ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

PUBLIC SESSION

Thursday, October 24, 2013 2:00 p.m.

Location

Evans House 1100 West Washington Boulevard Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Attending

Colleen C. Mathis, Chair (via teleconference) Cid R. Kallen, Vice Chair (via teleconference) Scott Day Freeman, Vice Chair Linda C. McNulty, Commissioner (via teleconference) Richard P. Stertz, Commissioner (via teleconference)

> Ray Bladine, Executive Director Kristina Gomez, Deputy Executive Director

> > Mary O'Grady, Legal Counsel Joe Kanefield, Legal Counsel Beau Roysden, Legal Counsel Kristin Windtberg, Legal Counsel

Reported By: Marty Herder, CCR Certified Court Reporter #50162 www.CourtReportersAz.com

1 Phoenix, Arizona October 24, 2013 2 2:00 p.m. 3 4 5 PROCEEDINGS 6 7 (Whereupon, the public session commences.) 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Great. Then we'll go ahead 9 and get started. 10 Good afternoon. This meeting of the Arizona 11 Independent Redistricting Commission will now come to order. 12 Today is Thursday, October 24th, and the time is 2:01 p.m. 13 If everyone would please rise, I'd like to ask 14 Vice-Chair Freeman to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance. 15 (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Great. Thank you. 17 Did somebody just --18 ANDREW DRESCHLER: Hi. It's Andrew from Strategic 19 Telemetry. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, great. Okay. Ηi, This is Colleen. We just had the Pledge of 21 Andrew. 2.2 Allegiance. 23 We're go through roll call. 24 Vice-Chair Freeman. 25 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Here.

2

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner Kallen. 2 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Here. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner McNulty. 4 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Here. 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner Stertz. 6 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Here. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We have a quorum. 8 Other participants in today's meeting include our 9 executive director Ray Bladine, our deputy executive 10 director, Kristina Gomez, legal counsel Joe Kanefield and 11 Mary O'Grady. 12 And our court reporter, Marty Herder, is there. And any staff there, any other staff? 13 14 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Not in the room with us. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. And Andrew Drechsler 16 from Strategic Telemetry is on the line. 17 Anybody else that I've missed? 18 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: No. 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. So, let me see the 20 The call to order, we're done. agenda. 21 Number two, discussion and possible action 2.2 regarding the burglary of September 16th, 2013, at the Evans 23 House. 24 The Commission may vote to go into executive 25 session, which will not be open to the public, for the

3

1 purpose of obtaining legal advice. 2 So I'll just tee this up. Sometime between 3:00 p.m. Friday the 13th of September and Monday morning 3 4 the 16th at 7:50, 7-5-0, in the morning, the Evans House where the IRC offices are was broken into. 5 6 A number of laptops were taken including the one 7 issued to me, which I used for IRC purposes. 8 And currently this investigation -- the 9 investigation into this burglary is being handled by the 10 major crimes division in the general investigation unit at 11 the Arizona Department of Public Safety. And I tried to have the lead investigator come 12 today and present the latest. That would have been my 13 14 preference. But I was told that because this is still an 15 active investigation they can't present in a public meeting. 16 So what happened instead is Ray, Kristina, and I 17 had a meeting with the lead investigator, and he gave us a 18 rundown of kind of what happened, which you have in the 19 police report that was sent out to everybody. 20 And then kind of gave us some update of what's 21 happened since then. 22 And, yeah, there are some troubling details associated with the whole thing, and it's unfortunate. 23 24 Because it's an active investigation, I can't 25 really talk a lot about the different things that they've

1 learned, but they're going to continue to follow up. And as 2 long as we keep coming in they will consider it an active investigation. 3 4 So I know that I intend to continue to follow up, 5 and I'm sure staff will as well. 6 And that's really kind of the story. 7 I don't know if, Ray, do you want to add anything 8 from our meeting? RAY BLADINE: Madam Chair, I think you really 9 10 covered it. 11 I do believe that listening to the sergeant in 12 charge that they are going to follow up until they can't 13 follow -- they don't have any more leads to follow up on. 14 So I think you covered it. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, I wish I could say -- I 16 didn't ask of the sergeant if there was a reward for any 17 additional information, but if anybody has any details, if 18 they learn anything, definitely pass them along to DPS. 19 Any questions from commissioners or comments about 20 the break-in? 21 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 23 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: You had used the word 24 troubling. Could you explore that, please? 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Unfortunately I can't.

1 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Then why did you use the 2 word? CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Because there are troubling 3 4 details, that they are personally troubling to me. 5 I don't know if they would be to you or to 6 Commissioner Kallen or anybody else on the Commission, but 7 they were to me. 8 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Doesn't that leave an open 9 thought to anybody listening to this conversation that -- or 10 in the audience that might want to interpret that in a way 11 that it could also be considered troubling? 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Um, I don't know how anyone 13 else wants to interpret it. 14 But that's my interpretation. And I am the one who had the meeting, and so did Ray and Kristina were there. 15 16 They may not have found it troubling, so --COMMISSIONER STERTZ: How did the break-in take 17 18 place? Was it a break-in or was it an error in judgment? 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, first I requested that 20 the sergeant, I don't know had his official title, come to 21 the meeting, as I said, and actually brief everybody in 2.2 public. 23 And then we were notified by DPS that that's not 24 possible, because it's an active investigation. And so they 25 said they could though, you know, talk to me or to Ray. So

1 both of us got on a -- we had the meeting with him and --2 and Kristina was there too. 3 And that's how it happened. 4 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So was it a breaking and 5 entering or was it an error in judgment? 6 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I don't understand your 7 question. 8 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, I'm trying to -- is 9 the police report public knowledge? 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, yeah. The police report 11 is public. 12 Right, Mary and Joe? RAY BLADINE: Yes, it is. In fact, the copy we 13 14 got, we got from the press. 15 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So do you want to explain to 16 the audience what had happened? COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Well, it's in our packet, 17 18 isn't it? I think it's part of what was submitted for this 19 meeting, so it's probably public report. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah. 21 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay. 22 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Madam Chair. Scott 23 Freeman. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner Freeman. 25 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: What steps have we © Az Litigation Support, LLC (480)481-0649

www.CourtReportersAz.com

1 undertaken to make sure the Evans House is secure and safe 2 for everyone that works here? That's an excellent question. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 4 Mr. Bladine, I'm going to ask you to answer that. The -- part of the -- let me go 5 RAY BLADINE: 6 back. 7 The entry into the building was made through an 8 unlocked window. 9 And what we have found is that even after the 10 police came and had secured the building, there was an 11 unlocked window. 12 These are the old casement-type windows that slide 13 up and down. 14 And if the top window isn't all the way up, then you can't lock the bottom window to it. So it's very easy 15 16 to think the window is locked when it isn't. Up until the break-in, we had had a lot of contact 17 with the general facilities office of the state about the 18 19 fact the alarm would work, not work, would not connect. 20 Anna had a number of calls with the alarm people. 21 After the break-in, Bill Hernandez, who is a 22 deputy director of the Department of Administration, took it 23 upon himself to directly work with us to make sure that a 24 alarm system that properly functioned would be installed. 25 They replaced, as I understand it, the main board

