
 Public Meeting Comments 01.18.22					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
1/18/2022 8:16:40	January 18, 2022	Janet Patrick	85207	myself	<p>Janet Patrick ████████████████████ Mesa, AZ 85207 Re: northern border CD 5 Madame Chairman, thank you for this last opportunity to impact the final maps. The northern border of CD 5 should be drawn as an extension of Indian School Rd, from west to east (or about 1 mile north of Thomas Road). In early October of 2021, (10/18/21) you recognized our appeal on this matter, and both LD and CD maps were corrected to reflect this boundary. However, the (final) 13.9 CD Map has again removed a section of Mesa from any city district. A small, irregularly shaped section of north Mesa was moved into CD 1. This cuts an area of Mesa north of McDowell east of Power Rd. out of CD 5.</p> <p>As a resident of this neighborhood, I believe the area should be included in CD 5 in order to protect both our interests of representation and participation. Today, Federal legislation and funding impact every topic of concern in our communities. We deserve focused attention and representation in Washington. To that end, this correction would benefit the citizens of both the smaller towns and rural areas of CD1 as well as those with urban interests of Mesa.</p>
1/18/2022 8:41:40	January 18, 2022	Ann Heitland	86004	self	<p>The idea is to not split a precinct into part county and part city. Has nothing to do with Legislative Districts as York seemed to think.</p>
1/18/2022 9:03:21	January 18, 2022	Ann Heitland	86004	taxpayers in Coconino County	<p>Let me elaborate on my earlier comment. By not following the city border, you force an additional precinct in order to keep city and county residents in separate districts. Commissioner York seemed to think the issue presented by the request was fiddling with the LD boundaries. It is not; the purpose is administrative for the elections office. (And, Wendy's trailer stays in the district you gerrymandered her into.)</p>
1/18/2022 9:25:15	January 18, 2022	George Heidenblut	85207	Myself	<p>Commission members, first I would like to thank you for all of your efforts in redrawing the election maps, a momentous task trying to satisfy all of the criteria that guides you.</p> <p>As you finalize the maps, I would ask that you reconsider a small section of Northeast Mesa. I live in Las Sendas a large master plan community with over 3400 homes. The current Congressional District Map shows our community being divided into two Congressional Districts, CD 1 and CD 5. This is the only section of NE Mesa that is incorporated into CD 1. Please consider having all of Las Sendas incorporated into Congressional District 5 as that would respect our community of interest.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>
1/18/2022 10:10:36	January 18, 2022	Leslie Cox	85701	myself	<p>As for Maps and Apps. "IRC Public Comment Submission Dashboard", I found the Histograms on this page to be incomplete compilations and of limited usefulness. During a GIS class on 11/13/21, I asked if the oral comments at public meetings were represented in these histograms and was told that they were not, and that if I had spoken I should submit a written comment in order to be counted. I subsequently discovered that to be tallied in a particular column, one had to go back to the Draft Map of interest and choose "provide feedback". It would be helpful to have instructions on where to place comments so that they can be meaningfully tallied.</p>

 Public Meeting Comments 01.18.22					
Timestamp	Meeting Date	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
1/18/2022 12:14:09	January 18, 2022	Nohl Rosen	85358	Self	Why can't you move all of Wickenburg to the Yavapai County side and out of LD30? In your final map you didn't include county islands apparently when putting us in LD30. This commission totally ignored the input of us citizens that wanted to be put on the Yavapai County side. We shouldn't have been put in LD30 or in CD9.
1/18/2022 12:28:04	January 18, 2022	Julie Pandola	86301	myself	What a travesty. What a disappointment of a Commission and process.
1/18/2022 13:07:15	January 18, 2022	Patty Hansen, County Recorder	86001	Coconino County	The reason we requested that the adjustment along Route 66 at the City of Flagstaff municipal boundary is to prevent us from having to create two zero population precincts. We do not put part of the City of Flagstaff in any rural precincts. The way the two small areas that are part of the change are drawn will require us to change our precinct naming criteria to change. We have historically been able to keep the entire City of Flagstaff in precincts that are named Flagstaff##. If you have questions please call. Our county left many phone messages asking the IRC staff to contact us if they had any questions. Listening to the IRC meeting it was clear the mapping team did not know why we asking for these changes. It is very frustrating that we were not told we should write a justification for each of our changes.

Public Meeting Comments 01.18.22

Timestamp	Meeting Date	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
1/18/2022 13:13:37	January 18, 2022	William Bowlus-Root	85365	Myself	<p>I cannot be silent on this.</p> <p>The squabbling and recriminations that have been brought up today by the commissioners in their comments delivered during the votes (which, check your Roberts Rules of Order, actually should have been allowed only during the discussion on the motion, NOT during the actual voting) are entirely disrespectful of the commissioners and the democratic process the commission is carrying out on behalf of the People of Arizona. As such it disrespect us as well. This should never have been allowed to happen.</p> <p>Commissioner Neuberg, as the chair of the commission should have called commissioner Mehl out of order when he began his diatribe against commissioner Lerner. That would have put a stop to the whole ugly episode. But that was apparently not how commissioner Neuberg wanted the meeting to proceed, since she added her own poison to the commentary.</p> <p>I've never heard the chair of any commission or even a heated meeting EVER treat one of the members the way commissioner Neuberg did commissioner Lerner. She was condescending and treated her like a pathetic child who was pouting because she didn't get her way. The derision was unseemly and poorly targeted. It was not only grossly inappropriate, it was grossly misleading. To cast aspersions on her character or her motives is a low blow that only made the chair - and the entire commission - look very bad to members of the public.</p> <p>After she was through, commissioner Neuberg's subsequent confusion about where they were in the agenda - and to forget that SHE was the one whose vote everyone was waiting for - reveals her fundamental ineptness and inability to conduct the commission's meetings, much less on a fair basis. This has been a problem that's been annoying during the entire year, but something most of us were will to overlook as long as some progress was being made. However, her performance today is inexcusable.</p> <p>Very sad.</p> <p>I guess in the end the true colors come out. Too bad they're all so dark.</p> <p>Democracy deserved a better shot at survival.</p> <p>William Bowlus-Root A very concerned citizen</p>
1/18/2022 13:40:04	January 18, 2022	Aleks Vranicic	85207	Myself	<p>Commission members, thank you for all of your efforts in redrawing the election maps. A difficult task to satisfy all of the guiding criteria.</p> <p>As you finalize the maps, I would ask that you reconsider a small section of Northeast Mesa. I live in Las Sendas a large master plan community with over 3400 homes. The current Congressional District Map shows our community being divided into two Congressional Districts, CD 1 and CD 5. This is the only section of NE Mesa that is incorporated into CD 1. Please consider having all of Las Sendas incorporated into Congressional District 5 as that would respect our community of interest.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>