
8 Solarez from the Gila River Indian Community.

9 Mr. Solarez.

10 MR. SOLAREZ: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.

11 I'm here on behalf of the Gila River
12 Indian Community. I'm a third generation Guadalupano
13 from Guadalupe, authorized by the community, Gila River
14 Indian Community.

15 I'll stress the importance of some
16 information I think has been left out that needs to be
17 shared.

18 Number one, the community expressed the
19 fact they do not feel very comfortable with a district,
20 Legislative District, that goes into Tucson, even though
21 they do have a sister tribe down there, the Tohono
22 O'odham Nation, a culturally related tribe.

23 The Salt River Indian communities, as you
24 are probably aware or not aware, the Akimel O'otham, the
25 Pima, and Pee Posh, the Maricopas, the two nations, the

1 Salt River and Gila River, that is, the plan submitted
2 is contrary to, we believe, the cultural linguistics and
3 picture for these communities. The Metropolitan, urban
4 tribes is very negative in the plans submitted, as has
5 been shown on this, by the NDC draft.

6 Number two, the other issue is we believe
7 a link has existed under the current District Seven
8 bringing in the Salt River, Fort McDowell, possibly due
9 to the fact many districts are utilized to hook up and
10 not cause drastic change in population figures to the
11 district south, below Casa Grande.

12 The fact Pinal County is now considered
13 part of Phoenix' SMSA statistical metropolitan area,
14 this is a big fact. The Casa Grande Metropolitan area
15 is the biggest boom, housing area, to affect the Phoenix
16 Metropolitan area, and will have a big impact on the
17 work force. Due to this fact, it is an economic factor,
18 stands out as a strong economic factor for the Ak-Chin,
19 Fort McDowell, because of their economic dependence on
20 the Phoenix area, not the Tucson area.

21 These areas have Casinos in the
22 communities dependent on the Phoenix Metro area.
23 Besides the Casino, which are very dependent on the
24 Phoenix Metro area, that's a big community that exists
25 in the Phoenix communities, doesn't exist with Tucson.

1 And Casa Grande being a sister city south of us and the
2 area south of us, we like to make it possible to keep it
3 intact with Maricopa County.

4 We feel there's a strong possibility,
5 basically District Seven, we've not seen major change in
6 population in many of the districts we have seen. Sure,
7 we did have some. Other than a few lizards and Gila
8 monsters, South Mountain Park, Guadalupe, and question
9 why there's Ahwatukee. Those are questions that haven't
10 been addressed.

11 This economic community of interest
12 Maricopa does not exist in any of the other areas with
13 economic interests.

14 Those sister tribes are very dependent on
15 Tucson population, and we're more dependent on the
16 Phoenix area for economic activity and our school
17 districts. And they're in Pinal and Maricopa County.

18 Those are issues that need to be addressed
19 and have not been brought fourth and need to be
20 considered when you make up the maps.

21 These pictures are very -- needs to be
22 broken out in a way of showing us a way of going again
23 around Apache Junction. Parts of Apache Junction can be
24 included. The fact remains there is a way to connect
25 Salt River, Fort McDowell, with Gila River. Fort

1 McDowell, as we now know, District Seven, we feel is not
2 a major factor.

3 Casa Grande voices concern about keeping
4 this. In fact, our community feels it's a community of
5 interest. And part of Casa Grande doesn't have a large
6 community of interest with our community.

7 The other part I'd like to bring up and
8 address is the data being provided, present all the
9 information. Mr. Rivera brought up, that's basically
10 the first group DOJ will look at to make sure there's
11 compliance, the American Indian, Native Americans.
12 Somehow we see more Hispanics being reflected than
13 Native Americans. We're interested in seeing data shown
14 up every time data goes up. Hispanic voting age
15 population, we believe that exists with Native Americans
16 also, especially since there's a large impact on total
17 majority-minority in districts. That's not reflected in
18 data presented. We feel it needs to be made available
19 when it comes out.

20 The other issue, too, that also should be
21 addressed is an issue of availability. Our community
22 possibly, I don't know the rights about software, but
23 we, like you said, not all Indian communities have
24 libraries. Our rural areas have resources to have a
25 library, not Pima and Pinal, but resources are

1 available. We hope, and it was mentioned, possibly
2 going back to local governments, making sure the City
3 Hall, sovereign nations have data available, also the
4 possibility of purchasing a license, if, you know, if
5 available, to have software available to us. We don't
6 have it that way. If cheaper, if it makes it available
7 to the public and the entities that are concerned and
8 involved in this process, I think that needs to be
9 brought up.

10 Those are my comments.

11 I'd like to come back after Mr. Seanez as
12 Mr. Joe Public here in regards to two things. I'll give
13 an opportunity for other people to come in. I might
14 come as an individual.

15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Solarez.

16 Don't go too far away. I only have one
17 other slip.

18 The other speaker slip is Larry Chesley
19 representing himself, a citizen.

20 Mr. Chesley.

21 MR. CHESLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

22 I live in Gilbert, Arizona.

23 I'm somewhat familiar with drawing maps.

24 I've helped Maricopa County on three occasions draw
25 maps: In 1994, when I was a State Senator, I was on the

1 committee that drew the maps in Southern Arizona that
2 were required by Justice, so I'm reasonably familiar.

3 I live in District 30.

4 The map that you have presented to us
5 already from the Legislative Districts, if I were only
6 interested in my own district, I love what you've drawn
7 for 30. My problem is the rest of the east valley has
8 been, I think, shorted. Tempe, I believe, is divided
9 into four different communities: Scottsdale, Mesa, and
10 Chandler.