1 for the alarm system. 2 They came out, fixed door locks. And at this time, from everything we can tell, the 3 alarm system does work, and it does ring to DPS, either at 4 the capital police building or DPS directly. 5 6 I'm not sure which one of those. We did have an incident here last week, where 7 8 someone was hit out in the street. 9 We got rather concerned since it didn't seem 10 anybody was coming to help the gentleman. 11 So we used our panic button, and it worked. 12 So we know that our panic button works, so we 13 assume our alarm is working. 14 It's kind of closing the barn door after the horse has left, but it is the best we can do. And right now we do 15 16 think we've got the building secured. Part of the problem, of course, that we can't do 17 much about is this location. 18 And we do have a lot of transients that hang 19 20 around the building, will come up on the porch, and on the 21 weekend it's kind of a tough place to be. 2.2 We also try and make sure that we don't leave at night one person here, or during the day. Try to make sure 23 24 that our staffing is so that there's at least two people 25 here.

1	
1	And I think at this point, unless Kristina can
2	think of anything, that's pretty much what we've done to
3	make sure the building is secured and to protect the staff.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other comments or
5	questions?
6	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
8	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Ms. Gomez and Mr. Bladine,
9	thank you very much. That was exactly what I was hoping to
10	hear was the more extrapolated discussion about what had
11	happened and why.
12	And it wasn't a lack of judgment. It was that
13	this was a window problem that we didn't that they as
14	it says as it's described in the police report, it makes
15	it sound like we left a window open.
16	And what we was actually not a lack of judgment or
17	leaving a window open, it was a problem that some folks
18	decided to take advantage of.
19	So thank you, Mr. Bladine.
20	RAY BLADINE: You're welcome, Mr. Stertz.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other comments or
22	questions on the break-in?
23	Okay. I just have one, and that's that I want to
24	acknowledge Buck Forst, formerly known as our chief
25	technology officer. I don't know if Buck is there today.

1	But, anyway, he's currently on contract to help the
2	Commission still with its IT needs. And I understand he was
3	pretty instrumental in getting the Commission back up and
4	running after the break-in. And I just want to thank him
5	for his help.
6	So that concludes agenda item two.
7	Number three, discussion and possible action on
8	Leach discovery requests including possible executive
9	session transcript release.
10	We've got another possible executive session
11	transcript I mean, executive session that could happen
12	with the next agenda item too.
13	I don't and we probably just want to go in
14	once.
15	So I don't know how many members of the public we
16	have there today.
17	Anybody want to let me know?
18	RAY BLADINE: We have three members of the press
19	here, Jeremy Duda, Mary Jo Pitzi, and Howie Fischer.
20	And that is our audience.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Yes, so it probably
22	would be good to have just one executive session, if we
23	could.
24	Mary and Joe, did you have any thoughts on how to
25	proceed? Do you want to just go in order or do you want to

	12
1	try to lump these together so that we do it in one executive
2	session?
3	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, this is Joe
4	Kanefield.
5	One suggestion that we would have as counsel is if
6	Ray and Kristina could give at least a portion of their
7	executive director's report that deals with the budget prior
8	to our discussion on agenda items three and four. That way
9	the public would have the benefit of that information before
10	we went into executive session. It would also be helpful
11	for those agenda items.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So take number four now, and
13	then three, and then executive session.
14	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: I'm sorry, Madam Chair. Yes,
15	that's correct. It would be agenda item four ahead of
16	agenda item three.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
18	Let's do discussion and possible action then on
19	regarding obtaining the necessary appropriation to fund
20	litigation regarding the defense of the maps. The
21	Commission may vote to go into executive session, which will
22	not be open to the public, for the purpose of obtaining
23	legal advice and providing direction to counsel.
24	So everyone should have gotten a pretty detailed
25	memo that Ray and Kristina put together.

1	Not only on our budget, but on just kind of the
2	subject is supplemental appropriation required. Dated
3	October 18th, a four-page memo.
4	And then I think today they also kind of sent out
5	a budget outline that's a one-pager that's pretty helpful,
6	just kind of a snapshot of where things are as of today.
7	RAY BLADINE: Madam Chair, would you like me to
8	briefly summarize the report and highlight those parts of it
9	that seem most important?
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That would be great. Thanks,
11	Mr. Bladine.
12	RAY BLADINE: I think in presenting this report,
13	our plan would be to break it into three parts.
14	I'll talk about some of the history getting up to
15	our current situation with regard to what staff has done.
16	Then I'll ask Kristina to go over the details of
17	how we came up with the revised budget that's in your
18	packet, which is summarized by the one-sheet handout that we
19	just completed.
20	And then ask Joe Kanefield to talk about the
21	status of litigation.
22	And then finally have just a summary and open it
23	up for discussion of alternatives with the Commission.
24	With that, I think everyone's aware that there's
25	been an ongoing struggle for funds for the Commission since

1 it was created.

2 We've had many supplemental requests. We've had 3 many times that we've had to go back to the Legislature for 4 assistance.

5 Originally the Department of Administration had 6 recommended a \$10.2 million appropriation for the life of 7 the Commission. The initial year, that was reduced to 8 500,000 for fiscal '11, and 3,000,000 for fiscal '12.

9 To date, we've spent -- we've been appropriated 10 7,900,000.

11 So I just would point out that we're not far off 12 of what was thought to be a reasonable appropriation back 13 when the Commission started.

The last Commission spent about 9,400,000. For inflation, it wouldn't appear that we're extremely outside of what would be a reasonable amount for the Commission to be spending.

18 This year we requested \$2.26 million, based upon 19 the estimated work that would be done in defending the maps. 20 That's the primary activity that the Commission's involved 21 in. 22 We've received an appropriation of 1,115,000.

The governor had recommended 1.4. As you all are aware, the Legislature had a very tumultuous session last year.

