ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Thursday, March 14, 2013 5:32 p.m.

Location

Evans House 1100 West Washington Boulevard Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Attending

Colleen C. Mathis, Chair (via teleconference)
Jose M. Herrera, Vice Chair (via teleconference)
Scott Day Freeman, Vice Chair
Linda C. McNulty, Commissioner (via teleconference)
Richard P. Stertz, Commissioner (via teleconference)

Ray Bladine, Executive Director
Buck Forst, Information Technology Specialist
Kristina Gomez, Deputy Executive Director

Mary O'Grady, Legal Counsel (via teleconference)
Joe Kanefield, Legal Counsel (via teleconference)

Reported By:

Marty Herder, CCR

Certified Court Reporter #50162

www.CourtReportersAz.com

1	Phoenix, Arizona March 14, 2013
2	5:32 p.m.
3	
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	(Whereupon, the public session commences.)
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: This meeting of the Arizona
9	Independent Redistricting Commission will now come to order.
10	Time is 5:30 p.m.
11	March 14, 2013.
12	Happy Friday, everyone.
13	The Pledge of Allegiance. There is a flag there,
14	and someone there could lead it.
15	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you.
17	And I think we have the roll call established
18	either on the phone or at Evans House. We have Joe
19	Kanefield and Mary O'Grady, our legal counsel. And then I
20	know Ray Bladine is there, our executive director, and you
21	have Kristina Gomez.
22	MR. BLADINE: Yes. And actually Mary and Joe are
23	in Mary's office. They're not here with us in the Evans
24	House.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you.

```
1
               Anybody else from staff that I missed?
 2.
               MR. BLADINE:
                            From staff? Buck.
                                                 And Marty is not
 3
     staff, but we think of him as staff.
 4
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   He's family.
 5
               MR. BLADINE:
                             Yeah.
 6
               MR. DESMOND:
                             This is Willie from Strategic
 7
     Telemetry. I'm on the phone as well.
 8
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, great. Okay.
 9
     Willie, I didn't know you were on the phone.
10
               Willie Desmond, and then I think that's everybody.
11
     Did I miss anyone?
12
               (No oral response.)
13
                                   Hearing none, we'll go ahead
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
14
     and move to agenda item two, legal advice, direction of
15
     counsel, discussion and possible action regarding, one,
16
     District Court decision on legislative privilege in the
    matter of Harris versus AIRC, including possible appellate
17
     review; two, discussion about counsel for individual
18
19
     commissioners in pending litigation; and, three, on other
20
     pending court challenges including Leach versus AIRC.
21
     Commission may vote to go into executive session which will
2.2
    not be able open to the public for the purpose of obtaining
23
     legal advice and providing direction to counsel.
24
               So with that, I'll leave it to Joe and Mary to
25
     tell us what they would like to tell us.
```

```
MARY O'GRADY: Well, our recommendation would be
 1
 2
     that we would go into executive session so we can address
 3
     the issues in the agenda.
                                    Okay. Do I hear a motion to
 4
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
 5
     go into executive session to discuss the items on the
 6
     agenda?
 7
                                    Madam Chair, this is Jose.
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
 8
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
 9
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Before we go into executive
10
     session, is there anything that can be discussed in public
11
     session from the agenda?
12
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Legal counsel, is there
13
     anything that can be discussed in public?
14
               MARY O'GRADY: We can say that the status of the
15
     case, this -- the Commission, as in the agenda it is the
16
     decision on legislative privilege, which the court issued in
17
     the end of February. We discussed a ruling that denied our
18
     motion as presented, but permitted discovery on the central
19
     issues in the case.
20
               And, and then I think, as you all know, we have a
21
     firm trial date in that matter.
22
               And so it's going to go to trial November 5th --
23
     20 -- no. When?
                       March.
                               March 25th.
24
               And so we have lots of deadlines that we're
25
     meeting in order to be ready for that trial date.
```