11 I have a map. And I'm sorry, I wasn't
12 even going to come. I decided this afternoon I would.
13 I have a map and electronic disk, if I can leave that
14 with you. But I have presented a map with what are
15 boundaries like US 60. We used as square as you can get
16 rather than zig-zagging, as the map that you had
17 presented originally. The only ugly part of this map
18 now is 30, the one I will end up in, in any case.

19 I have two options, one, go down to
20 Coolidge, the New Johnson Ranch between Gilbert and
21 Coolidge that is growing very rapidly, we'd pick that
22 up. The one we would refer to is B on our map, that
23 would be some of Apache Junction, to get us up to the
24 numbers that we would need in District 30.

25 The map that you presented, again, I

1 believe that most of us in the east valley actually
2 could live with it except Tempe will not be happy with
3 it. The rest of us could live with it with two
4 exceptions. You put Queen Creek and part of East Mesa
5 with Tucson. They have absolutely nothing in common,
6 nothing.

7 And I know that you have to get numbers,
8 and you have to draw population, but -- and I forgot
9 what letter you put with that. I put a number on it of
10 12. But it goes all the way from McDowell Road all the
11 way down to Casa Grande in Tucson. I know you don't
12 gerrymander, because you said you wouldn't; but that
13 looks like an ugly district.

14 I appreciate the opportunity of addressing
15 you this evening. I know you don't have an easy job.
16 But I hope that you would at least give some
17 consideration to what I have presented.

18 If I may, I'd like to leave this with you.

19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Chesley.
20 We'd take the map and disk.

21 The map you've seen has been altered.

22 MR. CHESLEY: I understand that sir.

23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The map you referred to
24 was District L. Looked like L to me, too. That's why
25 we made changes to it.

1 MR. CHESLEY: Thank you, sir.

2 Again, Mr. Solarez, now representing
3 himself, a citizen of South Tucson -- South Phoenix, I'm
4 sorry.

5 MR. SOLAREZ: Again, Jose Solarez.

6 I did talk, by coincidence, with the Mayor
7 of Guadalupe. She asked I share her card.

8 The Elected Mayor and City Council,
9 Elected City Council, sent her letter by the people of
10 South Phoenix. I'll give that to Mrs. Minkoff. They
11 asked me to go ahead. They were concerned about her
12 voice.

13 Mrs. Minkoff, I'll share that information.

14 I'd also bring out another fact. As the
15 former city manager, former magistrate, former
16 Guadalupano, the way they live, the way it's been since
17 long ago, Guadalupe is one of the smallest cities. One
18 of the biggest problems they face is housing. Most of
19 it is replacement housing. A lot of us had problems
20 staying in housing. They don't have the luxury like in
21 Tempe and Mesa.

22 Most grow up in the five miles of
23 Guadalupe. Their cultural, families, educational,
24 linguistic ties to the community, pardon me, I'm
25 Hispanic as well. I live in the area of 48th Street and

1 Southern, basically South Phoenix. One thing, if you go
2 over, every third, fourth house, you'll run into someone
3 from Guadalupe. I call it Guadalupe. We didn't have
4 anything in Guadalupe to buy. We moved into the
5 neighborhoods. There is a community of interest, and
6 that has never been addressed, and it ties with our
7 culture. Those Pasca Yaqui, the religious community,
8 many people are there on Sunday because of religious
9 ties. They are always there on the weekend because of
10 family ties.

11 We need to stress the issue real true
12 community of interest in Arizona, Guadalupe.

13 One of the things you bring out before
14 South Phoenix became part of Phoenix, a community, South
15 Latino, 32nd Street and Baseline, in that area, around
16 Highland Canal, a small Hispanic canal, President
17 Clinton, on his tour, chose to eat in south central at a
18 small restaurant. That family happens to be from that
19 community. That family has a lot of strong family from
20 Guadalupe. Families have been set up 20, 30 years in
21 the area. It was developed before Phoenix was ever in
22 the area. In fact, it's a stronger tie in Guadalupe
23 than the Pasca Yaqui Indian Community.

24 The other factor that failed to be brought
25 forth, the fact the urban Indian population exists in

1 Phoenix. If you see that, the urban Indian population
2 within Central Phoenix, we know here we're talking about
3 what happens to be centered in that district. With
4 Guadalupe's Indian population and that within Phoenix,
5 it helps strengthen Indian population within the Central
6 Phoenix corridor. And that exists and goes up through,
7 like I said, within the northern boundaries, I think
8 Camelback Road.

9 I don't have a map in front of me.

10 The fact remains, the Indian population
11 needs to be addressed, so-called Indian population,
12 Guadalupe, because it's Hispanic, South Phoenix, rather
13 than Tempe. Tempe, used to be a part of Tempe. A lot
14 of people in the community felt issues and concerns were
15 not being addressed in the Legislature in the past.
16 Those are one of the reasons, because of the community
17 of interest, people did not understand the interests,
18 education issues, social issues, were not being
19 addressed.

20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Solarez.

21 Are there other members of the public
22 wishing to be heard at this time?

23 Not --

24 MR. HARTDEGEN: I can make an annual
25 appearance.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We're always happy to see
2 you, whether you speak or not. You are always with us
3 in our thoughts.

4 The Commission will stand in recess until
5 11:00 o'clock tomorrow morning, same location.

6 (Whereupon, the Commission recessed at
7 approximately 7:54 p.m.)

8

9

10

* * * *

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF ARIZONA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF MARICOPA)

BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing hearing was taken before me, LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Arizona, Certificate Number 50349; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing 168 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of all proceedings had upon the taking of said hearing, all done to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 29th day of August, 2001.

LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR
Certified Court Reporter
Certificate Number 50349