1 At one point we heard the Senate was going to 2 recommend an appropriation of 800,000. We got in contact with senate leadership and told 3 4 them that 800,000 probably wouldn't get us out of the 5 summer. They came back with 1.4 -- I mean, I'm sorry, 1.1. 6 7 We told them based on past experience, it would be lucky we 8 would make it to when they got into session. 9 We tried to work to get a minimum of the 1.4 the 10 governor recommended. But frankly in the last days of the 11 legislative session, I don't think we were the highest 12 priority for them to be working on. 13 On August 2nd when we realized that we really were 14 not going to be able to make it to the end of the fiscal 15 year, we sent a letter to John Arnold, who's head of the 16 Office of Strategic Planning and Budgeting, telling him that we need a \$1.25 million supplemental. 17 18 We also then went and met with Senator Biggs, 19 Senate President Biggs and his staff, and talked to him 20 about the possibility of a special session and what our 21 financial situation was. 22 He basically indicated that he didn't see that a special session would be feasible, requested we see what we 23 24 could do to make it to January when the Legislature would be 25 in session, and at that time a supplemental appropriation

15

1 could be made.

-	
2	He also acknowledged that he was fully aware of
3	the constitutional requirement that the Legislature must
4	provide adequate funds and reminded us that in the past they
5	have provided supplemental appropriations when we have
6	requested them.
7	He also suggested that we hold off on paying some
8	of the attorney bills, but keep the litigation moving.
9	Following that, we informed House Speaker Tobin of
10	the same situation. He asked us to meet with his staff.
11	We did meet with Mr Speaker Tobin's staff, and
12	they also indicated a special session did not seem likely,
13	and try to go as long as we could to get to January when the
14	Legislature is back in session.
15	At the same time we asked the Office of Strategic
16	Planning and Budget to reallot our fourth quarter funding to
17	the current quarter.
18	As you recall, the past several years we've had
19	allotments that break out what we can spend by quarters.
20	We've had discussion in the past as to whether that should
21	apply to us or not, when we have a lump sum appropriation.
22	And up until this year that, the fact that we had allotments
23	was sticky.
0.4	

However, they had indicated they may be willing todo that, but under their understanding of -- or budget

analyst's understanding we could not transfer salaries and
 related operating costs that are related to salaries to any
 other category.

So that door at that point was closed to us.
Then we worked with the Joint Legislative Budget
Committee to provide financial projections in the format
requested and answered their questions about how we got our
estimates and provided them detail.

9 We also talked to JLBC about transferring salary 10 and other costs to fund outside consulting and legal. They 11 believed that we should be able to do so since we had a lump 12 sum appropriation.

13 Recently, the Office of Strategic Planning and14 Budgeting confirmed in writing that we could transfer funds.

15 So, I want to mention that, that part of what 16 Kristina will present, we have proposed taking what funding 17 we can from activity passed for legal activity up until 18 January and for other operating costs to March, anything but 19 that we've transferred forward to be used to pay legal bills 20 as much as we can between now and January.

We also met with the state controller to discuss how -- what he might think we could do. He reaffirmed that without an appropriation that he can't pay bills.

24 So at that point we asked our attorneys to, one, 25 review all of our budget estimates for timing as to given 1 the most recent information from court cases, is our 2 timing still right in terms of the monthly expenditures; 3 and, second, to take what action they could to defer all of 4 the possible costs that could be deferred until after 5 January.

6 We really at the same time notified all of the 7 attorneys that we had that they needed to stop work due to a 8 lack of your appropriation. That state law requires 9 agencies to stay within the current appropriation. And 10 informed them that if they were to do any other work, they 11 would be doing that at their own risk.

12 That then, taking those actions, the delaying of 13 legal work costs, which we're calling a lockdown approach of 14 trying to minimize what we need to do without jeopardizing 15 our defense of the maps, resulted in reduced legal costs for 16 the last two months. And that helped provide us an 17 additional amount of money that could help get us to the 18 possibility of January.

As I mentioned, we transferred all of the available funding that we thought reasonable to transfer to professional and outside legal services, and maintained budgetary salary and operating costs to March.

I guess I could say that as we get closer to March and we see the possibility of a supplemental activity happening in January, February, some more of those funds

1 could be transferred. 2 But in the past March seemed to be about the time we actually got action. 3 4 I know we're not the highest priority in the 5 Legislature when they come back in session, so it's hard to 6 know if they could really meet a January deadline. 7 The spreadsheet that Kristina will present also 8 presumes no significant unexpected legal costs, that there 9 wouldn't be a decision on Harris, and that we would be able 10 to maintain this reduced level of activity. 11 All of those things, of course, or those 12 activities in particular are outside our ability to control, 13 so I think it's sketchy as to whether or not we can make it 14 to January. 15 It could be possible, but it's not necessarily 16 something that I can say, yeah, we will. 17 I'd like to ask Kristina to just run over how we 18 developed our estimates because I think it's important for 19 everybody to know the kinds of things we've done to try to 20 get to January and the assumptions behind that. 21 And then when Kristina is done, if Joe could just 22 summarize the court cases. And then I'll be glad to kind of 23 make a conclusion and open it up for the chair to have 24 discussion. 25 So with that, Kristina, would you like to go ahead

and present the budget findings? 1 2 KRISTINA GOMEZ: Sure. Madam Chair, commission members, if I can have you 3 all look at Exhibit 3, along with the budget outline, which 4 5 is in a blue table. 6 Now, this format is the same format that we used 7 in January of 2013 when JLBC re-requested additional 8 information regarding our first supplemental request last 9 legislative session. 10 So they asked us to go ahead and to continue using 11 this format and to revise our estimates for fiscal year '14. So we're going to start off in the orange column. 12 13 It's fiscal year 2014, actual, July through September. 14 Now, first of all, our appropriation is 15 \$1,115,100. 16 If you take the columns from July through 17 September, that will give you a sum of \$518,204. 18 If you subtract that sum from our starting 19 appropriation, that will leave us with a remaining balance 20 of \$596,896. 21 Next is the gray column, which is October. And 22 the reason why this is separate is because these are pretty 23 firm estimates. However, they're not final yet. They won't 24 be final until November 1st. 25 So if you sum up the October column, that's

1 \$111,649.

If you subtract that from the remaining balance above of the \$596,896, we're left with a remaining balance of \$445,247.
Next, if we go ahead and we sum up the November estimates for -- I'm sorry, if we go ahead and sum the

7 November and December estimates, that's a total of \$289,200.

8 Once again, we would subtract that from the 9 remaining balance above, and that would leave us with a new 10 balance of \$196,047.

11 Next, we went ahead and we summed up salaries and 12 other operating expenses for January through March. That's 13 a total of 99,500.

Once again, you would subtract that from the previous remaining balance, and that would leave us a balance of \$96,547.

And, finally, if we sum the column that says
professional and outside services, January through March,
that's a sum of \$37,500.

20 Once again, if you subtract that from the previous 21 remaining balance, that would leave us exactly roughly, 22 \$59,047.