1 And then, as you know, we have -- did retain counsel for all the -- specific counsel for all the 2 individual -- for all the commissioners, in part as an 3 4 urging of the court because we were addressing the court issue of waiver of privilege, and in the Harris case. 5 6 And we do have two other pending lawsuits. 7 one that's mentioned in the agenda, Leach, is pending in 8 state court. And that is the challenge to the congressional 9 districts based on various state constitutional theories. 10 And we -- the court had granted part of our motion 11 to dismiss, and then there's been a new complaint filed that 12 replead some of the counts that had been previously 13 dismissed. 14 We filed a subsequent motion to dismiss because we 15 thought they were still not adequate under the court's 16 earlier ruling. 17 The court denied our subsequent motion to dismiss, 18 and that's the status of that case. 19 That just happened within the last few weeks. 20 Joe? 21 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, members of the 22 Commission, the only thing I would add is that we do have a 23

Commission, the only thing I would add is that we do have a responsive pleading in the Leach case tomorrow by extension, and that would be the date that we would do it, file an answer.

24

1	MARY O'GRADY: So, then there is another case
2	pending in federal court, which is a state legislature
3	challenge of the Commission's authority to do congressional
4	redistricting at all under the federal elections clause.
5	And in that case our motion to dismiss is pending,
6	and our motion to determine whether a three judge panel is
7	appropriate in that case is pending.
8	And so with that, that's the update on the status,
9	and so I would like to provide further advice and give
10	direction in executive session.
11	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, this is Linda
12	McNulty. I would move that we go into executive session for
13	the purpose of considering the items on the agenda.
14	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Do I hear a second?
15	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I second. This is Jose.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Any discussion?
17	(No oral response.)
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: All in favor?
19	VICE CHAIR FREEMAN: Aye.
20	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Aye.
21	COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Aye.
22	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Aye.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Aye.
24	We'll poll afterwards.
25	And then any opposed?

```
1
               (No oral response.)
 2
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Okay. I didn't hear any
    nays, so I'm assuming all five commissioners voted aye.
 3
 4
     did anyone vote nay or abstain?
 5
               (No oral response.)
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
                                           So we're -- the time
 7
    now is 5:39 p.m. We'll exit out of public session. And I'm
 8
    not sure if there's public in the room there, but they'll
 9
    have to clear out, I quess, and come back once we go back
10
     into public session.
11
               MR. BLADINE:
                             Okay. There's a few people, and we
12
     will ask them to exit and promise to go tell them when
13
    you're back in public session.
14
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Great.
                                            Sorry about that.
15
               (Whereupon, the public session adjourns.)
16
17
18
19
               (Whereupon, the public session resumes.)
20
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The time is 6:19 p.m.
21
     enter back into public session.
22
               I don't know if we still have Mr. Desmond on the
23
    phone.
24
               Are you there, Willie?
25
               (No oral response.)
```

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: He dropped off. 2. I guess he'd have to call back in. But we are now on agenda item three, the executive 3 4 director will summarize recent staff activity and respond to 5 commissioners' questions. 6 I assume that will be Mr. Bladine or Ms. Gomez. 7 MR. BLADINE: Madam Chair, I'm going to ask 8 Kristina to go ahead and start, and then I'll talk later 9 about the last memo we sent out to you late today. 10 Kristina? 11 KRISTINA GOMEZ: Madam Chair, Commission members, 12 just really briefly, current staff activity, we still have 13 three staff members. We have Lisa Schmelling and Shane. 14 They've been working with legal counsel on data 15 collection, and they're still collecting public input and 16 some media clippings. So they've been really busy though, mostly working with -- on the lawsuits with legal counsel. 17 And also Anna Garcia is still with us. She is 18 19 still in charge of processing all invoices, assisting 20 with contracts, and setting up meetings with legislative 21 members. 22 Recently we've been at the capital this past week 23 meeting with staff on a second supplemental request. 24 will give you an update I believe tomorrow on our staff 25 activity.

And just this past -- I believe this past week, you've received four different e-mails from the office regarding the budget.

You received an e-mail packet of the revised JLBC report that was submitted to JLBC on March 8th. And I forwarded the packet to you on the 13th.

The second packet that went out was the letter that was submitted to President Biggs and to Speaker Tobin. That e-mail I believe you received on March 11th.

And the third packet was an e-mail from myself and from Ray on March 11th, and that is the regular monthly budget packet.

The last e-mail that was submitted was submitted this morning. And that is a budget update. Last night Ray and I, and early this morning, we finally went through some more analysis of the budget. And we submitted the spreadsheet, the latest spreadsheet to you this morning.

So with that, I believe Mr. Bladine has some further information regarding this budget.

MR. BLADINE: Madam Chair, Commission members, the budget that we been working off that showed a \$551,000 need for additional appropriation was based upon the direction that the legislative leadership wanted us to follow, and that was to develop litigation budgets for each of the cases and then put those into a request for '13 and a request for

1 '14.

Well, as you all know, things have been going very rapidly, and it became clear to us last night that that budget, litigation budget, while only several weeks old, was very different than what we developed before.

And it was obvious that Kristina and I needed to try to see when we would run out of funds.

So the analysis we did last night and this morning shows that more likely we would be in the hole \$719,000 by the end of this fiscal year.

The biggest concern, and if you look at the spreadsheet that's attached there, the biggest concern I have is that we have bills, some actual and some unpaid for March, but that March work is primarily for work done in February, and the work that will done in March will be paid in April.

We're usually a month behind.

Given the level of expenditure we've been having, it appears to us that we may not even have enough money to pay bills through the end of -- or through the end of March.

And a good part of that is I think we ended up with higher individual litigation expenses than we thought. We also ended up with more deposition costs than we thought when the budget was developed.

So we put this together to get a better idea of

1 | really our cash flow.

2.

Just to update you on the next part of that, you can see in the memo I'm suggesting that I may -- it may be appropriate for me at this time to notify all of the attorneys that any work that they will be doing may be subject to appropriation because it does not appear as if we have the funding right now that I can certify and pay bills that I don't have an appropriation for.

I know you all remember we went through this last year, and I was hoping to avoid it this year. But at this point I'm pretty nervous that I need to put people on notice that until we get an appropriation I can't guarantee that I will pay a bill.

And Mary and Joe may want to comment on that.

This afternoon we met with Wendy Baldo, the chief of staff in the Senate. And we presented the updated information to her and talked to her about how critical the time level was at this point.

She was going to try to talk to the president of the Senate today. We haven't heard back from her.

Everybody at the State Capital basically tells us they're so tied up in the AHCCCS budging issues that trying to get any other attention on something else right now is very difficult.

They all know we need the money and -- I don't

want to say they all. The leadership knows we need the money. The leadership knows the Constitution says it needs to be paid. But at this point we don't have an assurance that we can get it done by April 1st.

We will have a meeting, I believe it's next week, with President Biggs. And I think we have -- we'll have a meeting next week with Representative Cavanagh in the House side.

And in the past it was really the two of them that understood our problem and helped us get supplemental appropriation.

So we are kind of continuing the way we did last year, in terms of working with the leadership, and making sure they're informed, and answering any questions they have. But at this time I don't have any assurance that the timing is going to work for us.

Just to -- one final thing. I know that the sticker shock of now we're already up to 715,000 is probably not something that's going to sit well or be very easy for leadership to provide funding on.

It would seem to me that if we at least got the 551 and an assurance that we could use '14 money in '13, as we did this last year, it could get us through the end of this year, and like this year we would start out a little behind and we'd probably find ourselves in about the same