The reason why we did this was because, as you have seen from the reading material, we are trying to -- we are complying with A.R.S. 1-254.

1	22
1	So we are working within our appropriation that
2	has been allotted for this fiscal year '14.
3	This approach right here may work if we continue
4	with our lockdown approach until January, March or, I'm
5	sorry, until January.
б	Now, if I could go ahead and have you look at the
7	legal services for November and December, you'll notice that
8	if you add up the legal services in November, that's exactly
9	\$100,000.
10	And then December, that's 100,000 as well.
11	So in November in October right now, currently,
12	we have budgeted \$100,000 for legal services.
13	Those bills will actually be billed to us in
14	November.
15	And legal services done in next month in
16	November will be billed in December.
17	So that's why we're able to cover those expenses
18	for now.
19	However, in the month of December, we have roughly
20	59,000 for legal activity, which would be billed in January.
21	And also the reason why we left money, and Ray
22	mentioned this as well, the reason we left money for
23	salaries and other operating expenses in January and March,
24	is because we need money to go and approach the Legislature
25	for a supplemental.

1 So we need to have available funds to pay someone, 2 Ray, to go down and to try to seek a supplemental. 3 Also we do need money to keep our phones going and 4 to keep the regular operations of this office going. If we don't have money, then we have to close down 5 6 shop. 7 And furthermore, for professional and outside 8 services, we also need money to be able to pay for our court 9 reporter whenever we do have our meetings, we need money to 10 pay for our IT services, and we also need to have some funds 11 available to pay our mapping consultant as well. 12 And I believe that covers the budget for now. 13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, thank you, Ms. Gomez, 14 and for all the work you did to pull all this stuff 15 together, we really appreciate it. 16 I'm curious to know, are we still -- does Clark Partridge, the state comptroller, still monthly check in on 17 18 us and do a review of all of our expenditures? 19 Yes, we did get a letter RAY BLADINE: Yes. 20 yesterday perhaps that -- pardon me? 21 KRISTINA GOMEZ: This past week. RAY BLADINE: 22 This past week we got a letter 23 indicating they reviewed our expenditures and didn't find 24 anything that was inappropriate or improper. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, well, I have in front

i	24
1	of me the letter. I don't have that one, but I have the
2	September 12th one that he sent to the Honorable Don Shooter
3	and the Honorable John Cavanagh at the
4	state appropriations I'm sorry, the Senate Appropriations
5	Committee and House Appropriations Committee, respectively.
6	And I've seen a lot of these. Every month we get
7	one. And it says during the course of our review of the
8	expenditures no matters came to our attention that appear to
9	be either unlawful or unnecessary.
10	And I also want to state for the record in that
11	letter it says all expenditure data for the Commission is
12	available on the State of Arizona transparency website,
13	which is Open Book, this says .AZ.gov. So it's Open Books,
14	plural, .AZ.gov.
15	You know, it's no surprise when you hear someone
16	like Kristina present all this information to us that, you
17	know, we're getting these good checkups every month.
18	I think they've done a pretty stupendous job of
19	keeping us informed and also everyone else informed.
20	So it's certainly not easy.
21	And it's the 59,000 that's showing up now as
22	the bottom line that's remaining, I guess I'll be interested
23	to hear what folks have to say about what our alternatives
24	are.
25	I know you guys have kept a great record also of
	© Az Litigation Support LLC (480)481-0649

1 your attempts to engage in good faith with a lot of folks in 2 the Legislature. And so far there's, you know, no 3 appropriation forthcoming. 4 RAY BLADINE: Madam Chair, would you like to, 5 before we go on to a discussion of this, let Joe talk about 6 the status of the current cases? 7 Is that this agenda item? CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 8 RAY BLADINE: It's a part of our report just to 9 give the status. 10 Oh, yeah. Okay. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We can. 11 Okay. Then we can come -- then if RAY BLADINE: 12 you like I can summarize and we can come back to talking 13 about the alternatives and what the Commission would like to 14 have us do. 15 Sounds good. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure. 16 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair, members 17 of the Commission. 18 I'll briefly go through the three -- status of the 19 three lawsuits. 20 The first lawsuit to discuss is Harris versus the 21 Redistricting Commission. And this is -- the summary is set 2.2 forth in the materials. It's Exhibit 2. 23 I believe that was also made available to the 24 public. 25 This, the Harris lawsuit, as you recall, is the

1 Federal District Court lawsuit challenging the population 2 deviations in the legislative map as being unconstitutional, 3 allegedly because of partisan bias. 4 We have an expedited trial in March, the end of, 5 on March 29th. 6 There was some post-trial briefing after that. 7 And then the court took the matter under 8 advisement. 9 At some point over the summer the court asked us 10 for additional briefing as a result of the United States 11 Supreme Court's decision in Shelby County is the decision 12 that struck down the coverage formula under the Voting 13 Rights Act, Section 4 of the Voting Rights Act, which was 14 done. 15 So at this point we are still waiting for the 16 court's decision. And as a result of that, we have not incurred many legal fees, if any at all, while we wait to 17 hear from the court. 18 19 That, of course, could change, as soon as the 20 court opinion comes out. And we would expect that any day. 21 Of course, we've been saying for that some time, 2.2 so it's hard to predict when the court is going to rule. 23 The next case is the Leach versus Redistricting 24 Commission case. This is the case that was filed in 25 Maricopa County Superior Court.

1 This suit challenges the congressional lines based 2 on various state constitutional theories, which are all set forth in the summary. I won't go through unless you would 3 4 like me to. We moved to dismiss several of those claims. 5 6 Three of the claims in the original complaint were 7 dismissed. 8 The plaintiffs filed an amended complaint resurrecting two of the dismissed claims. 9 10 We are now -- on August 19th, we moved -- we had 11 moved to dismiss the individual commissioners in their 12 official capacity, and that motion was granted. 13 And now we are in the process of discovery. Which 14 the plaintiff was served a number of discovery requests 15 asking for various documents we've been gathering, and we're 16 moving that process along. After the discovery is complete, we anticipate 17 that there would likely be summary judgment briefing. 18 But 19 at this point in time no trial date has been scheduled in 20 the case. 21 The last case that's ongoing is this Arizona State 22 Legislature versus the Redistricting Commission case. You 23 may recall this is the lawsuit filed in federal court in 24 front of a three-judge panel that claims that are provision 25 in Proposition 106 that creates the Commission in the

Arizona Constitution violates the election clause to the
 extent it removes the authority to draw the congressional
 maps from the Arizona Legislature.

The provision in the United States Constitution that the Legislature cites is what we call the election clause. And, as I mentioned, the matter's pending before a three-judge panel.