1 situation in March, April, January of next year. 2. But the biggest issue, we've talked about it before, is it's very hard to estimate the litigation budgets 3 4 when it's constantly moving. 5 In the past we asked for a set aside of up to 6 500,000 to cover bills that we don't know that we'll have. 7 The legislature has been reluctant to provide that, so we 8 find ourselves trying to struggle through supplemental 9 appropriations that will allow us to meet our constitutional 10 responsibility to defend the maps. 11 So that is our report. 12 I would welcome any questions, suggestions, 13 comments, on how we're proposing to proceed. 14 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, this is Jose. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 16 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, you mentioned a 17 couple times here, and I think Ms. O'Grady did as well, 18 about the fees from the individual attorneys. Can you let 19 me know and give us a rough estimate of what the totals were 20 for the month of February? 21 MR. BLADINE: Madam Chairman, 22 Commissioner Herrera, the spreadsheet that I sent out to you 23 early -- or I quess midmorning indicates the actual amounts 24 we've paid by attorney for the month of March, and includes 25 all attorneys, what bills we had received but not yet

```
1
     processed, and then what we would anticipate is bills in
 2
     March that we have not received yet but are likely to come
     in March to be -- to basically pay the February amounts.
 3
 4
               So if you take a look at March unpaid, in red, you
 5
     can see that we have had about $33,000 in one case, 16 in
 6
     another, 31 in another, right now I'm guessing maybe 16,000
 7
     each in the other two.
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Bladine, I don't have --
 8
     I don't have those forms in front me. Can you read to me
 9
10
     who those charges are for?
11
                             Madam Chairman,
               MR. BLADINE:
12
     Commissioner Herrera, the March -- the March actual which in
13
     the first -- let's see, how am I going to do this.
14
               Ballard Spahr was paid 68,000 in March.
15
               We expected --
16
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    I was referring to the
17
     individual commissioners, not necessarily Ms. O'Grady.
18
               MR. BLADINE:
                             Okay.
19
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Thank you.
20
               MR. BLADINE: Let me see, the -- we have not had a
21
     bill yet from Coppersmith Schermer. I'm estimating it at
     16,000.
2.2
23
               We don't have a bill yet from Gallagher Kennedy.
24
     I'm estimating it at 16,000.
25
               We have a minor bill from Tim Nelson for 620.
```

1 Brian Bergin has submitted an invoice for 33,000. 2. Michael Mandell has --VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Bladine, can you stop. 3 4 For 33,000, I don't recognize the attorney, and what is this 5 for? 6 MR. BLADINE: Mr. Bergin is the attorney that's 7 providing legal service to Commissioner Freeman. 8 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: 33,000? 9 MR. BLADINE: That is correct. 10 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Okay. Go ahead. 11 MR. BLADINE: Then Michael Mandell, of course, is 12 providing service to you, and our bill for him was \$16,043. 13 John Munger, who provides legal services to 14 Commissioner Stertz, has billed 31,714. 15 I would expect since that bill was for the first 16 part of February that we'll probably have additional charges in March, but I didn't estimate at this time because I'm not 17 18 sure. 19 So those are basically the attorneys representing 20 the individual commissioners. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Bladine, this is Colleen. 2.2 MR. BLADINE: Madam Chair. 23 Something you said concerned CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 24 me, and that was that there's no assurance that the timing 25 will work out this time.

1 And that's a little concerning.

2.

2.2

Is there any movement -- have you talked to legal counsel or what do people suggest so that we're able to defend ourselves properly in the lawsuit?

MR. BLADINE: Madam Chair, perhaps Mary or Joe would care to comment.

I keep -- I will keep working to get some assurances about timing over the next few days.

I do think at this point the only thing I can think of is to legally notify the attorneys that their pay is going to be subject to appropriation and hope that they will continue to do what it is knowing that at some point the legislature will fulfill its constitutional duty.

But obviously I'm not an attorney and I don't know what sort of problems that may commit for all of them in terms of their own operations.

JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, this is Joe. We've been asked this question before, and the answer that we've given in the past is that Ray and Kristina should continue to work with the legislature.

There's been two occasions now where we've been in this situation with some uncertainty, and on both occasions the legislature has provided a supplemental appropriation to the Commission.

So rather than speculate at this point about what legal remedies would be available should the legislature not provide supplemental funding, we would prefer a discussion of working with the legislature.

2.2

As Ray mentioned earlier, he had several meetings with key legislators and staff that are tuned into the issue. And they do have the authority to move a supplemental appropriation along.

The key is just making sure we're communicating with the right people, which we are doing.

So at this point I wouldn't -- I would rather not speculate as to what would happen if they don't do the appropriation.

We, of course, will continue to work on preparing for trial and try not to let this be a distraction.