8 We long ago filed a motion to dismiss that was 9 responded to by the Legislature, replied to that briefing, 10 that briefing was complete, and the court hasn't set oral 11 argument or obviously decided that motion.

Recently on September 20th the Commission -- I'm sorry, the Legislature moved for preliminary injunction of the law, which essentially is a motion asking for the court to stop the law from going into effect or continuing in effect until the court can resolve the merits of the case.

We have responded to that motion, which I believewe filed last Friday.

19 The Legislature will have another week or so to20 reply to that motion.

And we anticipate at some point that the court will schedule oral argument likely on both the Commission's motion to dismiss and the preliminary injunction motion. I should note that in the preliminary injunction

25 motion, the first factor that you have to argue is

1 likelihood of success on the merits.

2	So the issue of whether the United States
3	Constitution preempts the State of Arizona from and the
4	citizens from empowering this Commission to draw
5	congressional lines was briefed both in the motion to
6	dismiss and the motion for preliminary injunction, was the
7	same essentially the same legal arguments in terms of the
8	Legislature's theories and the defense offered by the
9	Commission.
10	So, with that, we would recommend as counsel that
11	in light of the budget situation that we that the
12	Commission go into executive session to receive legal advice
13	on that situation. And also we'd be happy to answer
14	questions in open session about the case status or any other
15	appropriate discussions you might have to us.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Kanefield.
17	Any questions or comments from commissioners?
18	COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: This is Linda.
19	Mr. Kanefield, given that the Legislature is suing
20	us, have we talked to them about putting their lawsuit on
21	hold given that they have been unable to address the
22	appropriations issue?
23	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,
24	Commissioner McNulty, yes, we have talked to counsel for the
25	Legislature.

1	30
1	They are very much aware of the Commission's
2	budget situation. And the message we got back was that they
3	wanted to keep want to keep the case moving forward, but
4	also referenced the conversations that the Legislature
5	leadership has had with Ray and Kristina about working to
6	accommodate the Commission and get the Commission a
7	supplemental appropriation, but at the same time noting that
8	that likely won't happen until the Legislature goes back
9	into session in January.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Other questions or comments?
11	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
13	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: This is for Mr. Bladine and
14	Ms. Gomez.
15	In looking at the contemplation for what your
16	discussion would be with the state legislature when they
17	come back into session the second Monday of January, it
18	looks like based on your budget projections versus what
19	your how you've been able to reallocate, in the document
20	you distributed today, you've got about 300,000 that you are
21	deferring from what your anticipated expense was projected
22	for October, November, December.
23	Is that number about right?
24	RAY BLADINE: I guess I'd have to look at the
25	table.

But what we did is I think most of the deferred 1 2 legal costs went into the January estimate. We moved them over, saying that, okay, they 3 4 haven't gone away. They've just been delayed. Glancing at it, it looks like you're probably in 5 6 the ballpark, 300,000. 7 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okav. 8 So, in your estimation, in your conversations with 9 leadership and the House and Senate, did you find -- do you 10 feel that you were comfortable that they were going wait 11 until the beginning of the January session to bring up your budget so that you could have it refunded. 12 13 I think that in the discussions -- I RAY BLADINE: 14 think, Commissioner Stertz, I can answer it this way. 15 Both the House and Senate leadership acknowledge 16 that there's a constitutional responsibility to provide 17 adequate funding for the Commission. 18 And they have always indicated they'll provide the 19 funding. 20 But they have said that they did not see a special 21 session possible, but that they would be willing to take 2.2 this up early in January. 23 So, all I can say is that they have in the past 24 followed up and provided the appropriations. Sometimes not 25 as quickly as we want or as large as we want, but they have

	32
1	followed through to keep us having minimum funding.
2	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Again, Madam Chair, as a
3	follow-up to Commissioner McNulty's comment that it probably
4	would behoove the Legislature in an effort to not throw in
5	gas on spark regarding the lawsuits, that perception of the
6	lawsuit not filing or not funding the opposition of a
7	lawsuit that they placed on the Commission would not be good
8	politics or good practice, or they would take that that
9	would be a method to utilize when they so they hear this
10	and get this funded out of their first action in the second
11	week of January.
12	Is that, is that would that, would that ring
13	true, Mr. Bladine?
14	RAY BLADINE: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stertz, I
15	would think that that's a possibility, but I honestly can't
16	say what the Legislature is going to do. And I fully
17	believe that what the leadership told us is their intent.
18	But I also know that they also have things thrown at them at
19	the start of the year that can, in their mind, be things
20	that they have to meet before us.
21	But I think your theory is a plausible one.
22	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair, it's Scott
23	Freeman.
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.
25	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I just want to sort of
	© Az Litigation Support, LLC (480)481-0649

www.CourtReportersAz.com

1	33
1	summarize the status again of the three lawsuits.
2	So, the Legislature lawsuit against the
3	Commission, basically all we're looking to receive now is a
4	reply brief from the Legislature. Then we're sitting and
5	waiting for a hearing on the pending motions, which could be
6	set, I would think I guess it's possible it could be set
7	in December, but we're probably looking at sometime next
8	year.
9	Do you agree with that?
10	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,
11	Commissioner Freeman, I think that's accurate. The only
12	change I mentioned is Legislature wants quick resolution.
13	They the motion to dismiss briefing was
14	completed a year ago.
15	One of the and they have been trying to get the
16	court's attention to resolve the matter. I think a
17	preliminary injunction suggests that they feel that this
18	needs to be resolved sooner rather than later, so it doesn't
19	impact the 2014 election cycle as they desire.
20	So, it could push off the work if they were
21	willing to do so, but my guess would be that they will want
22	quicker resolution.
23	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Did they ask for an expedited
24	hearing date, or you're just waiting to hear from the
25	three-judge panel?

1 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair. 2 Commissioner Freeman, they haven't asked for any expedited. 3 I suppose they could ask at that point. 4 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: But essentially all that's 5 been briefed. We're just waiting on a hearing. If there's 6 a hearing there will be an oral argument. And we should be 7 equipped to deal with that. 8 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, 9 Commissioner Freeman, yes. 10 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: The Harris case, a post-trial 11 briefing is completed. We're sitting back waiting for the 12 decision. If the Commission should prevail, and they get 13 this decision over the next month, there's nothing for us to 14 do except to sit back and wait to see if the plaintiffs 15 appeal; right? 16 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, Commissioner Freeman, I believe that's correct if we 17 18 prevail. 19 Anything to add, Mary? 20 MARY O'GRADY: No, that's right. 21 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: So, and even if they were to 22 appeal, the Commission really wouldn't have anything to do 23 in that case probably until the first part of next year, the 24 way that works; is that fair? 25 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,

1 Commissioner Freeman, it depends on when we get the 2 decision, I suppose, but, yes, it's very possible that every week that goes by we don't have the decision pushes off the 3 4 Commission. 5 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: They've got a certain time 6 to file a notice of an appeal, a briefing schedule, and they 7 would go first, and we would go second. So we're probably 8 not doing something until the first quarter of next year, at 9 the earliest; is that right? 10 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, 11 Commissioner Freeman, that's the scenario. 12 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: So then we have the Leach 13 case, which is in the state court. We're in the middle of 14 discovery. The Commission has responded to written 15 discovery request. I understand there has been supplemental 16 responses going out. But I'm not aware of any 17 communications from the plaintiffs that they're dissatisfied 18 with the discovery responses, they're going to move to 19 compel, or they're going to notice anyone's deposition. I'm 20 just not aware of anything else going on that in that case. 21 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, 22 Commissioner Freeman, yes, I think that's an accurate 23 characterization of the status of discovery. 24 We have communicated to counsel for the plaintiffs 25 about the budget situation, and we've asked them to consider

1	working with us to address the situation to keep our costs
2	down, to keep costs for the individual commissioner counsel
3	down.
4	That could be done through possibly agreeing to
5	the stay.
6	But you're right, there hasn't been any motions
7	filed by the plaintiffs with respect to discovery at this
8	point.
9	But we are having to incur costs gathering
10	discovery, a significant amount of documents that they've
11	requested. It takes a lot of legal time, as you know, going
12	through those documents.
13	So that's the current status.
14	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I know that for sure.
15	And I know things can change as well.
16	But, at least right now there's not a whole lot
17	going on in the three cases, at least that strikes me, and
18	I'm open to be educated on this, but there's a shot at least
19	we could get it into January.
20	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,
21	Commissioner Freeman, yeah, I think that's possible. And
22	that's a result of a result of the good fiscal management
23	of staff, as well as the lawyers, doing their best to keep
24	costs down and a somewhat fortuitous timing in terms of the
25	case status.

ſ

1	
1	So, yes, there is certainly a possibility.
2	The problem would be if something comes up in
3	litigation, there could be some motion filed, or a decision,
4	anything that could require a significant amount of legal
5	work in a very short amount of time.
6	That's really the underlying issue.
7	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Yeah, and I understand that
8	too.
9	And in Leach, the Leach case, in state court, do
10	we have a scheduling order in place? And if we do, you
11	know, when is the discovery cutoff? When is the next
12	deadline of any significance?
13	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,
14	Commissioner Freeman, we do not have any hard deadlines.
15	We're working obviously hard to accommodate the opposing
16	counsel's discovery request in accordance with the rules of
17	civil procedure.
18	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other questions or
20	comments?
21	COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: This is Linda.
22	I'd like to hear a little discussion, maybe from
23	counsel, about the statute that precludes us from incurring
24	costs if they haven't been appropriated, and whether we
25	who it would, who it would be to enforce that, and

1 whether -- you know, what's the likelihood of that becoming 2 an issue, if what we're trying to do is just have a bridge 3 to January. 4 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, Commissioner McNulty, what we would as counsel advise is 5 that we have that conversation in executive session, because 6 7 it does involve legal advice in terms of Commission's rights 8 and potential legal action with respect to the Commission's 9 resources. So, and perhaps after we provide you advice and 10 come out of session, you can direct us or discuss the 11 matter, but not until you've received our advice. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Joe, I'm having -- this is 13 I'm having a hard time hearing you. Colleen. You're 14 dropping -- cutting out, kind of. 15 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: I'm sorry, Madam Chair, I'll 16 try to talk directly into the microphone. 17 My response to Commissioner McNulty was suggesting that the Commission consider going into executive session to 18 receive advice on the issue involving the Commission's 19 20 resources and the legal rights of the Commission should the 21 appropriation be exhausted prior to a supplement 2.2 appropriation being passed by the Legislature. 23 And then after you receive our advice, then in 24 open session you can deliberate as appropriate. 25 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair, it's Scott

1 Freeman. 2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Counsel, would -- if the 3 Commission were to file an action as suggested in the 4 document that was Exhibit 2, I think, to the packet, would 5 6 it be taking the same position the Commission did ten years 7 ago when it filed a special action, same legal, same 8 arguments, same legal position? JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Well, Madam Chair, 9 10 Commissioner Freeman, those are issues we'd like to give you 11 advice. 12 Obviously if the Commission would like to receive that advice in open session, we will accommodate. 13 But our 14 recommendation is that in terms of legal strategy, legal 15 theories, arguments made by the last Commission versus what 16 this Commission decides to do, would be issues, legal issues 17 that we would suggest we have an opportunity to advise you 18 on as counsel and then we can. 19 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Okay. Fair enough. 20 But the last Commission's position, I think, 21 was -- and really the Legislature and the governor had 2.2 nothing to do with it. It was a lawsuit brought against the 23 treasurer and DOA, I believe. Saying, arguing that the 24 Commission has independent constitutional authority to 25 basically have unfettered access to the fist, in essence,

	40
1	and should be appropriated directly to those entities
2	whatever money it needed to carry out it's function.
3	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,
4	Commissioner Freeman, I believe that's correct. It was a
5	special action filed at the Arizona Supreme Court when the
6	last Commission exhausted its appropriation and no
7	supplemental appropriation was forthcoming.
8	But as I also recall, Mary knows better than I,
9	the issue was never addressed by the court, because the
10	Legislature did provide supplemental appropriation and
11	mooted the question.
12	But as I recall, and I have the lawsuit saved on
13	my iPad somewhere. I can pull it up. That it was a special
14	action brought against the treasurer, the director of the
15	Department of Administration, the both obviously have a
16	role with respect to the resources of the state, and on the
17	theory that under the Arizona Constitution and provisions
18	governing this Commission that the Commission must be
19	provided the resources it needs to do its work.
20	And without a appropriation from the Legislature
21	or in the absence of an appropriation, the Commission has
22	standing under the Arizona Constitution to bring a legal
23	action regarding the sufficiency of its resources in what
24	really amounted to, you could call it, constitutional
25	appropriation of sorts.
	© Az Litigation Support, LLC (480)481-0649

1	So these are obviously issues that we know what
2	the counsel for the last Commission, what their position
3	was, what their legal theories were.
4	Perhaps theories we would advise on may be the
5	same or may not be the same, may have evolved in light of
б	other more recent precedent, those kind of things.
7	But those are the kinds of things the Commission
8	would be well advised to have that discussion as counsel in
9	executive session.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm sorry, this is Colleen
11	again.
12	It's there's kind of a weird echo thing
13	happening.
14	I don't know where it's coming from, if others
15	that on the line hear it as well.
16	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Colleen, I think it's the AC
17	unit snapped on here, and it's making a blowing noise.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: And Joe's mic is really
20	problematic.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, I agree.
22	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair
23	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I think I heard Joe first.
25	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, I'm just