But should we be in that situation where there isn't a supplemental appropriation, then we may very well want to call and suggest that the Commission reconvene and discuss legal options at that time.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And for the record, Joe does not think that a legal remedy be pursued at this time, but I am concerned, and I -- having been down this road before, we do know that we're not supposed to be making expenditures past our appropriation or over our appropriation.

And I am just trying to be mindful of that, and

also be pragmatic that we need to defend ourselves and we need the funding for that.

JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, Joe. I appreciate

2.2

that. I wasn't suggesting anything other than communicating with the legislature.

If we do, if it appears that a supplemental appropriation will not be forthcoming before the funding runs out, then we will have to discuss what the Commission's options are.

As I mentioned, this Commission has received a supplemental appropriation twice.

The last Commission received at least one, on one occasion that I'm aware of.

And the last Commission did, in fact file the lawsuit, special action in the Arizona Supreme Court, when it appeared that they were not going receive a supplemental appropriation and they had a trial coming up and needed to continue working.

And I think that situation was complicated by the fact that the legislature wasn't in session, if I recall correctly.

So I understand the legislature and the messages that they are distracted with budget and Medicaid expansion and everything else, but at the same time they do have the ability -- the appropriations committee meets weekly.

1 I am hopeful that the legislature will work with 2 the Commission to provide an appropriate level of funding and to avoid any unnecessary litigation on the subject as 3 4 they have in the past. 5 So I appreciate what the point you've made, 6 Madam Chair, and assure you that we will be ready to advise 7 the Commission if we get into that situation, but it's not 8 ready to offer that advice at this point. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you, Joe. 10 Mr. Bladine, and you're anticipating now that 11 based on what you know, and I know it's very hard to 12 quesstimate what happens, but that we'll be out of money, 13 current money, by the end of March; is that correct? 14 MR. BLADINE: Yes, that is correct. 15 It could be earlier depending on some of the bills 16 I haven't seen yet. And that's why I got anxious about 17 putting people on notice. 18 But we think that we can make it through March. 19 We clearly can't make it through April. 20 But, as I say, the thing that worried me, I really 21 haven't seen a lot of the bills yet for March that we'll be 2.2 getting. But we should be able to make it through March. 23 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okav. So do we -- great. 24 Any other questions for Mr. Bladine? 25 Ms. Gomez?

```
1
                            Madam Chair, let me, let me suggest
               MR. BLADINE:
 2
     something.
               I really don't -- I want to protect the Commission
 3
 4
     and myself for not spending money we don't have, but I don't
 5
     want to do it prematurely.
 6
               I guess what I would think is that I will give --
 7
     if I don't hear an objection, I will hold off sending a
 8
     letter until perhaps Tuesday of next week. And then if I
 9
     can't get some assurance about timing, I will put on
10
     official notice the legal, the legal staff that it's going
11
     to be subject to a future appropriation.
12
               So hearing no objection or other direction, that's
13
     what I'll do.
14
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bladine
15
     and Ms. Gomez.
16
               MR. BLADINE:
                             Thank you.
17
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That concludes agenda item
18
     three.
19
               Number four is call for public comment.
20
     have any request to speak forms?
21
               VICE CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                   Madam Chair, it's Scott.
     have no public comment.
22
23
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Oh, okav.
24
               Do we have public there?
25
               VICE CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Yes.
                                          Two.
```

```
CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, thanks for coming, the
 1
 2
     two of you.
 3
               Anything else before I adjourn the meeting?
 4
               (No oral response.)
 5
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Okay. The time is 6:39 p.m.,
 6
     and this meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
               (Whereupon, the public session ends.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
STATE OF ARIZONA
 1
                            )
                                   ss.
 2
     COUNTY OF MARICOPA
 3
 4
               BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceeding was
 5
     taken before me, Marty Herder, a Certified Court Reporter,
 6
     CCR No. 50162, State of Arizona; that the foregoing 22 pages
 7
     constitute a true and accurate transcript of all proceedings
 8
     had upon the taking of said meeting, all done to the best of
 9
    my skill and ability.
10
               DATED at Chandler, Arizona, this 20th day of
11
     March, 2012.
12
13
14
                                       C. Martin Herder, CCR
                                       Certified Court Reporter
15
                                       Certificate No. 50162
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```