ſ

1	42
1	apologizing again. I'm doing my best to talk into the
2	microphone, but there may be an issue for everyone on the
3	phone. So I apologize if you can't hear me.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thanks.
5	Mr. Stertz.
6	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay. Let me see if I can
7	do a recap.
8	In my and please correct me if I'm wrong.
9	I just heard from Commissioner Freeman, going
10	through this with Joe Kanefield and I believe with Ray
11	Bladine, that unless something extraordinary happens between
12	now and the end of the year, the money that we are able to
13	move from one category to another, based on the latest okay
14	from the governor's office telling us that it's appropriate
15	to do so from the Office of Strategic Planning and Budget,
16	that we can move the money around for our own needs because
17	it's a lump sum appropriation, that we have found a way to
18	get to the end of the year with our expenditures, knowing
19	that there will be some potentially deferred expenses that
20	will be moved into the January and February needs request
21	from an additional appropriation that we would go to the
22	Legislature in January to request it.
23	Is that a good summary, Mr. Kanefield and
24	Mr. Bladine?
25	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,

1 Commissioner Stertz, I think that's a good summary. 2 The only thing I would mention is I just -- that was certainly -- I think I categorize it as a likely 3 4 scenario, but my only concern is that things could heat up 5 very quickly. 6 We could get a lengthy decision from the federal 7 district court, three-judge panel tomorrow, or the 8 plaintiffs in the Leach case could file motions. 9 So there is always a possibility that the legal 10 work could increase significantly in the next three months. 11 But what you summarized is a, you know, a possible 12 scenario if things sort of continued on the status quo. 13 My only -- again, my only concern is just the 14 unknowns that come with when you have three active lawsuits 15 that any one of them could erupt with a lot of legal work at 16 any moment. 17 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okav. 18 Madam Chair, let's follow up on that. If, let's 19 say one, two, or three all erupt, and we know that there's 20 going to be a massive need for costs, that sort of 21 extraordinary condition, in my opinion, would be a 2.2 legitimate reason to request the governor to call a special 23 session for bringing appropriation. 24 Because it is the constitutional -- Legislature's 25 constitutional requirement to make appropriation by the

1 majority vote to fund this Commission's needs, including 2 defense of these lawsuits. But as it stands right now, it would be -- we'd be 3 4 asking for 90 people to come back and their staff to come 5 back for a vote on something that we're not sure but we just 6 want to make sure that if in case, that maybe if it 7 happens -- do you follow what I'm saying? 8 RAY BLADINE: Commissioner Stertz, is that 9 directed to me to try to answer? 10 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Sure. Please. 11 RAY BLADINE: I think that as Kristina and I tried 12 to pull together this report, and be as fair and balanced as 13 we could about what can we do and what couldn't we do, our 14 goal was to comply, and she mentioned it, with the state law 15 that says when you know you've got a budget problem, you got 16 to try to take every action you can to stay within your 17 appropriation. 18 And that's what the spreadsheet we developed shows 19 you. 20 It anticipates two things with regard to the 21 legal. One, we don't spend more than 100,000 a month on 2.2 legal expenses. 23 And that's clearly a possibility. 24 So to that extent, that would take care of 25 October, November.

1	It would show then for December activity, we'd
2	have about \$59,000 that we could spend in January for
3	December billing.
4	Will we need 100,000 in December? I don't know.
5	Could we find a few more dollars as we get closer
6	to December? Yes, that's a possibility.
7	So, that's what we struggle with.
8	Because on the one side if you ask do we have an
9	adequate appropriation, well, right now I guess we do.
10	But tomorrow, we may not.
11	Clearly there is the possibility, and that's what
12	we tried to do was to get us to January, at this point we're
13	maybe a little short of doing that. But it's all based on
14	our best projections.
15	And I can't tell you that we're 100 percent
16	accurate.
17	So your earlier comment is it possible to make it
18	to January, I'd say, yes, it is.
19	But I guess that's all I have to say on it.
20	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, Madam Chair, the
21	follow-up to that, here's my, here's my bigger, broader
22	statement.
23	I know that we'll talk about the specifics and get
24	legal recommendations in executive session, but let's just
25	play this out.

Let's say the Commission decides we're going to
 sue the Legislature. And that then we're going to have some
 reason to compel these folks to come back.

We're going to bring 90 folks back here in the next 45 days between now and the holiday season to come back to give us an appropriation on something that we may or may not need to get to January.

8 How do you think January is going to turn out? 9 If we can find a way to have an event take place 10 that would require the recalling back of the -- I think 11 that -- you know, listen, my crystal ball is broken, but I 12 believe that the leadership as well as the governor's office 13 would have an understanding that if all three cases hammered 14 down next Monday morning and we needed to throw \$250,000 a 15 week of resources at it, or whatever the number would be it 16 would be, that they would understand that we can't make a 17 legal allocation to engage our legal team to defend these 18 lawsuits without the appropriation being put in place.

So something extraordinary I think that they would understand why we would want to call -- you know, request the governor to call back the Legislature for this appropriation.

If we call -- if we're going to sue them to try to force them to come back on what we think may happen, or might possibly happen because we're not quite sure, even

1 though we got enough money that we've already shown on a 2 document that's being presented in public session that we can make it to the end of the year without something 3 extraordinary happening, I would be hard pressed to find 4 5 favor that that make prudent sense. 6 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Madam Chair, Scott Freeman. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 7 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Well, if the Commission 8 9 were to decide to institute a special action, then I think 10 we're all in. 11 Because now we're diverting resources to the 12 prosecution of this lawsuit. 13 Has anyone done an estimate, prepared a budget as 14 to how much it would cost to file a special action and take 15 it up on the Supreme Court, get an order, follow through on 16 the relief, whatever relief is ordered, what time frame, how 17 quickly that could be expected to be accomplished? 18 Because once we go down that road, we're 19 committed. We're no way we're making it to January, because 20 now we're funding this third lawsuit brought by the 21 Commission. 2.2 Madam Chair, I can't answer the RAY BLADINE: whole question there, but I think in the discussions that 23 24 I've had with legal counsel that if we were to file some 25 action, the chances of us getting any decision before

1 January is very unlikely. 2 I do recall we had talked last time we needed to 3 do this about some possible budget costs, but we didn't 4 really -- we had not really addressed those in terms of whether that's realistic, and I would have to ask the 5 6 attorneys to talk to it. 7 But, yes, the problem is that we would have to reallocate resources to file that lawsuit. 8 And we can only 9 do that with your direction, of course. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. 11 Any other comments or questions? 12 Hearing none, was there anything else --Okav. 13 have we essentially covered everything in agenda item three 14 as well with regard to each discovery request? Madam Chair, I don't believe so. I 15 RAY BLADINE: 16 think that there was a need for a discussion on that with 17 attorneys on Leach in executive session. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. So there's nothing in public that we want to talk about now on number three. 19 20 RAY BLADINE: I think that's correct. Both attorneys are shaking their heads now, so. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okav. 23 Well, I'd entertain a motion to go into Okav. 24 executive session to obtain legal advice on both agenda 25

items three and four.

COMMISSIONER McNULTY: 1 So moved. 2 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Second. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any discussion? 4 (No oral response.) 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: All in favor? 6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Aye. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Aye. 8 So I've heard two ayes. 9 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, was there a 10 second to the motion? 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I thought there was. 12 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: There was. 13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is that Commissioner Kallen? 14 I can't hear you. 15 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Yes, Madam Chair. 16 Commissioner Kallen. I seconded the motion. 17 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Good. 18 And then all in favor? 19 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Aye. 20 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Aye. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So I think I've heard four 22 ayes. 23 Any opposed? 24 Just for the record, can we -- because I Okay. 25 can't tell who voted aye, can you state your name and who

1 said aye. 2 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: McNulty. Aye. 3 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Stertz. Aye. 4 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Kallen. Aye. 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mathis. Aye. 6 And I guess is, Commissioner Freeman, are you 7 abstaining or voting no? 8 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I'm voting no. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. 10 So we'll -- the motion carries, and we'll go ahead 11 and go into executive session, which means we'll have to 12 exit out of public session, which means unfortunately the 13 folks on the room and on the call that are not commissioners 14 need to depart. And hopefully we'll come out soon and 15 continue in public session. 16 But the time right now is 3:09. 17 (Whereupon, the Commission went into executive 18 session.) * * * * * 19 20 (Whereupon, the public session resumes.) 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The time is 4:52 p.m., and 2.2 we'll enter back into public session. 23 Do we still have members of the public with us? 24 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: No. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We scared them off.

1 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Yep. 2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: All right. So, do any 3 commissioners have any comments coming out of executive 4 session that they'd like to state? 5 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 7 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I'd like to -- there's no 8 I'd like to make a motion. comment. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Go ahead. 10 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I'd like to move that the 11 Commission does not enter into litigation against the 12 Legislature or its funding source, and we do not at this 13 time authorize our legal counsel to prepare for such, 14 pending a significant event, at which time we would make 15 special requests of the Legislature and the Governor's 16 Office to recognize their constitutional requirement to fund 17 the Commission to defend its maps. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is there a second? 19 I'll second it. COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any discussion? 21 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Madam Chair --2.2 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I wanted to say I was a 23 Girl Scout and I think you have to be prepared. 24 So I quess I wouldn't support directing our 25 counsel not to prepare, but. . .

51

COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Madam Chair, it's Scott 1 2 Freeman. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 4 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: I'm thinking maybe it just 5 behooves us to sort of target, depending on comments from 6 staff and counsel, just maybe we should just sort of 7 tentatively plan at this point to be convening in mid 8 December as a status check or perhaps earlier if there's 9 some change in circumstances that we would need to -- nobody 10 leave the country. 11 Just don't do it the week after Thanksgiving, 12 because I'll be on my hunt. 13 But that's where I think we should go at this 14 point. And I don't see a reason to direct counsel to take 15 any affirmative action with respect to the funding at this 16 time. 17 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other thoughts? COMMISSIONER McNULTY: This is Linda. I like 18 19 Commissioner Freeman's idea of being prepared to have a 20 meeting in December. 21 And otherwise I think counsel should proceed as we 2.2 discussed in the executive session, that they don't need any 23 particular direction one way or the other. Okay. So we have a seconded 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 25 motion on the floor that we need to vote on, unless there's

1 other discussion. Hearing none, all in favor? 2 3 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Aye. 4 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Aye. 5 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Aye. 6 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: No. 7 And any opposed? CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 8 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: This is Linda. I vote no. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner Kallen, are 10 you --11 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Yes, I'm still here. Ι 12 voted aye. 13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, I'm sorry, you voted aye? 14 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So, wait, we have three ayes 16 and one no. 17 Is that correct? 18 COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: Sounds right. 19 Okay. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And I'll vote no too, because 21 I just think -- I agree with Commissioner Freeman's 22 statement that we just plan to meet in December, but I don't 23 want to say that we would, you know, not enter into 24 litigation. 25 I think it's incumbent upon the Commission to

1 defend the maps as necessary. And if there's a need to do 2 so that comes up before January, then we're going to need to be able to rise to the occasion. 3 So -- but the motion carries, three to two, so 4 5 that's how the cookie crumbles. 6 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: Madam Chair, this is 7 Commission Kallen. 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Go ahead, 9 Commissioner Kallen. 10 COMMISSIONER KALLEN: I believe the fact that at 11 least with these instructions for counsel, I don't think 12 that any -- that in any way precludes from -- if there were 13 a significant event that we reconvene and be able to change 14 our decision if necessary. But, again, I think we can still 15 meet and that we're not restricted in any way. 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. 17 Thank you. 18 Any other comments from commissioners? 19 (No oral response.) 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other direction coming 21 out of executive session? 2.2 (No oral response.) 23 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. I'm not hearing any. 24 So, it sounds like we will -- no one leaves the 25 country, at least in December, and be available in case we

1 need to meet again. 2 And I will just say -- one thing I wanted to say 3 about our court reporter too before we adjourn. Marty 4 Herder was recently named president of Arizona Litigation 5 Support Court Reporters, and I just wanted to congratulate 6 him. I'm sure the other commissioners echo that. 7 And other than that, thank you, everyone, for your 8 time. 9 It's now 5:00 p.m. on the nose, so we'll adjourn. 10 Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER FREEMAN: Thanks. 12 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Thanks, everybody. And 13 congratulations, Iron Man. 14 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 15 5:00 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
3	
4	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceeding was
5	taken before me, Marty Herder, a Certified Court Reporter,
б	CCR No. 50162, State of Arizona; that the foregoing 55 pages
7	constitute a true and accurate transcript of all proceedings
8	had upon the taking of said meeting, all done to the best of
9	my skill and ability.
10	DATED at Chandler, Arizona, this 5TH day of
11	November, 2013.
12	C.Martin Herder
13	
14	C. Martin Herder, CCR Certified Court Reporter
15	Certificate No. 50162
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ſ